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BRIDGE WIDOWS SOCIAL AFTERNOON


On behalf of the Tournament Gay Tully hosted a visit to famous Australian Artist Margaret Ollie's House which was moved from Paddington in Sydney to the Tweed Region

## SHOP TILL YOU DROP



We all love shoes so it wasn't so surprising that the breakfast at In Her Shoes at the Oasis proved to be such a success


Seniors Winners 102 IMPs to 89.1 IMPs Trevor Robb - Andrew Janisz - Patsy Walters - Lynne Geursen


Intermediate Winners 145.1 IMPs to 131 IMPs Michael Stoneman - Val Roland - Herold Rienstra - Bert Luchjenbroers


Restricted Winners 112.1 Imps to 98 IMPs Anne McNaughton - Faye Bell - Heather Scott - Margot Moylan


Novice Winners 138.1 IMPs to 106 IMps Justine Wlodarczyk - Bronnwyn White - Caroline Marshall Margaret Teitzel


Seniors Runners-Up
Martin Bloom - Nigel Rosendorff - Steven Bock - Les Grewcock


Intermediate Runners-Up
Eric Baker - Chris Stead - Keith Blinco - Terrence Sheedy


Restricted Runners - Up
Arne Jonsberg - John Lahey - Lesleigh Egan - Lynne Henley


Novice Runners-Up
Denise Merrin - Mary Smith - Joanne Evans - Sheena Pollock

## OPEN TEAMS QUARTER FINAL FIRST 12 BOARDS

In the Open Teams the top two seeds, WARE (Michael Ware-Tom Jacob-Fiona Brown-Tony Nunn-Hugh McGann-Matthew Thomson) and the Indonesian team of PERTAMINA EP RED (Taufik Asbi - Robert Parasian - Franky Karwur - Julius George - Beni Ibradi) qualified directly to the 40 board Semi-Finals, while the teams finishing $3^{\text {rd }}$ through $6^{\text {th }}$ (Fordham vs Hinge and PD Times vs Neill) played a 24 board match for the other two semi-finals berths.

When you sit down to watch a match in the latter stages of a tournament there is always the hope, if not an expectation, that you are about to watch some fine bridge, or maybe that you will see some hands that highlight the more subtle points of the game, or just watch some quality bridge. Regrettably we will instead ask you to fasten your seat belts and take a journey into another world during these 12 boards.
A couple of overtrick IMPs on the first three boards led to this minor swing, based on a difference of style.

| Dealer: West | AJ943 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AQJ652 |  |
| Brd 4 | - 9 |  |
| Open Tms QF 1/2 | \&9 9 |  |
| A Q 108 |  | A AK762 |
| $\checkmark 1073$ |  | - --- |
| - AJ42 |  | - 7653 |
| \& A 87 |  | \& K 1063 |
|  | A 5 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K984 |  |
|  | -KQ108 |  |
|  | \& Q J 52 |  |



Perhaps dissuaded by the secondary spade suit, Cormack decided against opening a weak two bid in hearts whereas Sundstrom suffered no such inhibitions. Unfortunately that had the effect of inspiring the opponents to bid to 3A, which certainly isn't the easiest of contracts to make. But his partner, South, took the cheap insurance in $4 \checkmark$, perhaps with the thought of possibly making it - one down and 3 IMPs to Hinge.
Board six looked like a major swing to Fordham when they started slamming, until they fell at the final hurdle, creating the largest swing of the match in favour of their opponents.

| Dealer: East | A AKQJ 6 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -K754 |  | Dennison | Cormack | Stuart | Kozakos |
| Brd 6 | - 4 |  |  |  | Pass | 1* |
| Open Tms QF 1/2 | \& 1095 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 3 |
| A 72 |  | A 853 | Pass | 37 | Pass | 3NT // |
| - A J 632 |  | - Q 98 | Haughie | Sundstrom | Hinge | Fordham |
| - Q 6 |  | -953 |  |  | Pass | 1\% [prec] |
| \& J 763 |  | \& Q 842 | Pass | 14 [GF] | Pass | 2 |
|  | A 1094 |  | Pass | 27 | Pass | 3 |
|  | $\checkmark 10$ |  | Pass | 34 | Pass | 490 |
|  | - AKJ10872 |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4NT |
|  | $\% A K$ |  | Pass | 5\% | Pass | 5 |
|  |  |  | Pass | 6NT | Pass | 7NT |
|  |  |  | Double | Pass | Pass | Pass |
|  |  |  |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |
|  |  |  | - | 6 | - | 6 NT |
|  |  |  | - | 6 | - | 6 a |
|  |  |  | - | 2 | - | $2 \quad$ |
|  |  |  | - | 6 | - | 6 |
|  |  |  | - | 2 | - |  |

While all the nuances and inferences of the auction aren't clear as a kibitzer it's hard to imagine what hand partner can have where he elects to play, indeed jump to 6NT and that you can convert this to a loss of 13 IMPs by bidding 7NT. Haughie unsportingly (no doubt checking if he was on lead) doubled and collected 300 by cashing the VA and switching to a club. A heart continuation would have been more interesting for the bloodthirsty amongst us when declarer would have been forced to guess diamonds or possibly go down 1100 for some larger number of IMPs (16).

Board 7 offered the opportunity for Fordham to regain some of those lost IMPs.

| Dealer: South | A A J 9 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - A 96 |  | Dennison | Cormack | Stuart | Kozakos |
| Brd 7 | - J 95 |  |  |  |  | 1\% |
| Open Tms QF 1/2 | \&10432 |  | Pass | 1NT | All Pa |  |
| A 763 |  | A Q 1042 | Haughie | Sundstrom | Hinge | Fordham |
| -KQ103 |  | - 74 |  |  |  | 1NT [13-15] |
| - A 42 |  | - Q 1086 | Pass | 3NT | All Pa |  |
| \& Q 76 |  | \& 985 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |
|  | A K 85 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 NT |
|  | - J 852 |  | - | 1 | - | A |
|  | - 73 |  | - | 1 | - | $1 \quad$ |
|  | $\%$ AK J |  | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | - | 1 | - |  |

Difference in methods led to the contrasting contracts, although with a combined 25 count it seems that Cormack/Kozakos should have made some efforts to enquire about game. Maybe I shouldn't be so critical on this particular hand as both hands were absolute maximum for their actions and 3NT proved to be lousy about as every card is wrong - $A Q$, both $\vee$ 's, $A$ and $\& Q$. All of this meant that Hinge collected 6 IMPs for their conservative though arguably not long-term successful action.

Board 8 saw Fordham fight back by "stealing the pot".

| Dealer: West Vul: None | A A 65432 $\checkmark 108$ |  | West Dennison | North Cormack | East Stuart | South Kozakos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 8 | - AK |  | $2 \vee$ | 24 | $4 V$ | All Pass |
| Open Tms QF 1/2 | \& 1097 |  | Haughie | Sundstrom | Hinge | Fordham |
| - 7 |  | AKJ 8 | Pass | 14 | 1NT | 24 |
| - J 9742 |  | - AK53 | 2NT | 34 | All Pass |  |
| - 54 |  | - J 98 |  | Makeabl | le Contra | acts |
| \& K Q 653 |  | * A 82 | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | A Q 109 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 a |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 6 |  | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q 107632 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 * |
|  | \& J 4 |  | 4 | - | 4 | 9 |

Again the differing methods possible led to the swing. In the Open Room the $2 v$ bid, presumably showing hearts and a minor allowed E/W to find their heart fit and play $4 \checkmark$ for 11 tricks. N/S could have saved for a likely -300 but that's usually much easier with a $5 / 4$ fit and where one of the two hands is short in the opponents suit - which was not the case here.

In the closed room North's decision to open 1a holding A-A-K is clear cut by everybody's standards, especially playing Precision where his hand is limited. East overcalled 1NT with his balanced 16 count and Fordham's $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ bid effectively persuaded West to treat his hand as purely competitive. Your Bulletin Editors' choices ranged from 30 game force down to Lebensohl into $3 *$. We hate passing at low levels knowing that we hold the majority of points. Anyway North found a good bid of 3A which under my personal methods is $1-2-3$ stop - preemptively trying to steal the hand, it worked a treat. Going down one led to a 9 IMP gain for Fordham.
The final board was the third large swing of the match and probably the hand in bridge I hate MOST of all - a 6-5-1-1 opposite a non-matching 6-5-1-1 YUK!

| ealer: South | A 109543 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark 2$ |  | Dennison | Cormack | Stuart | Kozakos |
| Brd 11 | -10 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Open Tms QF 1/2 | \& A Q 9653 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 2 |
| ヘQJ 7 |  | AK862 | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 40 // |
| - Q 8653 |  | $\checkmark 94$ | Haughie | Sundstrom | Hinge | Fordham |
| -862 |  | - 53 |  |  |  | 1\% |
| \& 104 |  | \& K J 82 | Pass | 1* | Pass | 10 |
|  | A A |  | Pass | 2* | Pass | 2 |
|  | - AKJ 107 |  | Pass | 24 | Pass | 5*// |
|  | - K Q J 974 |  |  |  |  |  |

Cormack's 2NT bid in the closed rooms seems to have been Blackout, alerting partner to holding a poor hand. This may have steered him to bid $4 \vee$ in the hope that he could hold the hand together using his long diamonds as a source of tricks. Most days this would work just fine - not this day however when trumps broke 5-2; a slim $31 \%$ so we could count this as bad luck not bad judgement.

In the closed room, the Precision auction allowed both hands to make their suits known and Fordham did well to place the contract in 5 losing one diamond and one heart.

On initial inspection it appears that a diamond lead might well have sunk $5 \star$ but double dummy declarer play, knowing the hearts are splitting badly (welcome to my world) will allow you to make as follows:

Assume East wins the trump lead and switches to a spade. Declarer then cashes trumps to arrive at this position.

|  | - 10 | Not Relevant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark 2$ |  |
|  | - --- |  |
|  | \& A Q 965 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A? } \\ & \vee \text { Q } 8653 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| --- |  |  |
| *? |  |  |
|  | A --- |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ 107 |  |
|  | - 4 |  |
|  | \& 7 |  |

If West holds two spades OR one spade and a club then a club to dummy and a spade ruff would bring West down to the heart suit only and he can then be end-played in that suit - providing declarer is inspired enough to cash the $\vee$ A followed by the $\vee \mathrm{J}$, and if ducked to follow with the $\mathbf{V 1 0}$ smothering East's doubleton nine.
If however he holds five hearts two and clubs, declarer can counter by winning the \&A and ruffing a club to achieve the heart-only ending. Does anybody hate how well Deep Finesse plays!!

Six IMPs to Hinge on the final board saw them lead by 32 IMPs to 22 at the half way mark.

## OPEN TEAMS QUARTER FINAL SECOND 12 BOARDS <br> Barry Rigal

The focus would be on PD Times against Neill, with Neill leading 27-23.
The first deal saw Neill buy the contract unsuccessfully at both tables, losing 100 in one room and 200 in the other.

| Dealer: North | A AKQ6 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark$ A 8 |  | Li | Neill | Feng | De Livera |
| Brd 13 | - 74 |  |  | 1\% | 1 V | Pass |
| Open Tms QF2/2 | ¢K Q 1053 |  | Pass | Double | Pass | 2* |
| -10875 |  | -942 | Pass | 24 | Pass | 3\% |
| $\bullet$ J 4 |  | -KQ732 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| -KQ1096 |  | - A 5 |  | Makeab | le Con |  |
| - 86 |  | \& A J 9 | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | AJ3 |  | 1 | - | 1 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark 10965$ |  | 1 | - | , | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - J 832 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | \& 742 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 \% |

Neill's decision to try for game after his partner's initial pass and simple club support seems eminently reasonable, if a tad aggressive.
In the other room Fu's opening lead against $2 \vee$ was an eccentric 43 , but it did not hurt his side at all. The defenders managed to arrange three spades, a club and three trump tricks for their side via a trump promotion, when declarer went after diamonds early. That meant PD Times led 30-27.
Neill levelled the match when a board that was passed out in one room by Neill in fourth seat was opened unsuccessfully by Chen in the other room.

Dealer：South
Vul： N －S
AK 10754
Brd 15 － 10875

Open Tms QF2／2
－A J
A A 62
－QJ43
－K 102
\＆Q 87

AJ 98
－－－－
－ 98754
が」10952

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | $\uparrow$ |
| - | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| - | - | - | - |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\$$ |

Both tables reached $4 V$ here，PD Times by North，Neill from the South seat．De Livera received the most challenging defence as South，of a club lead，and when he won and played $\because \mathrm{K}$ to find the bad news，followed by $\uparrow Q$ the defenders won and shifted to a devious $\geqslant 10$ ．Declarer had three sensible options（finesse，win $\star A$ and play spades from the top，or win $\forall A$ and finesse spades）．He chose the diamond finesse－doubtless hoping that if this was wrong he would be able to find a trump endplay on West later so long as that player had the right side－suit pattern（3－4－3－3 seems necessary）．In the other room Chen as North voluntarily took the diamond finesse after winning the club lead and testing hearts．No swing．

Dealer：West
Vul：E－W
Brd 16
Open Tms QF2／2
A 9652
－Q 852
－Q 4
\＆ 632

A 1083
－A 1074
－ 62
＊K 975

```
A A J
－K 93
－KJ985
＊Q J 4
```

AK Q 74
－J 6
－A 1073
\＆A 108

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |
| - | 1 | - | 2 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 2 | $\uparrow$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\uparrow$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\vdots$ |

Both tables opened a strong 1 NT ，and played there．Both defenders had to choose which spade to lead from AKQ74．Fu led the queen，De Livera the four．Whatever the technical merits of the choice，when declarer has the doubleton a A J you can guess which worked better－though a later error by Chen meant the board was flat at down one．Still 30－30．

| Dealer：North | かQ852 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul：None | A 10974 |
| Brd 17 | J64 |
| Open Tms QF2／2 | \＆2 |



In one room Li bought the hand in $3 \diamond$ as West，by overcalling with that bid over $1 \star$ ．After a club lead he went up with \＆A and played on spades．The defenders ducked the first spade，won the next and played trumps． Now declarer could get his ruff but he could not avoid a trump promotion on the third club，to go with his four top losers．In the other room the limited $2 \boldsymbol{*}$ opening changed the tempo of the auction，allowing Fu to play $2 \boldsymbol{A}$.
Three rounds of diamonds（East pitching a club）saw Fu ruff and cash two hearts then lead a club towards his hand．Nagy rose with \＆A and played a heart，ruffed by declarer with a 10 as Lilley discarded his club．Fu played a low club and ruffed in dummy，but in the five－card ending he had reached he no longer had a way home．

Had he instead played ace and another spade, or even led out the club king, he could have survived. As it was, he did his best in the endgame when he led a heart and discarded on it. But Nagy played a low club for Lilley to ruff with $\boldsymbol{\wedge} 9$ and declarer could no longer avoid two further losers whatever he did - 3 IMPs to Neill, leading by that number.

| Dealer: East | ^A 6 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark$ A 9762 |  | Lilley | Chen | Nagy |  |  |
| Brd 18 | - J742 |  |  |  | 14 | $2 \vee$ |  |
| Open Tms QF2/2 | * A 9 |  | Pass | 24 | Double | Pass |  |
| - Q 53 |  | AKJ8742 | 34 | $4 \checkmark$ | 4A | Doub |  |
| $\checkmark 43$ |  | $\checkmark$ Q | Pass | 5 | All pass |  |  |
| - 8653 |  | -109 |  | Make | le Contra | acts |  |
|  |  | *KQ 73 | - | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
|  | * 109 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - KJ1085 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - AKQ |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |
|  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 4 |

Chen regained the lead for his team after a well-judged effort here. To be fair, Fu's $2 v$ overcall (some would hate it, but I can live with it, though only because of the intermediates) was what made the difference. $5^{5}$ fetched 12 easy tricks, but in the other room the tempo of the auction was completely different after De Livera passed over 1a, and Li muddied the water with a 1NT response. Neill doubled 1NT but De Livera bid to $4 \mathbf{V}$ then doubled 4A, not expecting five trumps opposite, and the defenders could only take 300 . The match score went to 41-33 after the next five deals generated nothing but two overtrick IMPs.

The last deal saw PD Times put away their match:

| Dealer: West | A A J 1093 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ K953 |  |  | Neill | Feng | De Livera |
| Brd 24 | - Q 102 |  |  | Pass | 2\% | 2* |
| Open Tms QF2/2 | * 4 |  | 3\% | 34 | 4* | Pass |
| - 875 |  | A Q 642 | Pass | 4 | All pass |  |
| $\bullet 764$ |  | $\checkmark$ A J |  |  |  |  |
| - J 96 |  | - 5 |  | Makea | le Contra | acts |
| \& A 1065 |  | *KQ9872 | - | - | - | NT |
|  | A K |  | - | 3 | - | 3 - |
|  | - Q 1082 |  | - | 4 | - | $4 \quad$ V |
|  | - AK8743 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 * |
|  | \& J 3 |  | 2 | - | 2 | $\%$ |

Whereas Chen had opened the North cards and reached $4 V$ in a canter, the auction was more complex in the other room

Feng's barrage kept his opponents out of $4 \checkmark$ (perhaps Neill might have doubled $3 ゃ ?$ ). $4 \diamond$ was not challenging but $4 \checkmark$ made 450 and PD Times had played extremely well in the second stanza to win comfortably enough.
In the other encounter Hinge defeated Fordham by what looked like a comfortable margin, however the entire match "Hinged" (if I may use that word) on the following deal:

```
Dealer: West
Vul: Both VK963
Brd20 * J 854
Open Tms QF2/2 & K10432
A A J 6
\bulletQ1052
* AK9
&J87
^87532
\bullet74
*Q76
&Q95
AK Q1094
* J }
-1032
&A6
```

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |  |
| 5 | - | 5 | - | NT |  |
| 5 | - | 5 | - | 1 |  |
| 4 | - | 4 | - |  |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |  |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\$$ |  |

Haughie-Hinge had a relay auction after a transfer to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ which led to West declaring $6 \boldsymbol{A}$ on a diamond lead, to the ten (nice deceptive play) queen and ace.

Declarer led a heart to the jack, and a spade to hand to find the bad news. A second heart finesse, North ducking the ten (I'm sure he would not have covered the queen but if he does that would be fatal) saw Haughie run the trumps, coming down to a five-card ending with two diamonds two hearts and one club. Sundstrom had to discard on the last trump from two hearts, two diamonds and two clubs, and got it wrong when he pitched a heart instead of a club. That meant declarer could cash his extra heart winner and rescue triumph from the jaws of defeat.

## OPEN TEAMS SEMI FINALS BOARDS 1-10 - WERE THEY PLAYING THE SAME DEALS? <br> Barry Rigal

When Pertamina EP (The Indonesian Open Team) took on PD Times (for China/Taiwan) the score was 10-3 at the end of the set. In our other match they managed to score almost as many imps as that on five separate deals in the ten-board set!
Let's see the action:
After Hinge-Haughie had stretched to a very thin game, down on accurate defence even after spades and clubs had split 3-2 with nothing else bad happening, the first major swing deal came along.

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} 98 \\ & \mathrm{Q} 1095 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Hinge | North Thomson | East Haughie | South McGann |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 2 | - A10 |  |  |  | $1 *$ | Pass |  |
| Open Tms S | \& K J 1084 |  | 19 | Pass | 14 | Pass |  |
| AK 54 |  | A A J 63 | 24 | Dbl | 2 | Pass |  |
| - AKJ3 |  | $\checkmark 6$ | 3 | Pass | 34 | Pass |  |
| - Q 7 |  | -KJ9853 | 4\% | Pass | 4 | Pass |  |
| \& A 653 |  | \& Q 7 | 4 | Pass | 5 | Pass |  |
|  | A Q 1072 |  | 6 | All pass |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 8742$ |  |  | Makeab | C Contr | acts |  |
|  | -642 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | NT |
|  | \& 92 |  | 4 | - | 3 | - | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\%$ |

One of the problems of setting up a game forcing auction cheaply is that neither hand knows how to limit or show extras. Personally I might have rebid 2NT at my third turn as West. Equally, l'd bid 5 as East over 4\&, and pass 5 as West - but I have this unwholesome desire not to go minus when I should be going plus. Slam needed diamonds to behave and a lot of good luck one way or another from the majors, and did not get it. On a club lead Haughie correctly took the ace, then played the $V$ A K to discard his club loser, then ran his trumps so as to squeeze a South player holding $\mathbb{V}$ and four small spades. That just led to three down rather than two down, while 3NT (admittedly played the wrong way up), scored +460 for Nunn with the minimum of discomfort.
Both E/W pairs then went for 300 in a partscore (Nunn being especially lucky to avoid a double when Kozakos refused to get involved after a passed hand back in over Cormack's weak no-trump and he held two aces and a queen in a balanced hand).
On the next board however, blood was actually shed, though.

| Dealer: West A A 63 <br> Vul: Both $\forall \mathrm{KQ} 3$ |  | West Hinge | North Thomson | East Haughie | South McGann |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 4 K97 |  | Pass | 1NT | Pass | 4\% |  |
| Open Tms S/Fin 1/4* A J 74 |  | Pass | 4a | Pass | 4NT |  |
| ヘJ 9875 | A 4 | Pass | 5 | Pass | 5 |  |
| $\bullet 76$ | $\checkmark 9$ | Pass | 6 | Pass | 7V// |  |
| - A J 85 | - Q 10632 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& 83 | \& K 109652 | - | 6 | - | 6 | NT |
| A K Q 102 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | A |
| -AJ108542 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\checkmark$ |
| - 4 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| $\propto$ Q |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | 9 |

Cormack/Kozakos had dealt competently with intervention to reach 6V. McGann/Thompson had no such excuse other than perhaps a lack of partnership - or maybe no agreement as to what Keycard Blackwood was in use?

The learned editors think maybe South was using keycard and thought he had received a $1 / 4$ response. I'm not sure if North was doing anything other than cuebidding then answering aces. With the $\downarrow$ A on lead, nothing could go wrong with the defence.

Both E/W pairs then could not stay out of a nearly hopeless 3NT, so it was 17-17 after five deals. Back to the bloodletting.

| Dealer: East | ^ 9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: E-W | $\stackrel{---}{ }$ |
| Brd 6 | AKQJ109542 |

Open Tms S/Fin 1/4* A 108

| A A 872 |  | AKQ105 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AKJ532 |  | - Q 87 |
| - 86 |  | - 7 |
| $\because Q$ |  | *K6432 |
|  | AJ643 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10964$ |  |
|  | - 3 |  |
|  | \&J975 |  |

How many diamonds is that North hand worth over a 3rd in hand vulnerable 1v? Thomson thought 5 was enough (as would I). Cormack, found the real man's bid of $6 \star$. Both were doubled, Hinge passing out $5 \star$ when I would have expected him to bid $5 \checkmark$-- and pick up a huge swing in instead of out. $5 \checkmark$ was not a favoured contract but the fall of a club honour allowed 11 tricks to come home while it permitted Cormack out for down one. She could maybe claim to be unlucky in that if the $\boldsymbol{d} \mathrm{J}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ were switched she would have racked up 1190 on a heart lead. Maybe so...maybe not.
Stung by the previous setback, Hinge/Haughie did too much on the next deal.

| Dealer: South | A 7 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 5$ |  | Hinge | Thomson | Haughie | McGann |
| Brd 7 | - AJ942 |  |  |  |  | Pass |
| Open Tms S/Fi | \%KJ9643 |  | $1 *$ | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| A 85 |  | AKQ1093 | 2 | Pass | $2 V$ | Pass |
| $\checkmark$ AKQ |  | $\checkmark 109432$ | $3 v$ | Pass | 4 | All pass |
| -KQ10853 |  | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  | Makeab | le Contr | acts |
| - 52 |  | * A 107 | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ヘ AJ 642 |  | 3 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\bullet$ J 876 |  | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 76 |  | 1 | - | 1 | * |
|  | \& Q 8 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 \% |

I like Hinge's improvisation of 30 here, but it got him to a game where the cards lay in remarkably hostile fashion. Haughie won the club lead, crossed to a heart, and ruffed out the $\checkmark$ K. So far so good. But when a second trump brought the bad news; Haughie passed the $\boldsymbol{\wedge} 8$, and the roof fell in . McGann won the $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \mathrm{J}$, returned a trump, overruffed declarer when he tried to ruff a diamond to hand, and led a club to his partner, whose hand was high! Down four.

Brown played $3 V$ on a similar auction to the one we saw (but since Nunn-Brown were playing transfer rebids after 1-1A Nunn got to show THREE hearts at his third turn). Brown ducked the club lead, then drew one round of trumps before playing spades. That meant she ended up scoring three spades, four hearts and one trick in each minor for +140. A great result, worth 11 imps for a 40-17 lead.
There was time for just one major swing more. Tony Nunn had the chance to be a hero or the goat:
Holding: ^A 2 VJ 10876 K $97 *$ Q 103
He heard 1\% to his right and overcalled 19. RHO balanced with 2NT, raised to 3NT. What would you lead? He led a diamond and was right in the sense that declarer had an unbalanced hand with a double heart stop, with dummy providing a third heart stopper, but wrong in that a diamond lead was into the $\star A Q$ and was declarer's ninth trick.

| Dealer: South Vul: None | A 8 |  | West Hinge | North Ware | East <br> Haughie | South Jacob |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark 965$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 11 | - A 8765 |  |  |  |  | 1NT |  |
| Open Tms S/Fin 2/4\& A Q 65 |  |  | Pass | 34 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |  |
| A Q 106 |  | A A 97532 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| -Q73 |  | - J 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 32 |  | -104 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| \& J 1084 |  | \& K 97 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | A K J 4 |  | - | - | - | 1 | A |
|  | -AK1042 |  | - | 5 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - K J 9 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 32 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 8 |

The first deal of the new stanza saw a big swing in both matches.
Three tables out of four played 4V (the exception, Kozakos/Cormack playing 3NT when they bid 1V-2 - 2NT - 3\%-3NT). 3NT on a spade lead to the ace and a spade continuation went down buckets when declarer took the diamond then club finesse and lost both. Somewhat unlucky...maybe.
In the other room Ware's jump to 3A showed a 13(45) pattern. Jacob knew where to go. He took the \&A at trick one and played a spade. Haughie took the ace and returned a low trump. Now Jacob should have ducked a club, but he simply ruffed his spade loser in dummy and drew two rounds of trump, then gave up a club. When Haughie won the second club he had only to exit with a spade and declarer would surely have lost a diamond at the end. But he shifted to diamonds and that brought the diamond suit in for no loser.

In our second match Asbi played $4 V$ on a club lead. He took the losing finesse, won the low trump return in hand, and could see nothing better than the diamond finesse. Down one. Fu showed how to play the hand; he rose with \&A at trick one to play a spade. George took his ace and returned a trump. Declarer won in hand and ducked a club, and now George could see that declarer was about to set up the clubs, so he shifted to the -10, and now the diamond loser had vanished. +420 made it 21-3 now to PD Times.

Two boards later, both tables played a hopeless 3NT, instead of their nine-card club fit, where there is a very decent play for 12 tricks in $6{ }^{\circ}$ (at worst one out of a $2-2$ break, a $3-3$ spade break or the heart finesse). Only the last of these three chances comes in, but that is enough. When the defenders led their nine-card fit against 3NT (108764 facing KQ95) declarer had to play on clubs and let the defenders in. But while Brown and Nunn cashed out, Hinge's discard apparently persuaded Haughie to shift, and another unmakeable contract had come home.

It pains me to tell you that Ware added to their lead on the next deal by opening 1NT on a 5-1-3-4 15-count with king-queen-fifth of spades. "Ce n'est pas magnifique ni c'est le bridge" but it led to +90 while 3 in the other room went down when on the surface of it there are nine easy tricks. Oh well. 70-31 now, and Ware added another 11 imps when an uncouth Hinge/Haughie auction (with the uncouthness coming from the former) led to a hopeless 6NT, down a couple, where $6 \downarrow$ would have been a reasonable spot. Today 11 tricks were the limit, and game was where Brown/Nunn elected to play it.
At the halfway points in the two matches PD Times led 32-13 while Ware led 82-31.
We shall take the closer match as our focus for set three, where after a small pick-up for the Indonesians, Feng and George were both faced with a decision.

At favourable vulnerability, holding: A A 9 VK 9852 \& 4854 they heard the auction go:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Pass | 1 |
| $1 \nabla$ | Pass | $4 \vee$ | $5 \neq$ |
| Pass | 5 | $? ?$ |  |

With partner holding a 3-5-3-2 nine-count it is possible to construct a hand where this action might have gained a little. But today was not one of those days, since your side's three aces stand up and you have four blacksuit losers. George bid on for Pertamina, Feng did not, and PD Times had 9 imps to lead 41-16.
Asbi recouped those imps on the next deal:

| Dealer: South | A K 84 |  | West | North | East | South <br> Asbi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -98543 |  | Li | Parasian | Feng |  |  |
| Brd 3 | - Q 43 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | $2 v$ |  |
| Open Tms S/F | \& 82 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| A Q J 7 |  | A 109532 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -AJ7 |  | -Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 76 |  | - A J 5 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& A 10965 |  | \& K Q 3 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | A A 6 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | A |
|  | - K 106 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K10982 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& J 74 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | 9 |

I certainly don't imagine many E/W pairs would find a way into this auction after the 1NT opening. In the other room the 1 opener by South saw Karwur double, and George exuberantly leaped to 4A. With the heart finesse succeeding and clubs breaking, that had ten easy tricks, and since $2 v$ struggled to one down it was 28-41 now for PD Times.

| Dealer: West | AK 72 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\bullet$ J |  | Karwur | Chen | George | Fu |  |
| Brd 4 | - 83 |  |  | 3\% | Pass | Pas |  |
|  | \& A Q J 10643 |  | 30 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All |  |
| A 84 |  | A J 953 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bullet$ AK 97 |  | -108532 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -AKQJ 107 |  | -962 |  | Make | le Cont | acts |  |
| \& 7 |  | \& 2 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | A A Q 106 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |
|  | -Q64 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 54 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K 985 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\%$ |

It got even better for Pertamina on the next deal, when both South's took remarkably passive views in the auction - and one was rewarded. In the other room after an identical start Feng as East jumped to $4 \checkmark$ over the double; Wowza!
With $5 \boldsymbol{q}$ down just one, the defenders had to try to set $4 V$ or South would look very untrusting. A low club to the ace for two rounds of spades saw South shift to a diamond. In one room Feng as declarer played hearts from the top, in the other George cashed one top heart then led to his $\$ 9$ to take the heart finesse. The odds are so close (North is pretty much known to be 3-(2/1)-7) that maybe it is right to play South for VQxx for his failure to up the pre-empt? It was 41-all now

Thomson-McGann and Cormack/Kozakos both took the save here, but Hinge/Haughie had a carding accident and tried to cash the wrong three red-suit winners. Thompson was able to discard his remaining red-suit loser when he guessed spades, and bring home a wonderful +600 .
Ware/Jacob found another vulnerable save on the next deal (where Feng had a chance to give declarer a very nasty choice in a vulnerable game but had missed it - maybe we will see the deal tomorrow).
Pertamina kept the streak going when Fu went down in a non-vulnerable game that looks a fraction better than a finesse, to lead 46-41.


After a 14 opener by North both tables reached 3NT the 'wrong way' up, letting North lead a heart to the nine for a second heart. Declarer had to win and could take two top diamonds and the \&Q...what now? Both Norths had false-carded in hearts, to conceal the three, so North was not marked with a fifth heart. I'm not sure what the percentages say here, but both declarers played a second top club, ending their chances.

I wish I could tell you that Ware didn't gain 10 imps when Jacob overcalled 1 NT with $\uparrow$ Qx to right-side 3NT but honesty compels me to inform you that this is what happened. You don't have to like it either.


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $A$ |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\mathbf{~}$ |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\mathbf{j}$ |  |

Both tables reached 3NT here, PD Times after a Precision 2 $\%$ opening manoeuvred South into being declarer, Pertamina from the North seat after an unspeakable no-trump opener and a $2 \&$ overcall majors by Feng.
Where Fu was declarer, a low diamond lead gave him a quick eighth trick, and when a heart to the king scored. declarer could claim his contract. In the other room Feng led a spade as East against 3NT, and declarer won the AK and fished a low diamond out from the board (yes, maybe he should have come to hand with a club and lead a heart up, a genuine line for nine tricks?). Li went into the tank for a long time. Rising with the $\forall A$ might be fatal if declarer had a 4-3-2-4 pattern with the same sort of hand, or necessary here. But unless declarer had opened 1NT with a singleton diamond rising with the $\vee$ A seemed unlikely to be necessary. Eventually Li ducked, and declarer claimed his contract a few seconds later. Exciting flat boards continued:

| Dealer: East | $\text { A } 6$ |  | West Karwu | North | East George | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 10 | - A Q J 6 |  |  |  | Pass | 1NT |
| Open Tms S/Fin 3/4* J 62 |  |  | Pass | 2* | Pass | $2 v$ |
| ^AQ1087 |  | AK9532 | 2A | Pass | Pass | 34 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 3 |  | - J 8 | Pass | Pass | 34 | All Pass |
| $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & \& \text { A } 1097 \end{aligned}$ |  | -7432 | Li | Parasian | Feng | Asbi |
|  |  | ¢ K 8 |  |  | Pass | 1NT |
|  | A J 4 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | $2 V$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 64 |  | 2^ | Dbl | Pass | 30 |
|  | -K108 |  | Pass | Pass | 34 | 4V // |

There was no defence to 3A, indeed Hinge as West made 4^ on a low club lead, when the club suit ruffed out as a discard for the heart loser. In the other room $4 V$ was also very close to making. Li led the AA and then after some cogitation over the significance of East's $\uparrow \mathbf{2}$ shifted to a low club to take the club ruff, necessary to defeat the contract. Well done - and just a loss of 1 imp . It was 47-41 at set end.
The final countdown: Ten deals to go, all to play for, Pertamina leading PD Times by 47-41. Pertamina doubled their lead on the first deal out.


In 4Ax Feng lost two spades, two hearts and a diamond. Down 300 would represent a reasonable position if 3NT were to come home. Fu played the no-trump game on a low diamond lead and won the $\downarrow$ to lead a heart
up. When East ducked Fu's VQ won and now if he had cashed \&A he would have known where West's entry was. When he gave the opponents too much respect and played a second heart back at once, the defenders won and cleared diamonds with the $\& \mathrm{~K}$ as the inevitable entry to the long diamonds. 8 imps to PD times, up 55-41.

| Dealer: West | - 104 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - AQ 1064 |
| Brd 12 | -10853 |
| Open Tms S/Fin 4/4* J 10 |  |
| A Q 93 |  |
| - J987 |  |
| - A 7 |  |
| \& A Q 87 |  |
|  | ^AKJ652 |
|  | $\checkmark 53$ |
|  | - 42 |
|  | \% 532 |

At both tables the auction started: 1NT-Pass-3NT. Fu doubled for a spade (shorter major?) lead, Asbi did not. I like Fu's position - I think you lose $4 / 6 \mathrm{imps}$ from time to time but gain much more than that at least one time in three. This was not one of those times: Fu ducked the spade lead round to the queen, and declarer then cashed the next nine winners for +650 . It was 61-41 now.

On the next deal George and Feng held in first seat with nobody vulnerable.

## ^AKQ1097 V8 8 \& 109862

Feng opened 1A and competed to 4^ over 4V, George passed initially (you don't have to believe it or like it them's the facts) then came in with 3A and sold out to $4 \checkmark$. $4 V$ made $420,4 \mathrm{Ax}$ bought the doubleton aj (good!) but the rest of dummy was a 2-4-5-2 Yarborough and clubs were 5-1, so all Feng could take were her spades. Down 800 and Pertamina had scored 24 imps without reply to lead by 30.


With Chen's hand I might have tried $3 \uparrow$ over $3 \propto$ but I don't think the result would have been any different. With South declarer in $5{ }^{\circ}$ the heart lead from West doomed the contract immediately. In the other room a natural club saw North invite game with 2NT at his second turn, and Feng did very well to lead a heart. Had she led $¥ J$ I assume Li would not have overtaken - which might have given declarer insuperable problems? She led $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and then played $\vee \mathrm{J}$, overtaken by Li and ducked. Li shifted to $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ rather than a low diamond, making declarer's decision to win and cross to a spade to clear clubs an easy one. 12 more imps made it 36-0.

That was the end of the serious action on the set. Each team picked up a partscore, and Pertamina won the set 42-5 to cap a remarkable comeback from 29 imps down with eighteen deals to go to win by 43.

## FAST START PROPELS NOVICE TEAMS WINNERS

Brent Manley

Three players from Brisbane and another from Melbourne started strongly in an action-filled Novice Teams final, winning 138.1 to 106 over a foursome from Mount Tamborine.

The winners are Justine Wlodarczyk, Bronnwyn White, Margaret Teitzel - all from Brisbane - and Caroline Marshall of Melbourne.

The runners-up are Denise Merrin, Mary Smith, Joanne Evans and Sheena Pollock. The team came back in the second half of the match and won by 20 IMPs in the fourth quarter, but it was not enough.

The winners actually trailed by 11 IMPs after the first board.

| Dealer: North | A K 97 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - A 1095 |  | Merrin | Wlodarczyk | Smith | White |  |
| Brd 1 | - Q 53 |  |  | 1NT | Pass | 2NT |  |
| Novice Tms Fi | \& AK 6 |  | Pass | 3NT | All Pa |  |  |
| A 1032 |  | A Q J 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Q76 |  | -K42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 9 |  | - A 76 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& Q 8732 |  | \& J 95 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | A A 85 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |
|  | - J 83 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K10842 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 104 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |

Smith started with the $\uparrow 4$. Wlodarczyk played low from dummy and took Merrin's 10 with the king. The $\downarrow \mathbf{Q}$ was next, Smith ducking smoothly. She played low again when Wlodarczyk tried a low diamond from hand. Wlodarczyk made the reasonable play of putting in the 10, but Merrin won the jack and returned a spade. Wlodarczyk won the $\uparrow A$ and tried a heart to the 10 , but Smith took the king, cashed two spades and the $\star A$ before switching to the J . Wlodarczyk finished three down for minus 150.

At the other table, Evans got the same lead but managed the diamond suit more successfully to emerge with nine tricks and plus 400 for the first big swing of the match.

The Wlodarczyk team came right back on the second deal with a swing of their own when 4^ by Smith fell short by a trick for minus 50 while Teitzel made it at the other table for plus 420 . That was 10 IMPs to the Wlodarczyk team.
The score was 11-10 for the Merrin squad when board 6 came along. At the other table, Marshall and Teitzel reached $4 \uparrow$ and managed 11 tricks for plus 650 . Merrin and Smith, however, got only to the three level. That was 10 IMPs to the opponents. Another double-digit swing was in the offing for the Wlodarczyk team on board 7.

| Dealer: South | A 3 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -107654 |  | Merrin | Wlodarczyk | Smith | White |
| Brd 7 | - J 1054 |  |  |  |  | Pass |
| Novice Tms Fin 1/4 | \&987 |  | 1\% | Pass | 19 | 1A |
| - K Q 2 |  | AJ54 | 1NT | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| - J 92 |  | - AK 83 | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| - AK 8 |  | - Q 2 | 54 | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |
| \& 10653 |  | ¢ $\mathrm{AK} \mathrm{J}^{2}$ |  | Makeab | Con | acts |
|  | A A 109876 |  | 6 | - | 6 | NT |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q |  | 3 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | -9763 |  | 5 | - | 5 | $\nabla$ |
|  | \& Q 4 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - * |
|  |  |  | 5 | - | 5 | $\%$ |

Evans and Pollock bid to 3NT, making 10 tricks for plus 630. White and Merrin were more ambitious.
The notrump slam can actually be made, but it requires some against-the-odds play. Merrin made one good guess but not a second. Wlodarczyk led her singleton spade, taken by White with the ace. Merrin won the spade return in hand and considered her chances. After some thought, she played a club to the ace and followed with the king. The sight of the \&Q was welcome, but she still had only 11 tricks. After cashing the clubs, ending in hand, Merrin tried the $¥ J$, perhaps in hopes that North had the queen and 10, in which case North would certainly cover, allowing Merrin to later finesse against the 10 . When the jack lost to the queen, Merrin was down and the Wlodarczyk team had a 12-IMP gain.
Another 9 IMPs went to Wlodarczyk when the captain was allowed to play in 1 at one table, going off one, when the opponents were on for $4 \vee$, which was bid at the other table. The set finished with the Wlodarczyk team ahead 41-11.

In the second set, the Wlodarczyk team bid game at both tables: $5 *$ by North, making six, for plus 420 and 5 by West, making for plus 450 . That meant 13 more IMPs to the Wlodarczyk squad.

Near the end of the second set, the Merrin squad engineered a swing with some aggressive bidding while East-West at the other table played an awkward 4A contract on a 5-1 fit.


White led the \&Q, taken by Wlodarczyk with the ace for a switch to the 19 . Smith couldn’t tell how many spades her partner had, but she didn't want to play the ace and set up dummy's two spade honors, so she played low. Smith took the spade in dummy, played the | A and a diamond to her hand, then played a low |
| :---: | heart. South's king popped up, but Smith still had a loser in the suit because of the 4-1 split. Fortunately, she could discard dummy's low heart on the $\%$ K. That was plus 600.

At the other table, Marshall and Teitzel got mixed up in the bidding and landed in 4a by West. Marshall did well to finish at one down, but it was still a 12-IMP loss.
The Merrin team started the second half of the match with a bang when Evans and Pollock bid and made 6NT at their table on the first board while Wlodarczyk and White were stopping in 3NT, making with an overtrick for plus 430 . That was 12 IMPs to the trailing team.

Wlodarczyk hit back on board 4.

| Dealer: West | A K 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - 962 |  |
| Brd 4 | - A 532 |  |
| Novice Tms F | \& K 96 |  |
| A A Q 2 |  | A 10965 |
| $\bullet 1075$ |  | - K Q J 84 |
| -8764 |  | -109 |
| \& 1032 |  | \& J 5 |
|  | A J 843 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 3 |  |
|  | - K Q |  |
|  | \& A Q 874 |  |


| West <br> Merrin | North <br> Wlodarczyk | East <br> Smith | South <br> White |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Pass | 1 | Pass | 1A |  |
| Pass | 1NT | Pass | 3NT |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| - | 3 | - | NT |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 |  |

Wlodarczyk had loads of tricks with both minors breaking favorably and the AA in front of the king. Wlodarczyk finished with 11 tricks for plus 660. At the other table, Evans and Pollock didn't get past 3\%, making with an overtrick for plus 130. It was an 11-IMP loss.
On board 7, Merrin and Smith were at it again, bidding aggressively to $5 \star$, which was another maker for plus 600 while the game was missed at the other table. That was 10 more IMPs to the Merrin team.
The swings helped the Merrin team compete more effectively in the set three, losing by just $42-40$. The team staged a rally in the fourth set, outscoring their opponents $36-16$ with three big swings, including this one.

| Dealer: South Vul: E-W |  |  | West Merrin | North Wlodarczyk | East <br> Smith | South White |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 19 | - A 10 |  |  |  |  | Pass |  |
| Novice Tms Fin 4/4 | \&J8654 |  | Pass | Pass | 14 | Pass |  |
| A 742 |  | A AK 1095 | 2A | Pass | 34 | Pass |  |
| - A 1064 |  | $\checkmark$ K | 4^ | All Pass |  |  |  |
| -K864 |  | - 752 |  | Makeab | Con | acts |  |
| ¢ Q 3 |  | ¢ A K 102 | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  | A Q J 63 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  | - J 32 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q J 93 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
|  | ¢97 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{*}$ |

It's important to bid vulnerable games at IMPs, even if they aren't ironclad. When Smith made her invitation, Merrin was happy to accept. A communication glitch on defense allowed the contract to make for plus 620, a 10-IMP gain because the contract at the other table was $2 \uparrow$, making three for plus 140.

The Merrin team didn't win, but they showed they have grit and determination, finishing with a strong showing. Their team motto might well be "Wait 'til next year!"

OUR FRIDAY WINNERS


## CRYPTIC SOLUTIONS

1. North or west-going precedes the opening lead in King and Queen (10) Ans: RON KLINGER
2. Leads Spades and responds: "thanks". Holds a Jack but not a King (10) Ans: SARTAJ HANS
3. "D" (10) Ans: DAVID STERN
4. He misdealt lemon goulash (15) Ans: ISHMAEL DELMONTE
5. They cover reset bulls essentially (12) Ans: THERESE TULLY
6. He rules like a drunken duck! (11) Ans: LAURIE KELSO
7. The English police gates, perhaps (12) Ans: BOBBY RICHMAN
8. Mister troubled bridge opponents! (8) Ans: TIM SERES
9. Potter, maybe, around hesitation times (11) Ans: GUMBY LAZER
10. Sultanate interlaced with dungeon (10) Extra 1-word clue: Sailor, loud and quiet tenant (3,9) Ans: BRUCE NEILL (Extra clue: ABF PRESIDENT)
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diamonds, needs to find East's entry.

There was no attractive switch and West chose the $\& 10$. That allowed South to collect five club tricks and five heart tricks for +630 . Had West
 switched to a spade, East could win and either cash the spades and switch to diamonds or shift to diamonds at once. Either way the defenders would collect ten tricks instead of declarer.

A useful tip: When dummy turns up with a singleton in the suit led, use a suit-preference signal regardless of your normal signalling agreements when an ace is led. Here the $\downarrow 2$ asks for a club switch and denies any interest in diamonds.

## BRIDGE HOLIDAYS WITH SUZIE AND RON KLINGER IN 2015

Tuesday May 5 to
Tuesday May 12

Sunday July 5, to
Sunday July 12
Wednesday August 5 to
Saturday August 22

Monday November 16 to
Monday November 23

Kangaroo Island - Includes daily bridge workshops and duplicates, plus visits to Seal Bay, Remarkable Rocks, Admirals Arch, sheep dairy, honey farm and others.

Tangalooma Wild Dolphin Resort - Moreton Island, off Brisbane, includes Whale Watch cruise and Island Tour.

An intimate luxury Mediterranean cruise on Silversea's Silver Wind commencing Monte Carlo, Monaco, to Civitavecchia, Rome. Ports include St Tropez and Marseille, France, Alcudia, Valencia, Barcelona, Palma De Mallorca and Ibiza Spain, then Sardinia and Portofina, Florence, Italy, finishing at Civitavecchia (Rome).

## Norfolk Island

TELEPHONE: (02) 99585589 OR 0411229705 EMAIL: SUZIE@RONKLINGERBRIDGE.COM Brochures available on request - HOLIDAY BRIDGE, P.O. BOX 140, NORTHBRIDGE NSW 1560


NEED TRANSPORT HOME FROM THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS? OR DO YOU WANT TO SHARE A TAXI TO THE AIRPORT

If you are looking for a "ride" home after the tournament you really should visit the travel desk outside the Administration Office where players can exchange offers of a ride with people needing one.

## TBIB INSURANCES

Come and visit the TBIB stand in the foyer to discuss all your insurance needs including Travel Insurances Renewals - enter the Lucky Door prize. Our team will be present an hour before \& after play each day.

## CHOCOLATE FROG AWARD

Julian Foster nominated Graeme Wakefield: In the Ivy Dahler Swiss Pairs match 3 board 21 (pair 65 v pair 57). Julian opened 1NT as North and Graeme bid 2 systemically showing a single suiter. His partner alerted it and (mis)described it as both majors. His partner proceeded to bid 3NT and Graeme showed his active ethics and passed. Three notrumps went three down, though as it happens 4A also fails - but passing is clearly the ethical action.

## A NOTE IN THE BULLETIN BOX

In the spirit of honest reporting we advise that the following note has been received from Arthur Bennett and we leave it to you to decide whether he is serious, joking or simply being provocative.
David, We note that in today's Bulletin the front page was a picture of all the "INTERNATIONAL" players complete with the word "welcome" in all their languages. SADLY where was the word Kia Ora in the NZ language and where was the mention of us Kiwi Internationals? Don't be bitter about the NZ Breakers thrashing Adelaide nor the probable (Ed: haha) outcome of the cricket. BUT please make a proper recompense by inviting all Kiwis (including those resident in Oz and including Kiwi directors) to all come to the front foyer for a group photo!! Thank you Arthur Bennett, proudly KIWI. A lot of possible comments spring to mind but then so does my love of working on this Bulletin.

## YOUR SMILING HELPFUL STAFF



## BARRY'S PROBLEMS



Barry Rigal

Today's deal is all about counting. See if you can match declarer's effort from the finals of a recent US trials.

Roger Bates declared a game that would have been impossible to make on a heart lead. However, on a diamond lead and heart shift (a trump switch would have worked better, but one can understand East's play) Bates was still in with a chance. How did he play?


#### Abstract

ANSWER I thought Roger Bates handled his delicate game contract very carefully, finding a fine line of play in his contract of four spades. His partner Ralph Katz thought the three spade call was forcing -- as would I -- so maybe the South hand is not worth more than a bid of two spades at the second turn after the initial double.


After a diamond lead and heart shift Bates went up with the heart ace and played a club, and West took his ace and tried two more rounds of hearts. Bates ruffed, led the diamond king and ruffed a diamond, then cashed the club king and observed the fall of the queen from East. Assuming this to be a true card, East was known to hold three hearts, four diamonds, and apparently only two clubs, and thus must have four spades. Accordingly, a single finesse in spades would not bring the suit home safely, and the only legitimate chance was to find West with the singleton spade queen.
So Bates played a trump to the ace, and was rewarded by seeing the queen fall, to bring his contract home.

## COMMON ERRORS AND HOW TO AVOID THEM

Brent Manley

This happened at a club game. West opened 1*, East bid 17. West rebid 2NT and East bid 366, Alerted by West, who then bid 3NT. When asked the meaning of the Alert, West said it was a natural bid but her partner might have meant something different. The opponent said a natural bid didn't need an Alert. Said West: "Well, / Alerted in case I shouldn't have."

## COVERING (OR FAILING TO COVER) HONORS

I played with a good intermediate player for a couple of years and we had some good games. She had decent technical skills, but for some reason she was loath to cover honours in certain situations, notably when declarer played the jack toward the A-Q in dummy. Just about every time it came up, I was sitting there with three to the 10 in the suit.

When I commented, she said, "But what if he has the 10?" In that case, there's nothing to be done. Declarer will win the ace, queen and 10 in the suit.

Yes, declarer made a bad play by tabling the jack. If declarer played low to the queen and my partner started with a doubleton king, there would be three tricks available. Playing the jack would compress them to two.
If you want to succeed at this game, you must learn when it's right to cover and when it's not. There are occasions when it's not right to cover. Here's are a couple of them:
Dummy has Q-J-9 and you hold three to the king. When declarer calls for dummy's queen, you should play low. Do you see why?
(1) If you cover the queen, declarer will win the ace and then have a finessing position against partner's 10. If declarer has the 10, it won't matter when you cover. If you play low and declarer does not have the 10, he might play the jack next, hoping that your partner started with a doubleton 10. In that case, it would go jack, king, ace, 10 and dummy's 9 would be good. If partner's 10 was not doubleton, it will be promoted to a trick if
declarer plays the jack next and you cover. If you have the doubleton K-10, you must cover and hope that declarer finesses your partner for the 10.
(2) Dummy has A-5-4-3 and you hold Q-9-6. Declarer plays the jack, possibly fishing for the queen with a holding of $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{J}-10-\mathrm{x}$ in hand. If you play low smoothly, declarer may go up with the ace and play your partner for the queen. If, however, declarer's holding is something like $\mathrm{J}-10-8-2$, you must play low to assure your side of two tricks in the suit.

Say you cover the jack with the queen. Declarer wins the ace and plays a low diamond from dummy. Your partner, holding doubleton king and must play it. Now declarer's $\$ 10$ is good. You win one trick.
If you play low on the lead of the jack, partner will win the king and you will have the Q-9 remaining. When declarer leads the suit again. Your 9 will knock out the ace and your queen will be good.
Here's a card combination that many new players overlook. Say you are in 4 $\boldsymbol{A}$ and have lost three tricks but have no other losers outside of trumps. You are in dummy for the last time and have this trump holding:
AJ 943
AA Q 102
You cannot afford a loser in this suit. How will you play it?
Obviously, the king must be on your right. You can protect against four to the king by starting with the 9 . It holds and you are still in dummy. Now you can play the jack and let it run if RHO plays low. You are still in dummy and can finish off the suit by playing low to the queen. If RHO covers the 9 or jack, your high spades will take the rest. It doesn't work to play the jack first. If you don't unblock the 10, you will be in hand after a second finesse and the 4-1 break will beat you. If you unblock the 10, East will certainly take a trick because you will be stuck in your hand or RHO will cover the 9 to assure himself of a trump trick.

## SIMPLE STAYMAN WITH 5-4 IN THE MAJORS \& GAME FORCE Andy Hung

Partner opens 1NT and you have 5-4 or 4-5 in the majors with enough values for game. How do you treat such hands?

One possible solution is to transfer to the 5-card Major suit and bid your other Major suit. The problem with this however is that responder might end up as the declarer with the 1NT opener's hand on the table.
For example, if the auction goes 1 NT- $2 \downarrow ; 2 \vee-2 \uparrow$ and a $4-4$ spade fit exists, responder will be the one declaring the contract. Rightsiding the contract may not be an issue for you, but it is certainly an issue that you shouldn't ignore.
So is there a better method? Well, if you play Simple Stayman, then the solution is quite simple. When responder has enough for game and holds 5-4 in the Major, you should simply go via 2\& Simple Stayman.
If partner responds 2-Major, you're happy as you can raise to 4-Major. And if partner responds $2 \leqslant$ saying no 4card Major, you can now jump to your 4-card Major at the 3-level, to say that you have 5 -cards in the otherMajor, and enough values for game.

1NT 2\&
2. 3 Showing 4V and 5A's, Game-force

34 Showing 4A and 5V's, Game-force
The reason why you jump to your 4-card Major is so you can right-side the contract if a 5-3 fit exists in the other Major. Since opener has already denied a 4 -card major with the $2 \star$ response, you can safely jump to your 4-card Major knowing that you won't have a 4-4 fit in that suit.
This solution is also known as Smolen, a convention that is frequently used as an extension of Simple Stayman.

Playing Smolen frees up the sequences of 1NT-2 ; 2V-2ヘ and 1NT-2V;2N-3V. What can you use them for? Whatever you and your partner want, but one suggestion might be that you can use the former auction to show 5 4 and an invitational hand, and the latter auction to show $5+\boldsymbol{A}$ and $5+\boldsymbol{\square}$ and a game-forcing hand.

## Extended Smolen

In the discussion above we looked at how to show 5-4 Majors with game-forcing values opposite a 1NT opening. This was via three of a major Smolen bids, as shown in the table below:

We can now extend this even further. Instead of $3 \boldsymbol{V}$ or $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ Smolen, what does it mean if responder jumps to


Both $4 \boldsymbol{V}$ and $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ look like they are to play, and because they bypass $3 N T$, they should therefore imply a six card major. Since responder went via $2 \&$ Stayman, this must imply that responder is $6-4$ in the majors. In other


Similarly, 4* and 4 can be used as a delayed Texas transfer, also showing 6-4 in the majors. That is, 4* shows $6 \boldsymbol{V}$ and $4 \uparrow$ and $4 \diamond$ shows $6 \uparrow$ and $4 V$ (the lower minor suit shows the longer lower major suit). Since there is an overlap between $4 \boldsymbol{\$} / 4$ and $4 \boldsymbol{V} / 4 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$, you can now split your ranges.
1NT 2\& Showing Simple Stayman,
2
$2 \star=$ No Major
3V Showing 4V and 5a, Game-force
3^ Showing 4^ and 5 4 , Game-force
4* Showing 6V and 4^, Slam try
4* Showing 6ヘ and 4 , Slam try
40 Showing 6V and 44, To play
4^ Showing 6^ and 4V, To play
It is correct for the slam try hands to be put within the $4 \boldsymbol{*} / 4 \diamond$ bids, because $4 \boldsymbol{*}$ and $4 \diamond$ both allow space for opener to make a 'noise' to suggest a bit of interest (e.g. the 4\& bid allows opener to bid $4 \diamond$ ).
The above structure can be beneficial as it allows you to locate your 4-4 fit first, before your 6-2 or 6-3 fit, and a 4-4 fit might be able to generate additional trick(s).
However, don't forget to use your judgement. If your four-card major suit is quite weak, it might be better to play in your six-card suit (i.e. don't bother with $2 ⿷$ to find that $4-4$ fit): a weak $4-4$ fit may prove to be difficult to play in when faced with a bad trump break.

## Opener's Continuation Over Smolen

With no fit for responder's longer major, opener bids 3NT. With a fit and a minimum opener retreats to four of partner's longer major. Other actions are cuebids agreeing responder's longer suit.

## A FOUR OR SIX HAND?

## Barry Rigal

This was Ron Klinger's witty description for the deal - which might feature a candidate for a Golden Frog award under the category of worst enforcement of the Laws. Ron also went to great lengths to point out that his team was not involved.

| Dealer: East | A A 109874 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark$ AQ 8 |
| Brd 2 | - 6 <br> * Q 102 |
| AJ <br> -K1093 <br> - QJ987532 |  |
|  | AKQ532 <br> $\checkmark 74$ <br> - A 4 <br> \&J 954 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 6 \\ & \bullet J 652 \end{aligned}$ | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K 10 | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| \& AK 8763 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | - | 4 | - | 5 | 4 |
|  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

A 6
-J652
K 10
*AK 8763

A tangled auction led to a contract of $5 \mathrm{~A} x$ x by South. 11 tricks were made at every table, except our hero's.
At this table East led the club ace out of turn, giving declarer the option as to what he could do. From the five options including:

- Accepting the lead, spreading his hand and become dummy
- Accept the lead; his partner tables his hand and becomes dummy with the lead going round towards dummy
- Insisting on a club lead from West
- Prohibiting a club lead from West
- Allow any lead and the \&A becomes a penalty card to be played at the first legal opportunity.

Had he made the club ace a penalty card, East would have been forced to discard that card on the run of the trumps allowing declarer to lose just one trick and making six.

South's actual choice was to accept the club lead, and now the defenders took their two club winners and the spade ruff for down one.

| Ivy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Pair | Names | Total | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| 1 | 5 | Kieran Dyke - Jane Dawson | 106.23 | 128 | 99 | Steven White - Christina Macquarrie | 69.04 |
| 2 | 33 | Helena Dawson - Richard Douglas | 101.31 | 129 | 236 | Barbara Daly - Lyn Mansfield | 68.86 |
| 3 | 219 | Anna St Clair - Dee Harley | 101.16 | 130 | 130 | Graham Rusher - Stephen Stening | 68.83 |
| 4 | 135 | Jeannette Collins - Peter Kahler | 101.12 | 131 | 181 | Merle Bogatie - Tony Berger | 68.49 |
| 5 | 129 | Anthony Burke - Peter Gill | 100.48 | 132 | 96 | Tim Healy - Helen Healy | 68.22 |
| 6 | 49 | Joan Butts - Geo Tislevoll | 99.45 | 133 | 3 | Ishmael Del'Monte - Ross Harper | 68.05 |
| 7 | 1 | Pauline Gumby - Warren Lazer | 99.38 | 133 | 224 | Sue Spencer - Bev Guilford | 68.05 |
| 8 | 127 | lan Lisle - Vicky Lisle | 98.39 | 135 | 161 | Kuldip Bedi - Helen Milward | 68.02 |
| 9 | 95 | Brett Glass - Richard Carter | 96.09 | 136 | 179 | Ken Storr - Phaik Yao | 67.90 |
| 10 | 189 | Ursula Harper - Justin Howard | 94.36 | 137 | 154 | Barbara Hospers - Gladys Tulloch | 67.89 |
| 11 | 159 | Sally Clarke - Garry Clarke | 93.99 | 138 | 37 | Phil Cheney - David Black | 67.81 |
| 12 | 234 | Judy Johnson - Joan Mccarthy | 93.09 | 138 | 43 | Ken Wiks - Rosalie Broughton | 67.81 |
| 13 | 67 | Herve Cheval - Gilles Josnin | 92.43 | 140 | 50 | Andrew Woollons - Richard Fox | 67.67 |
| 14 | 249 | Betty Hobdell - Rosemary Glastonbury | 91.41 | 141 | 79 | Alister Stuck - Tony Lenart | 67.57 |
| 15 | 221 | Michael Chen - Charlie Lu | 91.27 | 142 | 166 | Keith Long - Robin Steinhardt | 67.54 |
| 16 | 187 | David Wurth - David Fryda | 91.25 | 143 | 215 | Gabor Fleiszig - Janina Fleiszig | 67.43 |
| 17 | 125 | Jeanette Abrams - Helen Stewart | 90.31 | 144 | 94 | Paul Kron - Les Ajzner | 67.24 |
| 18 | 209 | Ann Paton - Lech Kaszubski | 90.03 | 145 | 26 | Trish Thatcher - Amber Noonan | 67.23 |
| 19 | 15 | Nicoleta Giura - Nick Hughes | 89.79 | 146 | 24 | Robert Wylie - Merleine Wylie | 67.20 |
| 20 | 80 | Steve Baron - Moss Wylie | 89.66 | 147 | 203 | Mary Allison - Margaret Yuill | 66.97 |
| 21 | 19 | Rajeev Khandelwal - Himani Khandelwal | 88.82 | 148 | 12 | Carmel Bourke - Patricia Lacey | 66.79 |
| 22 | 55 | Kerrin Daws - Jennifer Savage | 88.51 | 149 | 7 | Annette Maluish - Hugh Grosvenor | 66.76 |
| 23 | 100 | Lorraine Inglis - Judy Plimmer | 87.80 | 150 | 248 | Mairi Fitzsimons - Brian Fitzsimons | 66.73 |
| 24 | 213 | Robert Stewart - Alex Czapnik | 87.78 | 151 | 70 | Janet Munro - Rebecca Poon | 66.69 |
| 25 | 155 | Frances Lyons - Heather Cusworth | 87.71 | 152 | 124 | Naomi Hannah-Brown - Priscilla Bloy | 66.67 |
| 26 | 175 | Leigh Foran - Theo Mangos | 87.69 | 153 | 22 | Krystyna Homik - Jane Swanson | 66.64 |
| 27 | 169 | Carola Hoogervorst - Andre Korenhof | 87.40 | 154 | 35 | Paul Collins - Sue O'Brien | 66.03 |
| 28 | 51 | Larry Moses - John Gough | 87.15 | 155 | 48 | Darrell Williams - Jackie Williams | 65.97 |
| 29 | 218 | Peter Bach - Setsuko Lichtnecker | 86.95 | 156 | 242 | Eileen Gray - Patricia Knight | 65.70 |
| 30 | 91 | Pam Schoen - Phil Hale | 86.67 | 157 | 128 | Lex Bourke - Pepe Schwegler | 65.29 |
| 31 | 132 | Peter Evans - Tony Treloar | 86.36 | 158 | 46 | Paul Cruickshank - Winston Guymer | 65.23 |
| 32 | 25 | Patrick Carter - Julie Atkinson | 85.86 | 159 | 216 | Kate Terry - Maryellen Newton | 65.14 |
| 33 | 133 | Nicky Strasser - George Bilski | 85.49 | 160 | 148 | Patricia Pepper - Carolyn Seymour | 65.06 |
| 34 | 173 | Charles Howard - Kerry Wood | 85.47 | 161 | 120 | Chris Turner - lan Brash | 65.03 |
| 35 | 109 | Judith Gaspar - Pablo Lambardi | 85.46 | 162 | 77 | Peter Andersson - Ashok Tulpule | 64.89 |
| 36 | 222 | Claire Woodhall - Noel Woodhall | 85.39 | 163 | 225 | Malcolm Allan - Diana Stewart | 64.65 |
| 37 | 250 | Michael Neels - John Kelly | 85.31 | 164 | 254 | Ben Ridler - Roger Cael | 64.60 |
| 38 | 72 | Barry Rawicki - Simon Rose | 85.05 | 165 | 27 | Tom Strong - Edda Strong | 64.59 |
| 39 | 165 | Barry Jones - Jenny Millington | 84.9 | 166 | 233 | Vicki Taylor - Betty Mill | 64.36 |
| 40 | 243 | Andrew Struik - Nikki Riszko | 84.57 | 167 | 142 | Elizabeth Zeller - Alison Dawson | 64.32 |
| 41 | 201 | Jan Hackett - Tom Hackett | 84.54 | 168 | 226 | Kevin Petrie - Allayne Gray | 64.21 |
| 42 | 121 | Stephen Gray - Lindsey Guy | 84.53 | 169 | 241 | Mary Waterhouse - Gizella Mickevics | 64.20 |
| 43 | 39 | Pam Morgan-King - Leigh Thompson | 84.15 | 170 | 197 | Ann Mellings - Heather Flanders | 64.16 |
| 44 | 211 | Denis Grahame - Jeanette Grahame | 84.09 | 171 | 75 | Andrew Hooper - Pippa Hooper | 64.08 |
| 45 | 93 | Paul Weaver - Terry Bodycote | 84.08 | 172 | 61 | Monica Darley - Kath Poole | 63.91 |


| Rank | Pair | Names | Total | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 36 | Margaret Pisko - Trish Anagnostou | 83.85 | 173 | 136 | Annette Corkhill - Byron Longford | 63.88 |
| 47 | 245 | Rochelle Pelkman - Rebecca Wood | 83.83 | 174 | 194 | George Powis - Patricia Powis | 63.38 |
| 48 | 65 | Julian Foster - Jenna Gibbons | 83.77 | 175 | 116 | Sue Brown - Robert Brown | 63.03 |
| 49 | 97 | Geoffrey Thomas - Nikolas Moore | 83.58 | 176 | 34 | Sue Moffitt - Ken Moffitt | 62.72 |
| 50 | 195 | Tania Gariepy - Noel Grigg | 83.39 | 177 | 40 | Frank Vearing - Jo-Anne Heywood | 62.68 |
| 51 | 119 | Noel Bugeia - Val Holbrook | 83.11 | 178 | 168 | Lynn Baker - Yvonne Perkins | 62.41 |
| 52 | 185 | Joyce O'Brien - lan Brookes | 82.80 | 179 | 176 | Cherie Butler - Marion Bucens | 62.31 |
| 53 | 30 | Hugh Mcalister - Diana Mcalister | 82.49 | 180 | 16 | Ros Warnock - Valerie Isle | 62.27 |
| 54 | 85 | Nick Hardy - Jenny Adey | 82.03 | 181 | 207 | Bill Nash - Alex Mcauley | 62.18 |
| 55 | 229 | Richard Touton - Ryan Touton | 81.98 | 182 | 114 | Barbara Gordon - Janice Quigley | 62.02 |
| 56 | 105 | Jane North - Helen Wilson | 81.78 | 183 | 174 | Bill Lockwood - Alex Bremner | 61.43 |
| 57 | 88 | Darryl Almeida - Myra Katz | 81.39 | 184 | 140 | John Kable - Kay Milne | 61.36 |
| 58 | 92 | Alan Dormer - Anthony Hopkins | 81.22 | 185 | 56 | David O'Gorman - Julie Jeffries | 61.04 |
| 59 | 171 | Ronald Smith - Anne Small | 81.21 | 186 | 102 | Kees De Vocht - Jenny Carr | 60.94 |
| 60 | 74 | Cassie Morin - Helen Arendts | 81.10 | 187 | 68 | Megan Sutherland - Gerald Dawson | 60.86 |
| 61 | 199 | Frank Kovacs - David Mcrae | 80.80 | 188 | 246 | Arthur Bennett - Gillian Bennett | 60.78 |
| 62 | 108 | Carol De Luca - Bev Henton | 80.60 | 189 | 178 | John Sear - Sue Robinson | 60.71 |
| 63 | 160 | Chris Fernando - Bert Romeijn | 80.49 | 190 | 107 | Max Wigbout - Joan Waldvogel | 60.64 |
| 64 | 63 | Michael Johnson - Michael Simes | 80.21 | 191 | 89 | Joan Dawson - Barbara Pigdon | 60.30 |
| 65 | 223 | Yuzhong Chen - Gary Foidl | 80.11 | 191 | 244 | Dianne Benvie - Christina Mander | 60.30 |
| 66 | 172 | John Donovan - Perelle Scales | 79.99 | 193 | 196 | Diane Nichols - Carole Hamilton | 60.26 |
| 67 | 106 | Anita Thirtle - Alan Geare | 79.51 | 194 | 2 | Val Churchill - Helga Corbett | 60.09 |
| 68 | 53 | Adam Rutkowski - Judy Marks | 79.40 | 195 | 182 | Jack Rohde - Lex Ranke | 59.98 |
| 69 | 139 | Julia Hoffman - Noelene Law | 79.28 | 196 | 204 | Joan Leckie - Margaret Williamson | 59.89 |
| 70 | 126 | Deborah Cooper - Bruce Batchelor | 79.08 | 197 | 188 | Jean Barbour - Greg Nicholson | 59.82 |
| 71 | 147 | Robert Milward - Richard Misior | 78.78 | 198 | 58 | Dale Wells - Ian Barfoot | 59.63 |
| 72 | 11 | Lusje Bojoh - Julita Tueje | 78.76 | 199 | 82 | Alan Boyce - Monica Pritchard | 59.57 |
| 73 | 54 | Tere Wotherspoon - Christine Leivers | 78.74 | 200 | 83 | Mary Purchase - Barbara Coxon | 59.51 |
| 74 | 180 | Helen Kite - Helen Rollond | 78.23 | 201 | 192 | Fiona Hickey - Alaine Macmorran | 59.26 |
| 75 | 81 | Neil Gibson - Elizabeth Gibson | 77.88 | 202 | 163 | Catherine Lachman - Emlyn Williams | 59.12 |
| 76 | 230 | Rosemary Matskows - Rhondda Sweetman | 77.37 | 203 | 112 | Mark Janor - Kim Prescott | 58.81 |
| 77 | 31 | Anne Somerville - Geoff Eyles | 77.13 | 204 | 20 | Peter Nilsson - Deborah Nilsson | 58.70 |
| 78 | 137 | Meta Goodman - Tony Jackman | 77.10 | 204 | 237 | Harold Orsborn - Margaret Orsborn | 58.70 |
| 79 | 113 | Errol Miller - Dorothy Gehrke | 77.08 | 206 | 151 | Sharon Mayo - Greg Mayo | 58.49 |
| 80 | 23 | Elli Urbach - Ferenc Budai | 76.83 | 207 | 59 | Chris Williams - lan Lincoln | 58.12 |
| 80 | 205 | Rick Rhodes - Maura Rhodes | 76.83 | 208 | 64 | Frank Campbell - John Sheean | 58.10 |
| 82 | 44 | Carol Wilson - Laurie-Mar Mcroberts | 76.56 | 208 | 10 | Marion Jefferson - Dave Parham | 58.10 |
| 83 | 149 | Ann Ohlsen - Pauline Hammond | 76.26 | 210 | 134 | Jenny Rose - George Fleischer | 57.87 |
| 84 | 76 | John Rogers - Margaret Rogers | 76.08 | 211 | 214 | Jenny Wilson - Alan Doddridge | 57.74 |
| 85 | 69 | Arch Morrison - John Morris | 76.02 | 212 | 62 | Paul Brake - Margaret Chesser | 57.32 |
| 86 | 101 | Marie Purkiss - Toni Dixon | 75.5 | 213 | 14 | Gwen Cordingley - Desma Sampson | 57.17 |
| 87 | 210 | Julian Gauld - John Clarson | 75.29 | 214 | 138 | Leeron Branicki - Susie Hawkins | 56.94 |
| 88 | 131 | Toni Sharp - Marilyn Chadwick | 75.26 | 215 | 152 | Les Bonnick - Jocelyn Cooper | 56.74 |
| 89 | 253 | Christine Houghton - Wayne Houghton | 75.16 | 216 | 183 | Marian Obenchain - Kathy Yang | 56.24 |
| 90 | 29 | Eva Samuel - Jeff Fust | 75.09 | 217 | 32 | Kay Arbuckle - Lyn Walsh | 56.2 |
| 91 | 118 | Lucie Armstrong - Rua Freeborn | 75.05 | 218 | 122 | Stephen Goodman - Bruce Inglis | 55.74 |
| 92 | 45 | Roger Weathered - Lou Tillotson | 75.02 | 219 | 186 | John Mcilrath - James Ward | 55.68 |
| 93 | 202 | Neil Strutton - Helen Chamberlin | 74.71 | 220 | 84 | Jenny Hoff - Kay Leeton | 55.32 |
| 94 | 157 | Ranjit Limaye - Michael Draper | 74.42 | 221 | 231 | Jeff Carberry - Kaye Hart | 54.81 |
| 95 | 41 | John Luck - Ivy Luck | 74.4 | 222 | 184 | Edgar Beckett - Janice Beckett | 54.73 |
| 96 | 87 | Alan Glasson - Kathie Radcliffe | 73.99 | 223 | 6 | Barbara Grant - Louis Koolen | 54.20 |
| 97 | 9 | Murray Perrin - Neville Francis | 73.98 | 224 | 232 | Pat Oyston - Martin Oyston | 54.03 |
| 98 | 21 | Tony Ong - Denise Mckinnon | 73.90 | 225 | 190 | Noriko Nishigami - Trevor Dwerryhouse | 53.95 |
| 99 | 217 | Bastian Bolt - Rita Kahn | 73.62 | 226 | 193 | Michael Gearing - John Newman | 53.24 |
| 100 | 123 | Peter Livesey - Roger Thomas | 73.50 | 227 | 98 | Johan Roose - Judith Roose-Driver | 53.10 |
| 101 | 235 | Lisa Ma - Kim Frazer | 73.41 | 228 | 18 | Carolyn Leach - Sandy Leach | 53.05 |
| 102 | 111 | Gwendolyn Gray Jamieson - Val Hopwood | 73.14 | 229 | 227 | Denise Keenan - Dawn Swabey | 52.83 |


| Rank | Pair |  | Names | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 103 | 104 | Marina Darling - Bob Mcphee | 73.07 | 230 | 38 | Janelle Conroy - Freda Hadwen | 52.77 |
| 104 | 42 | Pat Beattie - Lea Woolf | 72.61 | 231 | 103 | Shirley Wanz - Susanne Mould | 52.09 |
| 104 | 145 | Stephanie Jacob - Christine Gibbons | 72.61 | 232 | 146 | Judy Wulff - Marilyn Whigham | 51.72 |
| 106 | 13 | Phil Gue - Peter Strasser | 72.56 | 233 | 110 | Ann Nichols - Alan Clayton | 50.37 |
| 107 | 73 | Lorraine Stachurski - Mindy Wu | 72.53 | 234 | 4 | Tessa Townend - Pamela Hawkins | 50.26 |
| 107 | 167 | John Stretton - Susie Stock | 72.53 | 235 | 28 | Pat Rogers - Audrey Bonnick | 50.02 |
| 109 | 164 | Bruce Crossman - Bev Crossman | 72.12 | 235 | 220 | Pat Kennedy - Geoffrey Norris | 50.02 |
| 110 | 71 | Connie Schoutrop - Sandra Johnson | 71.90 | 237 | 156 | Diane Quigley - Ross Gyde | 48.85 |
| 111 | 239 | Gwenda Jewell - Stephanie Chapman | 71.63 | 238 | 198 | Janet Wallis - Ann Bullock | 48.66 |
| 112 | 228 | Allan Morris - Beverley Morris | 71.60 | 239 | 200 | Jenny Crawt - Margaret Aiston | 47.78 |
| 113 | 115 | Patricia Mann - Ron Speiser | 71.28 | 240 | 251 | Lynne Gray - Roman Morawiecki | 47.73 |
| 114 | 150 | Maxi Fogelgarn - Judy Shapiro | 70.94 | 241 | 60 | Gwenda Mealyea - Cecile Senior | 47.61 |
| 115 | 57 | Michael Pemberton - Graham Wakefield | 70.86 | 242 | 52 | Jillian Tuckey - Rozanne Thomas | 47.21 |
| 116 | 17 | Jill Magee - Terry Strong | 70.85 | 243 | 153 | Jay Faranda - Eva Berger | 47.05 |
| 117 | 212 | Nina Moss - Peter Lloyd | 70.83 | 244 | 158 | John Tredrea - Clive Bunnett | 46.57 |
| 118 | 238 | Paula Jenner - Lesley Gilhooly | 70.65 | 245 | 208 | Mike Robertson - Gavin Bow | 45.94 |
| 119 | 117 | Ines Dawes - Di Jones | 70.51 | 246 | 66 | Hope Tomlinson - Martin Johnson | 45.21 |
| 120 | 90 | Mimi Packer - Virginia Seward | 70.22 | 247 | 47 | Len Meyer - Phyllis Moritz | 45.02 |
| 121 | 177 | Julie Sheridan - Karen Martelletti | 70.19 | 248 | 162 | Bruce Fraser - John Bamfield | 43.45 |
| 122 | 206 | Sally Graham - Faye Morris | 69.81 | 249 | 240 | Fiona Smith - Keith Mabin | 42.56 |
| 123 | 8 | Penny Sykes - Elizabeth French | 69.72 | 250 | 252 | Tracey Lewis - Anne Barrowclough | 41.47 |
| 124 | 191 | Carolyn Miller - Kaye Donaldson | 69.58 | 251 | 86 | Naomi Waizer - Catherine Else | 41.03 |
| 125 | 144 | Jenny Date - Gregory Nunn | 69.38 | 252 | 78 | William Van Bakel - Joy Trigg | 40.05 |
| 126 | 143 | Tony Hutton - Malcolm Carter | 69.35 | 253 | 170 | Vivien Eldridge - Alan Davies | 38.25 |
| 127 | 141 | Rury Andhani - Kristina Wahyu | 69.34 | 254 | 247 | Derek Stringfellow - Eunice Stringfellow | 29.30 |

Ivy Dahler Res

| Rank | Pair |  | Names | Total | Rank | Pair | Names |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 19 | Jennifer Hollingworth - Carolann Verity | 105.58 | 28 | 12 | Winny Chan - Catherine Ang | 72.76 |
| 2 | 30 | Margaret Kenny - Elizabeth Neil | 94.39 | 29 | 8 | Milton Hart - Krzysztof Lichon | 70.26 |
| 3 | 1 | Susanne Gammon - Deana Wilson | 93.22 | 30 | 22 | Clive Klugman - Fran Martin | 69.99 |
| 4 | 14 | Lavy Libman - Tomer Libman | 91.73 | 31 | 37 | Gordon Broadley - lan Broadley | 68.04 |
| 5 | 5 | Rachael Loomes - Maurice Loomes | 90.25 | 32 | 18 | Carolyn Mcmurray - Margaret Cummins | 67.31 |
| 6 | 51 | Margaret Baker - Lorraine Pescatore | 88.00 | 33 | 52 | David Spencer - Teresa Phillips | 66.97 |
| 7 | 50 | Teena Mckenzie - Jane Gray | 86.54 | 34 | 3 | Jim Taylor - Cora Taylor | 65.31 |
| 8 | 4 | Albert Altit - Allan Rosenberg | 82.97 | 35 | 20 | Lillian Sealy - Bacha Kitchener | 64.34 |
| 9 | 24 | Ted Morriss - Jane Doyle | 81.85 | 36 | 49 | Natasha Thomas - Janette Kollisch | 63.55 |
| 10 | 40 | Margaret Fleming - Freda Star | 81.49 | 37 | 10 | Leif Michelsson - Maria Michelsson | 63.38 |
| 11 | 9 | Janet Hansen - Diane Morgan | 80.68 | 38 | 47 | David Corney - Margaret Corney | 63.13 |
| 12 | 53 | lan Pick - Graham Carson | 78.66 | 39 | 54 | Sue Small - Ming Yang | 62.98 |
| 13 | 39 | Ashok Chotai - Veena Chotai | 77.52 | 40 | 15 | Barbara Wippell - Brian Wippell | 62.53 |
| 14 | 21 | Faye Symons - Denis Upsall | 77.46 | 41 | 44 | Adam Hertelendy - Dorothy Hertelendy | 62.07 |
| 15 | 36 | Peter Hooper - Susie Herring | 77.17 | 42 | 17 | Maree Filippini - Annette Hyland | 60.54 |
| 16 | 46 | Sandra Berns - Dov Berns | 76.98 | 43 | 7 | Marie Irving - Allison Simon | 60.18 |
| 17 | 45 | Bob Coker - lan Wright | 76.74 | 44 | 43 | Robyn Clark - Sheila Wills | 60.17 |
| 18 | 16 | lan Beattie - Gwyneth Hopkins | 76.60 | 45 | 23 | Kitty George - Angela Roberts | 57.22 |
| 19 | 13 | Raymond Jones - Rita Jones | 76.58 | 46 | 32 | Renate Pettit - Kelly Chapman | 56.40 |
| 20 | 48 | Kevin Walker - Helen Walker | 76.07 | 47 | 28 | Raymond Powley - Susan Powley | 52.38 |
| 21 | 6 | Cathy Crawford - Bill Bishop | 75.76 | 48 | 27 | Kay Roe - Marsha Knoll | 49.68 |
| 22 | 2 | Dot Piddington - Jack Luke-Paredi | 74.63 | 49 | 26 | Sue Scott - Jane O'Brien | 49.11 |
| 23 | 41 | Marcey Spilsbury - Sheryl Gardner | 74.06 | 50 | 38 | Karl Buchmann - Jill Buchmann | 48.78 |
| 24 | 31 | Wendy Gibson - Misako James | 74.01 | 51 | 25 | David Munro - Peter Rollond | 47.25 |
| 25 | 33 | Carole Robinson - Susan O'Neill | 73.29 | 52 | 35 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 47.23 |
| 26 | 29 | Max Gilbert - Kathy Gilbert | 73.09 | 53 | 34 | Neil Waters - Jan Cluff | 45.46 |
| 27 | 11 | Barry Williams - Neville Williams | 72.85 | 54 | 42 | Ruth Greentree - Nannette Jones | 34.81 |

Seres-McMahon Mixed Teams \& Friday Teams

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 12 | Susan Crompton - Michael Wilkinson - Michael Prescott - Marlene Watts |  |  |  |  | 99.52 |
| 2 | 1 | Zhenghong Xu - Aiping Zhu - Lei Chen - Yuhong Zhang - Yongzhong Chen - Luoluo Dong |  |  |  |  | 97.68 |
| 3 | 35 | Jonathan Free - Sue Ingham - Howard Melbourne - Terry Brown |  |  |  |  | 94.24 |
| 4 | 13 | Christine Duckworth - Brian Callaghan - David Mcleish - Paula Mcleish |  |  |  |  | 91.27 |
| 5 | 16 | Fraser Rew - Matthew Brown - Vicki Bouton - Glen Coutts - Marie Eggeling |  |  |  |  | 91.15 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 6 | 33 | Edgtton | 89.83 | 23 | 3 | Beddow | 67.98 |
| 7 | 36 | Henbest | 87.27 | 24 | 31 | Back | 67.67 |
| 8 | 38 | Jakes | 84.33 | 25 | 19 | Driscoll | 66.54 |
| 9 | 7 | Jones | 80.01 | 26 | 9 | Steinwedel | 66.46 |
| 10 | 30 | Hoffman | 79.35 | 27 | 29 | Evennett | 64.87 |
| 11 | 18 | Millar | 76.46 | 28 | 17 | Turnell | 63.70 |
| 12 | 4 | Sterrenburg | 75.62 | 29 | 26 | Jackson | 60.46 |
| 13 | 2 | Martin | 75.51 | 30 | 8 | Parker | 60.15 |
| 14 | 15 | Norden | 74.17 | 31 | 21 | Millar | 58.45 |
| 15 | 14 | Lennon | 74.01 | 32 | 6 | Braithwaite | 56.44 |
| 16 | 10 | Bourke | 72.83 | 33 | 24 | Cullen | 55.04 |
| 17 | 11 | Watson | 72.70 | 34 | 28 | Burgess | 54.44 |
| 18 | 20 | Clarke | 72.50 | 35 | 32 | Ward | 50.02 |
| 19 | 27 | Wood | 72.43 | 36 | 5 | Biro | 49.46 |
| 20 | 23 | Green | 71.76 | 37 | 22 | Roughley | 30.43 |
| 21 | 34 | Lavings | 71.30 | 38 | 25 | Nice | 14.12 |
| 22 | 37 | Grant | 69.83 |  |  |  |  |


| Holiday Pairs Event 3-Session 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/S |  |  | E/W |  |  |
| 1 | Sylvia Billingham - lan Hoschke | 59.85 | 1 | Margaret Plunkett - Lorraine Collins | 58.73 |
| 2 | Nimal Weerasinghe - Max Robb | 58.97 | 2 | Eddie Mullin - Dianne Mullin | 57.47 |
| 3 | Helen Fleet - Robert Fleet | 58.63 | 3 | Dorothy Read - Geoff Read | 56.90 |
| 4 | Heather Williams - Linda Bedford-Brown | 55.30 | 4 | Heather Brown - Edna Nicholson | 55.60 |
| 5 | Malcolm Mckinnon - David Whitehead | 53.59 | 5 | Shane Knight - Margaret Liversage | 54.05 |
| 6 | Neil Hansen - Helen Standfast | 53.58 | 6 | Toni Pafflin - Helen Clugston | 53.19 |
| 7 | Susan Herse - Di Hodges | 51.49 | 7 | Gary Heyting - Kevin Dougall | 53.13 |
| 8 | Rod Binsted - Philip Houlton | 50.65 | 8 | Helen Larsen - Debbie James | 52.91 |
| 9 | Leone Szabo - Sylvia Kudelka | 50.26 | 9 | Norma Browne - Jillian Grifith | 52.18 |
| 10 | Yvonne Soiland - Linda Abbenbroek | 50.17 | 10 | David Gardiner - Julia Gardiner | 52.06 |
| 11 | Dean Hollingworth - Peter Coppin | 50.13 | 11 | Neboisa Diorovic - Donna Upchurch | 51.56 |
| 12 | Donna Rix - Helen Harkin | 48.08 | 12 | Elaine Dickson - Julia Guttmann | 51.08 |
| 13 | Larry Attwood - Kathryn Attwood | 48.01 | 13 | Jim Wood - Paul Thiem | 50.62 |
| 14 | Sharon Clifford - Kay Goodwin | 47.48 | 14 | Jean Moetara - Carol Harris | 47.49 |
| 15 | Mary Tough - Chris Tough | 47.25 | 15 | Pam Sexton - Sybil O'Keeffe | 43.41 |
| 16 | Di Dunne - Ken Nixon | 45.13 | 16 | Janette Cael - Edre Ridler | 42.90 |
| 17 | Bob Hart - Carolyn Hart | 42.48 | 17 | John Belward - William Heath | 41.74 |
| 18 | Dale Peak - Roger Peak | 41.97 | 18 | Margaret Carlton - Lyn Maver | 41.57 |
| 19 | John Stimpson - Cherylene Stimpson | 37.78 | 19 | Charles Page - John O'Hara | 41.19 |
|  |  |  | 20 | Anita Taylor - Judith Grix | 41.02 |
| Holiday Pairs Event 3-Session 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| N/S |  |  | E/W |  |  |
| 1 | Catherine Whiddon - Lyn Smith | 57.64 | 1 | Nimal Weerasinghe - Max Robb | 59.95 |
| 2 | Heather Williams - Sue Pynt | 55.79 | 2 | Paul Thiem - Jim Wood | 58.56 |
|  | William Heath - John Belward | 53.70 | 3 | Eddie Mullin - Dianne Mullin | 56.48 |
| 4 | Dale Peak - Roger Peak | 52.78 | 4 | Helen Fleet - Robert Fleet | 54.17 |
| 5 | Mary Tough - Chris Tough | 50.00 | 5 | Sally Luke - Margaret Liversage | 52.31 |
| 6 | Larry Atwood - Kathryn Attwood | 49.31 | 6 | Lorraine Robinson - Lorraine Carr | 48.38 |
| 7 | Brenda Herring - Barbara Herring | 44.21 | 7 | Kevin Mcmenamin - Bev Travers | 44.44 |
| 7 | Di Dunne - Ken Nixon | 44.21 | 8 | Heather Brown - Edna Nicholson | 38.19 |
| 9 | Neil Hansen - Helen Standfast | 42.36 | 9 | Malcolm Mckinnon - David Whitehead | 37.50 |

DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU


YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU

| 3 | 8 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7$ | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| $2$ | 9 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 5 |  |  |  |
| $9$ | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 9 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

DIFFICULT SUDOKU

|  |  |  | 8 | 3 |  | 6 |  | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | 9 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 7 |  | 3 |  | 6 | 5 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | 6 |  | 7 |  |  | 9 | 3 |
| 5 | 9 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 8 |  |

DIFFICULT SUDOKU
YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION

| 2 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| 9 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| 1 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| 5 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 9 |
| 6 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 8 |

## SERVICES

If you stick to The Gold Coast Congress sponsoring restaurants you'll stay healthy of course. But should the need for a DOCTOR arise there's Broadbeach Medical Centre 07-5531-6344 one block south of the venue on the seaward side of the highway. Should you need after hours attention call 07-5531-1224.
Should a BABYSITTER be required call Cathie at Gold Coast Nannies 0431-301-916. All Nannies carry current Blue Card and Senior First Aid Certificate including CPR for children.
If you are feeling stiff and sore give Sue and her mobile MASSAGE team a call on 0466-284-114. Take a look at their complimentary gift offer on page 58A of Hello Gold Coast magazine and Sue's 'love it or your money back' motto.

# TABLE COUNT <br> Tables count to the end of play Friday was 7785 versus 7585 last year 



GOLD COAST CONVENTION CENTRE GOLD COAST HIGHWAY - BROADBEACH
Fri 19th - Sat 27th February 2016


## ANTHONY LEIGH DOWER

## BOUTIQUE'S

## New Designer Clearance Outlet

Oasis Shopping Centre
Shop 1.10 (Level One)

BROADBEACH BOUTIQUE:
SHOP 40A, GROUND FLOOR, BEACH END OASIS SHOPPING CENTRE

0755382007
AIN BEACH BOUTIQUE:

