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MONDAY NIGHT TRIVIA WINNERS


In what was probably our largest turnout yet, twenty teams and well over one hundred bridge players fought out the Gold Coast Congress Trivia Contest fought at Grumpy' s Barefoot Bar - Steak \& Seafood Grill. Barry Rigal, a man who knows more trivia than anybody we know -along with a lot of less than trivial matters as well, such as squeezes, finesses, systems and everything else about the game of bridge - reported that the competition was fierce and the winners were certainly deserving.
The many compliments for hosts Jac \& Bill Rossiter-Nuttall that we have heard are certainly well deserved given their skilful testing of the trivia and general knowledge of all the competitors.
Compliments are also due to all the competitors who, through their participation, managed to raise $\$ 815$ which will be donated to the Australian Friends of Youth Bridge Fund which provides subsidies to youth players to attend tournaments, providing teachers to teach bridge in schools, as well as other grants to facilitate the growth of bridge among younger players.


Congratulations to
Best Women's Pair - Lorraine Stachurski and Mindy Wu Best Queensland Pair - Pam Schoen and Phil Hale.


Freda Haden receiving her prize At Meegs Fashion Parade


Faye Wright with her scarf which she won at Meegs Fashion Parade

Sally Elliott, owner of Meegs Boutique hosted the Monday Morning Fashion Parade keenly attended by many of our fashion conscious players

## MY FAVOURITE DEAL OF THE GOLD COAST - SO FAR!

Barry Rigal
It took till the very last round of the pairs, but finally a hand came up that embodied the art of the 'Play or defend?' conundrum.

| Dealer: North | ヘ Q J 1082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark 72$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 25 | -1065 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Prs Fin 3 | \& 972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -97 |  | A AK6 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 965$ |  | - AKQ1083 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| -8742 |  | - K 9 | West | North | East | South |  |
| かJ 653 |  | \& 10 | - | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | A 53 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\wedge$ |
|  | $\bullet$ J 4 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q J 3 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | ¢ A K Q 84 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\%$ |

At the table I was watching the eventual third-place pair in the main event defended $4 V \times$ by East on an auction where North had opened a weak-two bid that was described as potentially a five-card suit.
The defender in South led two top clubs. Declarer ruffed, drew trumps in two rounds, then ruffed a spade in dummy and tried a diamond to the king, being more hurt than surprised when it lost.

Imagine that you don't get the distraction of a weak-two opener, but that, like Sue Ingham, you declare $4 \checkmark$ on two rounds of clubs. You ruff, draw just one round of trumps, and then play a A K and a third spade.
What happened at most tables was that South took the opportunity to ruff in - and found themselves endplayed!
They could exit with $\& \mathrm{Q}$ - when declarer would ruff, ruff a spade to dummy, and cash $\mathrm{\&}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{J}$ to pitch a diamond. Or he could lead the $\downarrow \mathrm{A}$, when declarer would claim the rest.
"Aha!" you say, being a fine double-dummy analyst. "What if I don't ruff in?"
Not so fast, my fine friend. I ruff a club to hand, lead my last spade, and when you ruff in with $\vee J$ I discard my last club from dummy. And you are trussed and endplayed like the Thanksgiving turkey I knew you always were...

If South doesn't ruff in declarer scores the second spade ruff and surrenders two diamond tricks but no more; making ten tricks either way.

PS: We don't know of any table where the play went precisely this way, with South refusing to ruff, but if that was what happened to you, please let us know.

PPS: Justin Howard told us the full story on this deal. Playing with $\mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{O}^{*} \mathbf{S}^{*} S H^{*} A^{*} R^{*} P^{*} E^{*} R$ he too opened $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, and Ross defended to $4 \checkmark$ by leading a top club and shifting to a spade (a small heart would do as well). Try and make the game now!

PPPS: If you are wondering about $\mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{O}^{*} \mathbf{S}^{*} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{H}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{P}^{*} \mathrm{E}^{*} \mathrm{R}$, yes your editors can be bought - rates available on request.

MORE WINNERS - MONDAY BUTLER PAIRS


Ian Lincoln \& Chris Williams
TEAMS QUALIFIER ONE
Barry Rigal
I was lucky to find a table for the first round that featured a top team against a pair that had qualified for the main final, Jacob Tarszisz and Aidan Dorrell taking on Arjuna de Livera and "The Prez" Bruce Neill.

There were remarkably few imps changing hands throughout the match - but that didn't mean it was dull!

| Dealer: North | A J 103 |  | West | North | East | ${ }_{\text {Soill }}^{\text {South }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ J |  | Tarszisz | De Livera | Dorrell |  |  |
| Brd 1 | -108652 |  |  |  | 1^ | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | \& J 972 |  | $2 V$ | Pass | 3 | Pass |  |
| A 5 |  | ^AKQ742 | 4* | Pass | 4* | Pass |  |
| - AK7642 |  | $\checkmark 8$ | 4NT | Pass | $5 \diamond$ [0/3] | Pass |  |
| -97 |  | - A Q J 4 | 5A | All pass |  |  |  |
| \& AK 65 |  | \& 104 |  | Makeabl | le Contr |  |  |
|  | A986 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | NT |
|  | - Q 10953 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | A |
|  | - K 3 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q 83 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
|  |  |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\%$ |

This is not an easy hand to judge. It seems to me that $6 \boldsymbol{1}$ is excellent (at worst either 3-3 spades or 4-2 spades with the diamond finesse working, which comes in at $60 \%$. If the defenders lead a diamond you are home, if a spade you can draw three rounds of trump and test hearts before trumps. Maybe in 6NT you can come home against a bad spade break if the red suits behave. Ten pairs bid the small slam in spades, 20 in no-trump. Those who tried hearts deserved what they got...
On the auction above Tarszisz thought his partner would bid on to slam with three key cards, Dorell thought 5A was to play. Since Nagy-Lilley missed slam as well, there was no swing. Personally I think 4* over 3*
agrees diamonds (with no fit bid 3NT or 4NT) so here 3 lets partner jump to 4 $\boldsymbol{A}$ to emphasize good spades. Now Blackwood should get the job done.

Smarting from that failure, Tarszisz/Dorrell wasted no time at all in getting a slam on the cards.

| Dealer: East | A 1052 |  | West | North | East | South Neill |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | -KQJ84 |  | Tarszisz | De Livera | Dorrell |  |  |
| Brd 2 | --- |  |  |  | $1 *$ | 2 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | *A9654 |  | 3 | $4 \checkmark$ | 5 | Pass |  |
| A A 74 |  | A K J | Pass | 5 | $6{ }^{*}$ | Pass |  |
| $\checkmark 962$ |  | $\checkmark$--- | Pass | Double | All pas |  |  |
| - AJ8762 |  | -KQ109543 |  | Makeabl | le Contr | acts |  |
| \& J |  | \& K 832 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | ^ Q 9863 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - A 10753 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | - |
|  | \& Q 107 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 | $\%$ |

Dorrell walked the dog very nicely, and his partner's underbid at his first turn persuaded De Livera that he was being stolen from - as opposed to needing to sacrifice. Notice that facing the expected 5-5-2-1 shape $6 \checkmark$ really doesn't need partner to have more than AAQJxx. -1090 was a flat board, when Nagy-Lilley started $1 \star$ :[2^]$5 \diamond-[5 \vee]-6 \diamond$ and North doubled in the pass-out seat.

After that, the boards ran extremely flat at both tables. Five making games on the trot - each one worth a couple of imps on the Datum Scoring to the bidders. The next board was also flat, but far more informative.

| Dealer: West | A 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -KQ983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 8 | -K853 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | \& 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 654 |  | A Q J 109 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 5$ |  | - A 62 |  | Makea | e Con |  |  |
| - A Q J 107 |  | -94 | West | North | East | South |  |
| \& K 964 |  | \& Q J 105 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | A AK 82 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | A |
|  | - J 1074 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 62 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | * A 87 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 9 |

De Livera opened $2 V$ as North and was raised to game. There is a defence at double-dummy: lead a low trump and West must split his diamond honours on the first round of the suit, then when declarer goes to dummy with a spade to play diamonds again, West must steel himself to duck. As GWH Bush would say: "Not gonna happen; wouldn't be prudent..."
Anyway Dorrell wasn't psychic and led a top spade. De Livera should have remembered the adage: when you want to ruff things, don't play trumps. After one round of trumps he realized his mistake and switched to diamonds. When Tarszisz understandably rose with $\uparrow A$ the defence should have been over. In fact declarer failed to go about his cross-ruff properly and gave the defenders a second chance, but they didn't take that either. For the record, declarer in the other room also played trump at trick two. It must be a harder hand than it looks.

Board nine offered Neill the chance to be a mean opponent. As South he heard his partner open 1\% and the next hand at unfavourable vulnerability stuck his neck out with 1 . .
Holding:
A A Q 6

- J832
-KQ95
- A 10

He bid 1V and finished in $4 V$ with slam on a (making) finesse. Had he passed, partner had the classic reopening double, and that would have been an easy 800 .

Both pairs then played a partscore with 19 facing three, but $4 V$ needing nothing too horrid in the red-suits and the cards lay well today. It was 4-1 now in the match with four deals to go. Finally we saw some action.

| Dealer: South | AJ1082 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - Q 732 |  | Nagy | Kaszubski | Lilley | Dibley |
| Brd 11 | - J 92 |  | 140 | Pass | 1* | Pass |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | ¢J 9 |  | 3\% | Pass | $4 \%$ | Pass |
| A Q 97 |  | A K 5 | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| - A 65 |  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K} 10$ | 5* [0/3] | Pass | $6 \%$ | All pass |
| - 3 |  | - A Q 1085 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |
| \& AK Q 875 |  | \& 10643 | 4 | - | 5 | NT |
|  | A A 643 |  | 1 | - | 1 | $\wedge$ |
|  | - J 984 |  | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K764 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - |
|  | -2 |  | 6 | - |  | $\%$ |

$6{ }^{*}$ is an excellent spot (a claim if trumps split and excellent even if they don't) but Tarszisz-Dorrell missed it after 1*-1 -[Double]-3a-3NT. In the other room Nagy Lilley had the unopposed auction shown above.
Those 10 imps made it 14-1.
Kaszubski finally got on the board with a proper pick-up on the next deal, playing a making one-level partscore at both tables but the final swing of the match was a truly impressive pick-up for Nagy-Lilley.

| Dealer: East | A J 532 |  | West | North | East | South Dibley |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark 10$ |  | Nagy | Kaszubski | Lilley |  |  |
| Brd 14 | - J 832 |  |  |  | 2* [1] | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | \& K J 74 |  | $2 v$ | Pass | 24 | Pass |  |
| ค --- |  | A K Q 109874 | 3 | Pass | 30 | Pass |  |
| $\checkmark$ K9865 |  | $\bullet$ AJ4 | 49 | All pass |  |  |  |
| -Q954 |  | - A |  | Makeabl | le Cont | acts |  |
| ¢ 8532 |  | \& A 9 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | A A 6 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - Q 732 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K1076 |  | - | - | - | 1 | , |
|  | \& Q 106 |  | 2 | - | 2 |  | $\%$ |

## [1] Majors weak or a spade one-suiter

Dorrell's 1^ opening as East at the other table might be technically correct but it ended the auction. This didn't have to be a terrible result: if N/S led hearts against 4A they would be able to beat it. In fact he collected +200 after an unlucky defence (diamond lead by Neill and a heart shift when in with $\uparrow A$ ).
After the $2 \&$ opening Nagy decided not to up the ante and was able to show his suits at his next turn. Lilley could get his heart fragment in and let Nagy play the best game. The defenders led trumps and when $\vee J$ held Nagy set up spades and made ten tricks without breaking a sweat for a 6 imp gain and 22-7 IMP win.


## TEAM CARTER'S GOAL: HAVE FUN!

## Brent Manley

Four women from Brisbane who play golf at the Indooroopilly Golf Club arrived at the Gold Coast Congress to have another go at the Novice Teams. It's their third time at this tournament.

Jane Carter, Heather Andrews, Helen Himstedt and Baibi Mikelsons didn't do as well as they would have liked in the first session on Tuesday, but there was some interesting action at the table where Carter and Andrews played North-South.

On the second board of the session, Andrews found herself in $4 \downarrow$, one level too high. Andrews needed luck, and she found it.

| Dealer: West Vul: N-S | $\text { A Q } 972$ |  | West | North Carter | East | South <br> Andrews |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 12 | -94 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass | 10 |  |
| Novice Teams R1 | \& A 962 |  | 34 | 4 | All Pa |  |  |
| A J 654 |  | A AK 83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 105$ |  | $\checkmark 82$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KQ J 72 |  | -10865 |  | Makea | le Con | acts |  |
| * Q 10 |  | \& K 73 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | A 10 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |
|  | -KQ9763 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A 3 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& J 854 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\%$ |

The opponents can take two clubs, a diamond and a spade, but one of those tricks went away.
West led the K , taken in dummy with the ace. Andrews played the heart king then a low club from hand, ducking when West contributed the 10 . After cashing the $\downarrow$ Q, West continued the suit, giving Andrews a ruffsluff.
She pitched a club from dummy and ruffed in hand, then played a heart to the ace, followed by a low spade. East could not see all the cards, so she won with the AK and played a fourth round of diamonds, again ruffed in hand for a club pitch from dummy.
Andrews played a club to the now-singleton ace, West following with the queen, and ruffed a spade to hand. When she ruffed another club in dummy, East followed with the king, making South's jack good. Resisting the temptation to pull all the trumps immediately paid off for Andrews with plus 620. The board was a push because the contract was made at the other table, but it could have been a 12-IMP loss.

On this board, Carter made a good decision to go to the five level despite bidding opponents and unfavourable vulnerability. The decision paid off with a 6-IMP gain.

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 1052 \\ & \vee K \text { Q J } 84 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Carter | East | South Andrews |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 2 | - --- |  |  |  | 3 | 4* |
| Novice Teams R1 | *A9654 |  | 5 | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| A A 74 |  | AKJ | Double | All Pass |  |  |
| $\bullet 962$ |  | - --- |  |  |  |  |
| - AJ8762 |  | -KQ109543 |  | Makeabl | Con | acts |
| \& J |  | \& K 832 | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | A Q 9863 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 a |
|  | - A 10753 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 - |
|  | - --- |  | 6 | - | 6 | - * |
|  | \& Q 107 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 \% |

The South hand is light in high-card points but has good shape, thus the Michaels cuebid. Luckily, Andrews found partner will five good hearts and the courage to bid the suit.
East began with the $\uparrow$, presenting Carter with a ruff-sluff at trick one, an unusual event. It is rare for a side to have a 13 -card fit in a suit.
Carter did the best she could by ruffing in dummy and discarding a spade from hand, but there was no way to avoid losing two spades and a club for minus 200. They gained IMPs, however, because at the other table, Himstedt and Mikelsons bid to $5 \star$, making six, for plus 420.

On the next board, Carter made an excellent play to defeat a 3NT contract to gain more IMPs.

| Dealer: South | A K J 1086 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\bullet$ KJ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 3 | - J 976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Novice Teams R1 | \& 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A Q 92 |  | A A 74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -109 |  | -Q872 |  | Makea | Con | cts |  |
| -KQ 832 |  | - A 5 | West | North | East | South |  |
| \& K Q J |  | \& A 754 | 4 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | A 53 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | A |
|  | - A 6543 |  | 3 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -104 |  | 3 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 10986 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |

Against 3NT by East, South started with the $\% 10$, taken in dummy with the jack. A diamond to the ace was followed by two more rounds of diamonds, revealing the bad split. Declarer did not discard optimally, throwing a hearts on the $\forall A$ and another when she put Carter in with the $\checkmark$, Carter was able to make the good switch to the VK , continuing with the $\nabla \mathrm{J}$. East had to cover and Andrews was able to win the ace and cash two more tricks for plus 100. It was a gain because Himstedt and Mikelsons stopped in two, just making. The net plus of 220 was good for a 6-IMP gain.

This board, the last of the set, represented another swing for the Carter team, thanks to a good opening lead by Andrews.

| Dealer: East Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A J } 532 \\ & \vee 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Carter | East | South Andrews |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 14 | - J 832 |  |  |  | 1A | Pass |
| Novice Teams R1 | \& K J 74 |  | 1NT | Pass | 4* | All Pass |
| A --- |  | A K Q 109874 |  |  |  |  |
| -K9865 |  | $\bullet$ AJ4 |  |  |  |  |
| -Q954 |  | - A |  | Makea | le Con | acts |
| \& 8532 |  | - A 9 | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | A A 6 |  | 3 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - Q 732 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - $\quad$ |
|  | -K1076 |  | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | \& Q 106 |  | 2 | - | 2 | $\%$ |

Andrews hit on the best opening lead - a heart. A club also works, but the defense becomes much more complicated.

Carter followed on the opening lead with the $\mathbf{V 1 0}$, which caught Andrews' attention. Declarer played the $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$, ducked by Andrews. She won the spade continuation with the ace and returned a heart, ruffed by Carter. The club switch doomed the contract. Declarer won the ace but could do no more than give Carter her trump trick. In with the $\mathrm{A} J$, Carter cashed the $\boldsymbol{\oplus} \mathrm{K}$ for the setting trick.
The Carter team lost the opening match, but they ended the day with a $2-2$ record, including a 67-18 win that helped them to 11th place after the first four matches.


The Carter Team: Jane Carter, Heather Andrews, Helen Himstedt and Baibi Mikelsons

## BARRY'S PROBLEMS

Barry Rigal

| N/S South | A A 85 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - K 1083 |  |  |
|  | - J 5 |  |  |
|  | \& K 976 |  |  |
| A J 764 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 72$ |  |  |  |
| -K Q 1093 |  |  |  |
| \& J 3 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  |  | 19 |
| Pass | 2NT(1) | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 5NT |
| Pass | 6 | All Pa |  |
| (1)Forcing $\checkmark$ raise |  |  |  |
| Opening Lead $>\mathrm{K}$ |  |  |  |

There are many rules in bridge, and most of them have an underlying grain of sense to them. Equally, it is almost impossible to construct a rule where one cannot find an exception.
Dummy has a satisfying minimum when you lead a top diamond against six hearts. Partner plays the 8 . Declarer wins, draws trump in two rounds as you follow suit, then plays king and a second spade to the ace, ruffing the third spade in hand. You are then thrown on lead with a diamond ...over to you.

## ANSWER

A typical rule that is generally but not always right is the prohibition on defenders giving a ruff-sluff. Today's deal shows when a defender can work out to break the rules.
North-South had an optimistic but straightforward auction to slam, though North's decision to set up a game-forcing auction with a relatively balanced hand persuaded South into overbidding by using
Blackwood, when perhaps a cue-bidding auction would have kept the partnership low. In general, the use of the Jacoby two no-trump response should be restricted to hands better than a dead minimum opening bid.
Declarer took the diamond king lead, drew trump, eliminated the spades and exited with a diamond to leave West on play in the sixcard ending. Before she led to the next trick, she paused to count out declarer's distribution. South was marked as having started life with three+ diamonds, or with a 2-5-2-4 distribution and so a ruff-anddiscard would not advance declarer's cause - in that either the clubs were solid to start with, or there would still be a loser. Conversely, touching clubs might surrender the defenders' trick in that suit if East had the club queen and declarer played for split honours. So West exited with the diamond 10, and declarer had to lose a club at the end.

| A A 85 <br> -K 1083 <br> - J 5 <br> \& K 975 |
| :---: |

ヘ J 764
A Q 1092

- 72
$\checkmark 54$
-K Q 1093
- 8764
\& J 3
\& Q 54

AK 3
-AQJ96

- A 2
\& A 1082


This one came from the late d'Arcy Albertsen of California.
As we waited for the elevator at a hotel that was hosting a tournament, my husband turned to the young couple next to us. "How did you make out last night?" he inquired. It was a perfectly normal question at a tournament.

We quickly learned that the two were not bridge players when the young man glowered at my husband and exclaimed, "That is none of your business!"

## POOR SIGNALING, PART 4

I have already written a lot about count signals and how important they can be. A proper signal often makes the difference between defeating a contract and letting it make.
That said, it's not a good idea to give count religiously. If declarer knows you and your partner always give count, he will take advantage of the information.
Suppose, for example, that you hold $\downarrow 986$ and are looking at $\downarrow$ Q 1054 in dummy. Declarer plays the $\downarrow A$ and continues with the king. Giving count by playing the 9 or 8 and then your lowest diamond would tell declarer you started with four diamonds.

If your partner followed low twice and declarer believes your signals, he will play low from his hand and insert dummy's 10. Your signal has given away the show and made it easy for declarer to take four diamond tricks instead of the three he would have if he made the routine play of going up with the queen.
This may seem like a silly example, but if you are playing against a declarer who watches the spots and is adept at drawing inferences, count signals can make life easy for the opponents.
So, you ask, how do you decide when to give count and when not to? In the previous column, you saw how important it is to give count when dummy has a strong suit with no outside entries. If one of you has the ace, the other must signal count in the suit so that the ace is taken at just the right moment in the play. That is the most common situation, but count can be important in other scenarios.

Suppose the opponents have blasted in to a slam and partner leads the \%K. Dummy comes down with three clubs to the queen.
Alert - small digression ahead.
With A-K on lead against a slam, experienced players start with the king even if the normal partnership agreement is ace from A-K. In that situation, the opening leader's partner is expected to signal count. When the opening lead against a slam is the ace, the leader's partner is expected to signal attitude.
Back to the situation at hand: If partner's lead holds, he obviously has the ace and he needs to know if it will cash. You can help by signalling count. It could be disastrous if partner tries to cash the ace and it is ruffed, making dummy's queen good. That could be trick No. 12.

Your job is to signal - in the clearest way possible - how many clubs you hold. If you hold, say, \&10 864 , you must play the 10, clearly indicating an even number of clubs. Partner may be able to determine from his own hand whether your holding is two or four and make the right decision. If you play randomly in this situation, partner will have to guess what to do - and he will be wrong as much as half the time or more.
This situation isn't confined to slam contracts. In a pairs game, trying to cash a second club trick when declarer started with a singleton will unnecessarily give up an extra trick that could change an average into a zero.
Here's a good rule of thumb for signalling when partner leads the ace (ace from A-K) or king (king from A-K): When dummy hits with low cards in the suit partner has led, signal attitude (high is encouraging, low is discouraging); when there is a "stopper" in dummy, usually three to queen, give a count signal to let partner know if a second trick will cash. This is especially useful if partner is leading a suit he has bid and you have raised. Based on your raise, partner will know you have at least three cards. If it's four, you must let partner know so that he can make an informed decision about how to proceed. Play a low card (odd number) with three, a high card (even number) with four.

## TBIB INSURANCES

Come and visit the TBIB stand in the foyer to discuss all your insurance needs including Travel Insurances Renewals - enter the Lucky Door prize. Our team will be present an hour before \& after play each day.


Learn how to improve your
bridge and find out more
about my upcoming
holidays and seminars at
RonKlingerBridge.com
Regards,

Sign up to gain access to
Daily Problems
We kly Quizzes
An entire Library
full of my bridge
articles

Make sure to sign up for Premium Membership

- Premium to get access to all to get access
RonKlingerBridge.com has to offer.

BRIDGE FOR THE IMPROVER
Ron Klinger

|  | DIr: South Vul: All West <br> - A J 3 <br> - AQ843 <br> - Q <br> - J J 1075 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South <br> 5 |
| Double | Pass | 5A | Pass |
| Pass | 6 | Pass | Pass |
| Double | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Answer: A freakish layout led to a swing in two National finals:
Auction from Women's Field:

| West | North | East | South <br>  <br>  <br> 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | 2 |  |
| Dble(1) | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$ | 5 |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(1) Support double, 3 spades

Lead: AA
Very delicate bidding by South won the auction, which was the aim of the exercise. The play went spade ruff, heart ruff, spade ruff, heart ruff, spade ruff, heart ruff, $\star$ A for
twelve tricks and +950. At the other table:

| West North | East South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pass 5 | All Pass |
| Lead: J J |  |

East took the \&A and shifted to a heart. Declarer made twelve tricks for +620 , but an 8 Imps loss. The stakes were a little higher in another final:

| West | North | East | South <br> 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$ | Pass |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |

After cross-ruffing the first five tricks, declarer led a club from dummy and had twelve tricks for +950 . That looked like a fine result and indeed, after the auction at the other table it might have been worth 15 Imps :

| West | North | East | South <br> 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Pass |  |
| Double Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{A}$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | Pas |  |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |

West led the AA.
Answer to problem: Had West found the trump lead, declarer would be one down for +200 . On the spade lead declarer took the usual cross-path for the first six tricks, +1540 and 11 Imps.

With no evidence of a two-suiter with declarer or in dummy, a trump lead can cut down the ruffing potential when the opponents are sacrificing.


Finally after months of waiting it had come to 'this time tomorrow'. As we packed, the weather reports sparked alarm, nay fear! We were scheduled to fly out just as the Tropical Cyclone Marcia was due to hit our area.

Our flight was cancelled but undaunted we rebooked so that we would just miss the pairs. I was unprepared for the wind strength and the house shook but mercifully stood firm, although the window locks could not withstand her fury.
We surveyed the damage - tree branches everywhere with fencing crushed under the weight of the branches. Roof tiles were scattered amongst the foliage and we soon realised we would be going nowhere.
Our goal of gaining 10 gold points vanished in an instant.
But it is not just the bridge game. It is the joy of sorting through the books and other interesting offerings on Paul Lavings stand I'd already flagged Michael Lawrence's book as this year's present to myself.


Having managed a lifetime of diminished vision the chance to check out the low vision cards and other bridge supplies for the visually impaired was also exciting - anything to reduce the penalty cards when I see it as a spade only to discover it was a club.
The opportunity to gather gems of information from the experts creates a "must attend". I have never forgotten that 'the five level belongs to the opponents or Paul Lavings talk on count, and although it was something I could not totally grasp, it was a 'light bulb' moment and sparked my love of upside down count.

For months I've stored the little things which I wanted to discuss at the directors' forum. When do you get the opportunity to be in a room with so much knowledge and experience?
I just love dress up. It is a bit of a joke the constant enquiries of 'Have you got your outfit ready'? It is so much fun, and as you can see my gear has been ready since November.

So you see Tropical Cyclone Marcia you did not just damage my home and property you destroyed my fairytale week.
[Ed: thanks so much Jan for taking the time to write this article during a time which we suspect is testing your strength - we VERY much appreciate it.]


# inherSHOES <br> local \& imported shoes • bags • accessories 

All bridge players are invited to a FREE CHAMPAGNE BREAKFAST commencing 8:00am on Thursday 26th to be held at "In Her Shoes" on the ground floor at the Oasis Shopping Centre.
Head down towards the beach end and it is on the right-hand side past the chocolate shop opposite Bright Eyes Sunglasses. See you there!


Live bridge streaming sessions $\boldsymbol{\imath}$ Sessions Will be Available Most Weeks
AEDT (Sydney/Melbourne) Time
Monday 14:00-16:00 * Monday Supervised 16:00-17:00 * Tuesday 15.00-18.00 And Thursday Lesson on a Selected Topic 11.00-12.00
To watch these free live sessions go to http://www.twitch.tv/sliynk or www.bridgestream.weebly.com
Anyone may watch but if you wish to chat with Pete and ask questions you will need to register for a TWITCH account.

## THE NUMBERS GAME

We all love being associated with success - it's reflected glory. I am very sure that everybody is pleased to know that we have set another record this year with 898 tables of Pairs and 436 teams entered up to the end of play Tuesday. The total of 885 TABLES this year represents a compound increase of $3.7 \%$ per annum over the past nine years. Total cumulative tables for each session to the end of Tuesday was 3700 versus 3586 last year.

|  | Pairs |  |  |  |  | Teams |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Intermediate |  |  |  |  | Intermediate |  |
|  |  |  | Novice, |  |  |  |  | Novice, |  |
|  | Open | Seniors | Restricted | Swiss Pairs | Total | Open | Seniors | Restricted | Total |
| 2006 | 324 | 98 | 156 |  | 578 | 244 | 56 | 86 | 386 |
| 2007 | 297 | 91 | 180 |  | 568 | 243 | 45 | 103 | 397 |
| 2008 | 332 | 104 | 162 |  | 598 | 274 | 48 | 96 | 418 |
| 2009 | 284 | 106 | 180 |  | 570 | 246 | 46 | 96 | 388 |
| 2010 | 314 | 102 | 204 |  | 620 | 214 | 44 | 138 | 396 |
| 2011 | 304 | 98 | 292 |  | 694 | 240 | 46 | 150 | 436 |
| 2012 | 264 | 94 | 282 |  | 640 | 220 | 46 | 138 | 402 |
| 2013 | 194 | 88 | 308 | 242 | 832 | 200 | 52 | 174 | 426 |
| 2014 | 198 | 70 | 312 | 296 | 876 | 196 | 46 | 184 | 426 |
| 2015 | 198 | 52 | 328 | 320 | 898 | 208 | 36 | 192 | 436 |



A few of our hard working caddies Victoria Moren, Erin King, Kyle Aubrey, Kloe Wright and Heath Mitchell


# Proudly Sponsored by the Australian Bridge Federation Gold Coast Congress - Broadbeach, Queensland, Australia 

| Local |  | Sydney Melbourne |  | Europe |  | London |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { East Coast } \end{gathered}$ |  | US <br> West Coast |  | EVENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:00 | 10:30 | 10:00 | - 11:30 | 00:00 | 01:30 | 23:00 | - 00:30 | 18:00 | 19:30 | 15:00 | 16:30 | Open Teams R4 1/2 (4 tables) |
| 10:00 | 11:30 | 11:00 | 12:30 | 01:00 | - 02:30 | 00:00 | - 01:30 | 19:00 | - 20:30 | 16:00 | 17:30 | Seniors Teams Final 1/4 (2 tables) |
| 10:45 | 12:15 | 11:45 | 13:15 | 01:45 | - 03:15 | 00:45 | - 02:15 | 19:45 | - 21:15 | 16:45 | 18:15 | Open Teams R4 $2 / 2$ (4 tables) |
| 11:40 | 13:10 | 12:40 | 14:10 | 02:40 | - 04:10 | 01:40 | - 03:10 | 20:40 | - 22:10 | 17:40 | 19:10 | Seniors Teams Final $2 / 4$ (2 tables) |
| 14:00 | 15:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 | 05:00 | - 06:00 | 04:00 | - 05:00 | 23:00 | - 00:00 | 20:00 | 21:00 | Open Teams SF 1/4 (4 tables) |
| 14:15 | 15:45 | 15:15 | 16:45 | 05:15 | - 06:45 | 04:15 | - 05:45 | 23:15 | - 00:45 | 20:15 | 21:45 | Seniors Teams Final 3/4 (2 tables) |
| 15:25 | - 16:25 | 16:25 | 17:25 | 06:25 | - 07:25 | 05:25 | - 06:25 | 00:25 | - 01:25 | 21:25 | 22:25 | Open Teams SF $2 / 4$ (4 tables) |
| 15:55 | 17:25 | 16:55 | 18:25 | 06:55 | - 08:25 | 05:55 | - 07:25 | 00:55 | - 02:25 | 21:55 | 23:25 | Seniors Teams Final 4/4 (2 tables) |
| 16:50 | - 17:50 | 17:50 | 18:50 | 07:50 | - 08:50 | 06:50 | - 07:50 | 01:50 | - 02:50 | 22:50 | 23:50 | Open Teams SF $3 / 4$ (4 tables) |
| 18:15 | - 19:15 | 19:15 | - 20:15 | 09:15 | - 10:15 | 08:15 | - 09:15 | 03:15 | - 04:15 | 00:15 | 01:15 | Open Teams SF $4 / 4$ (4 tables) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Satur | y, 20 | 2-28 |  |  |  |
| 09:00 | 10:30 | 10:00 | 11:30 | 00:00 | 01:30 | 23:00 | - 00:30 | 18:00 | 19:30 | 15:00 | 16:30 | Open Teams Final 1/4 (2 tables) |
| 10:45 | 12:15 | 11:45 | 13:15 | 01:45 | - 03:15 | 00:45 | - 02:15 | 19:45 | - 21:15 | 16:45 | 18:15 | Open Teams Final $2 / 4$ (2 tables) |
| 13:00 | - 14:30 | 14:00 | 15:30 | 04:00 | - 05:30 | 03:00 | - 04:30 | 22:00 | - 23:30 | 19:00 | 20:30 | Open Teams Final $3 / 4$ (2 tables) |
| 14:40 | 16:10 | 15:40 | - 17:10 | 05:40 | - 07:10 | 04:40 | - 06:10 | 23:40 | - 01:10 | 20:40 | 22:10 | Open Teams Final $4 / 4$ (2 tables) |

[^0]

## JOIN US IN THE SPIRIT OF THE OCCASION BY DRESSING IN OUR 2015 THEME Dots and Dashes WEDNESDAYI!!

This year we are judging you in groups of four (need not be same team) as opposed to pairs or individuals (mind you we would still like you to dress up even if your slack teammates don't and just maybe we will have some spot prizes for great individual efforts!).
The judging will take place on Wednesday afternoon and any group of four interested in entering needs to register at the Bridge Administration Desk. They can do this any day but will then have to take their registration slip with them to have their photo taken in the foyer at the GCCC sometime Wednesday morning (or up to start of afternoon session). Anne Russell will be there to snap you and we would like all contenders to then parade around the foyer so we can appreciate your efforts.
Once again Sally Elliot for Meegs Boutique will be judging and providing vouchers as prizes. We will also offer book vouchers for those who prefer. This will be finalised that afternoon.

# - Two Men and a Truck <br> <br> rwomen <br> <br> rwomen ADP A TRUCK ADP A TRUCK YOUR COMPLETE MOVING PROFESSIONALS 



Phone: 1300880412

## Proud Supporters of Bridge

Email: truck@twomen.com.au

Online: www.twomen.com.au
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## ANTHONY LEIGH DOWER

BOUTIQUE'S

## New Designer Clearance Outlet

## Oasis Shopping Centre

## Shop 1.10 (Level One)

BROADBEACH BOUTIQUE:
SHOP 40A, GROUND FLOOR, BEACH END OASIS SHOPPING CENTRE

0755382007

MAIN BEACH BOUTIQUE:
SHOP 1, 25 TEDDER AVENUE 0755611644

## TEAMS QUALIFIER TWO

Barry Rigal

I got to play my first deals of the tournament in match two, playing with David Appleton, and a great pleasure it was. David pre-emptively accepted blame for anything that might or might not have gone wrong at the table (this couldn't possibly be anything to do with having played bridge for so long with Peter Reynolds could it?). And our opponents Mark Janos and Kim Prescott comprehensively outbid us, but had the terrible misfortune each to commit a 'fingerfehler' which led to losing a slam in one case and a game in the other.

The North and South cards on deal 15 provide a major problem both for standard bidding and for strong clubs (which was what our opponents were playing).

| Dealer: South | AJ65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - 863 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 15 | -K7632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual 2 | \& K 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AK 104 |  | A Q 872 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 1095 |  | - KQ742 |  | Makea | Con | acts |  |
| - J 104 |  | - 5 | West | North | East | South |  |
| \& 875 |  | \& A Q 6 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | A A 93 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | a |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q 98 |  | - | 4 | - | 3 | - |
|  | ¢J10942 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | * |

In standard would you open 1\%, planning to rebid 1NT over 1『, downvaluing your singleton ace? How about opening 1 NT? Or even opening 1 planning to rebid $2 *$ ? All three of those do make sense - and I suppose I could live with the aggressive decision by our opponent to open a strong club and then bid the club suit over the 1 negative when I passed as East, (planning further violence later, to promise a good hand). When Kim bid $2 *$ I got to balance with a double, and both opponents passed out 2V. Personally I would protect with a take-out double as South in balancing seat, and as North I would have pre-empted my partner with an unusual 2NT call over $2 \downarrow$ - this must show 5-2 in the minors mustn't it, given my earlier lack of action?
Regardless, $2 \downarrow$ played like a dream, while our teammates were defeating $3 \downarrow$. A few pairs were allowed to make 5 with the N/S cards (with the defenders who had sworn off spades for Lent) while presumably the declarer who made $4 \vee$ must have run into defenders who felt the same way about clubs...

| Dealer: North | A 54 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ Q 8 |  |
| Brd 17 | - 5 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 2 | \& AKJ7542 |  |
| - Q 83 |  | AK9762 |
| - J 32 |  | $\checkmark 10976$ |
| - A 1083 |  | -J974 |
| * Q 103 |  | $\%$ |
|  | A AJ 10 |  |
|  | - AK54 |  |
|  | -K 62 |  |
|  | \& 986 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |  |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | NT |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\%$ |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | $\vdots$ |  |

This was one of the hardest bidding boards l've seen in a while with virtually every honour in the N/S cards critical to the success of $6 \boldsymbol{\%}$ other than the AJ . Our opponents had a Precision auction to $6 \boldsymbol{\%}$, where North opened 2\% and showed extra club length but never really defined range. South decided to use keycard and drive to slam. Right she was! We won't dwell on the play other than to say that Janos missed a trump spot and went down. Lucky for us, and painful for our opponents. A handful of pairs were successful in bidding the right slam - yes, 6NT came home more often than N/S deserved.

Once you've seen one shopping centre, You've seen a mall.
Bakers trade bread recipes on a knead-to-know basis.
Santa's helpers are subordinate clauses.
Acupuncture is a jab well done.

| Dealer: East | A J 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 18 | - A 9753 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual 2 | \& 109864 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A Q 7432 |  | A 86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -QJ 3 |  | - K 1085 |  | Makea | e Con |  |  |
| - J 8 |  | -KQ1062 | West | North | East | South |  |
| \& J 32 |  | \& Q 5 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | A AK 95 |  | - | 2 | - | 3 | A |
|  | -A9762 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 4 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& AK 7 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | 9 |

How should you bid the N/S cards here? Our teammates Brian Callaghan and Chris Duckworth showed how: 1V-1NT - 2A-2NT-3*-5*. Simple but effective. After a diamond lead one way to win is to and take a spade finesse, ruff the diamond continuation, then play one top trump. Now VA and another heart, ruffing in dummy, a club to the ace, another heart ruff, and a second top trump. Three spades stand up to allow you to discard two diamonds from dummy, and when you lead your last heart you can score dummy's last trump en passant. Teammates collected 600 to go with our +200 against a heart partscore.

| Dealer: West | a 8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: Both | $\bullet$ J104 |
| Brd 20 | KQJ108632 |
| Open Tms Qual 2 | $\& 4$ |

```
A Q5 32
* K982
* 4
&A953
A AK 1096
\&AQ5
\&5
\& 72
```

AJ74
$\checkmark 76$

- A 9
\& K Q 1086

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\uparrow$ |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | $\$$ |  |

My partnership has already demonstrated that you don't want EITHER of us on lead, to any contract. Our opponents deftly constructed an auction to put the man with the impossible lead on play here. Both 5 3NT seem hopeless; not if you play transfer pre-empts: 3*-3-4-5 did the trick. David Appleton unerringly put his fingers on a spade not the club ace, as would I, I must admit. Now declarer had 11 tricks until she accidentally played a low trump from dummy at her first turn...another flat board but a missed opportunity for our opponents.

| Dealer: North | A 743 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | -K987 |  | Appleton | Jonas | Rigal | Prescott |
| Brd 21 | -K102 |  |  |  | 1\% | Pass |
| Open Tms Qual 2 | \& A 85 |  | 1 | Pass | $1{ }^{10}$ | Pass |
| A K Q 106 |  | A A 85 | 14 | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| $\vee$--- |  | - A 43 | 3 | Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| - AJ9864 |  | - 73 | 34 | Pass | $4 \%$ | Pass |
| \& J 32 |  | \& K Q 74 | 5 | Pass | Pass | Pass |
|  | AJ92 |  |  | Make | le Con | Pasts |
|  | - Q 10652 |  | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - Q 5 |  | 4 | - | 4 | $\wedge$ |
|  | \& 1096 |  | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  |  |  | 4 | - | 4 | $\&$ |

This is a relatively easy hand so long as you have a decent amount of system and judgment. Our opponents bid to 3NT by East after a no-trump opener, showing hearts en route. One can hardly blame South for leading something other than hearts to trick one. On a club lead East had nine tricks when both black suits behaved. For the record, about half the field made 3NT here.

Reaching 5 here didn't have to be a disaster here. (move the 10 to South and this might be the only makeable game). Of course if Appleton was showing diamonds and spades and a minimum game-force, I wanted to bid 3NT. But I envisaged the same sort of hand with solid diamonds, when my controls were
spectacularly good for slam. Oh well; another day, another lesson learned. Never play partner for the right hand for slam; he never has it. 10 imps away in the process.

A couple of normal results followed -- at our table that is. Teammates had brought home an umakeable game and stolen the hand undoubled when we could make a slam (albeit on two finesses and a break).

The final challenge of the match was this deal:

| Dealer: North | ^A 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - Q 9752 |  |
| Brd 25 | - 764 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 2 | \& 1076 |  |
| AKQ105 |  | A 72 |
| $\checkmark 8$ |  | - AKJ643 |
| - A 10 |  | -K832 |
| * AK Q J 98 |  | \& 4 |
|  | A J 8643 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10$ |  |
|  | - Q J 95 |  |
|  | \& 532 |  |


| West <br> Appleton | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jonas | Rigal | Prescott |
|  |  | 19 | 14 |
| 24 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| 6\% | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| 6 | - | 6 | - NT |
| 4 | - | 4 | A |
| 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| 6 | - | 6 | 9 |

I put down the worst possible dummy with some trepidation. But after the lead of the $\boldsymbol{\uparrow A}$ it only remained for my partner to claim his 12 tricks as soon as decorum would permit. I wondered at the time (though of course I was far too good a partner to comment on it) if my partner could have considered bidding 6NT. But let's see what might happen in that contract if North leads a heart to the first trick, forcing declarer to rise with the ace, eliminating the later finesse from his armoury. Wouldn't you lead a spade to the king, and when it was ducked, you'd run winners to reach this ending:


In real life wouldn't you cash the last club, cross to the $\varangle K$ and then take the $V K$ to pitch a spade and lead up to the $\uparrow Q$ ? That would not be a success. Of course North might well not duck his $₫ A$ and now the finesse in spades is as near $100 \%$ as anything in this uncertain life, after the overcall.

David Stern and Robert Grynberg found a different route to success on defence. After hearing the auction: 1V $-2 \boldsymbol{*}-2 \boldsymbol{- 2 *}-4 \boldsymbol{*}-4$ - $2 \boldsymbol{N T}$ Grynberg led a diamond. Declarer won in hand and advanced the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$, ducked stoically all round. Now declarer might have run a few clubs before coming down to the spade guess. When he actually led a heart to dummy and a spade to the king, Grynberg won and played back a diamond, eliminating all pressure in the endgame.

For the record, about half the field missed slam or went down in slam here. It is not easy to play 6NT intelligently on a red-suit lead to combine your chances.
That concluded the action in our match, and I contemplated retiring undefeated (a move surprisingly seconded by the rest of my team). Back to the bench!
In order to get a Loan, you first need to prove that you don't need it.
All the desirable things in life are either illegal, expensive or fattening.
Since Light travels faster than Sound, people appear brighter before you hear them speak.
Everyone has a scheme of getting rich.....Which never works.

# Paul Lavings Bridge Supplies - Demonstration 

## 9AM THURSDAY 26TH FEBRUARY - MAIN PLAYING AREA A demonstration by Paul Lavings of products available from Paul Lavings Bridge Supplies

- Dealer4 and Dealer4+ Australian dealing machines
- 6 varieties of playing cards for bridge clubs
- Duplicate boards + wallets
- Bidding blocks + travellers
- Prizes pens and giftware
- Bridgemates and Compscore2
- Super Bridge Boxes for bidding - the perfect design


## paul@bridgegear.com 02-9388-8861 www.bridgegear.com

| Date | Event | Website / Contact / Venue |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monday $30^{\text {th }}$ April to <br> Sunday $4^{\text {th }}$ May 2015 | Autumn Nationals (ANOT) | Entries: anot @abf.com.au <br> Jinny Fuss 0419-843-809 <br> Ridley Centre, Adelaide Showground |
| Saturday $11^{\text {th }}$ July to <br> Thursday $23^{\text {rd }}$ July | Australian National Championships and <br> Butler Pairs - Freemantle, Perth | Entries: anc2015@abf.com.au <br> Sheenagh Young 0409-381-439 <br> Venue: Esplanade Hotel Fremantle |
| Tuesday $11^{\text {th }}$ August to <br> Sunday $16^{\text {th }}$ August | Coffs Coast Gold Congress | Entries: ian @australiawideconferences.com.au <br> Ian Doland 02-6654-1104 <br> Venue: Opal Cove Resort |
| Wednesday $9^{\text {th }}$ <br> September to Sunday <br> $13^{\text {th }}$ September | Territory Gold Bridge Festival <br> Pairs and Teams | Entries: tgbf @abf.com.au <br> Eileen Boocock 0409-677-356 <br> Venue: Alice Springs Convention Centre |
| Wednesday October $21^{\text {st }}$ | Spring Nationals <br> Open Teams, Restricted Teams <br> Dick Cummings Open Pairs <br> to <br> Thursday October 29 | th <br> Linda Stern Women's Teams <br> Bobby Evans Seniors Teams |

Holiday Pairs Event 2 - Session 1

| Holiday Pairs Event 2 - Session 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | N-S | Score |  |  | E-W |
| 1 | Bruce Jennings - Barbara Pigdon | 60.00 | 1 | Parveen Rayani - Jamaluddin Rayani | 59.51 |
| 2 | Sally Evennett - Arie Geursen | 57.43 | 2 | Elaine Hennig - Larraine De Nett | 59.14 |
| 3 | Margaret Liversage - Geoff Read | 55.89 | 3 | Rhonda Wang - Aloysius Wang | 57.79 |
| 4 | Dianne Mullin - Eddie Mullin | 53.56 | 4 | Ann Bennett - Liz Gillies | 57.48 |
| 5 | Jennifer A Montague - Jane Hills | 53.48 | 5 | Lesley Martin - Roger Cael | 54.87 |
| 6 | Barbara Herring - Jim Herring | 52.28 | 6 | Maureen Neubauer - Kate Forrester | 54.46 |
| 7 | Robert Peard - Gail Smith | 50.47 | 7 | Jim Skeen - Michelle Behrens | 53.78 |
| 8 | David Cowles - Ann Griffiths | 50.42 | 8 | David Itzkowic - Melinda Itzkowic | 53.60 |
| 9 | Phillip Mason - Lesley Mason | 50.29 | 9 | Joy Anderson - Bevan Anderson | 52.49 |
| 10 | Thea Catsoulis - Ann Slade | 48.88 | 10 | Julie Fisher - Val Carmody | 51.81 |
| 11 | Kieron Treasure - Danny Osmund | 48.81 | 11 | Julie Short - Debra Prendiville | 51.49 |
| 12 | Virginia Everingham - Jill Headlam | 47.78 | 12 | Pam Craig - Lillian Hannon | 49.98 |
| 13 | Pamela Brown - Diann Young | 47.26 | 13 | John Burns - Judy Dwyer | 47.51 |
| 14 | Patricia Burton - Pamela Tranberg | 46.07 | 14 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 47.38 |
| 15 | Robert Day - Janet Mckeogh | 45.56 | 15 | Stephen Singer - Peter Jaffe | 44.29 |
| 16 | Peter Allingham - Philip Atkinson | 45.09 | 16 | Maria Michelsson - Leif Michelsson | 42.47 |
| 17 | Carol Cowley - Janette Youens | 43.61 | 17 | Minnie Bragg - Chris Bragg | 40.87 |
| 18 | Margaret Ashby - Vicki Clark | 43.14 | 18 | Sue Smalley - Anna Jadach | 40.68 |
|  | P Marliene Venn | 30.11 |  |  |  |



| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | 44 | Ong | 43.31 | 170 | 189 | Kable | 27.90 |
| 77 | 113 | Baker | 43.26 | 171 | 114 | Gibson | 27.63 |
| 78 | 51 | Hegedus | 43.20 | 172 | 98 | M Allan | 27.62 |
| 79 | 161 | Mcalister | 43.06 | 173 | 195 | Stanton | 27.54 |
| 80 | 74 | S Gray | 43.00 | 174 | 106 | Weathered | 27.53 |
| 81 | 174 | Nash | 42.92 | 175 | 188 | Carr | 27.44 |
| 82 | 60 | P Bach | 42.90 | 176 | 131 | Darley | 27.18 |
| 83 | 117 | Maltz | 42.74 | 177 | 179 | L Bourke | 27.07 |
| 84 | 50 | Malinas | 42.68 | 178 | 95 | Frazier | 26.77 |
| 85 | 64 | Folkard | 42.61 | 179 | 169 | Clayton | 26.15 |
| 86 | 122 | Morrison | 42.58 | 180 | 91 | Bates | 25.86 |
| 87 | 99 | Cains | 42.54 | 181 | 187 | Rose | 25.73 |
| 88 | 76 | Schokman | 42.51 | 182 | 139 | Stringfellow | 25.66 |
| 89 | 59 | Jones | 42.01 | 183 | 120 | S Brown | 25.40 |
| 90 | 22 | M Bourke | 41.94 | 184 | 94 | Walters | 25.30 |
| 91 | 58 | Woolley | 41.93 | 185 | 133 | Owens | 25.29 |
| 92 | 171 | C Bourke | 41.87 | 186 | 158 | Jackson | 25.09 |
| 93 | 132 | Clyne | 41.84 | 187 | 193 | Page | 24.93 |
| 94 | 61 | Afflick | 41.83 | 188 | 186 | Wood | 24.76 |
| 95 | 42 | Stuck | 41.80 | 189 | 112 | Van Wyck | 23.81 |
| 96 | 105 | Luck | 41.62 | 190 | 182 | Atkins | 23.76 |
| 97 | 37 | Samuel | 41.57 | 191 | 125 | B Inglis | 23.36 |
| 98 | 88 | Thomas | 41.48 | 192 | 181 | Munro | 23.11 |
| 99 | 100 | Briscoe | 41.36 | 193 | 164 | Fry | 23.01 |
| 100 | 150 | Thirtle | 41.10 | 194 | 162 | Senior | 22.55 |
| 101 | 77 | Simes | 40.99 | 195 | 172 | Varmo | 21.83 |
| 102 | 110 | Lockwood | 40.82 | 196 | 175 | Carroll | 21.71 |
| 103 | 71 | Berger | 40.69 | 197 | 168 | Peak | 20.59 |
| 104 | 96 | Mayers | 40.57 | 198 | 130 | Lewis | 20.24 |
| 105 | 26 | Hoffman | 40.36 | 199 | 159 | Grant | 17.90 |
| 106 | 165 | L Gray | 40.33 | 200 | 201 | Van Bakel | 17.89 |
| 107 | 204 | L Inglis | 40.20 | 201 | 102 | Norden | 16.37 |
| 108 | 108 | Terry | 40.10 | 202 | 116 | Hamilton | 16.21 |
| 109 | 66 | Alexander | 40.02 | 203 | 176 | Turnell | 15.48 |
| 110 | 89 | Kudelka | 39.74 | 204 | 151 | Anderson | 14.74 |
| 111 | 202 | Kennedy | 39.66 | 205 | 183 | Bonnick | 14.32 |
| 112 | 79 | Weaver | 39.50 | 206 | 190 | L Allan | 14.25 |
| 113 | 192 | Littler | 39.25 | 207 | 166 | Roughley | 12.81 |
| 114 | 170 | Clift | 38.99 | 208 | 197 | Mcmahon | 11.82 |
| Seniors Teams Qualifying |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Mem |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 17 | Helen Milward - Robert Milward - Richard Touton - Larry Moses |  |  |  |  | 72.43 |
| 2 | 13 | Tony Jackman - Therese Tully - Meta Goodman - Richard Wallis - Wynne Webber |  |  |  |  | 62.51 |
| 3 | 2 | Martin Bloom - Nigel Rosendorff - Steven Bock - Les Grewcock |  |  |  |  | 54.94 |
| 4 | 14 | Robyn Freeman-Greene - Tony Thomson - Helen Thomson - Dale Lacey |  |  |  |  | 53.46 |
| 5 | 1 | Richard Brightling - Peter Buchen - David Hoffman - Chris Hughes |  |  |  |  | 52.85 |
| 6 | 5 | Alan Walsh - Barbara Mcdonald - Elizabeth Havas - Gordon Schmidt |  |  |  |  | 52.18 |
| 7 | 9 | Stan Klofa - Alex Czapnik - Robert Gallus - Robert Stewart |  |  |  |  | 51.36 |
| 8 | 11 | Trevor Robb - Andrew Janisz - Patsy Walters - Lynne Geursen - Arie Geursen |  |  |  |  | 51.11 |
| 9 | 10 | Peter Kahler - Jeannette Collins - Janet Kahler - Val Brockwell |  |  |  |  | 48.92 |
| 10 | 16 | Judy Marks - Adam Rutkowski - Heather Cusworth - Frances Lyons |  |  |  |  | 48.15 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 18 | Evennett | 47.10 | 24 | 20 | Davis | 34.65 |
| 12 | 22 | Schaap | 46.79 | 25 | 12 | Braithwaite | 34.07 |
| 13 | 8 | Creet | 46.18 | 26 | 24 | Heyting | 33.08 |
| 14 | 7 | Moss | 45.46 | 27 | 25 | Cullen | 29.68 |
| 15 | 27 | Biro | 42.67 | 28 | 26 | Crockett | 29.38 |
| 16 | 29 | Clarke | 41.91 | 29 | 33 | Lee | 28.87 |
| 17 | 32 | Meakins | 40.24 | 30 | 15 | Finikiotis | 27.72 |
| 18 | 31 | Sheehy | 39.99 | 31 | 35 | Gray | 26.43 |
| 19 | 6 | Marr | 39.94 | 32 | 30 | Spurway | 26.40 |
| 20 | 19 | Shand | 39.93 | 33 | 23 | Tootell | 25.65 |
| 21 | 4 | Bignall | 39.47 | 34 | 34 | Johnstone | 23.94 |
| 22 | 21 | Harris | 37.38 | 35 | 3 | Irving | 23.52 |
| 23 | 28 | Cohen | 37.14 | 36 | 36 | Popa | 6.37 |
| Intermediate Teams Qualifying |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Mem |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 13 | Robert Wylie - Merleine Wylie - Darrell Williams - Jackie Williams |  |  |  |  | 69.33 |
| 2 | 6 | Michael Stoneman - Val Roland - Herold Rienstra - Bert Luchjenbroers |  |  |  |  | 65.53 |
| 3 | 12 | Margaret Pisko - Trish Anagnostou - Bev Crossman - Bruce Crossman |  |  |  |  | 61.00 |
| 4 | 1 | Tim Runting - Craig Francis - Alison Dawson - John Kelly |  |  |  |  | 58.14 |
| 5 | 7 | Bastian Bolt - Geoffrey Roberts - Kevin Dean - Bob Hunt |  |  |  |  | 57.00 |
| 6 | 78 | Annette Black - Nancy Cooney - Jenny Crawt - Margaret Aiston |  |  |  |  | 55.85 |
| 7 | 22 | Peter Nilsson - Deborah Nilsson - Alan Boyce - Monica Pritchard |  |  |  |  | 55.61 |
| 8 | 35 | Frank Campbell - Gerald Dawson - John Sheean - Megan Sutherland |  |  |  |  | 55.15 |
| 9 | 25 | Jane Kavanagh - Joan Campbell - Margaret Williamson - Lorraine Collins |  |  |  |  | 54.45 |
| 10 | 17 | Chris Fernando - Bert Romeijn - Kathryn Attwood - Larry Attwood |  |  |  |  | 54.30 |


| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 76 | Mcauliffe | 53.48 | 49 | 23 | De Mestre | 38.09 |
| 12 | 66 | Baker | 52.52 | 50 | 75 | B Gordon | 37.73 |
| 13 | 27 | Brown | 51.81 | 51 | 39 | Driscoll | 37.17 |
| 14 | 3 | Ho | 51.78 | 52 | 51 | Webber | 37.09 |
| 15 | 83 | Robb | 51.13 | 53 | 58 | Erskine | 36.47 |
| 16 | 82 | Klugman | 50.84 | 54 | 24 | Hollingworth | 36.35 |
| 17 | 53 | Cockbill | 49.65 | 55 | 40 | Tuckey | 35.65 |
| 18 | 64 | Kent | 48.46 | 56 | 74 | Chau | 35.34 |
| 19 | 37 | Pincus | 48.23 | 57 | 20 | Nishigami | 34.86 |
| 20 | 16 | Warnock | 48.16 | 58 | 79 | Roseman | 34.77 |
| 21 | 43 | Codognotto | 48.15 | 59 | 19 | P Gordon | 34.40 |
| 22 | 62 | Sadigh | 47.87 | 60 | 2 | Sykes | 34.39 |
| 23 | 29 | Rohde | 47.29 | 61 | 49 | Paris | 34.14 |
| 24 | 60 | Moody | 47.15 | 62 | 26 | Barbour | 33.56 |
| 25 | 9 | Bailey | 46.71 | 63 | 86 | Kennealy | 33.49 |
| 26 | 69 | Mcwilliam | 46.63 | 64 | 21 | Mander | 33.26 |
| 27 | 45 | Leckie | 46.56 | 65 | 70 | Dickerson | 32.47 |
| 28 | 4 | Krosch | 46.54 | 66 | 63 | Stephenson | 32.35 |
| 28 | 38 | Drwecka | 46.54 | 67 | 57 | Graham | 31.01 |
| 30 | 67 | P Beattie | 45.87 | 68 | 68 | Routley | 30.26 |
| 31 | 28 | Sinclair | 45.26 | 69 | 72 | Armstrong | 30.22 |
| 32 | 5 | Jesser | 44.87 | 70 | 18 | Eastman | 30.05 |
| 33 | 85 | Whittle | 44.85 | 71 | 44 | Gooley | 29.80 |
| 34 | 33 | Edrich | 43.91 | 72 | 84 | Turner | 29.70 |
| 35 | 10 | Butler | 43.11 | 73 | 55 | Pearson | 29.19 |
| 36 | 15 | Anderson | 42.78 | 74 | 34 | Kull | 27.86 |
| 37 | 52 | Fulton | 42.19 | 75 | 54 | Mcnee | 27.36 |
| 38 | 41 | Eldridge | 42.16 | 76 | 11 | Grant | 26.25 |
| 39 | 77 | Chaffey | 41.72 | 77 | 71 | Gardiner | 25.90 |
| 40 | 80 | I Beattie | 41.68 | 78 | 50 | Tomlinson | 23.10 |
| 41 | 65 | Jones | 41.48 | 79 | 14 | Webb | 22.51 |
| 42 | 8 | Johnson | 40.37 | 80 | 32 | Land | 21.60 |
| 43 | 47 | Abdelhamid | 39.98 | 81 | 81 | Heap | 21.02 |
| 44 | 31 | Williams | 39.25 | 82 | 36 | Murray | 20.84 |
| 45 | 59 | Rogers | 38.89 | 83 | 56 | Binsted | 19.01 |
| 46 | 48 | Palethorpe | 38.83 | 84 | 46 | Robertson | 18.86 |
| 47 | 73 | Ward | 38.56 | 85 | 42 | Steinhardt | 18.02 |
| 48 | 30 | Wooler | 38.30 | 86 | 61 | Greenwood | 15.94 |
| Restricted Teams Qualifying |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  | 65.36 |
| 2 | 16 | Arne Jonsberg - John Lahey - Lesleigh Egan - Lynne Henley |  |  |  |  | 64.27 |
| 3 | 1 | Malcolm Clift - Kathy Clift - Fran Campbell - Sallie Quarles |  |  |  |  | 62.68 |
| 4 | 5 | Nanette Loxton - Brodie Loxton - Jim Taylor - Cora Taylor |  |  |  |  | 61.94 |
| 5 | 41 | Paul Brake - Trevor Fletcher - Tomer Libman - Allan Pike |  |  |  |  | 57.45 |
| 6 | 57 | Ard Kommeren - Gill Kommeren - Dot Allen - Lyn Haysom |  |  |  |  | 55.17 |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 7 | 34 | Joan Jenkins - Anne Russell - Linda Norman - Kay Roberts |  |  |  |  | 54.23 |
| 8 | 35 | Jane Gray - Teena Mckenzie - Janet Ham - John Ham |  |  |  |  | 53.91 |
| 9 | 71 | Brad Tattersfield - Jan Borren - Margaret Robbie - Jenni Borren |  |  |  |  | 51.97 |
| 10 | 4 | Mimi Packer - Virginia Seward - Susanne Gammon - Deana Wilson |  |  |  |  | 51.68 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 33 | Jacka | 51.00 | 43 | 68 | Wilson | 38.28 |
| 12 | 44 | Haley | 50.54 | 44 | 69 | Linden | 38.13 |
| 13 | 36 | Jones | 50.42 | 45 | 26 | Paul | 37.50 |
| 14 | 3 | Ahmet | 50.32 | 36 | 24 | Hyland | 40.43 |
| 15 | 28 | Pick | 49.75 | 46 | 23 | Ross | 37.28 |
| 16 | 8 | Cullen | 49.67 | 47 | 18 | Symons | 36.93 |
| 17 | 49 | Fraser | 49.05 | 48 | 54 | Butler | 36.30 |
| 18 | 7 | Spilsbury | 48.80 | 49 | 31 | Peever | 36.02 |
| 19 | 38 | Trengove | 48.02 | 50 | 10 | M Stevens | 35.86 |
| 20 | 43 | Greenway | 48.01 | 51 | 72 | Quilty | 35.68 |
| 21 | 40 | O'Neill | 46.92 | 52 | 39 | Powley | 34.82 |
| 22 | 29 | Broatch | 46.05 | 53 | 25 | Clark | 34.77 |
| 23 | 27 | Bardone | 45.93 | 54 | 58 | Rees | 34.53 |
| 24 | 12 | Treloar | 45.91 | 55 | 62 | F Stuart | 33.37 |
| 25 | 51 | Jenkin | 44.85 | 56 | 60 | D Stuart | 31.96 |
| 26 | 9 | Clifford | 44.69 | 60 | 42 | Sawyer | 28.66 |
| 27 | 2 | Mcnaughton | 44.43 | 57 | 13 | Williams | 30.01 |
| 28 | 63 | Crawford | 44.11 | 58 | 52 | Crommelin | 29.21 |
| 29 | 30 | M Jenkins | 42.97 | 59 | 64 | Verity | 28.91 |
| 30 | 17 | Hooper | 42.38 | 61 | 11 | Wippell | 28.42 |
| 31 | 6 | Weaver | 42.22 | 62 | 45 | R Stevens | 26.75 |
| 32 | 47 | Nice | 41.32 | 63 | 65 | Baillon-Bending | 26.07 |
| 33 | 19 | Rossiter-Nut | 41.25 | 64 | 59 | Higgins | 24.67 |
| 34 | 37 | Smith | 41.07 | 65 | 20 | Duggin | 24.30 |
| 35 | 15 | Tyler | 41.00 | 66 | 61 | Macintosh | 23.62 |


| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 70 | Shannahan | 40.31 | 67 | 53 | Reynolds | 18.80 |
| 38 | 55 | Pettit | 40.04 | 68 | 22 | Sheffield | 18.45 |
| 39 | 50 | Pfafflin | 39.12 | 69 | 48 | Corney | 18.42 |
| 40 | 14 | Mcmaster | 39.08 | 70 | 56 | Munro | 18.35 |
| 41 | 21 | Sullivan | 38.89 | 71 | 67 | Sealy | 16.92 |
| 42 | 66 | Jacobs | 38.60 | 72 | 46 | Devlin | 10.48 |
| Novice Teams Qualifying |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 17 | Cynthia Sharp - Robyn Grills - Jennifer Walker - Carol Bellis |  |  |  |  | 62.26 |
| 2 | 2 | Denise Merrin - Mary Smith - Joanne Evans - Sheena Pollock |  |  |  |  | 61.55 |
| 3 | 6 | Don Du Temple - Adrienne Du Temple - Alex Hunyor - Roslyn Hunyor |  |  |  |  | 58.35 |
| 4 | 9 | Justine Wlodarczyk - Bronnwyn White - Caroline Marshall - Margaret Teitzel |  |  |  |  | 56.51 |
| 5 | 11 | Sally Cox - Carla Webb - Trish Waters - Maureen Pears |  |  |  |  | 55.86 |
| 6 | 34 | Allan Byrnes - Steven Parkes - Unis Suliman - Peter Taylor |  |  |  |  | 55.74 |
| 7 | 7 | Prunella Adams - Malcolm Adams - Annemarie Hugentobler - Walter Hugentobler |  |  |  |  | 52.43 |
| 8 | 12 | Daria Williams - Ian Cameron - Nanette Hinsch - Colleen Sobey |  |  |  |  | 51.95 |
| 9 | 16 | Monika Nilsson - Gill Tidey - 8888-Margie Hullah |  |  |  |  | 48.22 |
| 10 | 14 | Ruth Sargent - Grace Ireland - Beryl Mckay - Janice Clark |  |  |  |  | 45.32 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 23 | Carter | 44.88 | 23 | 1 | Knight | 33.72 |
| 12 | 15 | Mckenna | 44.15 | 24 | 8 | Hall | 32.75 |
| 13 | 20 | Musgrave | 43.39 | 25 | 30 | Archer | 31.62 |
| 14 | 25 | Bryant | 42.78 | 26 | 29 | O'Keeffe | 30.89 |
| 15 | 5 | Weston | 42.41 | 27 | 24 | Garside | 27.93 |
| 16 | 10 | Kennard | 42.21 | 28 | 28 | Anderson | 27.41 |
| 17 | 3 | Hertelendy | 41.88 | 29 | 21 | Coyle | 25.91 |
| 18 | 33 | Hughes | 40.91 | 30 | 19 | Jackson | 24.90 |
| 19 | 31 | Speiser | 37.01 | 31 | 27 | Northey | 24.85 |
| 20 | 26 | Mawson | 36.43 | 32 | 22 | Noble | 23.60 |
| 21 | 4 | Taylor | 36.41 | 33 | 32 | Reilly | 22.47 |
| 22 | 18 | Howitt | 36.08 | 34 | 13 | Nugent | 17.22 |


| GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wednesday 25th February | Thursday 26th February | Friday 27th February | Saturday 28th February |
| OPEN EVENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Open Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs Friday Teams $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | Q/F Teams S/F Teams <br> 9:00am 2×12 $2: 00 \mathrm{pm} 4 \times 10$ <br> Brds Brds <br> 10:00am 1/3 $2: 00 \mathrm{pm} 2 / 3$ <br> 10:00am 1/3 $2: 00 \mathrm{pm} 2 / 3$ | 9:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final 10:30am 3/3 |
| SENIORS EVENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Seniors Teams | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | 10:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final | All Are Dinner |
| INTERMEDIATE EVENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate Teams | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | 10:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final | 7:30pm Bookings for are |
| RESTRICTED EVENTS |  |  |  | 8:00pm Essential |
| Restricted Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Restricted Butler Swiss Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | $$ | 10:30am 3/3 |
| NOVICE EVENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Novice Teams <br> Friday Novice Pairs | 10:30am Start $4 \times 14$ Brds R5-R8 | 10:30am Start $4 \times 14$ Brds R9-R12 | 10:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final 10:00am 1/2 2:00pm 2/2 |  |
| ROOKIE PAIRS |  |  |  |  |
| Rookie Pairs - Single Session Events |  | 10:30am 1/1 |  |  |
| UNDER 50MP PAIRS |  |  |  |  |
| Under 50 Masterpoint Pairs | 10:30am 1/1 | 10:30am 1/1 |  |  |
| MIXED TEAMS |  |  |  |  |
| Seres/McMahon Mixed Teams |  |  | 10:00am 1/2 2:00pm 2/2 |  |
| WALK-IN PAIRS |  |  |  |  |
| Holiday Walk-In Pairs - Play 1, 2 or 3 Sessions | 10:30am 2/3 | 10:30am 3/3 | 10:00am 1/3 2:00pm 2/3 | 10:30am 3/3 |
|  | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |

# CALENDAR OF SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES GOLD 



| GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2015 CALENDAR OF CELEBRITY SPEAKERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thursey |
| With Phil Gue <br> With Phil Gue Upstairs Room 5 |  | Pril Gue has taught bidge to 30 years. For the past 2 y years he has managed and Phil has played for Australia at all the major World and Regional Championships. |  | 9.3am 10:15amm 1. | See TBIB in the Lobby Daily Both Before and after play |
| with Joan Butt <br> Upstairs Room 5 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.3 \mathrm{aamam} \\ & \text { 20:15am } \end{aligned}$ |

DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU


YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU

| 9 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 |  | 8 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 2 | 7 |
| 3 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 5 |
| 7 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 2 | 9 | 8 |  |  | 5 | 1 |  | 4 | 6 |
|  |  | 7 | 8 | 5 |  | 4 |  | 3 | 9 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 7 |  | 9 | 1 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |  | 6 |  |

DIFFICULT SUDOKU

|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 |  | 4 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 7 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |
|  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 8 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 2 | 3 |
|  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 9 |

YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION DIFFICULT SUDOKU

| 2 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 5 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| 6 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 7 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 1 |

## SERVICES

If you stick to The Gold Coast Congress sponsoring restaurants you'll stay healthy of course. But should the need for a DOCTOR arise there's Broadbeach Medical Centre 07-5531-6344 one block south of the venue on the seaward side of the highway. Should you need after hours attention call 07-5531-1224.
Should a BABYSITTER be required call Cathie at Gold Coast Nannies 0431-301-916. All Nannies carry current Blue Card and Senior First Aid Certificate including CPR for children.
If you are feeling stiff and sore give Sue and her mobile MASSAGE team a call on 0466-284-114. Take a look at their complimentary gift offer on page 58A of Hello Gold Coast magazine and Sue's 'love it or your money back' motto.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

As our tournament grows your editors find it increasingly difficult to cover EVERY aspect of the tournament, especially photographing all of the winners, activities and other happenings.

So a special thanks to Anne Russell for all her help in supplementing our efforts in the photography for the Bulletin - Thanks Anne!!


[^0]:    Some Times are the Day Before The Dates Above

