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HOLIDAY PAIRS WINNERS

## Event 1

Patricia Scott－Sharon Jackson Event 2

Jamaluddin Rayani－Parveen Rayani

## MORE WINNERS



## ROUND EIGHT

Barry Rigal
The top of the table match between Beauchamp and Milne was watched by David Stern, and he picked a corker. Here are some of the juicier episodes: when this deal came along, the match score was 4-1 for Milne.

| Dealer: North | AJ543 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: N-S | Q42 |
| Brd 21 | Q 8 |

Open Tms Qual R8 \& A 954

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wiltshire | Coutts | Henbest | Gosney |
|  | Pass | 1\& | 1NT(!) |
| Pass | 3NT | All pass |  |

A 82

- J 973
- 1064

A Q 1076

- A 5
-AK972
\& Q 3
$\checkmark$ K 1086
- J5 3
- 1082

A AK 9

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2 | - | 1 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\vdots$ |

When Henbest opened a $2+$ card $1 \%$ Gosney riposted by overcalling a stopperless no-trump. Who needs a stopper if you pretend you have one? That got him to 3NT at the speed of light; Wiltshire accurately led a heart, and declarer made the best play for his side by ducking in dummy and winning in hand to lead a spade to the jack and king. What was Henbest supposed to do now? Wouldn't you shift to diamonds...yes, so would I. He selected the technically sound card of the $\diamond$, but declarer didn't care. He drove out the remaining spade honour, guessed diamonds (well done), and had an impressive nine tricks. In the other room they played 2NT by North, making +150 on a club lead, but that was still 10 imps to Milne.

| Dealer: East | A Q 1053 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark 43$ |
| Brd 22 | - J109854 |

Open Tms Qual R8 \& 2

```
A9842
* A10972
* A
#K 8 3
K J
\(\bullet 86\)
-K7
かA Q J 10765
```

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wiltshire | Coutts |  |  |
| Henbest |  |  |  | | Gosney |
| :--- | :--- |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\vdots$ |

This 2+ card-club suit must have something to recommend it...but it certainly wasn't obvious on the basis of these two deals. Or perhaps we can credit Mr Gosney's warped imagination with the swing. He got himself to $4 \boldsymbol{4} \boldsymbol{x}$, a contract that was set to be defeated at least two tricks - still a decent save against $4 \mathbf{V}$, if that contract could be made. Wiltshire led the $\forall A$ (ace from ace-king) and shifted to a spade. Henbest won, as South unblocked the king, cashed one top heart, and there must have been some defensive failure to communicate as, instead of giving his partner the diamond ruff, he played a club. Declarer hopped up with the ace, pitched his diamond loser on the spades, and graciously conceded his trump trick for down one.
In the other room the short club and transfer responses worked perfectly (that is when the opponents don't get in your way). Edgtton/Hung reached $4 V$ and that put South on lead. After a trump lead, ten tricks were a formality and Milne led 26-1.
The next deal was a bad one for Australians whose names started 'Wil...' both David Wiltshire and Michael Wilkinson played 3NT here as West

| Dealer: South | AJ 64 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -KJ1085 |  | Wiltshire | Coutts | Henbest | Gosney |
| Brd 23 | - Q 6 |  | 1\% | Pass | $1 \diamond$ [ ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | Pass |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | \& J 76 |  | 14 | Pass | 2* | Pass |
| A A Q 92 |  | AK103 | 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All pass |
| $\checkmark$ Q |  | - 4743 |  |  |  |  |
| - A 1074 |  | -K852 |  | Makea | le Contr | acts |
| \& 8432 |  | \& A 5 | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - 875 |  | 4 | - | 4 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark 962$ |  | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - J 93 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - * |
|  | \& K Q 109 |  | 3 | - | 3 |  |

Wilkinson reached 3NT on the auction $1-1 \mathrm{-}$ NT-3NT. In both cases the North player (Coutts in our featured match Arthur Robbins in our other match) led the VK! On any other lead declarer has a chance to make, but if West ducks two hearts the defenders have time to shift to clubs. Wilkinson won the first heart, and his fate was sealed, while Wiltshire ducked twice, won the third heart, and needed to find the $\mathrm{A} J$ to make his game. After giving up a diamond to South he took the two top spades, unblocking the ten, and set up a position where he could finesse against North at trick 11. When he quite logically misguessed and went down 200 he had lost just 3 imps for his side. Why? In the other room they played 6 after a three-suited auction had persuaded East that he would be facing more or better trumps. Pas ce soir Josephine.

The only other double-digit swing of the match came on board 27.

| Dealer: South Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A A K J } 86 \\ & \checkmark 93 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 27 | - Q J 106 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 3 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | - Q 2 |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 40 |  |
| A97 |  | A Q 105432 | Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 - |  |
| - Q 1065 |  | $\checkmark 82$ | Pass | 6 | All Pa |  |  |
| - 74 |  | -985 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| * 109865 |  | \& A J | - | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
| A --- |  |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
| - AKJ 74 |  |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { AK } 32 \\ \bullet K 743 \end{array}$ |  |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | - |
|  |  |  | - | 2 | - | 3 | $\%$ |

The N/S cards are very hard to judge but after I certainly don't blame James Coutts for using keycard and
 cross-ruff by playing on spades, but the bad break kills you.
The winning line is to take the $\%$ and play ace, king and ruff a heart high, then cash the two top spades, come to hand after two rounds of diamonds to ruff a heart in dummy with the remaining high trump in dummy, and ruff a spade with a low trump. Bad news, the spades do not break; good news, West cannot overruff you.
Declarer actually set about the winning line but played to ruff a spade back to hand without drawing any trumps, and ran into an overruff. That cost him 11 imps when 3NT made 430 in the other room.
The final match score was 30-13 for Milne.

Theme and variations: 3NT was the contract successfully reached at about 45 tables, with South declarer.

| Dealer: South | A J 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 15 | - AK642 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | ¢K 10532 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AKQ8752 |  | A 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 962 |  | $\checkmark$ J 753 |  | Makea | e Con | acts |  |
| - Q J 7 |  | -108 | West | North | East | South |  |
| \& --- |  | \& Q 98764 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | A A943 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ10 4 |  | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -953 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | - A J |  | - | 2 | - | 3 | $\%$ |

The play is worth following after West leads a top spade, establishing an extra trick for declarer. South ducks, wins the top spade continuation, and leads a low diamond from hand, planning to run it if West follows with the seven. So let's say in a rare moment of clarity West puts in the jack. Declarer can duck (though if he does so he will need to find the \&Q to make his game). He may instead lead a heart to his king in hand. If he does so, West wins the ace. This is the ending:

| A --- |
| :---: |
| $\checkmark$--- |
| - A 642 <br> \& K 10532 |


| A 8752 | A --- |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 962$ | $\checkmark$ J 5 |
| - 7 | -10 |
| ¢ --- | \&Q9876 |

A 94

- Q 10
- 93
$\Leftrightarrow A J$
If declarer pitches a club from dummy, on the third spade, East can unblock the diamond ten, and declarer can no longer bring the diamonds in. So South pitches a diamond from dummy as does East and plays the club ace and jack. If East wins and exits in clubs, he is eventually endplayed to concede the ninth trick in hearts.

Bottom line: with the heart jack onside, declarer can always force a ninth trick from the hearts or clubs. The hand is a little more interesting with the heart jack offside, but declarer can still succeed by playing on clubs at once. After the club ace then club jack, East may well duck to try to prevent his partner getting squeezed. But then declarer simply cashes all his minor winners and exits with a heart to the king. West eventually has to concede a second heart trick to declarer (though if he pitches two hearts on the clubs from $\vee \mathrm{A} J \mathrm{xx}$, declarer may well misguess the hearts).

## TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 9

Barry Rigal

| Dealer: North | A Q 72 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -K 754 |  |
| Brd 1 | - A J 62 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 43$ |  |
| A A 94 |  | A 10 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q |  | -109862 |
| - K 103 |  | - Q 87 |
| \& A 9762 |  | \& K Q 105 |
|  | AKJ8653 |  |
|  | - J 3 |  |
|  | -954 |  |
|  | \& J 8 |  |

Simon Hinge found himself in 3NT after a spade preempt from South. He ducked the first two spades (discarding hearts from dummy) and then took five rounds of clubs, forcing South to find three discards. It is ever easy to know what to do here; his choice was to let a go a diamond and two spades, while his partner
discarded two hearts and a diamond, as dummy pitched a heart. Hinge exited with the $\forall A$, and when North won the best she can do is play the heart king. But that wasn't good enough: declarer could simply win the ace and queen, with the diamond queen as the ninth trick. If South discards a heart on the clubs, declarer may well play ace then queen of hearts to bring in the ninth trick from a diamond endplay on North.

Game was bid and made very commonly here - a surprising number of the field making $4 \mathbf{V}$, which may not be an appetizing spot but it certainly handles very easily when the VJ falls in two rounds.

| Dealer: East | A A 109874 |  | West <br> Bach | North <br> Gumby | East <br> Cornell <br> Cul: N-S | Sazer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The field coped moderately with the problems faced by both sides here. N/S +350 was the datum, with $5 \mathrm{~A} x$ for +850 being a moderately common result, when the defenders could not find their ruff. How aggravating!

At one of the tables where I was watching the action when West saved in 6 on the auction above, Pauline Gumby had no idea how many clubs declarer had.

From her perspective the hand looked like a cashout, so she started with $\vee A$ and still wasn’t sure what to do next (since her partner could have had $\vee \mathrm{K} x$, and declarer a spade void). She eventually cashed $\uparrow A$ and collected +300 .

Her teammates took even more tricks than she, since there the defence didn't cash $\uparrow A$ after taking $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and declarer escaped for down one!

By contrast Neil Ewart/Margaret Bourke defended $6 \star$ on an auction where Bourke as south had had a chance to double a natural $5 \boldsymbol{\infty}$ call by East. So Ewart led $\uparrow A$ and could tell declarer had a club void. He shifted to a trump to kill the entries to the clubs - just in case - and Bourke won and led a heart through to collect her two heart tricks plus the ruff for 800 . Well done! (I believe Budai/Urbach may have found the same defence).

| Dealer: South | A A 65 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark$ A Q 8 |  | Millingto | Haughie | Jones | Hinge |  |
| Brd 7 | - J 62 |  |  |  |  | $2{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | \& Q J 98 |  | Double | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{2}$ | Pass |  |
| A --- |  | AK8432 | $35^{3}$ | Pass | $3{ }^{3}$ | Pass |  |
| -KJ4 3 |  | $\checkmark 10765$ | 49 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| -A9543 |  | - 7 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& AK 62 |  | \& 753 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | ヘ Q J 1097 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark 92$ |  | 3 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -KQ108 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | * |
|  | -104 |  | 2 | - | 1 |  | $\%$ |

[1] Spades and a 4+card minor [2] Lebensol puppeting 3\% [3] Forced
After Hinge had denied real extras by going through the transfer, I wonder if Millington might have doubled the final contract? Not that this would have persuaded her partner to lead from a trump rather than his spade sequence.
Put yourself in North's shoes after the lead of AQ; declarer Bill Haughie discarded a club from dummy. Millington took her $\uparrow A$ and returned a club. Declarer won and played $\forall A$ and ruffed a diamond to hand, cashed $\boldsymbol{A K}$ to pitch a club from the board, then ruffed a spade ruffed a diamond, crossed to the club king, and ruffed a fourth diamond on which South made the natural play of discarding a club. Now a club ruff meant declarer had scored nine tricks (four top winners, three diamond ruffs in hand and a spade ruff and club ruff in dummy). With $\vee \mathrm{K} J$ and 9 on the board declarer ruffed a diamond with $\vee 10$ and could not be denied a tenth trick.

Let's go back to the ninth trick:

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\text { - A Q } 8$ |  |
|  | $\text { \& J } 9$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| A --- |  | ^ 84 |
| - K J 4 |  | $\checkmark 107$ |
| -95 |  | ---- |
| \& --- |  | \& 7 |
|  | A J 10 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 92$ |  |
|  | -K |  |
|  | \& --- |  |

Declarer has just led the fourth diamond, establishing dummy's long diamond. It does no good to ruff with the queen and then to play ace and another heart, nor to play a club yourself as, since declarer continues the cross-ruff. Try ruffing with the heart eight - entirely illogical I know! Now declarer overruffs, ruffs a club low and leads the fifth diamond.

When you discard, partner can overruff East's $\mathbf{\nabla}$ with the nine and you take the $\vee \mathrm{A} Q$ to set the game.
When was the last time you led to a four to make a grand slam? Check out board 10.

| A A 1064 | A Q J 98753 |
| :---: | :---: |
| - A Q 62 | -K 75 |
| - Q 32 | - ---- |
| \& K 5 | $\%$ A J 10 |

Bach and Cornell bid to $7 \uparrow$ after an auction where Bach as West bid the grand slam force, Cornell denied the ability to bid the grand the first time by bidding 6 but jumped to $7 \uparrow$ over his partner's last train 60 call. Cornell won the lead in hand and led a spade to the four to land his slam. Maybe he did it just to add a new record to his collection? Whatever the case, it led to a win of 13 as opposed to a loss of 17.
TAP OUT AND ENDPLAY
Leigh Matheson
An interesting end position arose during round 8 of the Intermediate Field in the teams event:

| Dealer: West | A 10974 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - J 2 |  |
| Brd 20 | - Q 10 |  |
| Int Tms Qual R8 | \& 97543 |  |
| A Q |  | A AK 83 |
| - AK 109 |  | - Q 743 |
| -KJ 876 |  | - 432 |
| \& A 62 |  | \& J 10 |

> A J 6 5 2
> $\bullet 865$
> $*$ A 95
> \& K Q 8

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| 4 | - | 4 | - |  |
| 5 | - | 5 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |


|  | A 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$--- |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \& 975 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ヘ --- |  | A 8 |
| $\checkmark 10$ |  | $\checkmark 7$ |
| -K876 |  | -43 |
| \& --- |  | \& 10 |
|  | A J |  |
|  | $\vee$--- |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { A } 9 \\ \text { \& Q } 8 \end{array}$ |  |

South lead the $\boldsymbol{\AA K}$ K, won by dummy's ace. Declarer cashed two high hearts in dummy, unblocked the spade queen and drew the last trump with the VQ. Two top spades allowed declarer to discard dummy's two remaining clubs. A diamond to the jack and queen led to this ending:
Capitalising on declarer's bad guess in diamonds, North returned a club to the queen. Declarer realising the impending problem of ruffing this in dummy and being forced to lead a low diamond off diamond hoping for either defender holding the bare $\uparrow$ A allowed the $\& Q$ to win.

South equally understood declarer's dilemma and played the a J forcing declarer to ruff in dummy to lead a low diamond hoping for the $\star$ to have started as doubleton. Thus he had to go one down. Declarer's only way home is to put up the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ when a low diamond was played from hand otherwise, on careful defence, declarer will always lose 3 diamond tricks and a black suit trick.
(Ed: Given what happened at the table declarer might do better not to play for discards, retaining the spade honours in hand. He just draws trumps and leads diamonds towards dummy twice using the club ruff as his second re-entry)

## PROTECT YOUR BELONGINGS

Megan McGlashan reports that her husband Des is of Scottish Decent and is as mean as the stereotypical Scotsman (her words not your Bulletin). With this background he carefully prepares his lunch every day to save a few shillings. Yesterday the lunch break commenced and Des could not find his beautifully prepared chicken sandwich and even checked that it had not been purloined by one of the caddies. In disgust he gave up and bought his lunch. After lunch their team mates returned and Kitty Biro asked what her husband George had for lunch to which he replied "I had the beautiful chicken sandwich you prepared for me". Forgetting your bridge conventions is one thing but eating your team mate's lunch is a real dealbreaker.

## CHOCOLATE FROG AWARDS

Paul Gosney was nominated for a CFA for good sportsmanship. With just 15 minutes to play 5 boards his opponent Dave Wiltshire claimed making 11 tricks which was disputed by Paul and his partner James Coutts and accepted by all and scored as making 10 tricks. After the last board had been played, and yes it was within time, Paul turned to David Wiltshire and Max Henbest and said that he would make 11 tricks whatever he did due to the layout of the cards - score changed. Well done Paul.

Watson Zhou and partner on three separate occasions faced opponents lead out of turn and each time spurned calling a director simply allowing the correct defender to lead what they wished. Great courtesy and playing for the true love of the game (no correspondence with directors about the need to call a director after an infraction will be entered into).

Gordon Henderson nominated by Felicity and Paulie (?) for alerting his opponents that his partner had revoked during the hand.

Jenny Montague nominated by her partner Jane Hills. Despite all of the partnership mistakes she simply smiles and says "aren't we lucky to be here". Jane notes that she is right - one can't play bridge six foot under.

Sally Clarke for calling the director when she overheard discussion about a board from the adjoining table.
[Ed: We love reporting CFAs but legibility and the name of the nominator makes it much easier for us]


BRIDGE FOR BRAIN RESEARCH CHALTENGE

May $1^{\text {st }}$ to May $7^{\text {th }}$, host a bridge session and donate the proceeds to Alzheimer's research at NeuRA. We are asking that all bridge clubs throughout Australia raise funds and make a donation.

Alzheimer's is a vicious disease that takes away your memory and independence. Every dollar raised through Bridge for Brains will go towards preventing or slowing the onset of Alzheimer's disease

To register call 1-300-888-019 or visit www.neura.edu.au/bridge/register and participate in the

## Bridge for Brains Challenge.

## FEARLESS MIXED WITH CRAZY

Andy Hung
During the Australian Youth Bridge Week (held in Canberra annually in January), youth players from around the country get together and play some serious bridge as well as some side events which include Speedball, Crazy Pairs, and Goulash!

Here's a question for you: What do you get when you have youth players playing in a Crazy Bridge Pairs event? Very bright fireworks!
(Crazy Pairs is a fun variation of Bridge where each board has its own unique rules. For example, on one board the rules might be that 2's are bigger than aces, and on the very next board the rule might be that everyone is allowed one revoke each, etc.! Otherwise, bridge is still played as per normal with regards to the board's special rule.)
This was the special rule for this particular board below: "Before the auction begins, each person selects three cards to pass to their Right-Hand-Opponent. Continue the auction as per normal."
This was the full deal before any cards were passed:


The auction was

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1ヵ | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 凶$ | 5 |
| $6 \oplus$ | Pass | Pass | 7 |
| $7 \oplus$ | Double | All Pass |  |

My partner, East, had no trouble making 7 YX for +2470 and subsequently complained about the lack of redouble from me.

Of course, this wasn't an outright top board as this is Crazy Pairs. This happened at another table when they passed their three cards to their RHO:


## CRYPTIC BRIDGE PERSONALITIES

Peter Buchen

Rules: Solve the cryptic clue below to identify one or more Australian bridge personalities. Two names are always given, usually the first and second names of the personality. The number in brackets following the clue is the total number of letters in both names. Answer in tomorrow's Bulletin.

1. North or west-going precedes the opening lead in King and Queen (10)
2. Leads Spades and responds: "thanks". Holds a Jack but not a King (10)
3. "D" (10)
4. e misdealt lemon goulash (15)
5. They cover reset bulls essentially (12)
6. He rules like a drunken duck! (11)
7. The English police gates, perhaps (12)
8. Mister troubled bridge opponents! (8)
9. Potter, maybe, around hesitation times (11)
10. Sultanate interlaced with dungeon (10) Extra 1-word clue: Sailor, loud and quiet tenant $(3,9)$

## NEVER GIVE UP

Brent Manley
On this deal from the Senior Teams, Dennis Zines of Sydney took advantage of an apparently sleepy opponent to land a vulnerable game. Zines was playing with Sue Picus of New York City.

| Dealer: East Vul: E-W | $\text { A Q } 1053$ |  | West <br> Zines | North | East Picus | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 22 | - J 109854 |  | Zines |  | $1 *$ | 2* |  |
| Snrs Tms Qual R8 | - 2 |  | $2 v$ | Pass | 36 | Pass |  |
| A 9842 |  | A A 76 | 4 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| - A 10972 |  | -KQJ5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A |  | - Q 632 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& K 83 |  | -9 94 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | AKJ |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark 86$ |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K7 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | \& A Q J 10765 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | \% |

Zines got the opening lead of the $\$ 2$ and he thought everything was fine. His view changed when South won the \&A and returned the queen. North's ruff made the situation a bit tougher for Zines, but he was up to the challenge - with a little help from South.

After taking a club ruff, North returned a diamond to the singleton ace. Zines entered dummy with a trump and played low a diamond. He was pleased to see South's $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$ pop up.

Zines ruffed and played a spade to the ace. South fell from grace by not unblocking the king right then, but she had a second chance to get his highness out of her hand when Zines ruffed his low club in dummy, cashed another trump and the $\downarrow$ Q.

A moment later, South realized her error when Zines exited dummy with a spade. On lead with nothing left but clubs, South had to give Zines a ruff-sluff, and dummy's low spade went away.
On the layout, simply exiting with the spade would provide the ruff-sluff, allowing West to discard one of his two losing spades while ruffing in dummy - or ruff in hand and discard dummy's last spade. The other spade loser would go on dummy's $\downarrow$ Q.
The contract was defeated at the other table, so Zines's opportunistic play helped his side to a $12-\mathrm{IMP}$ gain.

## AIR CONDITIONING AND PLAYERS COMFORT



Remember that there are approximately two thousand players at the venue, ensuring the comfort of ALL players is a challenge. Over time the organisers have noticed that there are areas where it is particularly cold due to air pockets coming from the air conditioner. The room sometimes leaks from condensation due to the amount of air conditioning we are using.
What you CAN do to improve your personal comfort level:

- as advertised in all communication, please bring a jumper;
- we have a supply of pashminas and wraps so please just ask a caddy who will bring it to you we do ask that you return these to the caddy at end of the session
- if it is obviously too cold for you and those around you please ask the caddy to report the table number and section to Kim Ellaway who will bring her trusted thermometer to see if it can be fixed or perhaps we can move the table.
Things you can do that will NOT improve your comfort level
- discuss the issue with a Director or the Scoring Staff
- discuss it with the Recorder


## BARRY'S PROBLEMS

## Barry Rigal

| Nil East | A A 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - AJ73 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K J } 103 \\ & \& 1098 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q } 863 \\ & \checkmark \text { Q } 1085 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | -86 |  |
|  |  | \& AK 3 |
| West | North | East South |
|  |  | Pass 1NT(1) |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |
| (1) 15-17 |  |  |
| Opening Lead $\uparrow 5$ |  |  |

When this hand was originally published in the Daily Bulletin from the Spring National tournament in Reno a few years ago, the author asked every East-West pair who had commented after defending three no-trump, "Well, it's cold!" to take a second look, and then apologize to Brigidda the Goddess of Bridge.

Partner leads the spade five against three no-trumps. Your queen wins as declarer follows with the two.

## ANSWER

In three no-trump South ducked the first spade, won East's continuation of the spade three, and guessed well to knock out the club honours and claim 400. All declarer lost was the two clubs, the spade queen, and the fourth spade. So what's the point of the hand? Declarer guessed correctly as to whether to play on clubs or on hearts - big deal.

All that is true... but East was asleep at the wheel. By counting the high-card points he knows partner has precisely jack-fourth of spades, but he also knows that declarer can't see through the backs of the cards. East should return the spade eight at the second trick, simulating a remaining doubleton, then hop up with the club ace at his first turn and play the spade six back.
Surely declarer's best line now must be to take an immediate heart finesse before tackling clubs again - a line that would lead to immediate defeat if West had five spades and the club king. If the heart finesse loses and spades are 5-3 as expected, then declarer can revert to clubs and still succeed if East has the missing club honour. Unlucky! The losing heart finesse represents the defenders' fifth

| $$ | A A 10 <br> - AJ73 <br> -KJ10 3 <br> \& 1098 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q } 863 \\ & \text { Q } 1085 \\ & 86 \\ & \& \text { AK } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | - K 42 <br> -K 9 <br> - AQ7 2 <br> \& Q J 74 |  | winner.

## TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH 11 Barry Rigal

As we sat down to start round 11 (me and the wild man of Borneo -- aka the real slim Shady or "My name is M") my partner asked me not to keep making him out to be a lunatic. "I'm only reporting the facts" I said.
10 milliseconds later he got to open $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ in fourth chair - and as the play progressed and he turned up with a 5-1-1-6 pattern, I wondered whether the doctor onsite was allowed to take him directly to the asylum after the game. Marshall would point to the 5 imps in the in-column, but nobody would be listening.
On the next deal Warren Lazer had the opportunity to demonstrate expert theory on opening lead.

What would you select against 1NT-3NT holding: $473 \vee 83$ - $98764 \approx K 103$
For me, the choice is clear - the spade eight wins by a mile, with a diamond and a heart equal in second place. Lazer led a heart. this was the full deal:

| Dealer: East | A A 952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark$ A 974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 2 | - A J 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Q R11 | - 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AQ6 4 |  | A K J 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KJ2 |  | - Q 1065 |  | Makea | Con |  |  |
| -2 |  | - K Q 10 | West | North | East | South |  |
| *QJ9654 |  | \& A 87 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | ヘ 873 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark 83$ |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\downarrow$ |
|  | -98764 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | * |
|  | \& K 103 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\%$ |

After the unfortunate start Gumby could not have rescued her side by winning the ace and playing diamonds, as my entry position has been freed up. Our teammates led a pedestrian diamond and defeated the game...so much for subtlety, but note that a spade lead works as well. A spade lead might succeed (as here against a four-card suit in partner's hand, while a heart really needs to find partner with five).

| Dealer: North | A J 75 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark$ K J 10 |  | Lewis | Gumby | Rigal | Lazer |  |
| Brd 5 | - 1075 |  |  | Pass | Pass | 1\% |  |
| Open Tms Q R11 | *K942 |  | Pass | 2* | Pass | 2NT |  |
| ヘ96432 |  | A Q 10 | Pass | 3NT | All Pa |  |  |
| $\checkmark 74$ |  | - AQ9 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -QJ4 |  | - 632 |  | Makea | Contrad | acts |  |
| \&Q 75 |  | \&J 863 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | A AK 8 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark 8532$ |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - AK 98 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  | * A 10 |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | $\%$ |

As if that wasn't proof enough, when our opponents bid to 3NT.Marshall fished out a diamond honour. The fall of the AQ meant declarer could no longer be defeated. I suspect a low spade lead would have gone to the jack and queen, and now declarer is dead meat.

| Dealer: West | A 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - J 8652 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 8 | - A 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Q R11 | -6432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 9 |  | A AK42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A943 |  | -K7 |  | Makea | le Con |  |  |
| -97 |  | -KQJ63 | West | North | East | South |  |
| *K Q J 87 |  | * A 10 | 6 | - | 6 | - | NT |
|  | A Q 108653 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 10 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -852 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | * |
|  | \& 95 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\pm$ |

I'm not sure if we got a little lucky here. I think we did, but it might be closer than I am prepared to accept.
To what contract should E/W bid here? As you can see 6NT is really no better than diamonds 3-3? The entry position means that unless you declare the contract by East, and pick up a trick on a spade lead, you have only some very remote squeeze chances involving 5-2 spades and maybe 5-2 hearts.

That said, $6 \star$ is a worse slam, but how about $6 \boldsymbol{*}$ ? You win the spade lead, play three rounds of hearts, ruffing in dummy, then unblock the \&A and need to get back to hand, presumably by ruffing a spade? As you can see, this line would not work with spades 6-1. Overall, I think 6\% is better than 6NT - but not today.

## TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH 12

Barry Rigal
This set was a rough (or ruff) one for most E/W pairs. At least two of the critical deals featured the issue of whether the defence could get all their tricks. Since we signally failed the test, let's see whether the reader can do any better!

| Dealer: West | A Q J 87 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AKJ96 |  |
| Brd 16 | - J |  |
| Open Tms Q R12 | \& Q 54 |  |
| A A 5 |  | AK9642 |
| $\checkmark 82$ |  | $\checkmark 753$ |
| -K Q 108 |  | -97642 |
| ¢K10873 |  | ¢ |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Appleton | Sundstrom Rigal | Fordham |  |
| $1 \boldsymbol{R}$ | $1 *$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\&}$ |
| Pass | $4 \vee$ | All pass |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\vdots$ |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | $\vdots$ |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | $\vdots$ |

The auction at our table was maybe not untypical... I' too old to bid with the East cards at unfavourable vulnerability though it might have slowed my opponents down. Had I led a diamond, I think declarer would have won in dummy and passed the $\boldsymbol{\uparrow} 10$ to me... and if I return a diamond declarer ruffs, and draws trumps.

Declarer draws trumps ending in dummy (West pitching a club or he runs out of cards to force declarer) and leads a low club from dummy. West must duck, and now a club back to the ace and a second spade brings home ten tricks.

I went a different route, leading a spade to trick one. When Appleton won the ^A it was hard (but not impossible?) to find a club shift, on the grounds that either North or East must have three cards in the suit.

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\text { A J } 974$ <br> -KJ |  | West <br> Appleton | North <br> Sundstrom | East Rigal | South <br> Fordham |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 18 | -Q10542 |  |  |  | 2* | Dbl |  |
| Open Tms Q R12 | \& 103 |  | Pass | 2^ | Pass | 4^ |  |
| A10632 |  | A 5 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| - Q 96542 |  | - A 873 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - --- |  | -KJ9873 |  | Makeab | Con | acts |  |
| * A 98 |  | \& 64 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | A AK Q 8 |  | - | 3 | - | 4 | $\wedge$ |
|  | $\checkmark 10$ |  | 4 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A 6 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | \&KQJ 752 |  | - | 2 | - |  | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ |

It would have been a wild shot (but not a truly ridiculous one) for Appleton to double 4a here, though you could understand that action. A high diamond spot by me would allow my partner to ruff and put me in with a heart for a second diamond ruff, with the \&A still to come. My choice of a club lead did not challenge declarer unduly.

| Dealer: West | AKQ9 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark 10974$ |  |
| Brd 28 | -K104 |  |
| Open Tms Q R12 | \& A 9 |  |
| - J 10 |  | A A 8754 |
| - Q 863 |  | $\checkmark$ J |
| - A J |  | - Q 752 |
| \&J8754 |  | ¢ 632 |
|  | A 62 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ - 52 |  |
|  | -9863 |  |
|  | \& K Q 10 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appleton | Sundstrom | Rigal | Fordham |
|  | 1 V | Pass | $1 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | $2 v$ | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 4 | All pass |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | $\vdots$ |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |

Mea culpa, mea maxima culpa.

Using reverse signals and 3rd/5th leads, the 'defence' - if you can call it that -- started with a club lead to the ten. Declarer played a spade: ten queen ace. (This was pathetic (or insulting) defence on my part. My partner would never have played the spade ten from a J 102 - ducking the first spade would have made the defence's task much easier).

I returned a club and declarer won in dummy crossed to a top heart and cashed the long club to pitch a diamond from dummy. In this ending:

|  | AK 93 <br> $\checkmark 1097$ <br> - K 10 <br> \& --- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| か J |  | ^. 8754 |
| - Q 86 |  | - --- |
| - A J |  | -Q752 |
| \& J 8 |  | \& --- |
|  | A 2 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 52 |  |
|  | -9863 |  |
|  | \& --- |  |

Declarer played a diamond to the king (yes it is better to rise with the ace and return the suit) and a second diamond. Declarer needed to cash the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ while in dummy as a variation on what Terence Reese described as the Dentist Coup, since unless you do that, West can win $\forall A$ and exit with his spade, which has the effect of eventually promoting a trump.
When we missed our final chance to do this, eventually someone other than dummy did something right, and declarer emerged with ten tricks. That swing was just enough to see Fordham take the sixth qualifying spot and qualify for the knock-out stage.

## COMMON ERRORS AND HOW TO AVOID THEM

## Brent Manley

A player from Texas had an unusual experience when he and his partner played six deals in a row lacking the ace in the trump suit each time..

As their next opponents sat down, one of the players announced, "It seems that we're playing a system where we bid only suits that are missing the ace."
One of the opponents said, "Doesn't that have to be Alerted?"

## POOR FINESSING

You are declarer with $\downarrow 54$ in your hand and $\downarrow 62$ in the dummy. You need two tricks in the suit. What is your plan?
I have seen too many new players putting the $\varangle Q$ on the table and hoping that if left-hand opponent holds the king it won't be played. This play might work if LHO has never heard about covering an honour with an honour. You might get away with this once in a blue moon, but the play of the queen in this situation cannot win.
Think about it. If LHO has the king, he will cover the queen in almost all cases and you will win only the ace. If RHO has it, lefty will play low and the queen will lose to the king, Again, just one trick.

The way to get two tricks in this suit is to play a diamond to the ace and continue the suit from dummy, hoping that RHO has the king. If he plays the king when you lead low from dummy, your queen is good. If he plays low, you can put up the queen and it will win.

It is a finesse, just not the kind you are used to seeing, as in playing low to the A-Q. Just to be clear, a finesse is a play made to gain a trick by taking advantage of the placement of a higher-ranking card or cards. For example, if dummy has VQ 63 and you have $\$ 74$ 2, you can win a trick in the suit by leading toward the queen twice, hoping LHO has the ace and the king.
You can win two tricks in a suit with three to the ace in one hand and queen-doubleton in the other, as in this layout

A A 82
A Q 6
In this case, you do not play the ace first. Instead, go to dummy in another suit and lead a low card from the ace toward your queen. If RHO has the king, he will probably play it, promoting your queen to a winner. If you are lucky enough to have the suit led by LHO and he has the king, you will win your queen that way, too. Even if RHO wins the king when you play low, your queen has been promoted to a winner.
You will play similarly with other combinations. Try this one:

## -AK 65

- J 62

You may be tempted to play the jack and run it if LHO plays low. This is the same as playing the queen in the first example. You must hope RHO has the queen, so you enter dummy and play a low card toward your jack.
It's different if your honours have some "support." You can play the jack toward the A-K-x-x if you have the 10 with the jack or possibly A-K-10-9 in dummy. The same can apply to other finessing situation. Similarly, if you have Q-J-9 (or 10) facing three to the ace, it's okay to put her highness on the table and hope for the best.

## PIANOLA: SMART TOOLS FOR BRIDGE CLUBS AND PLAYERS



Bridge players may know that the term "pianola" refers to a deal so easy that it almost plays itself. Now, however, bridge clubs in Australia and around the world are discovering that it's also a powerful tool for managing their clubs and providing players with in-depth information and analysis of their performance.
After playing at his local club in Leeds, UK, for several years, Pianola creator James Ward came up with an idea for an online partnership desk. The concept grew over time to provide a club management tool that not only saves clubs time and money but also improves communication with players. And now they're on the verge of releasing innovative new features for players, too.
"Bridge clubs are using Pianola to simplify and improve their administration and to do things that they have not previously been able to do. For example, to identify and reward their most frequent players, send personalized emails to their members based on their interest or ranking, manage their membership renewals, or publish a modern and professional website quickly and easily," James said.
"Pianola also provides players with a personalized history of their results and calculates their average with different partners as well as in each of the roles of the game: declarer, defender - even dummy(!). Our new statistics - we call them Pianola Plus - offer players a breakdown of their bidding accuracy, their card play ability and provide a way to replay any hand with card-by-card guidance on how to make (or defeat) a given contract."
"Our goal with these new stats is to help players (especially beginners and improver-level players) identify trends in their play and areas that they need to work on."
Gary Deaton, manager of SA Bridge Association, one of the first clubs in the country to adopt Pianola says: "We been using Pianola for about three years. Before then, we had a somewhat basic member database. Pianola has been a real boon in helping manage our information, especially our membership renewal process. The real surprise, however, has been its popularity with our members. The analysis features have been very popular and have led to an increase in members registering their email address with us from about $20 \%$ three years ago to over $60 \%$ now. This has also assisted us greatly at membership renewal time as we can now email renewal notices, saving the club significant postage costs."
Visit the Pianola stand in the foyer, every day of the congress before play starts.
For more information and a 90 day free trial, visit www.pianola.net or call 08-7200-1352/0437-274-343.

## TBIB INSURANCES

Come and visit the TBIB stand in the foyer to discuss all your insurance needs including Travel Insurances Renewals - enter the Lucky Door prize. Our team will be present an hour before \& after play each day.

## CAN'T KEEP A GOOD TEAM DOWN

Brent Manley
At the start of play on Thursday, the Brisbane team captained by Leanne Nugent was in search of their fifth victory in a row in the Novice Teams. A disappointing start - four loses in the first four matches - was a distant memory after four wins in a row on Wednesday by an average margin of 54-15.

The team rose from near the bottom of the standings after four matches to 11th place after eight.
The Nugent team started with six players but one had to depart to go back to work, so Nugent and her partner, Jenny lliescu, teamed with Diane McClintock and Mel Gilmour for match No. 9 on Thursday. The other members of the team were Kathy Males and Janine Budgeon.
Team Nugent started with a couple of push boards but earned a 6-IMP swing on this deal against the Shelley Taylor squad.

| Dealer: South <br> Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK872 } \\ & \vee 843 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North lliescu | East | South Nugent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 11 | -62 |  |  |  |  | $1 *$ |  |
| Nov Tms Q R9 | \& 10974 |  | Pass | Pass | 14 | Pass |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 943 \\ & \bullet K 652 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A A Q J } 106 \\ & \vee \text { A } 109 \end{aligned}$ | 34 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| -J10 4 |  | -K75 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| * AK 2 |  | か」3 | 4 | - | 4 | - | NT |
|  | A 5 |  | 3 | - | 4 | - | $\wedge$ |
|  | - Q J 7 |  | 3 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q 983 |  | 2 | - | 3 | - | * |
|  | \& Q 865 |  | - | - | 1 | - | \% |

Declarer, Leonie Love, managed 11 tricks for plus 200 after Nugent started with the A. Unfortunately for East-West, they didn't get high enough in the auction. At the other table, McClintock and Gilmour took only 10 tricks, but they did bid the game for plus 420.
The next board brought more good news for Nugent and company after the team captain made an astute decision in the auction.

| Dealer: West Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK } 10642 \\ & \bullet A 1082 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North liescu | East | South Nugent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 12 | - 62 |  | 1\% | 14!! | 19 | 2* |
| Nov Tms Q R9 | ¢ 63 |  | Pass | Pass | 29 | All Pass |
| A A 98 |  | A Q J 5 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q |  | -KJ7543 |  |  |  |  |
| -KJ75 |  | - 8 |  | Makea | Con |  |
| \& Q J 9 8 4 |  | \& 1075 | - | - | - | NT |
|  | A 73 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 - |
|  | $\checkmark 96$ |  | - | - | - | - $\quad$ |
|  | - A Q 10943 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 |
|  | \& $\mathrm{A} K 2$ |  | 1 | - | 1 | \% |

After lliescu's 1a overcall, Love made an insufficient bid of $1 \vee$. The director was summoned and gave Nugent her options, including accepting the bid by East. Nugent selected that auction so she could show her fine diamond suit at the two level. If she had chosen the option for East to make her bid sufficient, Nugent would have had to go to the three level.

Against $2 \boldsymbol{*}$, Nugent started with the $\AA A$, Iliescu signalling count with the $\$ 6$ and Love falsecarding with the 10. At trick two, a heart went to the queen and lliescu's ace, and she continued with a diamond to partner's ace. On the spade switch, Love ducked and lliescu won the king and played the $\% 3$ to her partner for a third round of the suit. Iliescu ruffed but the defence had no more tricks. Declarer lost two clubs, a club ruff, the two red aces and a spade for minus 50 .
At the other table, North-South got overboard in 3NT and finished at minus 200.
Board 2 was a wild one, with West (John Harrison) holding an eight-card diamond suit and the determination not to be shut out of the auction.

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\text { AA } 109874$ |  | West | North Iliescu |  | South Nugent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 2 | -6 |  |  |  | 1\% | 14 |  |
| Nov Tms Q R9 | \& Q 102 |  | 5 | 5a | Pass | Pas |  |
| A J |  | A 6 | 6 | Double | All Pa |  |  |
| -K1093 |  | -J65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 987532 |  | - K 10 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& --- |  | \& AK 8763 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | A K Q 532 |  | - | 4 | - | 5 | A |
|  | $\checkmark 74$ |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A 4 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& J 954 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

It's possible to beat 6 four tricks, but you have to be looking at all the cards to do so. As it was, Harrison's decision to bid on over 5A turned out to be a good one - Nugent was going to make 5A for plus 650. Against $6 \leqslant$ doubled, lliescu started with the VA, continued with the aA and then a low heart, taken by Harrison in hand. He still had to lose the trump ace, so the final tally was plus 300 to North-South, better than the score East-West were going to get for letting South play 5 A .
At the other table, McClintock and Gilmour were allowed to play in $5 \star$, undoubled, going two down for minus 100.

On the following board, Nugent found herself in a doubled contract with a $5-0$ trump split, but she managed an overtrick for another swing to her team.

| Dealer: South | A A 65 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark$ A Q 8 |  |
| Brd 7 | - J 62 |  |
| Nov Tms Q R9 | ¢ Q J 98 |  |
| A --- |  | AK8432 |
| -KJ4 3 |  | $\checkmark 10765$ |
| - A 9543 |  | - 7 |
| \& AK 62 |  | * 753 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q J } 1097 \\ & \bullet 92 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K Q } 108 \\ & \& 104 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| West | North <br> lliescu | South <br> Nugent <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Double Pass |
| 1^ |  |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | - | 1 | - |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| 2 | - | 1 | - | 9 |



After her partner's double, East has a difficult decision. Her hand is weak and she does have five trumps, albeit with somewhat weak spot cards to go with the king. On another day, passing would be the right choice with the East hand, just not this time.

Even if West could see all the cards and started with the $\downarrow$ A to give partner a ruff, he could get in only two more times to give his partner diamond ruffs, and even with the trump king to come, that's only six tricks. With the heart finesse working, the defenders can take no more than those six tricks.

In practice, West started off by cashing the top clubs and the - A before continuing with a club, taken by Nugent in dummy. At trick five, Nugent played a diamond from dummy. East ruffed and exited with a heart to the jack and queen. Nugent cashed the $\uparrow A$ and continued the suit, winning in hand when East ducked. The $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$ went to East's king and Nugent was soon claiming an overtrick for plus 360. It was a 5 -IMP gain because at the other table, declarer in the same contract made only seven tricks for plus 160.

After winning their fifth in a row, the Nugent team stumbled a bit, losing a close match in round 10 and posting another loss in round 11. They finished the event with a flourish, however, winning by a score of 43-7 to end up in 11th place in the qualifying rounds.

Holiday Pairs Event 2 - Session 3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Jeanette Chatterton - Dawn Simpson | 60.85 | 1 | Hedy Cohen - Julie Rose | 57.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | John Stretton - Susie Stock | 56.46 | 2 | Heather Brown - Edna Nicholson | 57.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Paul Thiem - Anne Lamport | 56.46 | 3 | Robin Hassall - Gaynor Hurford | 56.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Dianne Mullin - Eddie Mullin | 55.91 | 4 | Julie Fisher - Val Carmody | 56.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Joyce O'Brien - Meri Mackay | 55.63 | 5 | Lesley Martin - Roger Cael | 51.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Sue Scott - Jane O'Brien | 51.51 | 6 | Janice Gladders - Dot Lawrence | 49.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Jamaluddin Rayani - Parveen Rayani | 51.37 | 7 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 48.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Margaret Kenny - Elizabeth Neil | 50.41 | 8 | Geoff Read - Mick Fawcett | 48.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | David Stewart - Diana Stewart | 47.25 | 9 | Minnie Bragg - Chris Bragg | 48.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Allan Rosenberg - Albert Altit | 46.98 | 10 | Danny Osmund - Kieron Treasure | 46.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Patricia Burton - Pamela Tranberg | 46.02 | 11 | Gail Smith - Robert Peard | 46.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Maureen Lubinsky - Jill Gilbertson | 42.45 | 12 | Maureen Neubauer - Kate Forrester | 45.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Pam Lawson - John Lawrence | 40.11 | 13 | Maria Michelsson - Leif Michelsson | 44.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Sue Cheney - Bruce Williams | 38.60 | 14 | Kerry Pope - Margaret Bower | 41.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## A TIP OF THE CAP

Brent Manley
Sometimes you just have to congratulate an opponent for a good play. That was the case on this deal from the Restricted Teams, round 5, when Kelly Barber and Leslie Treasure of the Jeanette Weaver team opposed Tricia Garner and Susan Wright of the Christine Nice squad.

| Dealer: South | A K |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -K7653 |  |
| Brd 11 |  |  |
| - 102 |  | A 984 |
| - J 942 |  | $\checkmark$ A Q 10 |
| - Q J 73 |  | -108 |
| \& K 76 |  | ¢ A J 1094 |
|  | A A Q 7653 |  |
|  | $\vee 8$ |  |
|  | -K5 |  |
|  | \& 853 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garner | Barber | Wright | Treasure 14 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4a | All Pas |  |
|  | Makea | le Cont | acts |
| - | - | - | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 a |
| - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 | - | 2 | 9 |



Garner started with a low club, taken by Wright with the ace. A club was returned to Garner's king, and she made the killing play of a trump to dummy. That deprived Treasure of her 10th trick in the form of a club ruff in dummy. As you can see, Treasure's spades were good enough for her to ruff with the singleton king and still draw the opposition trumps.
After the good play by Garner, there was nothing Treasure could do because even if she had been able to set up diamonds by cashing the two high honours and ruffing a diamond - the 4-2 break means it wouldn't have worked - there was no way to dummy to be able to use them.
The good play by Garner earned her side a 5-IMP swing because her teammates at the other table, Nice and Faye Wright, stopped in 3A, just making for plus 140.
The Weaver team won the match, but that takes nothing away from Garner's good defence.
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## BRIDGE FOR THE IMPROVER

Ron Klinger

| DIr: South Vul: Nil North A A 102 <br> - Q 9 <br> -Q94 <br> \& A J 1042 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South $4$ |
| Pass | 5 | All Pa |  |

## Solution: Theme park

On this deal from a national teams championship the very talented Paul Gosney produced a deceptive piece of declarer play, based on the
かA102
$Q 9$
QQ94
\&AJ1042 same theme as yesterday's deal.

Can you see what Gosney did?
There are two possibilities. Take the AA and play \&A and a low club. If the defenders try to cash a second spade you are in good shape.
Gosney's plan was just as effective. He ducked the opening lead, a costnothing play, since he could always discard the club loser later on the AA. In

```
AKQ J 6 5
A9873
\bulletAJ75
    K10432
* * 8
&873 &KQ 5
A }
\vee }8
*AKJ107652
*96
```

West can defeat the contract by shifting to the $\vee A$ at trick 2, but that could easily have been a mistake. Instead he continued with the $\uparrow$ Q. Gosney took the $\uparrow A$, discarding a club. Now \&A, club ruffed high, $\uparrow 5$ to dummy's $\bullet 9$ and another club ruffed high left dummy with two club winners. That allowed South to cross to the $\downarrow \mathrm{Q}$ to draw the last trump and cash the club winners to discard the heart losers. That gave declarer twelve tricks for +420 and 10 Imps when North-South were -50 in 3NT at the other table.

Notice that declarer did not need clubs 3-3. Suppose clubs were 4-2. Then AA, pitching a club, ¢A, ruff a club high, $\uparrow 5$ to the $\$ 9$, ruff a club high, $\downarrow$ to the $\downarrow$, ruff a club high leaves you with one club winner in dummy. You play the 2 to the $\downarrow$ to reach the club winner.


When Things Are Slow at the Coffee Outlet


As an honest Tournament Organiser Therese Tully herewith declares a gift received from our visiting Zimbabwean Tournament Participants Twenty Trillion Zimbabwe Dollars

If I told you that the inflation rate is 5 billion percent per annum you may understand the value of 20 Trillion Zimbabwean Dollars

| Under 50 Masterpoint Pairs - Thursday |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North-South |  |  | East-West |  |  |
| 1 | Patrick Eather - Amanda Adams | 61.87 | 1 | Jim Love - Sandra Goodworth | 59.04 |
| 2 | Stephen Singer - Peter Jaffe | 59.80 | 2 | Karin Strahan - Cliff Strahan | 58.93 |
| 3 | Janet Jefferies - Kim Reeder | 58.71 | 3 | John Wilson - Geoff Willson | 58.06 |
| 4 | Norma Hale - Fiona Law | 57.63 | 4 | Kathryn Males - May Waddell | 56.64 |
| 5 | Suzi Ledger - Barbara Simpson | 55.56 | 5 | Brian Goldberg - Peter McNamee | 56.54 |
| 6 | Kevin Gilbert - Susan Gilbert | 54.14 | 6 | Jayne Lansdown - Lori Sexton | 55.66 |
| 7 | John Stimpson - Cherylene Stimpson | 52.40 | 7 | Hazel Parkins - Tom Lyons | 54.03 |
| 8 | Julie Heib - David Gillard | 50.22 | 8 | Barbara Bright - Narelle McIver | 52.83 |
| 9 | Trish Miller - Marie Orme | 49.89 | 9 | Bruce Gough - Bruce Wiggins | 51.85 |
| 10 | Thea Hobson - Sue Rohrig | 49.02 | 10 | Pat White - Helen Cunynghame | 50.22 |
| 11 | Judy Fitzgerald - Patricia Adam | 48.47 | 10 | Leonie Elphinstone - Gordon Plant | 50.22 |
| 12 | Carol Cowley - Janette Youens | 48.37 | 12 | Jim Skeen - Michelle Behrens | 47.93 |
| 13 | Donna Rix - Helen Harkin | 46.95 | 13 | Judith Egan - Peter Goldman | 47.17 |
| 14 | Warren Moore - Greg Nolan | 46.08 | 13 | Shane Knight - Ming Yang | 47.17 |
| 15 | Darryl Lock - Glenda Lock | 45.97 | 15 | Colleen Rowles - Pam Lorne-Tait | 45.53 |
| 16 | Anthony Donkersloot - Anne Ross | 45.32 | 16 | Jane Hills - Jennifer Montague | 44.99 |
| 17 | Rena Indermaur - Annie Sinclair | 44.44 | 17 | Anthony Marsland - Helen Blair | 43.90 |
| 18 | Peter Bishop - Philip Atkinson | 43.46 | 18 | Janet Lewis - Elizabeth Handley | 41.29 |
| 19 | Anita Taylor - Judith Grix | 41.29 | 19 | Kristine Rossiter - Anita Boyle | 40.63 |
| 20 | Bernhard Boulton - Mary Stoney | 40.41 | 20 | Moira Smith - Gaye Poll | 37.36 |
| Thursday Rookie Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
| North-South |  |  | East-West |  |  |
| 1 | Barbara Moni - Wendy Casey | 63.98 | 1 | Peter Wilce - James Pope | 64.09 |
| 2 | Wendy Crombie - Julie Stockley | 63.39 | 2 | Jeff Wicks - Julie Wicks | 59.71 |
| 3 | Karen Sweep - Rhonda Henry | 59.91 | 3 | Tony Roberts - Libby Roberts | 57.77 |
| 4 | Nili Wood - Laurence Wood | 54.46 | 4 | Robert Sharp - Susan Sharp | 57.68 |
| 5 | Robert Olander - William Webster | 54.34 | 5 | Peter Allingham - Chris Turvey | 54.25 |
| 6 | Fiona Carter - Catherine Cooper | 52.24 | 6 | Mary Simon - Isabel Griinke | 52.47 |
| 7 | Trish Hart - Bing Wilson | 52.01 | 7 | Claudia Gibson - Lin Kendall | 52.17 |
| 8 | Anna Jadach - Sue Smalley | 51.77 | 8 | Yvonne Croft - June Abbott | 52.04 |
| 9 | Di Kruse - Jennifer Martin | 51.33 | 9 | Vicki Clark - Margaret Ashby | 51.62 |
| 10 | Clare Gleeson - Gillian Kinsella | 51.10 | 10 | Lyndel Hewitt - Noel Hewitt | 51.11 |
| 11 | Sue Clare - Lesley Henderson | 51.05 | 11 |  | 50.54 |
| 12 | Dick Hemphill - Eliza Hemphill | 50.69 | 12 | Sandra Conoplia - Jean McGuinness | 49.99 |
| 13 | Marguerite Bettington - Jan Deaville | 48.33 | 13 | Desley Webb - Susan Cameron | 48.64 |
| 14 | Ivy Monteiro - Robyn Seet | 47.76 | 14 | Ian Sobey - Devi Thillainathan | 48.17 |
| 15 | Desley Chadwick - Claudia Lee | 46.54 | 15 | Kevin Joyce - Nora Joyce | 45.37 |
| 16 | Laura Ryan - Lyn Keogh | 46.01 | 16 | Lile Williams - Lana Meldon | 44.37 |
| 17 | Pamela Bennett - Jenny Hearle | 43.80 | 17 | Ian Nicholson - Jennifer Nicholson | 43.00 |
| 18 | Airlie Phillis - Margie Kilmartin | 42.46 | 18 | Cherie Orchard - Penelope Wagstaff | 42.21 |
| 19 | Susie Thomson - Janet Warby | 38.90 | 19 | Julie McKinnon - Prudence Israel | 39.04 |
| 20 | Robert Day - Janet McKeogh | 36.22 | 20 | Denyse Morgan - Carol Wright | 35.76 |

GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2015

|  | Friday 27th February | Saturday 28th February |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPEN EVENTS |  |  |
| Open Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs Friday Teams | Q/F Teams S/F Teams <br> $9: 00 \mathrm{am} 2 \times 12$ $2: 00 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{4x10}$ <br> Brds Brds <br> 10:00am 1/3 $2: 00 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{2/3}$ <br> 10:00am 1/3 $2: 00 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{2/3}$ | 9:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final 10:30am 3/3 |
| SENIORS EVENTS |  |  |
| Seniors Teams | 10:00am Start <br> 4x12 Brds Final | All Are Dinner |
| INTERMEDIATE EVENTS |  |  |
| Intermediate Teams | 10:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 7:30pm } \\ \text { for } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Bookings } \\ \text { are }\end{array}\end{array}$ |
| RESTRICTED EVENTS |  | 8:00pm Essential |
| Restricted Teams | 10:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final |  |
| Ivy Dahler Restricted Butler Swiss Pairs | 10:00am 1/3 2:00pm 2/3 | 10:30am 3/3 |
| NOVICE EVENTS |  |  |
| Novice Teams <br> Friday Novice Pairs | 10:00am Start <br> $4 \times 12$ Brds Final <br> $10: 00 \mathrm{am} 1 / 2$ |  |
| MIXED TEAMS |  |  |
| Seres/McMahon Mixed Teams | 10:00am 1/2 2:00pm 2/2 |  |
| WALK-IN PAIRS |  |  |
| Holiday Walk-In Pairs - Play 1, 2 or 3 Sessions | 10:00am 1/3 2:00pm 2/3 | 10:30am 3/3 |
|  | Friday | Saturday |


| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Michael Ware - Tom Jacob - Fiona Brown - Tony Nunn - Hugh McGann - Matthew Thomson 189.71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | Taufik Asbi - Robert Parasian - Franky Karwur - Julius George - Beni lbradi 180.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 9 | Simon Hinge - Bill Haughie - Jan Cormack - George Kozakos |  |  |  |  | 165.34 |
| 4 | 25 | Fu Zhong - Ji Chen - Xin Li - Qingjia Zhou - Xuefent Feng |  |  |  |  | 164.32 |
| 5 | 5 | Bruce Neill - Arjuna De Livera - Zolly Nagy - David Lilley |  |  |  |  | 161.71 |
| 6 | 18 | Peter Fordham - Chris Sundstrom - Ross Stuart - Maureen Dennison |  |  |  |  | 160.40 |
| 7 | 12 | Malcolm Carter - Tony Hutton - Paul Wyer - Joan Butts - Michael Courtney |  |  |  |  | 157.94 |
| 8 | 54 | Vicki Bouton - Matthew Brown - Fraser Rew - Brad Coles |  |  |  |  | 156.44 |
| 9 | 19 | Herve Cheval - Gilles Josnin - John Wignall - Bob Scott - Jane Skipper - John Skipper |  |  |  |  | 156.02 |
| 10 | 16 | Ursula Harper - Ross Harper - Justin Howard - Ishmael Del'Monte |  |  |  |  | 152.47 |
| 11 | 38 | Kim Frazer - Jamie Ebery - Greer Tucker - Helen Lowry |  |  |  |  | 152.06 |
| 12 | 4 | Ashley Bach - Mike Cornell - Geo Tislevoll - Ervin Otvosi - Pablo Lambardi |  |  |  |  | 151.09 |
| 13 | 11 | Phil Gue - Bill Hirst - Julian Foster - David Weston |  |  |  |  | 150.94 |
| 14 | 21 | Christine Duckworth - Brian Callaghan - Marshall Lewis - David Appleton - Barry Rigal |  |  |  |  | 150.51 |
| 15 | 109 | Lech Kaszubski - Chris Dibley - Aidan Dorrell - Jacob Tarszisz |  |  |  |  | 150.05 |
| 16 | 15 | Joachim Haffer - John Newman - Mike Doecke - William Jenner-O'Shea |  |  |  |  | 148.95 |
| 17 | 42 | Alister Stuck - Tony Lenart - Paula Boughey - Duncan Badley |  |  |  |  | 148.27 |
| 18 | 7 | David Beauchamp - Peter Hollands - Maxim Henbest - David Wiltshire |  |  |  |  | 148.01 |
| 19 | 41 | Watson Zhou - Michael Chen - Charlie Lu - Chuan Liu |  |  |  |  | 146.69 |
| 20 | 34 | Tony Leibowitz - Paul Lavings - Dave Debbage - Edward Levy |  |  |  |  | 146.35 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 21 | 13 | Gumby | 146.10 | 115 | 143 | Mill | 117.50 |
| 22 | 3 | Milne | 145.63 | 116 | 171 | C Bourke | 117.32 |
| 23 | 6 | T Brown | 145.20 | 117 | 90 | Kefford | 116.89 |
| 24 | 17 | Braun | 143.62 | 118 | 150 | Thirtle | 116.59 |
| 25 | 14 | P Carter | 143.28 | 119 | 207 | Armstrong | 116.36 |
| 26 | 30 | Xu | 143.26 | 120 | 129 | Baron | 115.85 |
| 27 | 10 | Pertamina Ep Blue | 143.23 | 121 | 111 | Beddow | 115.44 |
| 28 | 35 | Free | 143.02 | 122 | 208 | Ridgway | 115.05 |
| 29 | 8 | Burke | 142.27 | 123 | 113 | Baker | 114.90 |
| 30 | 48 | Kalmin | 141.22 | 124 | 140 | Date | 114.79 |
| 31 | 46 | S Hurley | 140.14 | 125 | 198 | Bayliss | 114.71 |
| 32 | 29 | Strong | 139.91 | 126 | 165 | L Gray | 114.57 |
| 33 | 110 | Lockwood | 139.66 | 127 | 86 | Stacey | 114.47 |
| 34 | 57 | W Smith | 138.49 | 128 | 125 | B Inglis | 114.14 |
| 35 | 118 | Steffensen | 138.38 | 129 | 205 | Spencer | 114.00 |
| 36 | 56 | Pemberton | 138.18 | 130 | 117 | Maltz | 113.84 |
| 37 | 79 | Weaver | 136.89 | 131 | 108 | Terry | 113.76 |
| 38 | 22 | M Bourke | 136.29 | 132 | 52 | Grosvenor | 113.60 |
| 39 | 58 | Woolley | 136.28 | 133 | 91 | Bates | 113.58 |
| 40 | 31 | Sawicki | 136.21 | 134 | 196 | Edelstein | 113.23 |
| 41 | 141 | Allen | 135.85 | 135 | 203 | De Vocht | 112.85 |
| 42 | 26 | Hoffman | 135.73 | 136 | 174 | Nash | 112.07 |
| 43 | 32 | Richardson | 135.11 | 137 | 96 | Mayers | 111.92 |
| 44 | 65 | Andrew | 135.10 | 138 | 64 | Folkard | 111.85 |
| 45 | 119 | Chen | 134.91 | 139 | 160 | Moffitt | 111.74 |
| 46 | 20 | Giura | 134.90 | 140 | 89 | Kudelka | 111.19 |
| 47 | 50 | Malinas | 134.54 | 141 | 72 | Doddridge | 111.16 |
| 48 | 124 | Bugeia | 134.26 | 142 | 182 | Atkins | 110.93 |
| 49 | 40 | Treloar | 134.07 | 143 | 121 | Thompson | 110.91 |
| 50 | 83 | Wurth | 133.91 | 144 | 155 | Hickey | 109.35 |
| 51 | 53 | Deaton | 133.62 | 145 | 75 | Lorraway | 109.22 |
| 52 | 33 | De Luca | 133.61 | 146 | 103 | Williams | 109.06 |
| 53 | 84 | McGrath | 133.60 | 147 | 67 | Hammond | 108.99 |
| 54 | 68 | Cleaver | 133.49 | 148 | 149 | Andersson | 108.92 |
| 55 | 28 | Martelletti | 133.48 | 149 | 179 | L Bourke | 108.61 |
| 56 | 51 | Hegedus | 133.45 | 150 | 151 | Anderson | 108.46 |
| 57 | 71 | Berger | 133.28 | 151 | 130 | Lewis | 107.97 |
| 58 | 163 | Barrie | 132.37 | 152 | 190 | L Allan | 107.73 |
| 59 | 157 | Lee | 132.22 | 153 | 158 | Jackson | 107.64 |
| 60 | 44 | Ong | 132.18 | 154 | 177 | Fletcher | 107.09 |
| 61 | 27 | Watts | 131.93 | 155 | 204 | L Inglis | 106.96 |
| 62 | 144 | Speiser | 131.87 | 156 | 154 | Cruickshank | 106.70 |
| 63 | 49 | Pelkman | 131.82 | 157 | 199 | Hoff | 106.49 |
| 64 | 39 | Wallis | 131.53 | 158 | 169 | Clayton | 106.17 |


| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 55 | E Hurley | 130.32 | 159 | 73 | Green | 104.68 |
| 66 | 23 | Nixon | 130.09 | 160 | 153 | Leach | 104.27 |
| 67 | 43 | McCartney | 130.07 | 161 | 168 | Peak | 104.13 |
| 68 | 45 | Mott | 129.89 | 162 | 173 | Wilkinson | 103.80 |
| 69 | 24 | H Dawson | 129.87 | 163 | 88 | Thomas | 103.61 |
| 70 | 62 | Tier | 129.29 | 164 | 189 | Kable | 103.40 |
| 71 | 200 | Kron | 128.59 | 165 | 107 | Bogatie | 103.26 |
| 72 | 61 | Afflick | 128.20 | 166 | 162 | Senior | 103.24 |
| 73 | 36 | J Dawson | 127.95 | 167 | 183 | Bonnick | 103.19 |
| 74 | 167 | Howes | 127.04 | 168 | 195 | Stanton | 103.02 |
| 75 | 70 | Smee | 126.94 | 169 | 152 | Bennett | 102.95 |
| 76 | 194 | Watson | 126.91 | 170 | 120 | S Brown | 102.44 |
| 77 | 69 | Sharp | 126.87 | 171 | 102 | Norden | 102.25 |
| 78 | 106 | Weathered | 126.80 | 172 | 133 | Owens | 101.51 |
| 79 | 80 | Barda | 126.48 | 173 | 180 | Pepper | 101.45 |
| 80 | 77 | Simes | 126.06 | 173 | 131 | Darley | 101.45 |
| 81 | 78 | Dressler | 126.00 | 175 | 184 | D Smith | 101.38 |
| 82 | 59 | Jones | 125.47 | 176 | 156 | Purkiss | 100.91 |
| 83 | 105 | Luck | 124.68 | 177 | 112 | Van Wyck | 100.88 |
| 84 | 114 | Gibson | 124.42 | 178 | 63 | Sterrenburg | 99.67 |
| 85 | 99 | Cains | 124.20 | 179 | 145 | Fraser | 98.91 |
| 86 | 95 | Frazier | 123.68 | 180 | 126 | Valentine | 98.12 |
| 87 | 37 | Samuel | 123.51 | 181 | 134 | Mitchell | 97.96 |
| 88 | 93 | Martin | 123.46 | 182 | 191 | Campbell | 97.64 |
| 89 | 132 | Clyne | 123.08 | 183 | 137 | Gilfoyle | 97.07 |
| 90 | 100 | Briscoe | 122.98 | 184 | 175 | Carroll | 96.42 |
| 91 | 161 | McAlister | 122.35 | 185 | 115 | Gunner | 95.83 |
| 92 | 85 | Shaw | 122.22 | 186 | 142 | Jenkins | 94.91 |
| 93 | 66 | Alexander | 122.15 | 187 | 185 | Mills | 94.69 |
| 94 | 128 | Yuill | 122.13 | 188 | 178 | Beckett | 94.38 |
| 95 | 47 | Budai | 121.51 | 189 | 192 | Littler | 94.31 |
| 96 | 76 | Schokman | 121.49 | 190 | 201 | Van Bakel | 93.72 |
| 97 | 146 | Lisle | 121.47 | 191 | 164 | Fry | 92.25 |
| 98 | 82 | Grahame | 121.40 | 192 | 193 | Page | 92.04 |
| 99 | 101 | Kovacs | 121.27 | 193 | 94 | Walters | 90.90 |
| 100 | 138 | Morris | 121.15 | 194 | 159 | Grant | 90.41 |
| 101 | 147 | McCarthy | 120.71 | 195 | 127 | Saxby | 88.43 |
| 102 | 60 | P Bach | 120.60 | 196 | 135 | Tredrea | 88.14 |
| 103 | 97 | Obenchain | 119.96 | 197 | 176 | Turnell | 87.77 |
| 104 | 123 | Jefferson | 119.52 | 198 | 202 | Kennedy | 86.73 |
| 105 | 104 | Howard | 119.40 | 199 | 188 | Carr | 86.65 |
| 106 | 92 | Clarke | 119.30 | 200 | 148 | Millar | 86.48 |
| 107 | 74 | S Gray | 119.19 | 200 | 166 | Roughley | 86.48 |
| 108 | 87 | Moffat | 118.95 | 202 | 170 | Clift | 84.09 |
| 108 | 186 | Wood | 118.95 | 203 | 172 | Varmo | 82.80 |
| 110 | 122 | Morrison | 118.44 | 204 | 139 | Stringfellow | 79.97 |
| 111 | 81 | Mangos | 118.30 | 205 | 197 | McMahon | 79.38 |
| 112 | 98 | M Allan | 118.25 | 206 | 181 | Munro | 75.85 |
| 113 | 206 | Jenner | 118.09 | 207 | 187 | Rose | 72.58 |
| 114 | 136 | Orsborn | 117.83 | 208 | 116 | Hamilton | 57.94 |
| Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 2 | Martin Bloom - Nigel Rosendorff - Steven Bock - Les Grewcock <br> Trevor Robb - Andrew Janisz - Patsy Walters - Lynne Geursen - Arie Geursen |  |  |  |  | 173.94 |
| 2 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 161.30 |
| 3 | 7 | Tom Moss - Dennis Zines - David Stern - Robert Grynberg - Sue Picus - Brent Manley |  |  |  |  | 148.23 |
| 4 | 1 | Richard Brightling - Peter Buchen - David Hoffman - Chris Hughes |  |  |  |  | 147.92 |
| 5 | 4 | Robert Bignall - Peter Chan - Robert Sebesfi - Roger Januszke |  |  |  |  | 147.34 |
| 6 | 9 | Stan Klofa - Alex Czapnik - Robert Gallus - Robert Stewart |  |  |  |  | 146.13 |
| 7 | 14 | Robyn Freeman-Greene - Tony Thomson - Helen Thomson - Dale Lacey |  |  |  |  | 138.46 |
| 8 | 8 | Andrew Creet - Stephen Mendick - Peter Grant - Tony Marinos |  |  |  |  | 135.02 |
| 9 | 12 | Andrew Braithwaite - Suzie Moses - Mike Robson - Betty Lee |  |  |  |  | 134.83 |
| 10 | 13 | Tony Jackman - Therese Tully - Meta Goodman - Richard Wallis - Wynne Webber |  |  |  |  | 133.20 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 21 | Harris | 131.99 | 24 | 24 | Heyting | 113.79 |
| 12 | 17 | Milward | 128.96 | 25 | 22 | Schaap | 111.73 |
| 13 | 26 | Crockett | 128.75 | 26 | 29 | Clarke | 111.33 |


| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 6 | Marr | 128.16 | 27 | 30 | Spurway | 110.03 |
| 15 | 19 | Shand | 127.59 | 28 | 20 | Davis | 109.27 |
| 16 | 18 | Evennett | 126.03 | 29 | 16 | Marks | 107.70 |
| 17 | 10 | Kahler | 125.16 | 30 | 25 | Cullen | 105.92 |
| 18 | 28 | Cohen | 122.91 | 31 | 27 | Biro | 103.61 |
| 19 | 5 | Walsh | 122.77 | 32 | 33 | Lee | 102.60 |
| 20 | 31 | Sheehy | 120.98 | 33 | 34 | Johnstone | 91.26 |
| 21 | 23 | Tootell | 119.88 | 34 | 35 | Gray | 74.18 |
| 22 | 15 | Finikiotis | 118.96 | 35 | 3 | Irving | 70.47 |
| 23 | 32 | Meakins | 115.37 | 36 | 36 | Popa | 26.10 |
| Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Memb |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 6 | Michael Stoneman - Val Roland - Herold Rienstra - Bert Luchjenbroers Eric Baker - Chris Stead - Keith Blinco - Terrence Sheedy |  |  |  |  | 166.22 |
| 2 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  | 159.68 |
| 3 | 83 | Nimul Weerasinghe - Max Robb - Lyn Mould - Ann Deaker |  |  |  |  | 159.16 |
| 4 | 1 | Tim Runting - Craig Francis - Alison Dawson - John Kelly |  |  |  |  | 154.41 |
| 5 | 17 | Chris Fernando - Bert Romeijn - Kathryn Attwood - Larry Attwood |  |  |  |  | 150.97 |
| 6 | 76 | Michael McAuliffe - Richard McAuliffe - Leigh Matheson - Lavy Libman |  |  |  |  | 149.49 |
| 7 | 4 | Donna Krosch - Gayle McCarthy - Bruce Carroll - Paul Roberts |  |  |  |  | 149.09 |
| 8 | 7 | Bastian Bolt - Geoffrey Roberts - Kevin Dean - Bob Hunt |  |  |  |  | 144.30 |
| 9 | 21 | Evelyne Mander - Sheila Wills - Val Holbrook - Michael Fernon |  |  |  |  | 143.80 |
| 10 | 79 | David Roseman - Macauley Roseman - Tere Wotherspoon - Charles Page |  |  |  |  | 143.65 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 12 | Pisko | 143.45 | 49 | 75 | B Gordon | 117.09 |
| 12 | 58 | Erskine | 142.85 | 50 | 10 | Butler | 115.29 |
| 13 | 80 | I Beattie | 142.77 | 51 | 69 | McWilliam | 115.07 |
| 14 | 27 | Brown | 141.74 | 52 | 64 | Kent | 114.69 |
| 15 | 14 | Webb | 140.18 | 53 | 73 | Ward | 113.79 |
| 16 | 53 | Cockbill | 138.37 | 54 | 26 | Barbour | 112.99 |
| 17 | 8 | Johnson | 137.25 | 55 | 52 | Fulton | 112.90 |
| 18 | 82 | Klugman | 137.15 | 56 | 28 | Sinclair | 112.40 |
| 19 | 51 | Webber | 135.45 | 57 | 45 | Leckie | 112.27 |
| 20 | 59 | Rogers | 135.34 | 58 | 77 | Chaffey | 112.18 |
| 21 | 13 | Wylie | 132.97 | 59 | 30 | Wooler | 111.47 |
| 22 | 41 | Eldridge | 132.11 | 60 | 57 | Graham | 110.84 |
| 22 | 9 | Bailey | 132.11 | 61 | 60 | Moody | 110.81 |
| 24 | 68 | Routley | 132.00 | 62 | 85 | Whittle | 110.41 |
| 25 | 2 | Sykes | 131.88 | 63 | 84 | Turner | 109.79 |
| 26 | 5 | Jesser | 130.80 | 64 | 23 | De Mestre | 108.53 |
| 27 | 78 | Black | 129.59 | 65 | 86 | Kennealy | 107.08 |
| 28 | 43 | Codognotto | 128.74 | 66 | 42 | Steinhardt | 106.48 |
| 29 | 11 | Grant | 128.29 | 67 | 39 | Driscoll | 105.81 |
| 30 | 16 | Warnock | 127.07 | 68 | 32 | Land | 104.81 |
| 31 | 65 | Jones | 126.90 | 69 | 50 | Tomlinson | 103.60 |
| 32 | 22 | Nilsson | 126.72 | 70 | 19 | P Gordon | 103.45 |
| 33 | 31 | Williams | 126.22 | 71 | 61 | Greenwood | 102.46 |
| 34 | 33 | Edrich | 125.50 | 72 | 40 | Tuckey | 102.21 |
| 35 | 67 | P Beattie | 124.11 | 73 | 71 | Gardiner | 99.79 |
| 36 | 29 | Rohde | 123.87 | 74 | 74 | Chau | 99.17 |
| 37 | 15 | Anderson | 123.63 | 75 | 55 | Pearson | 98.37 |
| 38 | 18 | Eastman | 123.25 | 76 | 34 | Kull | 97.64 |
| 39 | 35 | Campbell | 122.98 | 77 | 48 | Palethorpe | 97.17 |
| 40 | 72 | Armstrong | 122.77 | 78 | 20 | Nishigami | 96.62 |
| 41 | 38 | Drwecka | 122.03 | 79 | 44 | Gooley | 95.40 |
| 42 | 47 | Abdelhamid | 120.94 | 80 | 70 | Dickerson | 95.00 |
| 43 | 25 | Kavanagh | 120.29 | 81 | 49 | Paris | 93.82 |
| 44 | 54 | McNee | 120.01 | 82 | 63 | Stephenson | 93.77 |
| 45 | 62 | Sadigh | 119.55 | 83 | 56 | Binsted | 89.00 |
| 46 | 37 | Pincus | 117.99 | 84 | 46 | Robertson | 77.21 |
| 47 | 3 | Ho | 117.92 | 85 | 36 | Murray | 72.79 |
| 48 | 24 | Hollingworth | 117.87 | 86 | 81 | Heap | 59.80 |


| Restricted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 32 | Jenny Simmons - Kathleen Wilman - Barbara Rydon - Julia Howe - Rosemary Chalk |  |  |  |  | 156.34 |
| 2 | 2 | Anne McNaughton - Faye Bell - Heather Scott - Margot Moylan |  |  |  |  | 156.26 |
| 3 | 16 | Arne Jonsberg - John Lahey - Lesleigh Egan - Lynne Henley |  |  |  |  | 153.55 |
| 4 | 4 | Mimi Packer - Virginia Seward - Susanne Gammon - Deana Wilson |  |  |  |  | 153.40 |
| 5 | 3 | Fatma Ahmet - Jacqui Morton - Molly Butcher - Ian Williams |  |  |  |  | 149.56 |
| 6 | 35 | Jane Gray - Teena McKenzie - Janet Ham - John Ham |  |  |  |  | 148.19 |
| 7 | 38 | Cherry Trengove - Margaret Rex - Susan Hunt - Janet Grieve |  |  |  |  | 146.88 |
| 8 | 1 | Malcolm Clift - Kathy Clift - Fran Campbell - Sallie Quarles |  |  |  |  | 146.26 |
| 9 | 28 | Ian Pick - Graham Carson - Carolyn Hart - Bob Hart |  |  |  |  | 141.99 |
| 10 | 7 | Marcey Spilsbury - Sheryl Gardner - Desiree Fenaughty - John Erlandson |  |  |  |  | 140.91 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 36 | Jones | 140.22 | 42 | 52 | Crommelin | 116.49 |
| 12 | 33 | Jacka | 138.64 | 43 | 29 | Broatch | 115.53 |
| 13 | 34 | J Jenkins | 137.87 | 44 | 65 | Baillon-Bending | 114.33 |
| 14 | 27 | Bardone | 137.44 | 45 | 43 | Greenway | 113.72 |
| 15 | 71 | Tattersfield | 137.34 | 46 | 69 | Linden | 113.44 |
| 16 | 6 | Weaver | 137.09 | 47 | 42 | Sawyer | 112.63 |
| 17 | 10 | M Stevens | 136.98 | 48 | 59 | Higgins | 112.39 |
| 18 | 14 | McMaster | 136.94 | 49 | 8 | Cullen | 111.75 |
| 19 | 9 | Clifford | 136.58 | 50 | 31 | Peever | 111.12 |
| 20 | 40 | O'Neill | 133.42 | 51 | 26 | Paul | 110.04 |
| 21 | 68 | Wilson | 132.14 | 52 | 44 | Haley | 108.25 |
| 22 | 21 | Sullivan | 131.78 | 53 | 45 | R Stevens | 108.18 |
| 23 | 12 | Treloar | 131.28 | 54 | 60 | D Stuart | 105.70 |
| 24 | 57 | Kommeren | 129.33 | 55 | 50 | Pfafflin | 104.58 |
| 25 | 63 | Crawford | 128.93 | 56 | 70 | Shannahan | 103.00 |
| 26 | 19 | Rossiter-Nuttall | 127.50 | 57 | 62 | F Stuart | 102.83 |
| 27 | 41 | Brake | 126.83 | 58 | 55 | Pettit | 101.88 |
| 28 | 61 | Macintosh | 126.11 | 59 | 17 | Hooper | 101.14 |
| 29 | 20 | Duggin | 125.58 | 60 | 58 | Rees | 100.93 |
| 30 | 30 | M Jenkins | 125.19 | 61 | 46 | Devlin | 100.92 |
| 31 | 49 | Fraser | 125.00 | 62 | 37 | Smith | 100.52 |
| 32 | 39 | Powley | 124.12 | 63 | 54 | Butler | 100.12 |
| 33 | 25 | Clark | 123.66 | 64 | 64 | Verity | 99.96 |
| 34 | 24 | Hyland | 122.49 | 65 | 15 | Tyler | 99.82 |
| 35 | 72 | Quilty | 122.41 | 66 | 23 | Ross | 98.72 |
| 36 | 22 | Sheffield | 119.66 | 67 | 56 | Munro | 97.01 |
| 37 | 13 | Williams | 119.60 | 68 | 47 | Nice | 94.79 |
| 38 | 5 | Loxton | 118.95 | 69 | 48 | Corney | 86.26 |
| 39 | 11 | Wippell | 118.07 | 70 | 67 | Sealy | 79.80 |
| 40 | 18 | Symons | 117.70 | 71 | 51 | Jenkin | 79.42 |
| 41 | 66 | Jacobs | 116.72 | 72 | 53 | Reynolds | 60.43 |
| Novice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 9 | Justine Wlodarczyk - Bronnwyn White - Caroline Marshall - Margaret Teitzel |  |  |  |  | 165.56 |
| 2 | 2 | Denise Merrin - Mary Smith - Joanne Evans - Sheena Pollock |  |  |  |  | 164.01 |
| 3 | 7 | Prunella Adams - Malcolm Adams - Annemarie Hugentobler - Walter Hugentobler |  |  |  |  | 158.51 |
| 4 | 6 | Don Du Temple - Adrienne Du Temple - Alex Hunyor - Roslyn Hunyor |  |  |  |  | 147.30 |
| 5 | 10 | Susan Kennard - Beverley O'Hara - Fay Jeppesen - Kenneth Griggs |  |  |  |  | 139.81 |
| 6 | 34 | Allan Byrnes - Steven Parkes - Unis Suliman - Peter Taylor |  |  |  |  | 137.98 |
| 7 | 5 | Claire Weston - Wilma Coloper - Karl Buchmann - Jill Buchmann |  |  |  |  | 133.46 |
| 8 | 15 | Louise McKenna - Elizabeth Ryan - Judith Bennett - Del Dudman |  |  |  |  | 132.75 |
| 9 | 12 | Daria Williams - Ian Cameron - Nanette Hinsch - Colleen Sobey |  |  |  |  | 132.39 |
| 10 | 1 | Rebecca Knight - Naureen Gearon - Judith Glasby - Trevor Glasby |  |  |  |  | 129.22 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 13 | Nugent | 128.75 | 23 | 27 | Northey | 111.03 |
| 12 | 8 | Hall | 126.75 | 24 | 31 | Speiser | 108.87 |
| 13 | 16 | Nilsson | 125.55 | 25 | 22 | Noble | 106.44 |
| 14 | 3 | Hertelendy | 125.48 | 26 | 26 | Mawson | 104.94 |
| 15 | 20 | Musgrave | 124.52 | 27 | 11 | Cox | 100.80 |
| 16 | 4 | Taylor | 123.68 | 28 | 19 | Jackson | 100.46 |
| 17 | 25 | Bryant | 120.50 | 29 | 14 | Sargent | 98.60 |
| 18 | 24 | Garside | 116.33 | 30 | 33 | Hughes | 96.32 |
| 19 | 23 | Carter | 115.25 | 31 | 29 | O'Keeffe | 94.63 |
| 20 | 17 | Sharp | 114.87 | 32 | 30 | Archer | 94.01 |
| 21 | 18 | Howitt | 113.55 | 33 | 32 | Reilly | 89.33 |
| 22 | 28 | Anderson | 112.96 | 34 | 21 | Coyle | 85.39 |

DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU


YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU

| 3 | 5 |  | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| 8 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 2 |
| 9 | 8 | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{15+}$ | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| 7 | 9 |  | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 8 |
| 2 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |

DIFFICULT SUDOKU

|  |  | 8 | 9 | 3 | 5 |  | 7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  | 2 |
| 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 9 |  |  | 8 | 7 |
|  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  | 6 | 8 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 3 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION DIFFICULT SUDOKU

| 6 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 1 |
| 7 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 2 |
| 4 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 |
| 1 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 3 |
| 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| 3 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 5 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 9 |
| 8 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 7 |

## SERVICES

If you stick to The Gold Coast Congress sponsoring restaurants you'll stay healthy of course. But should the need for a DOCTOR arise there's Broadbeach Medical Centre 07-5531-6344 one block south of the venue on the seaward side of the highway. Should you need after hours attention call 07-5531-1224.
Should a BABYSITTER be required call Cathie at Gold Coast Nannies 0431-301-916. All Nannies carry current Blue Card and Senior First Aid Certificate including CPR for children.
If you are feeling stiff and sore give Sue and her mobile MASSAGE team a call on 0466-284-114. Take a look at their complimentary gift offer on page 58A of Hello Gold Coast magazine and Sue's 'love it or your money back' motto.

## TABLE COUNT

Tables count to the end of play Thursday was 7282 versus 7058 last year

