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WINNERS WINNERS EVERYWHERE


Winners Seniors Teams
Arjuna De Livera, Elizabeth Havas, Alan Walsh and Barbara McDonald 110-78.1 IMPs


Winners Intermediate Teams
Margaret Rogers, John Rogers, Sue Moffitt and Ken Moffitt 100.1-73 IMPs



Winners Novice Teams
Allan Byrnes, Steven Parkes, Unis Suliman and Peter Taylor 137.1-57 IMPs


Missing yesterday due to work commitments Standing: Therese Tully, Tournament Organiser. Seated: Gay Tully, Hospitality and Anne Russell Photography.

THE WHEELS ON THE BUS GO ROUND AND ROUND


These guys are in charge of transporting you to and from the venue.
Geoff Goulding and Ben Travers


Winners of the Seres-McMahon MP Swiss Pairs Kevin Tant and John Brockwell (missing)

## Saturday's Open Teams Final over 48 Boards

 Andy Hung - Nye Griffiths - Liam Milne - Kornel Lazar - Michael Whibley - Roger Lee Vytautas Vainikonis - Wojtek Olanski - Mikhail Krasnoselskiy - Erikas Vainikonis
## CATEGORY WINNERS

## Prizes Available in the Admin Office

## OPEN

| Men's: | Ervin Otvosi-Kennet Christiansen-Ashley Bach-Murray Green |
| :--- | :--- |
| Overseas: | Joann Sprung-Danny Sprung-Paul Lewis-Linda Lewis |
| Queensland: | Tony Treloar-Peter Evans-Richard Fox-Andrew Woollons |
| Women's: | Carol De Luca-Ben Henton-Cheryl Winsor-Gillian Alexander <br> Mixed: |
|  | Vanessa Brown-Leigh Gold-William Jenner-O'Shea-Mike Doecke-Joe Haffer-Matt Smith |
| SENIORS |  |

Friday is one of our 'most number of winners' day where four divisions of the Teams are decided along with the Seres-McMahon Matchpoint Swiss Pairs and The Friday Novice Pairs. We are pleased to present our six winners as well as Runners-Up in the Teams.

## SPONSORS TO THE 56TH GOLD COAST CONGRESS

The Gold Congress could not run without our sponsors. We are deeply indebted to them and would ask you to show your appreciation by supporting them.
ANNETTE MALUISH: Annette has a passion for encouraging new people to take up bridge to share her love of the game. She provides the prize money for both Rookie Days, $0-50$ Novice Pairs and the Friday Novice Pairs.

AUSTRALIAN BRIDGE MAGAZINE: Australia's national bridge magazine now comes in two different editions, Regular and Novice, so players of all levels will find something of interest. You can subscribe to either magazine at Paul Lavings' book stall, or visit www.australianbridge.com.
BRIDGE VID: www.bridgevid.com is an online video teaching website by Pete and Laura Hollands. Learn at your own pace, in your own time from wherever you are! Pete and Laura love to share their passion for the game by running a variety of play sessions, lessons and courses for players of all skill levels. The best part, it is video teaching so you can pause, rewind and access a great database of lessons to look at any time.
DAVID STERN: in memory of his late mother Gerda Stern - the best Women's Pair in the Open Pairs will receive prize money and trophy donated by David Stern in Gerda's memory.
GREER TUCKER: in memory of her late mother Lee Carter: Lee Carter loved the game and would be proud to know she was sponsoring the Intermediate Pairs.

IMAGINE CRUISING: Imagine Cruising is a leading cruise expert in the UK and we offer thousands of cruises to suit every budget and expectation. Experts in luxury and tailor-made cruising, we're also able to offer a wide selection of exclusive cruise holidays to spectacular destinations sailing with the leading cruise lines from around the world. Visit us at www.imaginecruising.com.au.
JAY FARANDA: Jay sponsors the event with the purchase of the Chocolate Frogs to be given to those players who do that little bit extra to make life at the table a little bit more pleasant. Nominations to the office if you feel someone should be nominated and by nominating you get a Frog for yourself.
OASIS SHOPPING CENTRE: www.oasisshoppingcentre.com The Oasis Shopping Centre sponsors the Open Pairs Prize Money. Many of the retailers in the Centre also sponsor the Congress.

PAUL LAVINGS BRIDGE BOOKS AND SUPPLIES: www.bridgegear.com Paul has been a stalwart supporter of this event for many years and gives vouchers for all third places in the Pairs Championship. The best way you can support Paul is by shopping in his shop located in the foyer.

PENLINE: www.penline.com.au Maryo Derofe supplies all our playing pens at a very discounted rate.
POWERS SUPERANNUATION SERVICES: www.powers.net.au Powers have paid for the printing of our Pairs/Teams and Ivy Dahler Butler score books. Charles Page is a director of the company and would love to have the opportunity to talk to you about what his company can do for you. Ring him anytime on (07) 39062827. They are good at business structures, better at tax advice and excellent at knowing what you can do with superannuation.

PIANOLA: www.pianola.net. Pianola has features for both clubs and players. For players, it offers a personal history of results with analysis of bidding and card play. For clubs it provides tools for membership management, club marketing, website builder and a partner finder. Visit www.pianola.net for more information. Pianola sponsors the prize money for Novice Pairs.
SOUNDS OF LIFE: Sounds of Life Audiology is a leading independent hearing aid specialist focusing on offering you great value at affordable prices. They are sponsoring the Senior Pairs and come highly recommended by Jan Malinas who is more than happy with her new hearing aids - check them out at http://www.soundsoflife.com.au/why-sounds-of-life/

SCHWEPPES AUSTRALIA: http://www.schweppesaustralia.com.au. Schweppes Australia have provided water and soft drink for our staff every year - you would be amazed at how much we go through!

MAGNUS TROPICAL NURSERY: Magnus Moren has provided all the palms that brighten up the corridors and provide the greenery to brighten up our days. If you need any landscaping done he is your man! magnusmoren1@gmail.com. 0456181841
TONY BEMROSE INSURANCE BROKERS: http://www.tbib.com.au/abf.php. TBIB provide the highest quality, professional insurance advice available to businesses and individuals. They have conducted a significant market investigation not only to provide greater coverage and benefits for the Australian Bridge Federation, but also to offer a range of preferential services and market leading products for members. TBIB are sponsoring the Congress to the value of $\$ 5,000$ plus gifts to the players. Take the opportunity to speak to them at the Congress - it is cost free to ask and you may well be pleasantly surprised.

TWO MEN AND A TRUCK: www.twomen.com.au They are amazing! Since 2010 they have done a fantastic job moving all our gear from Brisbane to the Gold Coast Convention Centre and back. This is worth a fortune to us!! They are cheerful, willing, flexible and efficient. They also sponsor the Intermediate Teams Event. If you have just one item to move or a whole unit, house or office, make sure to give them a call.
TO THOSE WHO WISH TO REMAIN ANONYMOUS: We have a few of these and we can only say thank you.
VOLUNTEERS: to all those players, friends and family members who donate their hours to make this event the success it is.

THANK YOU TO ALL OF YOU MENTIONED ABOVE.
Therese Tully and Kim Ellaway
OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 11
Barry Rigal

| Dealer: West | -1062 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - A 10974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 4 | - K 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual 11 | -1075 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -8743 |  | - A 95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -863 |  | - K Q J |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 7542 |  | - A J 86 |  | ak | C |  |  |
| -82 |  | 4Q94 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | - K Q J |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | - 52 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q 93 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | \& AKJ 63 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

This board featured a Golden Yarborough (no honour cards or nines or a 16,959 to 1 chance). I was playing my fourth and last match of the event and was tempted to open the West hand. 30 years ago David Burn had done the same thing with me to talk the opponents out of slam and had told me opening was mandatory. l've done the same every time since and am definitely plus imps on the experiment.

This time I wimped out and passed, and when my partner opened a strong no-trump I used Stayman and put the dummy down in $2 \downarrow$. Down two was a push; but of course N/S make $3 N T$ in a canter and on defence to 1NTx the defence can collect 800 painlessly enough.

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: E-W | ¢ J 10972 |  | West <br> Rigal | North Scales | East <br> da Rosa | South Colbert |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd Open Tms Qual 11 | Q 27 |  | Double | Pass | 2 | 20 |  |
| ¢ 8653 |  | - A 4 | 3 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| -K43 |  | - A 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K 86 |  | -109532 |  | Make | le Cont | acts |  |
| \& K 64 |  | \& A 107 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ K Q |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | \$ |
|  | - Q J 102 |  | - | - | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A 4 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \&Q J 852 |  | - | - | 1 | - | 4 |

Maybe my call was ill-judged but my kings looked well placed and I thought the opponents rated to have eight hearts between them. Rodrigo da Rosa received a top spade lead and won to play a diamond. Colbert won, cashed his top spade, and exited with a top club (yes a top heart would have worked better). Da Rosa won, drew a second trump, then played $9 \mathrm{~K}, ~ \vee A$ and a third heart. When South won, he was end-played to lead a second club and declarer had his contract.

There WAS a second trap to the defence though. Imagine South does shift to a top heart, and da Rosa clears trumps. This is the ending:


It is tempting but unsuccessful for North to force declarer with a spade. East discards a club instead of ruffing and then has the timing for a club/heart squeeze on South. North must play a club or a heart - and if he leads a club then when declarer wins in dummy and puts North in with a spade (East pitching a club) North must now play a heart.

| Dealer: North | - A 987 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -1064 |  |
| Brd 9 | - 3 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 11 | \& A Q 103 |  |
| -KJ642 |  | - 103 |
| - Q 5 |  | -K987 |
| - Q 62 |  | - A J 87 |
| \& 752 |  | \& K J 8 |


| West | North <br> Rigal | East <br> Scales <br> da Rosa | South <br> Colbert |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $1 \$$ | Pass | 10 |  |
| Pass | $1 \$$ | Pass | 1NT |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |

The contract was 1 NT at more tables than not. When declared by North, East was immediately end-played. A heart looks best, but when West shifts to clubs it will be very hard for East to keep his fingers off the spade 10 here. Now beating 1NT is possible - but somewhat unlikely (West needs to play diamonds through). Our teammates duly recorded +120 .

At our table after an unopposed to 1NT by South it looked right to go passive with a club lead. Da Rosa won cheaply and shifted to hearts, and I continued the suit to the nine and jack. Declarer won in hand to repeat the
club finesse, Da Rosa won and played $\because \mathrm{K}$ to set up the fourth round of the suit. When declarer cashed his clubs to lead a low spade to the queen and king, the defence had eight winners.

| Dealer: North | $\text { ¢ Q } 106$ |  | West Rigal | North | East da Rosa | South Colbert |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\bullet \text { Q } 10$ |  |  | Scales |  |  |  |
| Brd 13 | - A Q J 1052 |  |  | 1 | Pass | 10 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 11 | ¢98 |  | 14 | 2 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { Pass } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| -A98432 |  | ¢ K J 7 | 3 | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| -963 |  | - K J 82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K 8 |  | - 73 |  | Makea | le Contr | acts |  |
| \& K 6 |  | \& Q J 74 | - | 2 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - 5 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | \$ |
|  | - A 754 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -964 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A 10532 |  | - | - | - | - |  |

This hand has a useful message I believe. Since it was one I failed to follow maybe I need to learn it too...
North leads $\triangle Q$ against 3 and South continues the suit, and when you play low from hand the ten appears. Who should you play for the $₫ Q$ ? Answer: nobody. Instead, drive out the club ace. if South has it and gives his partner a heart ruff they can only get one diamond trick, if he leads a diamond you cover his card to make sure he can't get back in again to give the heart ruff. Now you know to finesse in spades as North needs that card for her opening bid.
This line fails when South has two diamonds higher than the eight, but if you lead a club and it is North who has the \&A you play trumps from the top. North can't prevent you playing winners to discard your diamond loser. What I did was play trumps from the top at once and now North can ruff a heart and lead a club to partner's ace for the killing diamond shift.

| Dealer: East | - 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - Q 10932 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 14 | - Q 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual 11 | \& A 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -A654 |  | $\bullet \text { K J } 7$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1063 |  | - AKJ | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& K 53 |  | +1097 | 5 | - | 5 | - | NT |
|  | - J 952 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | - |
|  | $\bullet 8$ |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -9752 |  | 3 | - | 3 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q J 64 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |

Finally, a take all your chances problem. After 1NT-3NT South finds the annoying $\& Q$ lead, covered all round. Back comes the $\$ 8$, and when you cover South ducks. Clubs appear to be 4-3; which order should you take your chances?

I believe the right sequence is spade queen, heart finesse. If it loses and they cash clubs you pitch a heart from hand then win the return (a heart say) play $₫ \mathrm{~K} \uparrow \mathrm{~A} \boxtimes \mathrm{~A}$. If neither major has split you need the diamond finesse.

An alternative might be to take the diamond finesse since if it loses you can then pitch a diamond on the fourth club. This allows you to make when $\boldsymbol{~} \mathrm{J}$ is doubleton, but you don't get to test hearts 3-3.

OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 12
Barry Rigal
Going into the final 14 deals of the qualifying series, I would have the opportunity to watch four contending teams fighting it out in an attempt to get to 160 (the magic number for qualifying, it would transpire). All VP numbers will be rounded for this article - as indeed many believe they should be in perpetuity, but that is another matter.

Sprung on 144 played Morrison on 142, Kanetkar on 152 played Vanessa Brown on 145.
Here are some of the highlights:

After a strong no-trump passed out, what would you lead holding:

- 1065 - A Q J 5 Q 1096 \& 5 ?

In order my choices would be diamond, spade, club then heart. Keiran Crowe-Mai selected the heart queen; the good news was that his partner had the king, the bad news was that it was singleton, and that meant 1NT made instead of going down one. That was 5 IMPs to Sprung.

| Dealer: South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\lcm{\bullet} 92$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 19 | -10854 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual 12 | \& 1084 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AKJ 2 |  | - 1086 <br> - Q 93 <br> \& A J | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& K 76 |  |  | - | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | - K 3 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  | - AK54 |  | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 76 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | \&Q9532 |  |  | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

Danny and Joann Sprung stopped in 3 after a transfer auction following Danny's overcall of 1NT over 14. Linda Lewis, as South opened a weak no-trump, doubled for penalty by Morrison. Linda escaped to 2\&, and when Crowe-Mai balanced with 24, Morrison bid 2NT, raised to 3NT. After a club lead from North that was three down.

The same contract was reached by Doecke/Jenner-O'Shea but Kanetkar untrustingly led a diamond (surely the least likely suit for a $1 \&$ opener to hold). This still wasn't fatal, so long as the defenders shift to hearts when in with the first spade. When they missed their second chance, declarer had 600 (three spades, four diamonds and two clubs) and 12 IMPs against 4 down one in the other room for Brown.

| Dealer: West | - Q 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AQJ98 |  |
| Brd 20 | - 8 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 12 | \& AK 1082 |  |
| - 83 |  | ¢ K 109 |
| $\bullet 3$ |  | -K10742 |
| - A Q 752 |  | - J 93 |
| \&QJ764 |  | ¢95 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A J } 7542 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | -K1064 |  |
|  | - 3 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| - | 4 | - | 3 | $\$$ |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

Two of the four tables declared 4 here. After the singleton heart lead the players (Smith for the Brown team and Linda Lewis for Sprung) played it card for card the same. They cashed the aces and kings in dummy to pitch the heart, led the diamond eight to the nine ten and queen, then won the spade shift to ruff a diamond. Now they ruffed a club to hand, played ace and another spade, and could not avoid losing two diamond tricks when the jack was covered by king and ace, and their six lost to the seven. That was the Beer Card!!

Bruce Neill was in a far more parlous spot after some insouciant actions by Kanetkar.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Doecke | Kanetkar | J-O'Shea | Neill |
| 1 | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \bullet$ | Double All Pass |  |

After Doecke's incisive trump lead, East took a very long time to play to the first trick. l'm sure it must be right to win the $V$ Q and return a trump, but he took the VK and played back a spade. That let the queen score and now declarer must have had hopes to make. He played trumps from the top, getting the bad news, and tried to drive the 10 . Now Jenner-O'Shea shifted to the $\downarrow J$, covered all round, and when West cashed $\downarrow$ Q Neill pitched a club from dummy. A third diamond let declarer win in hand, cross to the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ to draw trumps, and now the spade finesse let him out with nine tricks.

Finally Chris Mulley declared 3NT on a diamond lead to the jack and king. When he took the heart finesse the defenders could run diamonds, and declarer pitched a spade from dummy gambling on the hearts running. When they didn't he took only five tricks, for down four.

| Dealer: East | -9 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -Q976 |  | Morrison | Paul | Crowe-Mai | Linda |
| Brd 22 | - K J 3 |  |  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Open Tms Qual 12 | \& AK Q 108 |  | 10 | 24 | Double | 3 (fit) |
| - J 6 |  | ¢A10854 | 4 | 5\% | 5 | 6\% |
| - AK 10842 |  | - J 3 | Double | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| - A 872 |  | - Q 10954 |  | Makea | le Contrac |  |
| \& J |  | 49 | 1 | - |  | NT |
|  | - KQ732 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | - 5 |  | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -6 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | \& 765432 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 |

Sprung's hopes must have been high here, where they defended 3NT down four by North on a diamond lead. But Linda Lewis got a little carried away here.

You can understand Paul's decision to bid 5\%, though double to show extras and a defensive hand might have seen him play $5 \&$ undoubled. As it was 5 makes ten tricks, but Linda was hoping for her partner's cards to be in other places. 6\$x meant a loss of 4 IMPs not a gain of 6 .

| Dealer: West | K K 1086 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: None | 53 |
| Brd 24 | A 62 |

Open Tms Qual 12 \& A 1087

```
-A72
-J98
-K874
&J62
@QJ43
-A7
-53
&K9543
```

- 95
-KQ10642
- Q J 109
$\%$ Q

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | $\$$ |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

If you open the North hand you might get to game, and have to negotiate the restricted choice position in clubs to make. Kanetkar did open the North hand but after a $3>$ overcall Neill doubled and let Kanetkar out in 34 for 170. Haffer misguessed clubs in 4 in the other room to swing 6 out instead of 6 in. The Lewises stayed out of game and made 140, Brayshaw/Mulley bid and made game to pick up 7 IMPs. At this point Brown led by 5 , Sprung by 9 .
Haffer-Smith then bid to a hopeless game to swing 6 away, while the Sprungs defended part-score for 4 IMPs in. Brown had their hopes renewed on the next deal.

| Dealer: East | - A 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AQ J |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 26 | - AQ9432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual $12 \&$ Q | $\because Q$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 10 \quad \text { K97543 }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 10765 \\ +95432 \end{array}$ |  | - J | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \& A 108 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | -10982 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | - 862 |  | - | 1 | - | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | -K8 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | -KJ 76 |  | - | 1 | - | - | 4 |

The North players all heard $1 \checkmark$ passed round to them. They doubled, and converted 1 to 2 NT , raised to 3 NT . Two tables had it relatively easy on a heart lead. They played on clubs, and when the defenders shifted to spades they set up the long spade. That meant two clubs, two spades, two hearts and three diamonds.

Paul Lewis received the $\$ 10$ lead. He won in hand and played on diamonds from the top. To defeat the game Morrison had to shift to spades not hearts, but Crowe-Mai had called for a heart, so he followed orders. Lewis
now had two hearts, one club, one spade and five diamonds. Kanetkar received the devilish lead of $>\mathrm{J}$. He won in hand and played \&Q. Jenner-O'Shea won and played back a club, and now Kanetkar simply cashed the clubs before playing $\leqslant$ K, and it was West who had a diamond and two clubs to cash to beat him. With two boards to go both Sprung and Brown were very close to qualifying, and Kanetkar was in danger of dropping out.

| Dealer: South |  |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\bullet 76$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 27 | $\begin{array}{r} 754 \\ \& A 75 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \bullet 105 \\ & \bullet 1092 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet K 9 \\ & \bullet \text { Q J } 83 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KQ9632 |  | - A J 10 |  | Make | e Con |  |  |
| \& 32 |  | \& K Q 94 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - A J 84 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | - AK54 |  | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 8 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | ¢ J 1086 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 | 4 |

When Morrison held the West cards he jumped to 2 over $1 \&$ and Crowe-Mai bid 3NT. A nice shot, but after a top heart lead and spade shift declarer had only seven winners. He scrambled an 8th when South kept too many clubs on the run of the diamonds, but that was still one down. In the other room N/S bought the hand in a spade part-score for 170, and so Morrison gained 3 IMPs, and a couple of overtricks on the last deal saw a 9 IMP win for Sprung, enough to stop both teams advancing.

The real action on 27 came when Kanetkar (steaming from the previous deal?) doubled 3NT.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doecke | Kanetkar | J-O'Shea | Neill |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| 2 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| Pass | Double | All Pass |  |

Neill led his club jack, and Kanetkar won and fired back a spade, letting the defenders run spades and hearts. The penalty was only 500 (another Neill revoke!) but that was still 8 IMPs to Kanetkar, enough to scramble to a 3 IMP loss, good for a qualifying place for them.

## OPEN TEAMS FINAL SERIES QUARTER FINAL BOARDS 1-12

Finishing first in the qualifying was Braun (NPC) Hung, Griffiths, Milne, Lazar, Whibley and Lee while second was Konig, Wallis, Nunn and Howard. These teams qualified automatically to the Friday afternoon SemiFinals.

In the 24 board Quarter-Finals the matches would be:

- Vytautas Vainikonis, Wojtek Olanski, Mikhail Krasnoselskiy, Erikas Vainikonis and Juste Rotomskyte versus Bill Haughie, Simon Hinge, Matthew Mullamphy and Ron Klinger; and
- Avi Kanetkar, Bruce Neill, Pauline Gumby and Warren Lazer versus Fiona Brown, Hugh McGann, Matthew Thomson, Michael Ware, Geo Tislevoll and David Beauchamp
Your editor's fingers are numb from all the typing this week so here is the code for the following report. MK=Mikhail=Krasnoselskiy, Vitas=Vytautas Vainikonis and EK=Erikas=Erikas Vainikonis. Poor old Wojtek Olanski just gets Olanski.
The match proved to be a three consecutive board set $(3,4$, and 5 ) as we will see.
Let's start with a lead problem:
- 98754 - J7 752 \& K Q 10

You hear the unopposed bidding starting on your right $1>-3-5-6$ after which you have to select your lead. Thoughts?

Bill Haughie opted for the not-unreasonable $\& \mathrm{Q}$. Your editors have discussed this and can't see any other lead that lends it itself to more worth consideration. Bad luck - you write -920 on your scorecard when only a club or heart (least likely) lead allows declarer to make.

| Dealer: South | - K 10 |  | West | North | Vitas | South <br> Mullamphy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -1084 |  | Olanski | Klinger |  |  |  |
| Brd 3 | -KQ1083 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |
| Open Tms QF1/2 | \& J 95 |  | Pass | 14*s) | Pass | $2{ }^{4}$ |  |
| -98754 |  | - Q J 632 | Pass | 3 | Pass | 3 |  |
| - J 7 |  | - Q 653 | Pass | 3 | Pass | 4* |  |
| - 752 |  | -9 | Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |  |
| *K Q 10 |  | -832 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
|  | - AK92 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | - AJ 64 |  |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A 764 |  |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

In the Closed Room Klinger-Mullamphy played in 5 making 11 tricks when Olanski opted for the 'safe' diamond lead. That was 11 IMPs to Vainikonis to open the scoring.
More excitement on the very next board. Let's switch to a bidding problem. You hold the following hand and hear this auction: A QJ4 Q92- \& A 108765.

| West | North | East South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Olanski | Klinger | V Vainikonis Mullamphy |
| $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ | Double 3 |
| Pass | Pass | $? ?$ |

The $2 \boldsymbol{}$ overcall was a takeout of hearts. Your editors were discussing this hand and one commented "I don't see any reason not to bid $4 \diamond$ ", and everybody nodded approvingly.

| Dealer: West Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K } 1052 \\ & \bullet \text { J } 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Haughie | North Mikhail | East Hinge | South Erikas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 4 | -KQ62 |  | 29 | Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| Open Tms QF1/2 | -K 42 |  | Olanski | Klinger | Vitas | Mullamphy |
| - 86 |  | - A Q J 4 | $2{ }^{\text {WkMaj }}$ | $2{ }^{\text {T/0 }}$ | Double | 3 |
| - AK8754 |  | - Q 92 | Pass | Pass | 4. | All Pass |
| -10954 |  | --- | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| -9 |  | \& A 108765 | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | ¢ 973 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | -103 |  | 7 | - | 7 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - AJ873 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | \& Q J 3 |  | 5 | - | 5 | 4 |

Well if there was no reason not to bid $4 \downarrow$, we could clearly count one more reason not to do anything else. Vitas opted to bid $4 \boldsymbol{*}$ and watched as everybody passed. Mercifully the Vainikonis Team wasn't punished to the max when, after making 11 tricks in $4 \boldsymbol{\infty}$, the other table subsided in $4 \odot$ making just 12 tricks when 13 were available. That was 11 IMP's back to Klinger and 11 IMPs apiece.
The third hand of the scoring trio was the very next board.

| Dealer: North | - 654 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | -KQ2 |  |
| Brd 5 | - J 10542 |  |
| Open Tms QF1/2 | \& A 8 |  |
| ¢ --- |  | - AK Q 872 |
| - J 985 |  | $\bullet 7$ |
| - AQ 86 |  | -K9 3 |
| \& K 10763 |  | \& Q 94 |
|  | - J 1093 |  |
|  | - A 10643 |  |
|  | - 7 |  |
|  | \& J 52 |  |


| West Haughie | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mikhail | Hinge | Erikas |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3 | All Pass |
| Olanski | Klinger | Vitas | Mullamphy |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| 4 | - | 4 | ¢ |
| - | - | - | $\cdots$ |
| 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | - | 4 | 9 |

In the modern world 24 points seems like a good reason to bid game, whereas in the 'old world' misfits were reasons not to. And so it was on this board. In the Closed Room, Vitas took the not unreasonable approach of rebidding 3 to show a better than minimum hand. The quality of the suit as well as singleton adds to the merit of this bid. In the Open Room however, Hinge opted for a more conservative 2 rebid which is certainly understandable if not necessarily your choice. Over 2 Haughie bid 2NT, and it isn't clear why Hinge opted to bid 3 rather than 3 given his equal length but the result was running into the $5-1$ trump break and going one down when better places to play were available.

In the Open Room, Olanski showed good technique in the play of the hand in 3NT. The defence started with three rounds of hearts followed by a fourth heart won by declarer's $¥ J$ with dummy pitching three spades. He played a club to the queen which held, which indicated to him that North held the A else South would have won this trick and cashed the setting trick in hearts. He then cashed two spades followed by two diamonds finishing in dummy. He dare not cash a third spade lest he set up the defence's fifth trick in spades so simply took his only chance and finessed South for the J - nine tricks. Vainikonis 21-11.
The rest of the boards were relatively tame, with only 9 IMPs changing hands and finishing the set Vainikonis 24 Haughie 17.

## OPEN TEAMS FINAL SERIES QUARTER FINAL BOARDS 13-24

The two matches Brown-Kanetkar and Vainikonis-Haughie were each close after 12, but the first-mentioned team added significantly to their lead on the first deal out.

The Vainikonis father and son will be referred to by their first names Vitas and Erikas, Krasnoselskiy's name will be abbreviated.

| Dealer: North | - A Q J 104 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - J 63 |  |
| Brd 13 | - A |  |
| Open Tms QF2/2 | \& A Q 85 |  |
| ¢ 53 |  | ¢ K 962 |
| - Q 754 |  | - K 102 |
| -QJ98743 |  | -10652 |
| \& --- |  | \& 106 |
|  | ¢ 87 |  |
|  | - A 98 |  |
|  | -K |  |
|  | \& K J 9 7 4 32 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
| - | 2 | - | 3 | $\vdots$ |
| - | - | - | - |  |
| 4 | - | 4 | - |  |
| - | 5 | - | 4 | $\vdots$ |



| West | North <br> Lazer | East <br> ThompsonGumby | South <br> McGann | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Klinger found out he was facing long clubs and 10-12. When Mullamphy confirmed all the aces Klinger knew the grand slam could be no worse than a ruffing finesse in spades and on a heart lead $6 \boldsymbol{q}$ would probably need the same thing. So it did; alas, Bill Haughie led a diamond against 6e. As my grandmother said 'If you can't think of anything nice to say, don't say it." So I won't - but l'm tempted.

Personally I think in the other match it was Kanetkar who deserved to gain IMPs, given the evaluations from the open room. But the great scorer did not agree. At least Beauchamp did not fail on opening lead against the small slam.

Two boards later Neill's three-card raise of a 14 response on \$AK7 (and 102) met a far worse fate than it deserved - trumps 5-1 facing an honourless four-card suit, and Brown had another 5 IMPs.

| Dealer: North | - K |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -1054 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 17 | -KQ6432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms QF2/2 | \& Q 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -10875432 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } 9 \\ & \bullet \text { QJ } 98 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A J |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1095 \\ +8642 \end{array}$ | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& AK |  |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - A J 6 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | - AK76 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
|  | - 87 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
|  | \& J 1097 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

Klinger and Mullamphy bid this to 3NT, a hopeless spot on a spade lead. The best declarer can do is come to hand in hearts to lead diamonds up. West ducks, then wins declarer's minor-suit play to clear spades, and the defence is a tempo in front. Declarer can take two clubs, one diamond two hearts and three spades, but that is it.

On the next deal an 8-11 2 opening by Mullamphy saw Olanski overcall 2NT on $\boldsymbol{\$ A 1 0}$ in a 17-count rather than double. Right he was, in that his side had eight top tricks (they were off five heart winners but the defence was never going to take them) and finished with +150 while in the other room Hinge/Haughie defended to $2 \boldsymbol{\infty}$ making eight tricks with 24 high cards between them.
With four deals to go both matches appeared to have subsided (after the first deal the rest of the boards had not generated a significant swing in Brown-Kanetkar while Vainikonis led 16-0 on the balance of the set).


Vainikonis added to their lead when both N/S pairs could double a spade call for takeout, but only one side could find their best fit. In each room North opened 1NT, and East showed spades.
In one room North for Vainikonis doubled 2 in balancing seat, letting South bid 2NT as a scramble and getting N/S to 3e. In the other room it was South for Haughie who doubled 2 for take-out, and now North, the no-trump opener could not bid 2NT as that would be natural. So he had to pick a suit, and guessed wrong when he bid $3 \boldsymbol{}$. Naturally, given the way things had worked out this set for Haughie, hearts were 5-1.

Klinger-Mullamphy clawed back a little of the deficit with a nice auction to 5 in a $4-4$ fit with the cards lying in friendly fashion, but it was too little too late. On the same deal Thompson-McGann were falling into 3NT, which also came home, to sew up their match.

The matches finished 63-31 to Vainikonis, and 60-26 to Brown who both advance to the Semi-Finals.

## SEMI-FINAL STANZA ONE BOARDS 1-10

## Barry Rigal

The match would be played in four stanzas of ten boards each. As before we would use first names for Vainikonis pere et fils.

Clearly five diamonds is the best game here, but it was no surprise that the lure of 3NT proved too much for our field. Three of the four tables settled there and no doubt they felt fortunate to come home with 11 or 12 tricks. As we shall see though, the eclectic (there's a charitable word!) methods used by Wallis-Konig are always prone to yielding a swing. This board was no exception

| Dealer: North | - A 6 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - K J |  | Olanski | Wallis | Vitas | Konị |  |
| Brd 1 | - A Q J 10972 |  |  | $1{ }^{1 / 1}$ | 2 |  |  |
| Open Semis 1/1-10 | \& Q 10 |  | 3 | 3NT | Pass | 4 |  |
| - J 1084 |  | ¢KQ9752 | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4 |  |
| - Q 63 |  | -985 | Pass | 5 | Pass | 64 |  |
| -K54 |  | -6 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| \% K 95 |  | \& J 87 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\text { - } 3$ |  | $\overline{7}$ | 6 | - | 6 | NT |
|  |  |  | 1 | - | - | - | * |
|  | -83 |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | $\bullet$ |
|  | \& A 6432 | 112.16 good one suiter OR 16+ any | - | 6 | - | 6 | - |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | - | 5 | 4 |

We aren't here to justify the technical merits of the N/S system (just as well) but the key was whether South would sit for 3NT or look for a better game or slam. In Wallis received a helpful diamond lead to the king and ace. He ruffed a spade to lead a trump to the... 10 and was down at once. Note that with the cards as they lay, Olanski had missed the sure beat of rising with \&K to play diamonds, so Wallis was no doubt influenced by that factor. 11 out instead of 10 IMPs in.

Then a very large cow flew by:

| Dealer: South | - KQ 84 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - A 7 |  | Beauchamp |  | Brown | Whibley |  |
| Brd 3 | - Q 5 |  |  |  |  | Pass |  |
| Open Semis 1/1-10 | \& J 10632 |  | $1 *$ | Pass | 16 | Pass |  |
| - A J 7 |  | - 106 | 2 | Double | Redble | 2 |  |
| -10832 |  | - K Q J 6 | Pass | Pass | 3 | Pass |  |
| - A 963 |  | -842 | 46 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| ¢ K 5 |  | \& A Q 74 |  | Makeab | le Contr | cts |  |
|  | -9532 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | $\bullet 954$ |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | -K J 107 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | \& 98 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 | - | 1 |  |  |

Brown's pass of 4 looks strange. Even if partner had some 2-3-4-4 pattern 40 looks playable with the force being taken in dummy. As it was Beauchamp thought his partner was slamming and was starting to cuebid. 14 IMPs away seemed a fair enough result for this.

The margin in Vainikonis-Konig had risen to 20 via a five-level sacrifice for 500 against a vulnerable game (on a hand where Konig-Wallis never bid) and a pushy 3NT (with a $2 \%$ opener facing a Yarborough) off five running heart tricks, where Nunn-Howard bid hearts to alert their opponents to the danger to persuade Erikas to stop in part-score. It got quickly worse:

| Dealer: East Vul: E-W | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet A 8 \\ & \bullet 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 6 | - A 8432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Semis 1/1-10 | -KQ 732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 32 |  | ¢ J 1065 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 743 |  | - J 1082 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KQ5 |  | - J 7 |  | Makea | e Con |  |  |
| \& A 1086 |  | \&954 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | -KQ974 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | - KQ96 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ |
|  | -1096 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  | \% J |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Olanski | Wallis | Vitas | Konig | Nunn | K'skiy | Howard | Erikas |
|  |  | Pass | $20^{1}$ |  |  | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 | Double | Redble | 2 | Double |
| Pass | 5 | All Pa |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

$5 \leqslant$ was not a thing of beauty, and drifted quietly two down. But it was a lot nicer contract than $20 \times$. After the lead of $\& \mathrm{~J}$ declarer played on trumps to try to prevent the cross ruff but ended up with just five winners (two trumps two diamonds and a club). It was 34-0 for Vainikonis.

| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | Sout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beauchamp | Lee | Brown | Whibley | Hung | Tislevoll | Lazar | Ware |
|  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Double | Redble | Pass | Pass | Double | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| 1NT | Double | 2 | Double // | Pass | 3\% | Pass | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | 3NT | All P |  |


|  | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{8}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \& K Q 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| - 3 |  | - J 65 |
| - A |  | $\bullet 2$ |
| - - |  | - - |
| -4 1086 |  | \% 5 |
|  | - K 974 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{-}{*}$ |  |
|  | *- |  |

Defending 3NT wasn't easy. You can hardly blame the defence for leading $\vee J$ and shifting to clubs, setting up clubs for declarer in the process. He emerged with three clubs, one diamond and three spades, throwing Lazar in with the fourth spade at trick 11 to lead hearts into the tenace for nine tricks. Whibley led a diamond against $20 x$. Lee won to shift to a trump, and when Brown put in the ten Whibley was allowed to hold the queen. he continued the attack on hearts, so Brown won cheaply in hand, unblocked diamonds, led the 99 to the jack and ace, and cashed her winning diamond to pitch a club.
Now she led a spade from dummy and Lee would have done better to rise with the ace and play back a spade. Instead he ducked. Brown put in the ten to force the queen. Whibley returned a small trump and now Brown drew the last trump, whereupon Lee's hand was high. This was the end-position where a spade exit would have ensured her two tricks. North can lead a diamond but South must over-ruff East and West wins A and plays a top club to ensure his side a club trick.

Brown got their first score of any significance when Brown was doubled in game after a third in hand $4 \checkmark$ opening was found by both Easts on a 5-6-1-1 pattern. Both tables took ten tricks.
But Hung took back those imps on the next deal when they doubled a $5 \checkmark$ game that was set two tricks in each room.
Both tables had a reach chance on the final deal of the set.

| Dealer: East | - K J 32 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 10 | -QJ106542 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Semis 1/1-10 | \& 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 5 |  | ¢ 864 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AKQ652 |  | - J 108 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -98 |  | - 73 |  | Make | le Con |  |  |
| ¢1074 |  | \& Q J 862 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - A 1097 |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | - |
|  | -9743 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | - AK |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \% AK 9 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

With $7 \leqslant$ on the spade finesse and $6 \leqslant$ a spread, but 4 a little awkward to play, how would you get round the pre-emption in hearts? A strong club would make it easy for North to bid diamonds first. But at both tables North doubled a heart bid, then when partner forced they bid diamonds and passed partner's four spade bid.
 call with 7-4, what else is it?)

## SEMI-FINAL STANZA ONE BOARDS 11-20

Barry Rigal
Vainikonis went in to the second quarter up 34-0, Hung up 24-7.
After four deals neither side had scored more than 2 imps on a board (it was 2-0 in one match 3-2 in the other). The crowds were getting restless.
On board 15 at least we had two tables bidding slam (somewhat worse than a finesse) while two tables stayed low, but the two aggressive pairs were both in the same match, so we had no swing. Thompson McGann at least protected their vulnerable king in slam, but with the ace onside they were not rewarded for their discretion.

| Dealer: West <br> Vul: E-W <br> Brd 16 <br> Open Semis 2/11-20 <br> Q J 84 <br> - 10874 <br> -KQ875 <br> 4 --- | © -- <br> -KJ92 <br> - A J 10 <br> \&AK9873 |  |  |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | © A 102 <br> - AQ6 3 <br> - 6432 <br> \& J 10 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | \& K 97653$\bullet 5$$\bullet 9$$\& Q 6542$ |  |  |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  |  |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  |  |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  |  |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\pm$ |
| West Olanski Pass | North Wallis | East |  |  | South | West Nunn | North | East Howard | South |  |  |
|  |  | Vitas |  |  | Konig |  | K'skiy |  | Erikas |  |  |
|  | 14** | Pass |  |  | 14 | Pass | 14 | Pass | $1 \$^{(0-6)}$ |  |  |
| Pass | $2{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 3* | Db. | 2 | Pass | 5* |  |  |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $4 *$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |  |
| Pass | $4{ }^{*}$ | Pass | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 54 | Pass | 6* /1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To the best of my knowledge and belief Wallis showed a strong club at his second turn and after an exchange of cuebids he signed off and Konig bid on by virtue of this shape and trumps.
In the other room North showed clubs and hearts, with extras but not a monster and South named a final contract.
Vitas' lead of $₫ A$ speeded up the play (not that this is needed when this $N / S$ are at the table). A trump lead might have produced a more interesting set of options for declarer.

| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McGann | Milne | Thompson | Grifiths | Hung | Tislevoll | Lazar | Ware |
| Pass | 1\%(16+) | Pass | 1 * | Pass | 14 | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 14 | Pass | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{*}^{1}$ | Pass | 4 | Pass | 30 | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 5* | Pass |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

## $15 / 4$ either way NF

After Hung had added 8 IMPs for a good part-score result in each room, the last two deals of the set offered some interest in one match.
Both tables in Hung-Brown played 4ゅ, as did Krasnoselskiy-Erikas.

| Dealer: South | ¢ J 75 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - 54 |  | Olanski | Wallis | Vitas | Konig |  |
| Brd 19 | -KQ J 76 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |
| Open Semis 2/11-20 | \& A Q 6 |  | Pass | 2\% ${ }^{\text {(Relay) }}$ | Pass | 2 |  |
| ¢ 103 |  | ¢ 98 | Pass | 4\$ | Pass | 5 |  |
| - K J 97 |  | -10862 | Pass | 54 | All Pas |  |  |
| -10983 |  | - A 54 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& 984 |  | \& K J 53 | - | 3 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | ¢ A K Q 642 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | \$ |
|  | - AQ 3 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 2 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |

Had Olanski led a club, declarer might well have put in the queen (playing either for the club or heart king to be well placed) and gone down. Rising with the ace and playing on diamonds seems less attractive to me. But Olanski led a heart and Konig gained an IMP instead of losing 11. On the next board, naturally, he was prepared to return the favour.

| Dealer: West | - 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -KQ942 |  |
| Brd 20 | -108632 |  |
| Open Semis 2/11-20 | ¢94 |  |
| - J 7 |  | - Q 9842 |
| - 1087 |  | - A 6 |
| - J 54 |  | - AKQ |
| \&KQJ62 |  | \& A 105 |
|  | - AK 653 |  |
|  | - J 53 |  |
|  | -97 |  |
|  | \& 873 |  |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Olanski | Wallis | Vitas | Konig | Nunn | Kskiy | Howard | Erikas |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 2 | Dal. | Pass |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass |
|  |  |  |  | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass | Double |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | Pass | D | All Pass |

3NT made ten tricks on a low spade lead, but 4 was going quietly down one at least, until Konig doubled and Vainikonis ran to $5 \%$ (4NT has no play on a heart lead). Against $5 \%$ the singleton spade lead went to Ziggy's king. Had he shifted to hearts the defence is in front, but when he led a low spade for his partner to ruff declarer could win the heart shift, draw trumps, then ruff out spades for 11 tricks.

## SEMI-FINAL STANZA ONE BOARDS 21-30 <br> Barry Rigal

The third set started out with E/W having a hand in the slam zone and a reasonably favourable lie of the cards. Krasnoselskiy/Erikas tried to pre-empt their opponents out of the water; after all how bad could it be with a big fit their way and a source of tricks?

| Dealer: North Vul: None | ¢ A Q 864 |  |  |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 1 | - 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Semis 3-21/30 | \& 10762 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ J 2 |  |  | ¢ K 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 1076 |  |  | - AK42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 976 |  |  | - A 4 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& K 53 |  |  | \& A Q J 84 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ 9753 |  |  |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | \$ |
|  | - J 93 |  |  |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K J 1053 |  |  |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ¢ 9 |  |  |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | 4 |
| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |  |  |
| Olanski | Wallis | Vitas | Konig | Nunn | K'skiy | Howard | Erikas |  |  |
|  | Pass | 14 | 1. |  | 2 | Double | 4. |  |  |
| Double | Pass | 2 | Pass | Pass | Pass | Double | All Pass |  |  |
| 3 | Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |  |  |
| McGann | Milne | Thompson | Griffiths | Hung | Brown | Lazar | Beauchamp |  |  |
|  | Pass | 2¢ | Pass |  | Pass | 2¢ | Pass |  |  |
| $2{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |  |  |
| 3\% | Pass | 3 | Double | 3\% | Pass | 30 | Pass |  |  |
| $3{ }^{(*)}$ | Double | 4 | All Pass | $3 ¢(\bullet)$ | Dbl. | 4NT | Pass |  |  |
| 1 puppet to | 2NT facing |  |  | 5 | Pass | $6{ }^{\circ}$ | All Pass |  |  |

4V collected 480 in peace and quiet, while 4 x looked likely to cost 300 or 500 depending on how the defence timed their plays, and what declarer did in trumps and diamonds. Howard cashed his three red suit winners and exited in hearts. Declarer ruffed and ducked a club, letting Howard win the jack and play a low club. Now Krasnoselskiy led a trump to his eight. Howard won the ten and played his second diamond. Declarer tried to ruff a diamond in hand, Howard over-ruffed and exited with a low club. In the ending neither diamonds nor clubs were established so declarer could not shut out the $\boldsymbol{\top} \mathrm{J}$ and had to go down 800 . So much for pre-emption!

Reluctant as I am to criticize the auctions from the other match (yeah right) and admittedly I'm inferring the meanings of the sequences without complete confidence but...wasn't Thompson worth a $4 \%$ cuebid facing his partner's heart bid? They could always stop in hearts on the next round. Conversely, why didn't Lazar cuebid 4e to see if he could get a diamond cuebid out of his partner? The diamond loser had to be disposed of somewhere; admittedly, if partner had a third spade you might have 12 tricks when hearts broke. Having said all of that, after a spade lead to North's ace, Lazar was admittedly unlucky to buy the doubleton spade opposite which killed the hopes of a discard. 11 IMPs to Brown trailing 33-19. If one 11 IMP swing is good, two is even better.

What would you lead when your opponents have an abortive Stayman sequence to 3NT after a 2NT opening from:

- AK 3 -10952-6\&K9753

You could sell me on anything but a diamond. Beauchamp put his fingers unerringly on a low heart, and this was the story:

| Dealer: East | ¢ 874 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - J 87643 |  |
| Brd 2 | - K 10 |  |
| Open Semis 3-21/30 | \& Q 4 |  |
| -Q 1052 |  | - J 96 |
| $\bullet$ Q |  | - AK |
| - J9872 |  | - A Q 543 |
| +1082 |  | \& A J 6 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ AK } 3 \\ & \bullet 10952 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | -6 |  |
|  | \& K 9753 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | $\$$ |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 4 | - | 4 | - |  |
| - | - | - | - | 4 |

In the other room Griffiths doubled a $1 \diamond$ opener, heard $3>$ to his left, $3 \checkmark$ from partner and 3 NT to his right. He led...a top spade and shifted to clubs, but after the original lead it was already too late if declarer was going to guess diamonds.
In our other match both Souths led a top spade - Konig after his partner had bid hearts. Colour me unimpressed, but no swing.

If two big swings are nice, thought Brown, wouldn't three be even nicer?

| Dealer: South Vul: E-W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ } 963 \\ & \bullet K \text { K9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 3 | - A92 |  |
| Open Semis 3-21/30 | \& J 7 |  |
| - A J 85 |  | - K 10742 |
| - A 652 |  | $\bullet 8$ |
| - K Q 6 |  | -10754 |
| \& Q 2 |  | +1063 |
|  | - Q |  |
|  | - J 107 |  |
|  | - J 83 |  |
|  | * AK9854 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | NT |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 0 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | - |  |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Thompson | Griffiths 24 | Hung | Brown | Lazar |  |
| Double | 20 | 24 | 3 | Double | 18 | 14 | Double |
| 4 | 5\% | Pass | Pass | 2¢ | 30 | Pass | Pass |
| Double | Pass | Pass | Pass | 3 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Let he who is without sin cast the first stone; switch the club and spade queens and you aren't that unhappy to play 5 . But maybe partner never has the perfect hand? Milne's decision to bid on over 4 turned a small plus into a large minus, when McGann led ace and another heart, gave partner a second ruff and got a diamond back. When declarer ducked, a third heart ruffed with $\$ 10$ promoted a further trump for the defenders.

In the other room three rounds of clubs allowed declarer to pitch a diamond from dummy and come to nine tricks in peace and quiet. But that was still 8 IMPs for Brown, leading 38-33.

After an overtrick in 2NT the action started up again in both matches.

| Dealer: North | - A J |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - A 32 |  |
| Brd 5 | - AK 104 |  |
| Open Semis 3-21/30 | -A Q 95 |  |
| - 94 |  | - Q 863 |
| -K10975 |  | - Q64 |
| - Q 3 |  | - J 875 |
| \&8643 |  | -107 |
|  | ¢K10752 |  |
|  | - J 8 |  |
|  | -962 |  |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McGann | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Milne } \\ & \text { 1\& }(16+) \end{aligned}$ | Thompson Pass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Griffiths } \\ & 1{ }^{(\oplus s)} \end{aligned}$ | Hung | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brown } \\ & 2 \& \end{aligned}$ | Lazar <br> Pass | Beauchamp $2$ |
| Double | 1 NT | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}\left({ }^{(8-11)}\right.$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass | $30^{\text {(*s) }}$ |
| Pass | 36 | Pass | 3 | Pass | $3 \mathrm{NT}^{1}$ | All Pass |  |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 6 | All Pass |  | 1 doublet | spade |  |  |
| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| Olanski | Wallis | Vitas | Konig | Nunn | K'skiy | Howard | Erikas |
|  | 14. | Pass | 14 |  | 14 | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 24(Relay) | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3\% |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  | Pass | 3 | Pass | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | 4NT | Pass | $5{ }^{(1 / 4)}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | $5{ }^{(4 Q)}$ | Pass | $5{ }^{(N 0)}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |  |

Krassoselskiy seems to have driven to slam facing five spades and a minimum positive ( $8+$ ). Howard unerringly selected a small heart, and declarer won and crossed to a club to lead a spade to the jack - the right play in the suit for the five tricks he needed. Howard won and cashed out the hearts for four down. Since Konig had cashed his nine winners without getting fancy, that was 14 IMPs to his team, levelling the match at 40-40.

Beauchamp took a slightly conservative position not to look for slam at all when he found the doubleton spade opposite. Milne, having missed his side's best contract (1vxx+2 for 1520) tried for the smaller jackpot. On a heart lead, 6 could not come home when Griffiths repeated Erikas' line in the spade suit. That made it 51-34 for Brown.
But both Vainikonis and Hung struck back immediately:

| Dealer: East | -9 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AK 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 6 | -K9543 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Semis 3-21/30 | \&K 1076 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ J 7542 |  | ¢ 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 1075 |  | - Q 842 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -10 |  | - Q 8762 |  | Makea | le Con |  |  |
| \& A 943 |  | \& 852 | - | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
|  | ¢ AKQ 1063 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | ¢ |
|  | - J 96 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \& ~ A ~ J ~ \\ & \& ~ Q ~ J ~ \end{aligned}$ |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 9 |


| West <br> McGann | North <br> Milne | East | South | West <br> Hung | North <br> Brown | East <br> Lazar <br> Pass | South <br> Beauchamp <br> 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Thompson | Grifiths |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pass | 1¢(16+) |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 24*) | Pass | 2 | Pass | 2 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 | Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4NT |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | 5 | Pass | 6 |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| Olanski | Wallis | Vitas | Konig $14$ | Nunn | K'skiy | Howard Pass | Erikas $1 \oplus$ |
| Pass | 2¢(R) | Pass | 2 | Pass | 24, ${ }^{\text {(Relay }}$ | Pass | $2^{(6 \oplus)}$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 6NT | Pass | 3NT | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

The biter bit? It is very unusual to see matching contracts on consecutive deals with each side making 3NT and defeating 6 in turn. Here slam is about $50 \%$ though maybe 6NT offers some chances of establishing diamonds if spades do not break? When Hung collected his 100 in peace and quiet (I'm sure he had no thought of doubling and defending 6NT) his side had 11 IMPs to trail 51-45.
Konig tried underbidding once and didn't like it, hence his jump to 6NT. After Vitas' heard lead declarer can make at double-dummy, but I leave it to the reader to work out how. At the very least it requires a good guess at trick one, and Wallis put in the nine, and no longer had a chance to succeed.

That made it 51-40 for Vainikonis, and they put on another couple of medium-sized swings from silly partscore results from the open room, to lead 65-46 going into the final 10 deals.
The rest of the set in Hung-Brown went very quiet until the final deal, when after a loose 1 opening MilneGriffiths doubled Nunn when he produced a delayed overcall of 2D and nipped him 500. It was $55-52$ to Hung with ten to go.

## ROGERS CAPTURES INTERMEDIATE TEAMS TITLE

 Brent ManleyThe team captained by Margaret Rogers jumped out to a big lead in the Intermediate Teams Final against the David Johnson team and survived a fourth-quarter rally to win the event 100-73.

Rogers and her husband, John, are from Sydney. Their teammates, Sue and Ken Moffitt, are from Darwin. The runners-up, all from Coffs Harbour, are Johnson, his wife Mandy, John Watson and Ian Doland.

The Rogers team started with a carryover edge of .1 IMPs and outscored their opponents 23-16 in the first stanza. This deal helped the winners to a 10-IMP gain.

| Dealer: North | ¢ A 86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - --- |  |
| Brd 5 | - Q J 83 |  |
| Inter Final 1/1-24 | \&J109432 |  |
| - K Q 3 |  | - 10972 |
| -J10942 |  | - A Q 86 |
| - A 74 |  | -10 |
| \& K Q |  | -A876 |
|  | - J 54 |  |
|  | -K753 |  |
|  | -K9652 |  |
|  | ¢ 5 |  |


| West <br> Sue M. | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Johnson | Ken M. | Watson |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| 1NT | Pass | 24 | Pass |  |
| 20 | Pass | 3 | Pass |  |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |  |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | ¢ |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | 9 |

Johnson led the $\boldsymbol{\mu J}$, taken in hand by declarer. She ran the $\cup J$ to Watson's king, and he got out with a low spade. Sue put in the queen, taken by Johnson with the ace to play a second round of clubs. Watson ruffed and played another spade, taken by Sue with the king. She cashed the $\uparrow$ A and ruffed a diamond, then called for a low club from dummy. Watson ruffed in and was over-ruffed. Sue then ruffed a second diamond in dummy, pulled the last trump with the ace and discarded her losing spade on the \&A. That was plus 420 and 10 IMPs because the same contract failed at the other table.

This deal was another loss for Johnson.

| Dealer: East | - J 63 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\bullet$ - K |  | Sue M. | Johnson | Ken M. | Watson |  |
| Brd 6 | - AKQ965 |  |  | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| Inter Final 1/1-24 | \&J 7 |  | 2 | Double | Pass | 34 |  |
| - AKQ 108 |  | ¢ 9752 | Pass | 3 | Pass | 3 |  |
| - J 92 |  | - Q 863 | Pass | 3 | Pass | 4 |  |
| -874 |  | -102 | Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |  |  |
| -42 |  | \& A Q 8 |  | Makeab | le Contr | cts |  |
|  | - 4 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | -10754 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | - J 3 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\bullet$ |
|  | \& K 109653 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  |  |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | 4 |

Sue Moffitt led the $\boldsymbol{Q}$ A and continued with the $\boldsymbol{\$}$ K. Watson ruffed, played a heart to the king and called for the $\& \mathrm{~J}$. Ken Moffitt won the \& A and played a third round of spades. Watson could have returned to dummy to take a club finesse, but he cashed the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and had to settle for 10 tricks when the queen didn't fall. At the other table, North played in $3 \downarrow$, making 10 tricks for plus 130 and a 5 -IMP gain for Rogers.

The Johnson team fought back on this board.

| Dealer: South | ¢ AK 432 |  | West | North | East | South <br> Watson |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - J 9 |  | Sue M. | Johnson | Ken M. |  |  |
| Brd 7 | - 872 |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |
| Inter Final 1/1-24 | \& K 86 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 1NT |  |
| ¢ 985 |  | ¢ Q 107 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| -KQ543 |  | - 87 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AK 3 |  | -1095 |  | Makeab | le Cont | acts |  |
| \& 105 |  | \& J 9743 | - | 2 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | ¢ J 6 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | ¢ |
|  | - A 1062 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q J 64 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A Q 2 |  | - | 1 | - | 2 | 4 |

Sue Moffitt started with the A , shifting to the $\boldsymbol{\$ 1 0}$ at trick two. Watson took the trick in hand and played the $\checkmark$ Q. Sue won the $\downarrow$ A and played another club. Watson won in hand and cashed the $\downarrow$ J. The 3-3 split gave declarer three diamond tricks to go with three clubs, one heart and two spades for plus 150.

At the other table, North-South played in 3NT but came to only eight tricks for minus 100. That was a 6 -IMP gain for the Johnson team.
The second set was a low-scoring affair won by the Rogers foursome 15-3. At the halfway point in the match, Rogers was leading 38-19.

After eight boards in the third set, the Johnson team were holding their own, trailing by just 1 IMP with four deals to play. Two big swings for Rogers, however, threatened to put the match out of range for Johnson.

This was the first.

| Dealer: East Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔A } 8 \\ & \bullet \text { K } 10964 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Sue M | North Johnson | East Ken M | Watson |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 10 | - A Q 42 |  |  |  | Pass | 1 NT |  |
| Inter Final 2/1-24 | \& 73 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | 2 |  |
| - KJ94 |  | - 10753 | Pass | 3 | Pass | 4* |  |
| - 75 |  | - A J 83 | Pass | 5\% | Pass | 6 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 863 \\ & \& \text { Q J } 64 \end{aligned}$ |  | -1095 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | +95 | - | 3 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | - Q 62 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | - Q 2 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - K J 7 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& AK 1082 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |

Johnson's 2 was a transfer to hearts. His follow-up was natural and showed slam interest. The $4 \checkmark$ bid was explained as an inquiry about key cards for diamonds, the answer being two key cards plus the trump queen.

Unfortunately for Johnson, the 4-3 fit did not play well and he was soon chalking up minus 200, a $13-\mathrm{IMP}$ loss because the contract at the other table was 3NT with an overtrick for plus 630.

Another unsuccessful slam increased the deficit for Johnson.

| Dealer: West Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ A Q } 6 \\ & \uparrow \text { K } 832 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Sue M. | North Johnson | East Ken M. | South Watson |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 12 | --- |  | 1 * | Double | 3 | Pas |  |
| Inter Final 2/1-24 | \& AKQ 753 |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4. |  |
| - 3 |  | - K J 94 | Pass | 5 | 64 | All P |  |
| - AQ 74 |  | -965 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q J 2 |  | -K754 |  | Makeab | Con | acts |  |
| -10984 |  | -62 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - 108752 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | - J 10 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -109863 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | \& J |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |

Ken Moffitt led the $\leqslant$ K, ruffed by Johnson. He played a club to dummy a trick two and called for the $\uparrow J$. Sue
 with another diamond. When the smoke cleared, Johnson was down four for minus 400, which cost 11 IMPs because the contract at the other table was $3 \%$ by North, just making for plus 110.

With 12 boards to play Johnson was behind 741-28, but they did not give up.
The Rogers team gained 10 IMPs on the first board of the final set with a vulnerable game swing, but Johnson came right back with a big swing of their own. Watson was the hero on this one.

| Dealer: East | -1053 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - A 9652 |  | Sue M. | Johnson | Ken M. | Watson |
| Brd 14 | -K5 2 |  |  |  | 1 * | Pass |
| Inter Final 2/1-24 | -109 |  | 24 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| -964 |  | ¢ A J 87 | 6NT | All Pass |  |  |
| - 8 |  | -KQJ 10 |  |  |  |  |
| -97 |  | - A Q 104 |  | Makeabl | le Contr | acts |
| \& AKQJ865 |  | +3 | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
|  | - K Q 2 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | - 743 |  | 2 | - | 2 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - J 863 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  | \& 742 |  | 5 | - | 5 | 4 |

At one table, the contract was 3NT by West, making for plus 400. There was more action at the other table.
Watson started with the $₫ \mathrm{~K}$, the killing lead. With any other opening shot, Ken Moffitt would have been able to win and establish three heart tricks to go with seven clubs and two aces - no finesses needed. On the actual lead, declarer had no chance. He won the $\uparrow$ A, ran clubs and tried the $\$ 9$ to the 10 and Watson's jack. The final result was minus 150 and 11 IMPs to the Johnson squad.

Johnson engineered the final swing of the match for his side.

| Dealer: West Vul: None | -A 102 <br> - AKJ986 |  | West Sue M | North Johnson | East Ken M | Watson |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 24 | - A 2 |  | Pass | 10 | Pass | 3 |  |
|  | \& K 6 |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4 |  |
| - Q 9873 |  | - K 4 | Pass | 6 | All Pa |  |  |
| -10 |  | $\bullet 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 643 |  | -K9875 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& 87 |  | \& J 10942 | - | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
|  | ¢ J 65 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | - Q 7543 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ |
|  | -10 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | \& A Q 53 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

Watson might have bid $5 \%$ to cooperate in the slam exploration, but Johnson was obviously determined to drive it to slam no matter what.

Ken Moffitt led the $\boldsymbol{e} J$ to Johnson's king. Johnson pulled one round of trumps, cashed the $\forall A$ and ruffed a diamond in dummy then played the $\&$ and $\&$, pitching a spade from hand. Johnson conceded a spade at the end but had his slam for plus 980 and another 11 IMPs (the slam was not bid at the other table).

The final score in the set was $45-26$ for Johnson. It was not enough, but the winners knew they had been in a fight.

| Seres McMahon Matchpoint Swiss Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Names | Total | Rank | Names | Total |
| 1 | Kevin Tant - John Brockwell | 95.67 | 33 | Jerzy Szyszkowski - Evan Allanson | 59.80 |
| 2 | Eric Kuo - Ho-Yee So | 86.00 | 34 | Richard Wallis - Val Biltoft | 59.39 |
| 3 | Peter Grant - Tony Marinos | 82.36 | 35 | Barry Coe - Richard Misior | 59.22 |
| 4 | Evelyn Hurley - Bob Hurley | 81.42 | 36 | Maha Hoenig - Sue O'Brien | 58.85 |
| 5 | Emlyn Williams - Lisa Ma | 81.16 | 37 | Margaret Driscoll - Philip Moroney | 57.85 |
| 6 | Sally Brock - Barry Myers | 80.31 | 38 | Jean Williams - Judy Twigg | 57.55 |
| 7 | Rosemary Matskows - Wayne Gyde | 79.55 | 39 | Alasdair Beck - Tom Kiss | 56.79 |
| 8 | Glenis Palmer - Christine Willon | 78.51 | 40 | Vince Cariola - Glenys Fitzpatrick | 56.28 |
| 9 | Greer Tucker - Sarah Strickland | 75.93 | 41 | Val Gardiner - Rodrigo Garcia Da Rosa | 56.27 |
| 10 | George Gaspar - Sue Small | 75.38 | 42 | Marlene Bernau - Joan Dawson | 55.93 |
| 11 | Ian Berrington - Fuxia Wen | 74.72 | 43 | Fay Stanton - Anne Young | 55.38 |
| 12 | Steve Boughey - Andi Boughey | 72.70 | 44 | Molly O'Neill - Mary Wood | 53.92 |
| 13 | Terence O'Dempsey - Bronwyn Macleod | 72.51 | 45 | Peter Lipthay - Peter Bendelstein | 52.79 |
| 14 | Meta Goodman - Wynne Webber | 71.90 | 46 | Helen Fleet - Robert Fleet | 52.14 |
| 15 | Janice Coventry - Margaret Glover | 71.73 | 47 | Des McGlashan - Megan McgGlashan | 51.95 |
| 16 | Neil Stuckey - Barry Palmer | 70.65 | 48 | Irene Campbell - Margaret Regan | 51.44 |
| 17 | Angela Norris - Jill Allanson | 69.67 | 49 | Carolyn Woolley - Chris Woolley | 48.47 |
| 18 | Anna Bell - Anne Nothling | 68.96 | 50 | Jane Swanson - Krystyna Homik | 47.15 |
| 19 | Patrick Carter - Barry Jones | 68.48 | 51 | Glenda Barter - Bernadette Campbell | 46.07 |
| 20 | Philippa Barnett - Rosemary Green | 68.45 | 52 | Pat Back - Barbara Mackay | 45.61 |
| 21 | Francesca MclGrath - Maxim Henbest | 68.05 | 53 | Maggie Stratford - Sherril Harries | 44.72 |
| 22 | Steven Bock - Les Grewcock | 66.49 | 54 | Jill Hutson - Gill Phillippo | 44.34 |
| 23 | Geoff Olsen - Chris Palmer | 66.10 | 55 | Ruth Spittle - Sheryn Thomson | 44.22 |
| 24 | Richard Greenfield - Henri De Jong | 63.17 | 56 | Lou Tillotson - Sue Robinson | 44.10 |
| 24 | Alex Kemeny - Helena Canaris | 63.17 | 57 | John Rogers - Wing Roberts | 41.93 |
| 26 | Niek Van Vucht - Val Brockwell | 62.42 | 58 | Molly Butcher - Peter George | 41.87 |
| 27 | Stephen Hurley - Liz Hurley | 61.45 | 59 | Raymond Jones - Rita Jones | 41.38 |
| 28 | Julie Boyce - Christine Thomas | 61.43 | 60 | Gwenda Jewell - Stephanie Chapman | 40.17 |
| 29 | Carol Sheldrake - Kathie De Palo | 60.22 | 61 | Stephanie Mathews - Gary Heyting | 37.31 |
| 30 | Biljana Novakovic - Lee Weldon | 60.21 | 62 | Dorothy McDonald - Nan Ottrey | 35.58 |
| 31 | Helen Stewart - Freda Banner | 59.98 | 63 | Dale Wells - John Sear | 35.08 |
| 32 | Sam Arber - Lea Woolf | 59.94 | 64 | Margaret Williamson - Patricia Hume | 29.42 |

## Friday Novice Pairs

| 1 | Paul Elkington - Trevor Rayfield | 94.54 | 36 | Anthony Marsland - Helen Blair | 59.59 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | David Kerr - Kathryn Kerr | 86.06 | 37 | Shelley McCready - Raelene Kell | 59.49 |
| 3 | Maree Huxley - Karen Hansen | 85.23 | 38 | Martin Coote - James Harvey | 58.64 |
| 4 | Stephen Miller - Jonathan Silberberg | 84.36 | 39 | Ruth Anderson - Gordon Plant | 58.29 |
| 5 | Sue Skarupsky - Sue Hunt | 82.02 | 40 | Simon Van Kruistum - Cobie Van Kruistum | 57.75 |
| 6 | Norma Hale - Fiona Law | 78.80 | 41 | Mardi Hogarth - Lynn Bain | 57.73 |
| 7 | Susie Bunting - Jane Brougham | 78.69 | 42 | Mick King - Lin Kendall | 56.87 |
| 8 | Lena Darlington - Dudley Darlington | 76.71 | 43 | Moira Smith - Drew Campi | 56.29 |
| 9 | Carmel Caton - Trevor Henderson | 75.15 | 44 | John Stimpson - Cherylene Stimpson | 56.28 |
| 10 | Wendy Rissler - Jenny Coyle | 72.39 | 45 | Ryan Stevens - Dominique Treloar | 56.01 |
| 11 | Janice Little - John Burt | 71.29 | 46 | Jane Glass - Becky Vidler | 55.49 |
| 12 | Lindell Day - Lisa Basile | 70.16 | 47 | Bev Northey - Dianne Thatcher | 55.24 |
| 13 | George Till - Kim Reeder | 69.63 | 48 | Mary Ditton - Lesley Sutherland | 55.05 |
| 14 | Clary Harridge - Jim Fouras | 69.47 | 49 | Arvind Bhasin - Geeta Bhasin | 54.97 |
| 15 | lan Argent - Les Apolloni | 69.16 | 50 | David Edwards - Carol Edwards | 54.87 |
| 16 | Wendy Casey - Barbara Moni | 69.13 | 51 | Wilma Coloper - Jennifer Simpson | 54.19 |
| 17 | John Wilson - Geoff Willson | 68.89 | 52 | Moira Gibbens - Michelle Behrens | 53.94 |
| 18 | Jenny Burchmore - Barbara Richardson | 68.83 | 53 | Gary Gibbards - Debbie Gibbards | 51.64 |
| 19 | Leanne Nugent - Jenny Iliescu | 67.62 | 54 | Andrew Sharp - Susan Sharp | 50.70 |
| 20 | Jennifer McDowell - Lorraine Bryant | 67.46 | 55 | Kim McElhinney - Kym Batt | 50.09 |
| 21 | Carol Thomson - Kate Jarrett | 67.42 | 56 | Miriam Officer - Ruth Perris | 49.13 |
| 22 | Alan Bustany - Pauline Caust | 65.86 | 57 | Frank Johnson - Patricia Johnson | 48.70 |


| 23 | Lesley Anderson - Karen Walsh | 65.56 | 58 | lan Maclean - Jan Maclean | 48.57 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | Suzi Ledger - Barbara Simpson | 65.25 | 59 | Lavinia Minchin - Diana Drummond | 47.56 |
| 25 | Bruce Gough - Klarika Tortely | 64.36 | 60 | John Kenny - Ross Maher | 47.10 |
| 26 | Charles and Lesley Bowen-Thomas | 64.18 | 61 | Eric Harrison - Robyn Harrison | 45.21 |
| 27 | Ian Leach - Nina Doyle | 63.83 | 62 | Arjen Draaisma - Margot Harris | 44.37 |
| 28 | Juliet Dunworth - Maria Page | 63.46 | 63 | Warren Moore - Gary Jenkins | 42.77 |
| 29 | Nola Anderson - Diane Smith | 63.29 | 64 | Jo Green - Marleine Venn | 42.58 |
| 30 | Daniele Escreet - Sandra Cool | 63.07 | 65 | Julie Heib - Jan Jennings | 41.68 |
| 31 | Jennifer Finigan - Mary Simon | 62.63 | 66 | Kerry Barns - Sylvia Bray | 40.50 |
| 32 | Wendy Crombie - Julie Stockley | 62.48 | 67 | Janette Watson - Bev Westaway | 40.28 |
| 33 | Patrick Rasmussen - Peter Morris | 61.68 | 68 | Bob Jones - Sue Jones | 36.38 |

## Ivy Dahler Open Swiss Pairs

| Rank | North-South | Total | Rank | East-West | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Danny Sprung - Joann Sprung | 110.18 | 1 | Gerry Daly - Trevor Fuller | 97.56 |
| 2 | Brian Callaghan - Christine Duckworth | 99.35 | 2 | Jessica Brake - Matthew Brown | 97.22 |
| 3 | Sue Ingham - Michael Courtney | 96.73 | 3 | Sam Coutts - Nick Jacob | 96.31 |
| 4 | Margaret Bourke - George Kozakos | 94.00 | 4 | Geoff Martin - Nikolas Moore | 95.87 |
| 5 | John Clarson - Julian Gauld | 91.31 | 5 | Trish Anagnostou - Rita Kahn | 93.92 |
| 6 | Will Jenner-O'Shea - Joachim Haffer | 91.22 | 6 | Wally Malaczynski - Miroslaw Milaszewski | 93.49 |
| 7 | Robert Krochmalik - Viv Wood | 87.27 | 7 | John Skipper - David Skipper | 91.90 |
| 8 | Michael Johnson - Michael Simes | 86.83 | 8 | Terry Brown - Jeanette Reitzer | 91.23 |
| 9 | Ervin Otvosi - Kennet Christiansen | 86.80 | 9 | Theo Mangos - Leigh Foran | 91.01 |
| 10 | Wayne Burrows - Peter Hall | 84.61 | 10 | Herve Cheval - Gilles Josnin | 90.53 |
| 11 | Peter Hollands - Shane Harrison | 84.55 | 11 | Gary Lynn - Michel Boyle | 88.47 |
| 12 | Jan Hackett - Tom Hackett | 84.54 | 12 | Cindy Harris - Joe Harris | 86.80 |
| 13 | Moss Wylie - Brian Cleaver | 84.28 | 13 | Peter Gill - Kathy Boardman | 84.42 |
| 14 | Ines Dawes - Di Jones | 83.34 | 14 | Rex Hanson - Judy Herring | 84.03 |
| 15 | Steven White - Frank Kovacs | 82.46 | 15 | Sharon Clifford - Kay Goodwin | 83.80 |
| 16 | David Smee - Mischa Solar | 82.38 | 16 | Lavy Libman - Tomer Libman | 83.25 |
| 17 | Terry Bodycote - Paul Weaver | 82.03 | 16 | Lesley Gilhooly - Dorothy Mackay | 83.25 |
| 18 | Alan Glasson - Kathie Radcliffe | 81.94 | 18 | Andrzej Krolikowski - Michael Gurfinkiel | 82.43 |
| 19 | Johnno Newman - Jamie Thompson | 81.86 | 19 | Ann Mellings - Marion Spurrier | 82.37 |
| 20 | Elli Urbach - Ferenc Budai | 81.60 | 20 | Barry Rawicki - Simon Rose | 81.37 |
| 21 | Marianne Bookallil - Jodi Tutty | 81.00 | 21 | Paul Brayshaw - Chris Mulley | 81.18 |
| 22 | Julia Hoffman - Noelene Law | 80.75 | 22 | Mairi Fitzsimons - Brian Fitzsimons | 80.73 |
| 23 | Frances Lyons - Heather Cusworth | 80.65 | 23 | Anna St Clair - Dee Harley | 80.31 |
| 24 | Charlie Lu - Watson Zhou | 80.46 | 24 | John Kable - John Masters | 80.14 |
| 25 | Charles and Lesley Bowen-Thomas | 80.18 | 25 | Val Holbrook - Noel Bugeia | 79.81 |
| 26 | Marie Purkiss - Toni Dixon | 80.13 | 26 | Julian Foster - Jenna Gibbons | 79.44 |
| 27 | Sue Brown - Robert Brown | 79.78 | 27 | Anne Lamport - Liz Sylvester | 79.26 |
| 28 | Eva Berger - Eduardo Besprosvan | 79.74 | 28 | Nick Hughes - Nicoleta Giura | 77.95 |
| 28 | Carol De Luca - Bev Henton | 79.74 | 29 | Margaret Dyer - Michele Tredinnick | 77.81 |
| 30 | Martin Bloom - Nigel Rosendorff | 78.33 | 30 | Gizella Mickevics - Mary Waterhouse | 76.72 |
| 31 | Maura Rhodes - Rick Rhodes | 77.85 | 31 | Kerry Wood - Charles Howard | 76.70 |
| 31 | Kate Terry - Marion Kelly | 77.85 | 32 | Michael Fernon - Joyce O'Brien | 76.62 |
| 33 | Toni Sharp - Marilyn Chadwick | 77.37 | 33 | Richard Fox - Rachel Langdon | 75.23 |
| 34 | Judy Wulff - Marilyn Whigham | 76.59 | 34 | Adrienne Stephens - Ann Pettigrew | 75.17 |
| 35 | Peter Andersson - Andrew Ferguson | 76.34 | 35 | Neville Francis - Murray Perrin | 74.91 |
| 36 | Tom Strong - Edda Strong | 76.04 | 36 | Lyn Muller - Sarah Green | 74.78 |
| 37 | Connie Schoutrop - Albina Smyth | 75.42 | 37 | George Sun - Alice Young | 74.65 |
| 38 | Annette Maluish - Hugh Grosvenor | 75.41 | 38 | Leslie Watt - Russell Watt | 74.38 |
| 39 | Graham Rusher - Pat Beattie | 74.84 | 39 | Deana Wilson - Pele Rankin | 74.14 |
| 40 | Alison Farthing - Dorothy Jesner | 74.73 | 40 | Tony Ong - Yumin Li | 73.15 |
| 41 | Shirley Phillips - Lisa Phillips | 74.52 | 41 | Les Ajzner - Paul Kron | 73.04 |
| 42 | Alan Dormer - Anthony Hopkins | 73.95 | 42 | Kim Gilkison - Gillian Gonthier | 72.01 |
| 43 | Gillian Alexander - Cheryl Winsor | 73.80 | 43 | George Bilski - Nicky Strasser | 71.88 |
| 44 | Lorraine Stachurski - Alister Stuck | 73.66 | 44 | Ken Wilks - Rosalie Broughton | 71.25 |
| 45 | Stephen Fischer - Sophie Ashton | 73.51 | 45 | Karen Martelletti - Julie Sheridan | 71.23 |
| 46 | Larry Moses - John Gough | 72.78 | 46 | Brian Leach - Carolyn Leach | 70.97 |
| 47 | Colin Webb - Gayle Webb | 72.74 | 47 | John Tredrea - Bill Redhead | 70.87 |
| 48 | Len Meyer - Phyllis Moritz | 72.69 | 48 | Sebastian Yuen - Lakshmi Sunderasan | 70.74 |
| 49 | John Kelly - Bastian Bolt | 72.56 | 49 | Helen Kemp - Helene Kolozs | 70.25 |
| 50 | Brian Thorp - Jenny Michael | 72.35 | 50 | Prudie Wagner - Margaret Walters | 68.26 |

Ivy Dahler Open Swiss Pairs

| 51 | Sue Southen - Ian Southen | 72.15 | 51 | Pam Schoen - Phil Hale | 68.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Joan McCarthy - Joan Waldvogel | 72.02 | 52 | Cynthia Belonogoff - Susanne Gammon | 68.01 |
| 53 | Nico Ranson - Christopher Rhodes | 71.46 | 53 | Will Adler - John Luoni | 67.88 |
| 54 | Linda Bedford-Brown - Robin Paterson | 71.23 | 54 | Michael Ross - Kevin Douglas | 67.85 |
| 55 | Arthur Bennett - Gillian Bennett | 71.04 | 55 | Jan Whyte - Carol Yeomans | 67.79 |
| 56 | Sally Moore - Sue Chapman | 70.33 | 56 | Errol Miller - Dorothy Gehrke | 67.72 |
| 57 | Pam Morgan-King - Leigh Thompson | 69.68 | 57 | Anne Small - Ronald Smith | 67.44 |
| 58 | Alan Hamilton - June Glenn | 68.87 | 58 | Michael Wilkinson - Peter Strasser | 67.22 |
| 59 | Jill Pike - Anne Gooding | 68.55 | 59 | Margaret Pisko - Carolyn Miller | 67.13 |
| 60 | Pam Moore - Ian Moore | 68.45 | 59 | Maureen Jakes - Alison Dawson | 67.13 |
| 61 | Betty Mill - Tania Gariepy | 68.28 | 61 | Ross Gyde - Diane Quigley | 67.05 |
| 62 | Vanessa Brown - Leigh Gold | 68.01 | 62 | Lorraine Inglis - Judy Plimmer | 66.82 |
| 63 | Eva Shand - Les Varadi | 67.58 | 63 | Keith Walker - Shijun Tian | 66.80 |
| 64 | Michael Pemberton - Graham Wakefield | 65.68 | 64 | Helena Dawson - Richard Douglas | 66.58 |
| 65 | Nimal Weerasinghe - Carole Cooke | 64.46 | 65 | Peer Bach - Setsuko Lichtnecker | 66.26 |
| 66 | Judith Roose-Driver - Johan Roose | 63.98 | 66 | Beverley Stacey - Michael Phillips | 65.64 |
| 67 | Therese Demarco - Alison Hanson | 63.93 | 66 | Jill Church - Rhondda Sweetman | 65.64 |
| 68 | Gheorghi Belonogov - Ewa Kowalczyk | 63.73 | 68 | Carlos Pellegrini - Joseph Rich | 65.57 |
| 69 | Helen Milward - Kuldip Bedi | 63.56 | 69 | Marina Darling - Sue Read | 64.70 |
| 70 | Hugh McAlister - Diana McAlister | 63.12 | 70 | Peter Boulton - Angela Boulton | 64.60 |
| 71 | Barbara Gassmann - Georges Gassmann | 63.03 | 71 | Brad Johnston - Jeremy Fraser-Hoskin | 64.58 |
| 72 | Myra Katz - Darryl Almeida | 62.72 | 72 | Monica Darley - Kath Poole | 64.22 |
| 73 | Jenny Wilson - Alan Doddridge | 62.63 | 73 | Deborah Cooper - Alan Geare | 63.93 |
| 74 | Geoffrey Thomas - Jules Davidoff | 61.50 | 74 | Eileen Gray - Patricia Knight | 63.85 |
| 75 | Malcolm Allan - Cristel Philp | 61.24 | 75 | Jill Magee - Terry Strong | 63.55 |
| 76 | Penny Sykes - Elizabeth French | 61.15 | 76 | Ivy Luck - John Luck | 63.53 |
| 77 | Bob Lawrence - Ann Woodhead | 60.90 | 77 | Bill Bishop - Rosemary Glastonbury | 63.52 |
| 78 | Lex Bourke - Pepe Schwegler | 60.66 | 78 | Helen Healy - Tim Healy | 63.28 |
| 79 | Andrew Struik - Nikki Riszko | 59.93 | 79 | Erica Linton - Thea Cowie | 63.06 |
| 80 | Phil Power - Kathy Power | 59.26 | 80 | Jan Davis - Hayden Seal | 63.04 |
| 81 | Robyn Hewson - Laura Ginnan | 59.23 | 81 | Adam Rutkowski - Judy Marks | 62.83 |
| 82 | Bev Guilford - Sue Spencer | 58.63 | 82 | Pat Oyston - Martin Oyston | 62.79 |
| 83 | Tony Berger - Merle Bogatie | 57.45 | 83 | Sumant Handa - Diana Dick | 62.60 |
| 84 | Terry Collins - Louise Collins | 55.67 | 84 | Sylvia Foster - Jaan Oitmaa | 62.24 |
| 85 | Maggie Callander - Kerrin Daws | 55.57 | 85 | Judy Havas - Ken Smith | 61.57 |
| 86 | Shizue Futaesaku - Wendy Driscoll | 54.19 | 86 | Murat Genc - Lisa Csima | 61.32 |
| 87 | Freda Hadwen - Vivienne Otto | 52.60 | 87 | Ken Carmichael - Annette Hagan | 61.26 |
| 88 | Ian Lisle - Vicky Lisle | 51.63 | 88 | Sylvia Gluck - Ben Kingham | 61.08 |
| 89 | Herschel Baker - Carol Wilson | 50.98 | 89 | Robin Ho - Alexander Cook | 61.02 |
| 90 | Barbara Grant - Louis Koolen | 50.24 | 90 | Shirley Wanz - Susanne Mould | 59.63 |
| 91 | Pam Tibble - Peter Van Leeuwen | 48.81 | 91 | Pat O'Connor - Kay O'Connor | 59.42 |
| 92 | Christine Houghton - Wayne Houghton | 48.79 | 92 | Yuzhong Chen - Gary Foidl | 57.06 |
| 93 | Frank Vearing - Jo-Anne Heywood | 48.78 | 93 | Trish Thatcher - Amber Noonan | 56.85 |
| 94 | Nicolette Bartoli - Wendy McEntegart | 48.34 | 94 | Jane Morris - Allayne Kennedy | 56.67 |
| 95 | Pat Kennedy - Geoffrey Norris | 47.06 | 95 | Maureen Dennison - John Balson | 55.66 |
| 96 | Arch Morrison - Tessa Townend | 46.47 | 96 | Theo Antoff - Michael McAuliffe | 55.04 |
| 97 | Les Bonnick - Audrey Bonnick | 45.89 | 97 | Carole McDonald - Sonia Young | 54.24 |
| 98 | Linda Wild - Beatrice McCarthy | 45.21 | 98 | Noel Grigg - Dianna Middleton | 54.16 |
| 99 | Ruth Webb - Sandra Allen | 44.42 | 99 | Ken Colbert - Alexander Hewat | 53.33 |
| 100 | Judy Gold - Allan Leiba | 42.67 | 100 | Jack Pierce - John Paynter | 52.80 |
| 101 | Gaylene Brown - Karen Smith | 41.64 | 101 | Felicity Gunner - Heather Motteram | 51.25 |
| 102 | Dianne Benvie - Christina Mander | 41.37 | 102 | Bob Ashman - Annette Corkhill | 50.05 |
| 103 | Ken Moschner - Saftica Popa | 37.97 | 103 | Michael Stoneman - Val Roland | 46.87 |
| 104 | Allan Morris - Beverley Morris | 32.34 | 104 | Fifine Hutton - Wendy Hutton | 46.81 |

## Ivy Dahler Intermediate Swiss Pairs

| Rank | Names | Total | Rank | Names | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Mardi Svensson - Deepak Pradhan | 109.32 | 48 | Penny Styles - Marion Bucens | 70.86 |
| 2 | Derek Snelling - Isobel Ross | 97.45 | 49 | Kay Leeton - Jenny Hoff | 70.72 |
| 3 | Linda Norman - Wendy Gibson | 96.36 | 50 | Margot Moylan - Anne McNaughton | 69.55 |
| 4 | Desley Mundell - Rosemary Kelley | 92.50 | 51 | Milton Hart - Janet Munro | 69.48 |
| 5 | Mary Driesbock - Vivienne Sexton | 90.29 | 52 | Jean Barbour - Greg Nicholson | 69.35 |
| 6 | Elizabeth Zeller - Evelyne Mander | 88.47 | 53 | Eric Leivesley - Janelle Conroy | 69.09 |
| 7 | Alan Tsang - Timothy Shum | 88.35 | 54 | Gregory Gosney - Margaret Plunkett | 68.77 |
| 8 | Brian Morgan - Margaret Morgan | 87.48 | 55 | Robyn Clark - Sheila Wills | 68.50 |

Ivy Dahler Intermediate Swiss Pairs

| 9 | Robert Stick - Colin Payne | 87.43 | 56 | Max Robb - Gwyneth Hopkins | 68.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Carolyn Adcock - Lynne Miller | 87.13 | 57 | Ian Barfoot - Alan Boyce | 67.88 |
| 11 | Darrell Williams - Jackie Williams | 86.96 | 58 | Joy Trigg - Joan Campbell | 67.74 |
| 12 | Richard Lazar - Sally Lazar | 86.32 | 59 | Ashok Chotai - Veena Chotai | 67.69 |
| 13 | Tony Jiang - Julia Zhu | 85.53 | 60 | Heather Grant - Frank Campbell | 67.37 |
| 14 | Annette Hyland - Lorraine Collins | 83.39 | 61 | Lex Ranke - Jack Rohde | 67.28 |
| 15 | Jenny Carr - Kees De Vocht | 81.95 | 62 | Sallie Quarles - Fran Campbell | 67.20 |
| 16 | Denise Hartwig - Byron Longford | 81.80 | 63 | Robert Wylie - Merleine Wylie | 65.93 |
| 17 | Larry Attwood - Kathryn Attwood | 80.61 | 64 | Denise Rogers - Warren Brook | 65.19 |
| 18 | David Lehmann - Bert Luchjenbroers | 80.58 | 65 | Jennifer Hollingworth - Carolann Verity | 65.07 |
| 19 | Malcolm Clift - Kathy Clift | 80.46 | 66 | Megan Sutherland - Gerald Dawson | 64.35 |
| 20 | Rebecca Delaney - Lesley Fraser | 79.95 | 67 | Pamela Glyn - Julian Glyn | 63.57 |
| 21 | Jan Randall - Peter Randall | 79.04 | 68 | Sharon Stretton - Helen Walker | 63.22 |
| 22 | Max Gilbert - Kathy Gilbert | 78.65 | 69 | Naomi Hannah-Brown - Priscilla Bloy | 62.31 |
| 23 | Faye Bell - Heather Scott | 78.06 | 70 | Jacqui Morton - Anne Rosengren | 60.77 |
| 4 | Gwyneira Brahma - Vicki Taylor | 77.97 | 71 | Barry Foster - Jacqueline Wilson | 60.56 |
| 25 | Sara Lynch - Richard Prickett | 77.64 | 72 | Joan Leckie - Margaret Williamson | 59.05 |
| 26 | Peter Nilsson - Deborah Nilsson | 76.53 | 73 | Lynn Baker - Peter Robinson | 59.04 |
| 27 | Tony Davidson - Noreen Weyling | 76.37 | 74 | Paula Pettersson - Helen Rollond | 58.75 |
| 28 | Mary Staley - Paul Brake | 76.30 | 75 | Lorraine Carr - Janine Budgeon | 58.67 |
| 29 | Diane Nichols - Elizabeth Lawrence | 75.81 | 76 | Evelyn Stephenson - Linda Healy | 58.42 |
| 30 | Pam Hancox - Phil Merchant | 75.70 | 77 | Graham Carson - lan Pick | 58.07 |
| 31 | John Ruddell - Alison Ruddell | 75.62 | 78 | David O'Gorman - Julie Jeffries | 57.73 |
| 32 | Barbara Kent - Ross Murtagh | 74.96 | 79 | Edgar Beckett - Janice Beckett | 57.31 |
| 33 | Penny Blankfield - Christopher Leach | 74.94 | 80 | Melissa Weber - Herold Rienstra | 56.85 |
| 34 | Eric Baker - Chris Stead | 74.36 | 81 | Patricia McGaffin - Judy Maloney | 56.79 |
| 35 | Jennifer Bandy - Christine Jenkins | 74.05 | 82 | Patricia Hobson - Trevor Fletcher | 56.49 |
| 36 | Carole Roache - Dot Piddington | 73.86 | 83 | Cecile Senior - Gwenda Mealyea | 55.86 |
| 37 | Anne Sim - Leo Sim | 73.63 | 84 | Eris Usher - Patsy Millar | 53.55 |
| 38 | Charles Page - Marcey Spilsbury | 73.31 | 85 | Helen Chamberlin - Winny Chan | 53.45 |
| 39 | Doreen Pao - Simon Chan | 72.84 | 86 | John Stacey - Kevin Petrie | 53.10 |
| 40 | David Sarten - Susanne Sarten | 72.13 | 87 | Mike Robertson - Gavin Bow | 53.09 |
| 41 | Denis Ward - Sunil Mukherjee | 72.10 | 88 | Lyn Mould - Erica Tie | 52.41 |
| 42 | Robin Steinhardt - Dewi Eastman | 72.01 | 89 | Yolande Coroneo - Jacqui Fardoulys | 50.96 |
| 43 | Vivien Eldridge - Alan Davies | 71.92 | 90 | Faye Symons - Denis Upsall | 48.70 |
| 44 | Keith Blinco - Terrence Sheedy | 71.68 | 91 | Merilyn Paris - Chris Barnwell | 46.31 |
| 45 | Hope Tomlinson - Martin Johnson | 71.48 | 92 | Lesley Kenyon - John Pellen | 44.24 |
| 46 | John Donovan - Perelle Scales | 71.03 | 93 | Sylvia Cryer - Deborah McLay | 38.80 |
| 47 | Peter Karol - Joanne Crockford | 70.87 | 94 | Lorraine Zoia - Jenny Crawt | 33.66 |

## Ivy Dahler Restricted Swiss Pairs

| Rank | Names | Total | Rank | Names | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Andrew Gosney - Jack Luke-Paredi | 105.42 | 46 | Keith Mabin - Fiona Smith | 69.71 |
| 2 | Gail Tippett - Paul Maxwell | 96.05 | 47 | Fay Jeppesen - Tony Bowmaker | 69.59 |
| 3 | Kathy Hamilton - John Hamilton | 92.19 | 48 | Margaret Fleming - Jenny Bush | 69.38 |
| 4 | Margaret Kenny - Elizabeth Neil | 90.66 | 49 | Dave Garret - Denise Mayhew | 68.50 |
| 5 | Michael Byrne - William Van Bakel | 90.04 | 50 | Dov Berns - Sandra Berns | 68.27 |
| 6 | Brenda Rees - Howard Rees | 89.68 | 51 | Sarah Livingston - Frank McConvill | 67.82 |
| 7 | Mitch Dowling - Austin Driscoll | 88.04 | 52 | Cassandra Mitchell - Clare Filmer Ramsay | 66.92 |
| 8 | Marsha Woodbury - Virginia Warren | 87.17 | 53 | Richard Stuart - Carol Joseph | 66.88 |
| 9 | Hans Van Weeren - Peter Clarke | 86.94 | 54 | Peter Koorey - Richard Kuipers | 66.72 |
| 10 | Sarah Carradine - Michael Young | 86.85 | 55 | Darryl Dowthwaite - Jan Stupples | 66.64 |
| 11 | Eileen Boocock - Philip Hassall | 86.77 | 56 | Joy Watkinson - Nicky Bowers | 66.53 |
| 12 | Tilley Thillainathan - Ross Currin | 84.62 | 57 | Charles Fisher - John Fisher | 65.77 |
| 13 | Peter Watson - Julia Watson | 84.12 | 58 | Manfred Junge - Patricia Seppelt | 65.51 |
| 14 | Brad Tattersfield - Jan Borren | 83.53 | 59 | Lesley Power - Susan Lee | 65.27 |
| 15 | Justine Wlodarczyk - Chris Wlodarczyk | 82.64 | 60 | Odette Hall - Susan Herse | 64.93 |
| 16 | Misako James - Sue Kleeman | 81.37 | 61 | Neil Waters - Jan Cluff | 64.37 |
| 17 | Jenni Borren - Margaret Robbie | 81.21 | 62 | Jane Gray - Teena McKenzie | 64.02 |
| 18 | Valerie Robbins - Peter Robbins | 81.16 | 63 | Ted Morriss - Jane Doyle | 63.76 |
| 19 | Richard Spelman - Janet Price | 80.64 | 64 | Clive Klugman - Fran Martin | 63.47 |
| 20 | Christine Haronga - Turei Haronga | 80.34 | 65 | Heath Cook - Barry Cook | 63.12 |
| 21 | Raymond Powley - Susan Powley | 79.54 | 66 | Maree Filippini - Jennifer Bavage | 62.75 |
| 22 | Colin Dempster - Max Paterson | 79.42 | 67 | Barbara Love - Judy Hapeta | 62.04 |

Ivy Dahler Restricted Swiss Pairs

| 23 | Sheryl Gardner - Daria Williams | 79.33 | 68 | Kevin Willcocks - Julie Willcocks | 61.85 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | Dianne Hillman - Connie Cassar | 79.03 | 69 | Robyn Lichter - Judy Leiba | 61.20 |
| 25 | Trevor Haley - Lanny Chan | 78.76 | 70 | Gabrielle Elich - John Elich | 61.15 |
| 26 | Dennis Sullivan - Albert Loh | 77.39 | 71 | Allison Simon - Marie Irving | 60.59 |
| 27 | Haley Fenwick - Julie Quilty | 76.92 | 72 | Peter Hayles - Antonina Hayles | 60.41 |
| 28 | Anne Kirkpatrick - Judy Bardone | 76.85 | 73 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 60.25 |
| 29 | Natasha Thomas - Janette Kollisch | 75.81 | 74 | Teresa Phillips - David Spencer | 60.15 |
| 30 | Anna Chappell - Marilyn Kennedy | 75.73 | 75 | Peter Hooper - Susie Herring | 57.60 |
| 31 | Jan Rothlisberger - Janet Reynolds | 75.42 | 76 | Barbara Gordon - Rosemary Page | 56.94 |
| 32 | Jeff Conroy - Anne Russell | 75.23 | 77 | Leif Michelsson - Maria Michelsson | 56.35 |
| 33 | Don Cameron - Mike Duggan | 74.40 | 78 | Rosemary Goodwin - Valmai McPherson | 56.19 |
| 34 | Diane Holewa - Max Holewa | 73.86 | 79 | Pat Leavy - Judith Wilson | 55.80 |
| 35 | Roger Peak - Dale Peak | 73.65 | 80 | David Munro - Peter Rollond | 55.75 |
| 36 | Bruce Penhey - Harriet Penhey | 72.42 | 81 | Ming Shu Yang - Heather Colbert | 54.12 |
| 37 | Joanne Bakas - Tassi Georgiadis | 72.35 | 82 | Prunella Adams - Dorothy Hertelendy | 54.10 |
| 38 | Sybil O'Keeffe - Pam Sexton | 72.19 | 83 | Wendy Doyle - Chery McCallum | 53.28 |
| 39 | Murray Wiggins - Francey Rolls | 72.03 | 84 | David Corney - Margaret Corney | 52.62 |
| 40 | Warren Cousins - Dell Macneil | 71.88 | 85 | Marilin Robins - Christine Nice | 50.81 |
| 41 | William Brazier - Alec Gillespie | 71.14 | 86 | Kaylene Lingard - Jim Love | 47.86 |
| 42 | Christine Leivers - Tom Lyons | 70.27 | 87 | Peter Ebert - Beth O'Reilly | 47.61 |
| 43 | Jamal Rayani - Parveen Rayani | 70.22 | 88 | Donna Gillies - Mark Loewenthal | 47.51 |
| 44 | Margaret Baker - Lorraine Pescatore | 69.80 | 89 | Norma Newton - Julie Lindsay | 39.22 |
| 45 | Norma Cameron - Denise Richards | 69.75 | 90 | Anneke Bierenbroodspot - Lesley Meyers | 38.72 |

## THE MOST EXPENSIVE DIRECTOR CALL OF THE EVENT!

Barry Rigal
It is rare to make a 25 IMP director call, but this deal certainly was worth all that and more.
Let me give you the circumstances, before I tell you more. Good news: you are in a grand slam and the opponents haven't doubled. Bad news, they lead an ace in the suit you have a singleton. Good news: the lead is out of turn!
Do you call the director or accept the lead?
Before I give you the denouement here is what happened to Sue and Garry Hollander on the same hand in the Open Teams.

| Dealer: East Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - AK Q } 10763 \\ & \bullet \text { K } 74 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Sue | East | South Gary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 14 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ +32 \end{array}$ |  | 3NT(!) | Pass | $1$ Pass | Pass Pass |  |
| - --- |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet J \\ & \bullet \text { Q } 853 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1096 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1074 |  | - AKQ9865 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& AKQJ 1094 |  | \& 5 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | -98542 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | - A J 2 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - J 3 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
|  | * 876 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 |

The defenders only took their seven spades and two of their three heart tricks so declarer 'escaped' for down five. Meanwhile in the other room where their team-mates Molly O'Donohue and Philip Thompson were E/W, this is what happened.

| West Philip | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Molly |  |
|  |  | 1 | Pass |
| 2\% | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 54 | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| 6 | 6 | Pass | Pass |
| 74 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

North knew a spade wasn't standing up - but surely the diamond ace was never going away (and might partner have doubled if he had that card?). In any event while a heart lead might have been the killer for one side or the other, it wasn't found. So O'Donohue wrapped up 13 tricks.

Back to our director call, where our players are veiled in the gauze of anonymity that only a wilfully forgetful TD can provide. He was summoned to the table to see this auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1 | $1 \uparrow$ |
| 2 | 4 | 5 | Pass |
| 6 | $6 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| 7 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

North led the $\$$ A out of turn, East rejecting Goldwater's Rule ("if they don't know whose lead it is they are probably leading the wrong thing") triumphantly banned the spade lead and South led $『$ A to cash out for down two.

## ZEPHYR EDUCATION INC.

A huge thank you to everyone who has shown Zephyr such tremendous Support by buying tickets and making donations. Last year you raised $\$ 9500.00$ and this year the total is $\$ 11500$.
I'd also like to thank Theresa Tully, Kim Ellaway, Keith McDonald and the QLD. Bridge Association for choosing to support Zephyr as its official Charity. Thank you to Faye Wyer and all the tireless volunteers who made this Fundraiser so successful.

Thank you to Leonie Spence for providing the 1000 hours work in the Scrumble Rug, Andy Hung, Flight Centre and all the other contributors to the raffle, Not least of which are our brilliant experts who again this year have donated their time and goodwill for our cause.
This time last year we were supporting 16 shelters across Queensland. Today that number stands at 43 and we support all 6 shelters in Tasmania. As l've said, Zephyr is run on a purely volunteer basis. All funds raised are spent on the children's educational needs, however more people than just the child supported by you benefit from a vulnerable child feeling a little more normal in horrible circumstances. Your openhearted support continues to inspire us.
Thank you, Carmel Martin (Treasurer - Zephyr Education Inc) WWW.ZEPHYREDUCATION.COM.AU
 Book Dimmi / Call 07-5526-2302 / Drop by

## DIRECTOR'S TIP When Is A Card Played?

- For a Defender, a card is played when it could have been seen by their partner. It doesn't matter whether or not partner did see it, nor does it matter if Declarer saw it.
- For Declarer, a card is played when it is placed on or near the table in the played position. It doesn't matter whether or not a Defender has seen it.


# IMPROVE YOUR DEFENSIVE PLAY - PROBLEM 6 

Ron Klinger
During Sunday's Celebrity Lecture series, Ron Klinger proposed a number of problems. We present them here, together with the solutions for those who may not have been able to attend.

| Dealer: South | North | West | North | East |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - QJ | Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass |  |
|  | - 7652 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

West \& Q9 2

- 9
- 10832
- 10984
- A 854

West leads the $\$ 9$ [underlead]- $\downarrow 2-\downarrow$ Q- $-A$. South plays $\mathbf{~ Q}$ and $\mathbf{~} J$ and $\$ 2$ to his $\$ 10$ With partner following to all three spades If you would like a copy of Ron's Notes Please leave your email address at the Admin Office Declarer then plays the $\& \mathrm{~K}$-you \&A-\$2-\&3. What next for West?

GOLD COAST CONGRESS AKA MATCH.COM
Margaret Partridge


I've played at the Gold Coast Congress for the last ten years and absolutely love it. Eight years ago John Rogers and I met at the GCC whilst playing against each other. He had been widowed for some years and my husband had died three years previously. A bit of table chit chat led to dinner date back in Sydney and as we had many shared interests in addition to bridge, we felt very lucky to have met each other. Four years ago we got married.
Last year we attended the Darwin Congress and in the same sort of serendipitous manner that brought John and me together, we met a couple of Territorians at the bridge table. We enjoyed each other's company and the four of us decided to play here at the Gold Coast Congress. We had no real expectations, other than to have a great time. However, it proved to be a very successful team and yesterday we qualified first in the Intermediate Teams and will play off in the Finals. The four of us are really really excited to have done so well.
The Gold Coast Congress is very special to John and me as it is, in effect, our anniversary, but now with Sue and Ken we will be coming back every year as a team and as friends. Bridge can be very kind.

## BRIDGE HOLIDAYS WITH SUZIE AND RON KLINGER IN 2017

Thursday $18^{\text {th }}$ May, to
Thursday $25^{\text {th }}$ May 2017
Sunday $9^{\text {th }}$ July, to
Sunday $16^{\text {th }}$ July 2017
Monday $30^{\text {th }}$ October to
Monday $6^{\text {th }}$ November 2017

Luxury Silversea Alaska cruise on Silver Shadow
Anchorage to Vancouver and including the Inland Passage.
Tangalooma Wild Dolphin Resort - Moreton Island, off Brisbane, includes Whale Watch cruise and Island Tour.

Norfolk Island includes Welcome Dinner, Clifftop Breakfast, Island Tour, Beachside Barbecue, Outdoor Fish Fry Dinner and Farewell Dinner. (Sunday $29^{\text {th }}$ October to Sunday $5^{\text {th }}$ November for New Zealand Players)

TELEPHONE: (02) 9958-5589 OR 0411-229-705 EMAIL: SUZIE@RONKLINGERBRIDGE.COM Brochures available on request - HOLIDAY BRIDGE, P.O. BOX 140, NORTHBRIDGE NSW 1560


## THE KLINGER QUIZ

Ron Klinger

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { DIr W } \\ \text { All Vul } \end{array}$ | ¢ Q 1097 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | J 109 | 72 |
|  | -84 |  |  |
|  | ¢ J 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  | - A J 3 |
|  |  |  | -864 |
|  |  |  | - A 10 |
|  |  |  | \& 109754 |
| West | North | East | South |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 1 - |
| 14 | Pass | 24 | 3 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

West leads the $\boldsymbol{\bullet} 5$, fourth-highest. Dummy's $\$ 9$ is played. Which card should East play? Assuming you have won trick one as East, what do you play at trick two?
Solution: Charles Goren reported this defence in the Miami Herald. It was recounted by Michael Courtney in "Play Cards with Tim Seres".

```
Tony Priday
@Q 10 97
*J10972
-84
& J 3
```

Roelof Smilde
-K 8654
-K5

- 952
- KQ 6

Tim Seres

## BRIDGE WITH BARRY

Barry Rigal

The determination of the par result on a deal used to be a matter of precise calculation. These days the advent of Deep Finesse, a computer related tool created by Bill Bailey, has obviated the need for such hard work. But occasionally the double-dummy analysis produced by the computer throws up such a surprising result that the players need to check for themselves just how the result is arrived at.

Today's deal is just such an example; I'm going to make your life harder by not telling you what you are expected to do in four spades.

| DIr East <br> Vul All | - 86 <br> - A 5 <br> - Q J 96 <br> \& AJ932 |  | West <br> Double | North <br> 4 | East 1 Double | South 3. All Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -K 32 <br> - J 1084 <br> -K 1074 <br> $+75$ |  | - A 5 <br> -K Q 73 <br> -A8532 <br> - 84 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

An adventurous auction sees you in $4 \$$ doubled. How do you rate both sides' chances?
Let's look at declarer's chances first. He has nine easy tricks, and if he can draw trumps he pitches a heart on a club loser. If the defenders lead hearts he ducks. Now what do the defenders do; they cannot cash ace and king of trumps or declarer draws all the trump and claims; if they play ace and duck a trump declarer just runs clubs, with the heart ace still in place. If they duck the first trump declarer plays to ruff a heart.

On a diamond lead declarer ruffs and plays a trump, and if the defenders win they cannot prevent a heart ruff without surrendering trump control. If they duck the first trump declarer plays to set up diamonds to pitch both his heart losers.

Since a club lead accomplishes nothing (declarer ducks a heart and the play transposes into an initial heart lead) what is left?

Answer: an initial trump lead by West - and East ducks! Now if declarer plays a second trump the defenders shift to heart, if declarer ducks a heart at trick two the defenders play trump then heart, and remain in control.
While we may be familiar with ducking the trump ace to retain control, this manoeuvre was new to me.

## BE GUIDED BY BRENT

## What you need to know Part 8 - Brent Manley

The late Harold Levitt had the kind of wit that usually hit the nail on the head. Here is one of his astute observations: "Playing bridge without a system is like winking at a girl in the dark. You know what you're doing, but nobody else does."
If you and your partner fail to discuss your agreements thoroughly, you may find yourself winking in the dark.
For example, you may have decided to play a certain defence against the opponents' 1NT openers. In the U.S. Marty Bergen's DONT has many followers in part because of its simplicity. DONT is one of many schemes for competing against 1NT openers.
But what if the opponents are playing a "weak" 1NT? Do you use the same system? Many experts vary the system to be able to double directly to show a good hand (usually 14 or more high-card points).

Alert! Important point ahead!
Before you start thinking about an alternative system for "weak" 1NT openers, you and partner must define "weak." I have seen many experienced players using their weak 1NT takeout system when partner considered the opener to have been in the "strong" category.

Agree on the definition before you adopt a second system to compete against it. A range such as $10-12$ is easy to define as weak, but what about 11-14? 13-15? What's best for competing is less important than agreement between you and your partner about the range for strong and for weak.

Another important topic for discussion is continuations by advancer (the partner of the overcaller). What if advancer has a good hand? Many pairs play that all good hands (i.e., roughly an opening bid) start with 2NT. This will come up more against the weaker 1NT openers but it's handy to have in your arsenal for all occasions. It's frustrating to miss a game because of the opponents' system.

For advice about when to enter the auction against a strong 1NT, see yesterday's bulletin for Mel Colchamiro's Rule of 8 .

While you are discussing competing against strong openings, decide on a defence to the artificial Precision 1\% opening (or any strong 1\% opening). There are many gadgets for this task, but simple is best, at least at the start. The easiest to work with is Mathe: Double shows the majors, 1NT shows the minors. Other bids are natural.

Continuing with the thought, you can use the same defence against the strong $2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ opener, but there is more risk involved because you have to start a level higher. The system chosen is less important than both partners' being on the same page.

In both cases, take care when your side is vulnerable and your opponents are not. If you compete against them just because you have a system for it and you don't have the goods, you could be facing a massive minus score when your opponents happily double. By "goods" I mean good shape -5-5 or better in two suits or some robust suit of seven or more cards. When you have high-card strength, it is often best to pass in the direct seat because if your right-hand opponent is strong and you are, too, guess how much is left for partner?
One more thought: When you are thinking about getting in there with a bid that shows two suits, consider whether you are likely to win the auction. If it's not clear that you will, just pass. Bidding to show $5-5$ or better in a couple of suits provides a road map to the play for any alert declarer. You will think he can see through the backs of the cards.
When the vulnerability is favourable, you can be more aggressive, especially when you have a distributional hand.

| GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Saturday 25th February |  |
| OPEN EVENTS |  |  |
| Open TeamsIvy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs | Stea |  |
|  | 9:00am <br> Start $4 \times 12$ <br> Brds Final | Dinner Dance 7:30pm for Drinks Drinks |
|  | 10:00am 3/3 | 8:00pm Star |
| INTERMEDIATE EVENTS (Under 750MPs) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| RESTRICTED EVENTS (Under 300MPs) |  |  |
| Ivy Dahler Restricted Butler Swiss Pairs | 10:00am 3/3 | Depending |
| WALK-IN PAIRS - BEST 3 SCORES COUNT $\quad$ Number Sessions |  |  |
| Holiday Walk-In Pairs 2-Play from 1 to 5 Sess | 10:00am S5 |  |
|  |  | urday |

NEED TRANSPORT HOME FROM THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS? OR DO YOU WANT TO SHARE A TAXI TO THE AIRPORT
If you are looking for a "ride" home after the tournament you really should visit the travel desk outside the Administration Office where players can exchange offers of a ride with people needing one.

| Holiday Pairs = Tournament 2 Session 3 FRIDAY AM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | N-S | Score | Place | E-W | Score |
| 1 | Astrid Stone - Michael Witham | 66.7 | 1 | Dianne Mullin - Eddie Mullin | 58.6 |
| 2 | Judy Zollo - John Bamfield | 59.2 | 2 | Freddie Zulfiqar - Roy Bentley | 56.9 |
| 3 | Kathy Perry - Matt Kruk | 58.1 | 3 | Paul Thiem - Sandra Young | 56.5 |
| 4 | Penny Johnson - Rosalyn Stevens | 57.6 | 4 | Steve Murray - Ann Murray | 53.5 |
| 5 | Sylvia Kudelka - Elaine Dickson | 55.3 | 5 | Phillip Halloran - Sylvia Halloran | 52.3 |
| 6 | Ian Bailey - Graham Markey | 52.4 | 6 | Cherry Trengove - Margaret Rex | 52.1 |
| 7 | David Roberts - Paul Matthews | 51.8 | 7 | Bruce Anderson - Toby Gordon | 51.7 |
| 8 | Kay Justice - Shirley Burgess | 50.7 | 8 | Norma Browne - Jillian Griffith | 50.8 |
| 9 | Diana Mcauliffe - Jenny Antoff | 49.6 | 9 | Fred Whitaker - Duncan Badley | 48.6 |
| 10 | Margaret Liversage - Derick Coppack | 49.2 | 10 | Ivan Schmalkuche - Penny Schmalkuche | 47.9 |
| 11 | Helen Hobbs - Paula Nigem | 49.1 | 11 | Susan Hunt - Janet Grieve | 47.6 |
| 12 | Ann Stoodley - Diana Bergmark | 42.7 | 12 | Evol Cresswell - Margaret Darke | 46.7 |
| 12 | Carol Edwards - Jim Wood | 42.7 | 13 | Ian Hoschke - Sylvia Billingham | 46.3 |
| 14 | Janet Mckeough - Heather Peattie | 40.4 | 14 | Brenda Butcher - Birgitt Bingham | 44.6 |
| 14 | Helen Mcbride - Sally Macdonald | 40.4 | 15 | Lynne Richardson - Richard Sheldrake | 42.9 |
| 16 | David Douglas - Malcolm Mckinnon | 33.3 | 16 | Jennifer Ferguson - Garry Ferguson | 42.3 |
| Holiday Pairs - Tournament 2 Session 4 FRIDAY PM |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | N-S | Score | Place | E-W | Score |
| 1 | Ann Murray - Steve Murray | 65.4 | 1 | Robyn Clayton - Alan Smith | 58.1 |
| 2 | Deirdre Giles - Jan Argent | 62.8 | 2 | Dianne Mullin - Eddie Mullin | 57.7 |
| 3 | Patricia Pepper - Carolyn Seymour | 60.8 | 3 | Freddie Zulfiqar - Roy Bentley | 56.6 |
| 3 | Kelela Allen - Susan Capp | 60.8 | 4 | Ian Hoschke - Sylvia Billingham | 55.8 |
| 5 | Nancy Heffernan - Graham Davies | 58.9 | 5 | Donna Upchurch - Nebojsa Djorovic | 55.7 |
| 6 | Kathy Perry - Matt Kruk | 58.4 | 6 | Kay Baguley - Bruce Baguley | 51.0 |
| 7 | Jeanne Adams - Beverley Welch | 51.5 | 7 | Daniel Hatcher - Michael Aikin | 50.9 |
| 8 | Peter Fredericks - Lorraine Fredericks | 50.4 | 8 | Paul Thiem - Sandra Young | 50.4 |
| 9 | Malcolm Mckinnon - David Douglas | 41.2 | 9 | Deanna Stuart - John Stuart | 49.6 |
| 10 | Janet Mckeough - Heather Peattie | 39.0 | 10 | Brenda Butcher - Birgitt Bingham | 45.0 |
| 11 | Carol Edwards - Derick Coppack | 35.4 | 11 | Dasha Brandt - David Bowerman | 44.2 |
| 12 | David Roberts - Paul Matthews | 33.1 | 12 | Barbara Boag - Katalin Bish | 42.9 |
| 13 | Diana Mcauliffe - Jenny Antoff | 31.7 | 13 | Fiona Templeton - Ross Templeton | 31.4 |

## CHOCOLATE FROGS ABOUND

Jack-Luke Paredi
He is so well mannered and such a good player, considerate. I look following his progress
Donna Upchurch
Neboj Sadjorovic

## Louis

Unnamed
Anne Kelly
Jessica White

John Mcllrath

Alan Gibson Nominated for his helpfulness during the Pairs and especially the room change on Monday evening.
Diarmuid Reddin Nominated for his helpfulness during the Pairs and especially the room change on Monday evening.

## Michael Stoneman Good sportsmanship

## PUZZLE

100 Gold Coins: Five pirates have obtained 100 gold coins and have to divide up the loot. The pirates are all extremely intelligent, treacherous and selfish (especially the captain).

The captain always proposes a distribution of the loot. All pirates vote on the proposal, and if half the crew or more go "Aye", the loot is divided as proposed, as no pirate would be willing to take on the captain without superior force on their side.

If the captain fails to obtain support of at least half his crew (which includes himself), he faces a mutiny, and all pirates will turn against him and make him walk the plank. The pirates start over again with the next senior pirate as captain.

What is the maximum number of coins the captain can keep without risking his life?

## YESTERDAY'S PUZZLE

The Most Intelligent Prince: Solution: White.
The king would not select two white hats and one black hat. This would mean two princes would see one black hat and one white hat. You would be at a disadvantage if you were the only prince wearing a black hat.

If you were wearing the black hat, it would not take long for one of the other princes to deduce he was wearing a white hat.

If an intelligent prince saw a white hat and a black hat, he would eventually realize that the king would never select two black hats and one white hat. Any prince seeing two black hats would instantly know he was wearing a white hat. Therefore if a prince can see one black hat, he can work out he is wearing white.

Therefore the only fair test is for all three princes to be wearing white hats. After waiting some time just to be sure, you can safely assert you are wearing a white hat.

DIFFICULT SUDOKU

| 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 8 | 9 |  |  | 7 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |
| 2 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 8 |  | 5 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 5 |  |
|  |  | 9 |  |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |

YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION DIFFICULT SUDOKU

| 6 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 1 |
| 9 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| 1 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 2 |
| 4 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 9 |
| 8 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 7 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 5 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
| 2 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 5 |

## SERVICES

If you are in need of a doctor or medical assistance you can contact Kim Ellaway through the Administration Desk or alternatively contact the Broadbeach Medical Centre on 07-5531-6344, Suite GO1, 2681 Gold Coast Highway Broadbeach. Please note that they do not bulk bill. Their after-Hours is handled by National Home Doctors Service on 137425 who do bulk bill.

## SOME HUMOUR - THOUGHTS FOR FELLOW SENIORS

My goal for 2017 was to lose 10 pounds. Only 15 more to go.
I don't mean to brag, but I finished my 14-day diet food in 3 hours and 20 minutes.
How to prepare Tofu:
a. Throw it in the trash
b. Cook some meat


## Join ANDY HUNG for his 2017 NoosA Bridge Holiday

## Sunday $7^{\text {th }}-$ Friday $12^{\text {th }}$ May 2017 (6 Days 5 Nights)

 \$1245pp (Double or Twin), \$1445pp (Single) - All inclusive incl. meals
## Relaxation and Bridge at the beautiful destination of Noosa

Andy Hung invites you to a relaxing getaway Bridge Holiday at the Ivory Palms Resort in Noosaville, two hours drive North of Brisbane. There will be four fantastic lessons covering the dilemmas that we face on a regular basis at the bridge table! Alongside the lessons, there will also be duplicate sessions with hand analysis.
There will be plenty of time to enjoy the warm temperatures and relax by the pool, go on a nature walk, or indulge in the great restaurants Noosa has to offer.


## The All-Inclusive Pacleage includes:

2 Five nights at the Ivory Palms Resort

* All bridge activities; professional seminars with a full set of notes, duplicate, and hand discussion
* All meals incl. breakfast, morning and afternoon tea, and dinners, from Sunday lunch on arrival, to Friday lunch (including wine and prize giving) prior to departure
(Your only expense will be drinks with dinner and items of a personal nature)
Farewell drinks with Friday lunch
CONTACT AND BOOKINGS AND FURTHER ENOUIRIES:
- David Stern (For booking/admin)

Email: david.stern.softwarereg@gmail.com Phone: 0411-111-655

## The Bridge:

* Professional seminars with Andy
* Topics include
- Improving Your High Level Competitive Bidding Judgement
- Common (Mis)Uses of Doubles
- The Re-Opening Double: How does it work?
- Improve Your Defence: 'Should I switch?' ' What Should / Switch to?' * Duplicate sessions, with discussion and analysis of the hands played (with tips on declarer play in suit and NT contracts)

2. Extensive Bridge Notes, Hand Records Feel free to come with or without a partner, we will arrange - For all standards of player -


- Andy Hung (Bridge Specific Enquiries)

Email: hung.andy.p@gmail.com
Phone: 0425-101-094

# GCC THEME ALSTRMUIANA 

## Put your brain into gear with a theme that allows you more scope than any other recent ones.



## TABLE COUNT

TO THE END OF PLAY FRIDAY NIGHT 8588 (Last Year 8156)
Note: this year there was no Friday Setup Pairs


