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## 2016 OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONS



Avi Kanetkar, Bruce Neill, Warren Lazer and Pauline Gumby

## 2016 OPEN TEAMS RUNNERS-UP



Will Jenner-O'Shea, Mike Doeke, Vanessa Brown, Joe Haffer and Leigh Gold

## IMPORTANT NOTE 2017 GOLD COAST CONGRESS

Due to circumstances beyond the control of the organisers there will NOT be a No Frills Duplicate on the Friday evening at the start of the 2017 Gold Coast Congress. The event will start on Saturday

## WINNERS IVY DAHLER OPEN BUTLER SWISS PRS NORTH/SOUTH



Richard Jedrychowski and Apolina Kowalski
WINNERS IVY DAHLER INTERMEDIATE BUTLER SWISS PRS NORTH/SOUTH


Alexander Cook and Robin Ho

## WINNERS IVY DAHLER OPEN BUTLER SWISS PAIRS EAST/WEST



Terry Brown and Jeanette Reitzer

## WINNERS IVY DAHLER RESTRICTED BUTLER SWISS PRS NORTH/SOUTH



Robert Stick and Colin Payne

YOUR BULLETIN STAFF


Barry Rigal, Brent Manley and David Stern would like to thank all of the staff of the Gold Coast Congress for helping them with the contents and logistics surrounding the Daily Bulletin. Without their help it simply wouldn't happen.
Equally important to the success of the Bulletin however, is the input from the players which seems to increase from year to year. So thanks also to you the players for assisting us to cover all the aspects of this great event.

We hope to see you all next year and
travel home safely, wherever that might be.

DIVISIONAL WINNERS

| Division | Open | Seniors | Intermediate | Restricted | Novice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mens | Zhou (34) |  |  |  |  |
| Overseas | Richardson (27) |  |  | Nearhos (36) |  |
| Queensland | Afflick (65) |  | Brown (15) |  | Gaskill (9) |
| Women's | Lowry (31) |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed | Fischer (19) | Stern (11) | Gosney (8) | Haley (8) | Bowmaker (1) |
| Same Sex |  | Bloom (4) | Williams (19) | Armstrong (19) | Nilsson (13) |

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP SEMI FINALS 31-40 OF 40

## Barry Rigal

Ten boards to go. Gold led Nunn by five IMPs - actually by 4.9 so unlike in baseball where a tie goes to the runner here it would goes to the Nunn(er).

On the first deal both teams stole an overtrick in 3NT, but nobody was counting overtricks were they?
Nunn took the lead when a loose pre-empt got the result that purists would say it deserved.


In 3NT Thomson won the club lead in dummy and might well have finessed in diamonds to unscramble the blockage early? Not that it mattered today, but if the finesse wins you are better placed for overtricks. He had to use \&A as the entry to the blocked diamonds so settled for nine tricks.
In the other room, where no doubt the vulnerability allowed East to respond with non-forcing actions, the defence wisely led clubs for the force against $2 \boldsymbol{4}$. Declarer finessed in trumps, won the club return and came to the $\triangleleft A$ and then ducked a trump, and was tapped out. He ended up scoring two diamonds, two clubs and two trumps. Down two and 11 IMPs to Nunn leading 53-47, but the lead lasted only one board.


Both Norths opened and closed the auction with a strong no-trump, that action adequately describing a hand with 13 cards in it and 15-17 high cards. Do the words 'sick puppies' mean anything to the North players? Others would use phrases like 'ridiculous misevaluation' or 'gross distortion' but your writer is notoriously overcharitable when it comes to commenting on bids with which he does not agree.

Only one of the two Norths paid the penalty. Ware received a spade lead and a shift to $\geqslant \mathrm{J}$. It looks normal to cover, duck the 99 and win the next. You might then unblock diamonds, set the suit up, and dare the opponents to cash out clubs. Ware instead ran his winners without touching diamonds, and the defenders eventually took their seven top tricks.

After the same spade lead McGann shifted to a low heart - which seems entirely reasonable, but it did not work out that way. Declarer ducked, and Thomson won his nine and played the VK. Haffer won, unblocked diamonds, then crossed to a spade and passed the $\leqslant$ discarding a heart. He had seven tricks in the bag now, and when East played a third heart declarer had a cool +120 - now for Nunn 53.1-53.0.

Both pairs stopped in 3 on a deal where game was fractionally less good than the diamond finesse, and both made ten tricks.
Both Norths then stayed out of their opponents' auction altogether at unfavourable vulnerability holding:

- J852
$-10$
- 73
- AK8752

With their partner passing, one was faced with the unopposed auction $1-1 \mathrm{NT}$, one was faced with a nebulous club and the auction $1 \&-1$ NT. I must admit nothing would keep me from bidding $2 \&$ at some point, but since partner's assets included $\Psi$ A and the two pointed kings (both badly placed) I would probably have gone for 200 while staying silent and leading a low club allowed declarer to come to +90 when the diamond finesse succeeded. Still 53.1-53 for Nunn.

| Dealer: West | - A 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - 543 |  |
| Brd 16 | - J 10983 |  |
| Open Tms SF 4/4 | +1054 |  |
| -K753 |  | - Q 98 |
| -10986 |  | - A J |
| - Q 5 |  | - AK7642 |
| \& 732 |  | \& J 9 |
|  | - J 1042 |  |
|  | -KQ72 |  |
|  | --- |  |
|  | \& AKQ 86 |  |

Another distorted strong no-trump at both tables, another poor result for the Nunn team. You could argue that Gold's take-out double of 2 on a void was somewhat lucky to buy THREE (count'em) sure tricks in his partner's hand. Gold would point to the record as justification of his choice. Thomson ruffed the third club and led a diamond to the queen and now could simply have led a spade to his queen for down one. That looks obvious, but Thompson had a reasonable alternative plan, finding North with a short heart honour so that he could drop it in two rounds and cross to the $\mathbf{\Phi} \mathrm{K}$ to pitch a spade on 10 . Alternatively (if the cards lay as they actually did but with $₫ J$ and $₫ A$ switched) he could play $\backsim A$ and $\boxplus J$ - his actual plan. Now the defenders might have to let him score a small trump en passant if the $\uparrow$ A was onside, since after winning the second heart they would have to let him ruff something, cross to the $₫ \mathrm{~K}$ and lead a fourth heart. I'm not sure if this adds up, but it was at least reasonable, so Thomson used his entry to play hearts. When the A turned up with North, that was -500 . In the other room Nunn showed the majors plus clubs with his $3 *$ call, and played there. After a trump lead he used his entry to dummy to play hearts and emerged with +130, but it was $62-53$ now to Gold, with four deals to go.

| Dealer: North | - K Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - A 3 |  |
| Brd 17 | - 862 |  |
| Open Tms SF 4/4 | \& K J 10765 |  |
| - J 974 |  | ¢ --- |
| - 864 |  | -10752 |
| -K Q 1094 |  | - A J 53 |
| ¢9 |  | \& A Q 842 |
|  | ¢ A 1086532 |  |
|  | -KQJ 9 |  |
|  | - 7 |  |
|  | \& 3 |  |


| West <br> Doecke | North Ware | East O'Shea | South <br> Nunn |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 | Pass | 14 |  |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2 |  |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |  |
| Pass | 4* | All Pass |  |  |
| McGann | Haffer | Thomson | Gold |  |
|  | 1\% | Pass | 10( ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2 ( ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| Double | 24 | 34 | 44 /1 | // |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | NT |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | ¢ |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| - | - | - | - | 8 |

5 is an incredibly cheap save - if West declares it he should manoeuvre after a trump lead to cross-ruff eight trumps and take two club tricks for -100. Should Thompson have found it? McGann had less than nothing and a trump trick, so one can hardly blame him for not doing more. There was never any chance of the save in the other room, of course. Had Thompson bid $5 \star$, he might well have found himself defending to 5 down one.

| Dealer: East | - A 95 |  | West | North | East O'Shea | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - Q 86 |  | Doecke | Ware |  | Nunn |  |
| Brd 18 | - AKQ 964 |  |  |  | 1 | Pass |  |
| Open Tms SF 4/4 | ¢ 7 |  | 3\% (WK) | 30 | 4\% | All Pa |  |
| -84 |  | ¢ K Q 72 | McGann | Haffer | Thomson | Gold |  |
| - A 43 |  | - J 105 |  |  | 1\% | Pass |  |
| - 875 |  | - J 103 | 3\% | 3 | All Pass |  |  |
| \& Q J 1062 |  | \& AK 8 |  | Makea | le Contra | acts |  |
|  | ¢ J 1063 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | -K972 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | ¢ |
|  | $\checkmark 2$ |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ¢9543 |  | 3 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | 1 | - | - | - | 4 |

Yet again Doeke/Jenner-O'Shea played with fire and emerged without burning their fingers. If $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ normally looks like this, the $4 \&$ call is truly hazardous. I suppose Ware might have contemplated doubling $4 \boldsymbol{\infty}$ - but there again, Nunn might not have sat for it. The defenders cashed three diamonds, Nunn pitching hearts, then led a heart to the king and ace. With North threatening the ruff, declarer could draw four rounds of trumps and set up either the spades or the hearts but not both. He ended up conceding the heart ruff, and that was down three.
In the other room the East hand gets squeezed on the run of the trumps in 3 . In fact Thomson led and continued clubs. Declarer ruffed and drew trumps, ducking a heart when East produced the ten. North ruffed another club, passed the $₫ Q$, which West had to duck, and led a third heart. Whether West played a club or a spade, East was going to get endplayed in spades at this or the next trick. 1 IMP to Nunn, trailing 62-54. Both tables played 3NT on the next deal with a combined balanced 28 -count. They both made ten tricks so Nunn needed eight IMPs on the last board to win by the smallest possible margin.


1NT on the club ace lead followed by a club to the jack for a top diamond to the ace lost the obvious eight tricks. Down 200 meant that Thompson needed to make 140 in hearts to win the match, an obviously impossible task. After a trump lead declarer ducked in dummy, and needed king-third of trumps or king-ten third onside to make his contract. He used his spade entry to play trumps, but no dice. Down one was 3 IMPs to Nunn, but Gold had held on in a well-played match to win by 5.

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP FINALS 1-12 OF 48 - QUIET TIMES

## Brent Manley

The first set of the Open Teams final was notable for the low score - 10-0 in favour of the Kanetkar squad. Avi Kanetkar was playing with Bruce Neill. Their teammates are Pauline Gumby and Warren Lazer. The opponents were the Gold team - Leigh Gold, Vanessa Brown, Will Jenner-O'Shea, Mike Doecke and Joachim Haffer.

The match featured nine pushes and three swings: 1, 3 and 6 IMPs, all to Kanetkar.

The first swing occurred on the third board of the set.

| Dealer: South Vul: E-W | $\begin{aligned} & \oplus A 6 \\ & \bullet 1096 \end{aligned}$ |  | West <br> Gold | North <br> Kanetkar | East <br> Brown | South <br> Neill |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 3 | -Q642 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |
| Open Tms Fin 1/4 | \& K 872 |  | 20 | 34 | All Pass |  |  |
| ¢ Q 107 |  | ¢K542 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AJ7542 |  | - 83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K 3 |  | - A 1095 |  | Makea | le Con | acts |  |
| ¢94 |  | \$1065 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | ¢J983 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | - K Q |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - J 87 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A Q J 3 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

Gold started with the $\mathbb{V}$ and continued the suit. Neill won and played a spade to dummy's ace, followed by the other spade. Brown won with the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and underled the A to partner's king. A diamond came back and Brown gave her partner a ruff in the suit. That was it for the defence but they were plus 50 . At the other table:

West North East South
Lazer O'Shea Gumby Doecke
1v 1NT Pass 3NT //
Unless 1NT by North has a different meaning than what one would expect for that bid, the raise to 3NT by Doecke seems very optimistic. Gumby started with the V8, ducked by Lazer. At trick two, O'Shea led a diamond to Gumby's ace. On the heart return, Lazer had five tricks to cash before exiting with the $\varphi \mathrm{Q}$. Six tricks was the limit for declarer. Minus 150 meant 3 IMPs to the Kanetkar team.

That was followed by six pushes, then a 6 -IMP swing on this deal.

| Dealer: East Vul: Both | - K975 -1093 |  | West Gold | North Kanetkar | East Brown | South <br> Neill |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 10 | - J 6 |  |  |  | Pass | 14 |  |
| Open Tms Fin 1/4 | \& K 1082 |  | Pass | 3 | Double | 30 |  |
| - 432 |  | - 10 | Pass | 3 | All Pas |  |  |
| - Q 54 |  | - A 862 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 1082 |  | -KQ974 |  | Makea | le Cont |  |  |
| \& Q 43 |  | \& 965 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - A Q J 86 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | $\bullet$ KJ 7 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | - 53 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | \& A J 7 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

North's 3 was a constructive spade raise with four trumps. Neill made a game try that was declined by Kanetkar.

Gold led the $\downarrow$ A, switching to a low heart at trick two. Brown won the $\vee A$, cashed the $\downarrow$ and continued with a heart. Declarer won the MK, pulled trumps and exited with the 9 J . West had to lead a club, solving the problem in that suit, or offer a ruff-sluff. Either was, it was nine tricks for plus 140.
At the other table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lazer | O'Shea | Gumby | Doecke |
|  |  | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 1NT | All Pass |  |

South's 1\& was artificial, showing 16 or more high-card points; 10 showed $5-7 \mathrm{HCP}$, and 14 showed a balanced 16-17.

East started with a low diamond. West won the ace and returned the suit. On the run of the diamonds, dummy came down to five spades, ©K-J and the bare \$A. Gumby led a heart from her ace and O'Shea thought for a long time before calling for the jack. Lazer won the $\vee Q$ and returned the suit for the setting trick and a 6 -IMP gain for their team.

The final swing of the set came on the next board.

| Dealer: South | $\text { - } 53$ |  | West <br> Gold | North <br> Kanetkar | East <br> Brown | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 11 | - Q 965 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Open Tms Fin 1/4 | \& J 984 |  | Pass | 20 | Pass | 4 |  |
| - A 6 |  | ¢ J 109742 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| - 753 |  | -104 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 10742 |  | - 3 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& A 52 |  | \& K Q 103 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - K Q 8 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | * |
|  | - K Q J 96 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - AK 8 |  | - | 2 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 76 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 8 |

Gold started with the $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ A and there was nothing more to the play. Declarer had five hearts, two spades and three diamonds for 10 tricks and plus 420. At the other table:

West North East South
Lazer O'Shea Gumby Doecke


Pass 3NT All Pass
14=Artificial, $16+$ HCP and $1 \geqslant=5-7$
For the second board in a row, North-South bypassed a major-suit fit, landing in a contract that might have been defeated, although in practice it rarely would be. Lazer started with a low diamond, which ran around to declarer's $\$ 8$. Doecke simply cashed out for nine tricks and plus 400, a 1 -IMP loss.

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP FINALS 13-24 OF 48

## Barry Rigal

With Kanetkar leading 10-0 both tables bid in straightforward fashion to 4 , making ten tricks. But I was very surprised that Warren Lazer would bid 3NT in response to with a 3334 15-count, and that Pauline Gumby would convert back to with ©AKJxxxx in a 7-3-2-1 pattern. Perhaps l'm missing something. No swing anyway.
The next game was considerably more problematic.

| Dealer: East | - J 1074 |  | West | North | East | South Doecke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - J 106 |  | Kanetkar | O'Shea | Neill |  |  |
| Brd 14 | -K73 |  |  |  | 2 | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Fin $2 / 4$ | \& A 32 |  | 2NT | Pass | 3 | Pass |  |
| - K Q 8 |  | - A | 4 | All Pass |  |  |  |
| -82 |  | - Q 97543 | Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |  |
| - A J 42 |  | -Q1096 |  |  | 10 | Pass |  |
| ¢K Q 84 |  | \& J 9 | 24 | Pass | 2 | Pass |  |
|  | -96532 |  | 2NT | Pass | 3 | Pass |  |
|  | - AK |  | 4 | All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | - 85 |  |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
|  | +10765 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  |  |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  |  |  | 3 | - | 2 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  |  |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |

Haffer, who might have had just a little more for his bidding one imagines, received a club lead when a diamond would have been immediately fatal. The defenders led and continued clubs, and Haffer won in dummy to lead a heart to his queen. That was an immediate down one.

Neill also received a club lead but a diamond shift to trick two. When South played low, that marked North with $\checkmark$ K. Would North have stayed silent and shifted to a diamond with PAK? Neill was prepared to back his judgment: he ducked the first trump and was soon inscribing a neat +420 on his scorecard. Kanetkar led 20-0.

On the next deal both tables reached $4 \boldsymbol{\text { , }}$, the board being memorable only because Gold opened queen-fifth of diamonds and a king in a 53322 in second seat - and Haffer passed up his side's chance to score their first IMPs of the set by leading a spade from $₫ \mathrm{~J} 87$ instead of a diamond from $\leqslant$ K102, thereby losing his side their diamond trick. Humph.

Gold's first swing came on the next deal, and it was a big one.

| Dealer: West | - K Q J 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AQ6 3 |  |
| Brd 16 | ---- |  |
| Open Tms Fin $2 / 4$ | \& AKQ9 5 |  |
| - 53 |  | ¢ 10762 |
| -K109852 |  | - 7 |
| - J 107 |  | - A 8542 |
| -103 |  | \& 764 |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | NT |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | $\$$ |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | 4 |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kanetkar | O'Shea | Neill | Doecke | Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 1 | Pass | 1\% | Pass | 1 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 3\% | Pass | 18 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT | Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4NT | All Pa |  |

The natural auction pinpointed the duplication in diamonds. I'm not sure if Gumby might have been 4-4-1-4 for her auction - maybe a $4 \boldsymbol{\infty}$ call over 3NT might have allowed Lazer to bid $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ and that would have been enough for Gumby to bid slam. In the other room the strong club and value showing 1 response let clubs be set early and now North was never staying out of slam. That was 10 IMPs to Gold after the $\vee$ A lead speeded things up.

| Dealer: North | - K 62 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - A 107 |
| Brd 17 | - J 1085 |
| Open Tms Fin 2/4 | \& Q 86 |
| -9 |  |
| -86542 |  |
| - Q 64 |  |
| ¢K J 72 |  |
|  | -A 1085 |
|  | -KQJ |
|  | - A 932 |
|  | +93 |


| West <br> Kanetkar | North O'Shea | East <br> Neill | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1NT | Pass | 3 |  |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |  |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |  |
| 1NT | Pass | 2¢(\$s) | Pass |  |
| 3\% | All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | \$ |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| - | 3 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | 9 |

If it sometimes seems as though Gold team's requirement for an opening bid is somewhere between 12-14 cards, this deal would not disabuse you of that notion. l'm guessing neither pair has ever passed a deal out -whether the West hand would be a $2 \downarrow$ opening at some vulnerabilities we will fortunately never know...

Jenner-O'Shea's mini no-trump has a range of 'It's my turn to bid' so he made one, and converted his partner's 34 response (four spades balanced) to 3NT. The defenders cashed out in clubs (yes on a heart lead the defence might have been more difficult) with a diamond to come.
Haffer had a queen to spare for his opener and got Gold to $3 \%$. The defenders led $>$ J round to the queen. Gold led a spade to the jack and ace and Lazer cashed the red suit winners to tap dummy with a diamond. Gold spotted a possible winning line now. He ruffed the diamond and played \&A and a club to his jack. Had it held, he would have ruffed a heart and hoped his hand would be high. As it was he was, he went down two. But at the vulnerability this was only 4 IMPs to Kanetkar, leading 24-10.
On the next deal both Norths had a problem after (3४)-Pass-(4४) to them at unfavourable vulnerability.

They held:

- K J 872
-K8
- A 76
\& A Q 5
Both doubled and hit partner's seven card club suit. Are you happy with your choice?
Well, they could (and in my opinion should) have bid 4 -- partner has a 3-2-1-7 shape and both games are off two spades and a heart - errors and omissions excepting - there are double dummy lines to make game but neither declarer wanted to do anything more complicated than take a spade finesse). An opportunity missed for both teams.
Both tables played a spade part-score on the next deal, Neill responding 2 to his partner's take-out double of 1 $\downarrow$, Haffer 1 with:
- AK 105
- Q J 4
- 1065
\& J 92
The latter seems mildly surprising in the context of the bid'em up policy we've seen so far, but both Wests had an easy pass and each table made 140.
The flattish boards continued with a 3NT contract where Lazer was given a soft defence to allow him to steal an overtrick. Then something with more potential for swing.

| Dealer: North | +75 +98 |  | West Kanetkar | North O'Shea | East Neil | Doecke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 21 | - AJ9652 |  | 4 | All Pass |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Fin 2/4 | ¢832 |  | Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |  |
| - A Q 8 |  | - KJ106432 |  |  | 1¢ | Pass |  |
| -KQ 1073 |  | - A 6 | 2 | Pass | 4 | Pass |  |
| - Q 8 |  | --- | 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |  |
| \& K Q 10 |  | \& J965 | 5 | All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | -9 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  | - J542 |  | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | -K10743 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | ¢ |
|  | \& A 74 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  |  |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | 4 |

I like Neill's evaluation of his hand, but Kanetkar couldn't move safely beyond the four-level despite knowing his partner had eight moderate spades and thus surely a card on the side (a rounded ace and two doubletons would be quite sufficient, when there are three top losers).
Haffer went high again - with a hand not far from what many might expect for this auction (semi-solid spades in a dead minimum, since 3 would show solid or semi-solid spades). After Gold's keycard ask, should Haffer show the void - 5NT being two keycards and a useful void, six-level actions showing one keycard and a void in the bid suit? I say yes, but it is easy to be wise after the event.

| Dealer: East | - 1084 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - Q 10943 |  |
| Brd 22 | - 102 |  |
| Open Tms Fin 2/4 | -104 |  |
| - A 62 |  | ¢ Q 75 |
| - 876 |  | - KJ 52 |
| - Q 95 |  | - 874 |
| \& A Q 72 |  | ¢ 963 |
|  | - K J 9 3 |  |
|  | - A |  |
|  | - A J 63 |  |
|  | \& K J 85 |  |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kanetkar | O'Shea | Neill | Doecke | Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |
|  |  | Pass | 1\%(16+) | Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |
| Pass | 10 | Pass | 1NT |  |  | Pass | 1 |
| Pass | 2 (*s) | Pass | 20 // | Pass | 10 | Pass | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT // |

Gold sensibly led a heart on this auction, the $\uparrow 7$ going round to declarer's ace. Lazer finessed successfully in diamonds, then ran the $\$ 8$ and E/W had two hearts, two clubs, and the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$, but no more.

The strong club showed up really poorly here, as yet again a no-trump rebid on an unbalanced/semi-balanced hand left North guessing what to do, and the natural choice was to transfer to hearts. The initial response left North declarer. Neill guessed extremely well to lead a small trump to dummy's ace. Declarer misguessed diamonds by leading to the king to take a spade finesse ducked, then repeated the finesse. Kanetkar won and played a heart to the jack and king for a diamond through. Jenner-O'Shea went up with the king to play a third diamond, and now after a third trump the defenders could take two clubs, two hearts, and one trick in the other suits for down one. Had declarer guessed diamonds at trick two, I don't think the defenders can arrange a trump promotion of the $\geqslant 5$.

| Dealer: South | ¢ 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - Q 1086 |  |
| Brd 23 | -KQ542 |  |
| Open Tms Fin 2/4 | -1095 |  |
| ¢ A Q 10954 |  | ¢ J 6 |
| - 7 |  | - AK95432 |
| - J 963 |  | -10 |
| \& A Q |  | \& J 72 |
|  | ¢ K 873 |  |
|  | - J |  |
|  | - A 87 |  |
|  | \&K8643 |  |


| West <br> Kanetkar | North O'Shea | East <br> Neill | South <br> Doecke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1NT |
| Double | 2 | 4 | All Pass |
| Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |
|  |  |  | 19 |
| 14 | Double | Pass | 2\% |
| 24 | Pass | 4¢ | All Pass |
|  | Makeab | le Con | acts |
| 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | ¢ |
| 4 | - | 4 | $\bullet$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 - |
| - | 1 | - |  |

The randomness continued, as it seemed in this match the first requirement for a no-trump opening would be the possession of a singleton somewhere. And yet again, it didn't work out too well, as Neill blasted into $4 \vee$ and Doecke's low diamond lead fooled North into ducking. This time it only cost an overtrick (if the defenders win the diamond to play trump declarer sets up spades for a club discard, if they play clubs he ruffs a club in dummy).

4¢ was a far more stimulating contract. Gumby’s normal \&10 went round to the queen. Declarer ducked a diamond, and North won the queen to play trumps, again entirely normal though as the cards lie it might have been better to duck and let South play trumps. Declarer won the spade cheaply in hand and ruffed a diamond, crossed to the $\& A$ and played $\boldsymbol{\$ A}$ to find the bad news. Now with the diamonds blocked declarer should exit a trump, but South can win and take $\checkmark$ A then lead a heart to cut declarer off from the discard. Declarer actually played hearts himself and South could ruff in for down one.
At 43-10 the set appeared to be getting away from Gold, but they came back with a big pick-up on the last deal, and it could have been more.

| Dealer: West | ¢AQ J 109542 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -1093 |  |
| Brd 24 | - K Q |  |
| Open Tms Fin 2/4 | \& --- |  |
| ¢ 86 |  | ¢ 7 |
| - Q 8765 |  | - A |
| - 53 |  | -A 107642 |
| \& A Q 95 |  | ¢K10872 |
|  | ¢ K 3 |  |
|  | - K J 42 |  |
|  | - J 98 |  |
|  | ¢J643 |  |


| West <br> Kanetkar | North O'Shea | East <br> Neill | South Doecke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 14 | 2NT | Pass |  |
| 4\& | 4¢ | 5\% | Pass |  |
| Pass | 54 | All Pass |  |  |
| Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |  |
|  | 4¢ | 4NT | Pass |  |
| 59 | All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | NT |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | \$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | - | 5 | - | 4 |

Jenner-O'Shea's solo effort bought a spectacularly suitable dummy. He won the club lead (Neill could work out that if his side had a club trick he didn't need to take a heart ruff) and played the $\diamond K$ from hand. Neill ducked nice defence! Declarer now drew one round of trumps and needed to draw the second before playing on diamonds. But he played a second diamond, and Neill won his $\forall A$ to play a third to kill the discard. The $\triangle Q$ was the setting trick in the fullness of time. Neill's play was worth IMPs, but only reducing his loss on the board from 13 to 8 since $5 \&$ on a diamond lead could not be defeated.

On a heart lead against $5 \boldsymbol{*}$ declarer would have had to play a complete cross-ruff and never touch trumps, a possible if unlikely line. After a diamond lead and a trump to the ace found the bad news, declarer led a second diamond. The defenders played two rounds of spades, and declarer ran the $\$ 10$, ruffed a diamond, unblocked his trump honour and went to the $\vee$ A to draw trumps and claim the rest with good diamonds.
That made it 43-18 at the end of the set.

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP FINALS 25-36 OF 48 - NOT GIVING UP

Brent Manley
At the halfway point of their match with the Avinash Kanetkar team, the Leigh Gold squad was looking at a 4318 deficit. There was work to do. Although Gold fell behind by as much as 52 IMPs, they finished with two swings that closed the gap to 78-42 with 12 boards to play.

Gold scored 6 IMPs on the first deal of the third set, but over the next seven boards, they were outscored 33-0. This was the first of two 13-IMP swings for Kanetkar.

| Dealer: North Vul: N-S | ¢9532 |  | West <br> Kanetkar | North <br> O'Shea | East <br> Neill | South <br> Doecke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 5 | -2 |  | Pass | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \& \\ & \text { Pass } \end{aligned}$ | Double | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Fin 3/4 | \& AK9765 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 76 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ A K Q } 4 \\ & \bullet \text { K J } 53 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & \& \text { Q J } 1032 \end{aligned}$ |  | -7653 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
|  |  | ¢ 8 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | ¢ J 108 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | -1084 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - AKQ J 109 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 4 |  | - | - | - | - | 4 |

The 2 opener by O'Shea was natural, showing 11-13 HCP. Kanetkar had an easy pass of his partner's takeout double. Neill started with the top three spades and continued with the 13th, ruffed in dummy, Kanetkar discarding a diamond. Declarer played a heart to his ace and a diamond to dummy. When he played the $A$, Kanetkar ruffed with the $\$ 10$, declarer discarding his losing heart. The $Q$ was ruffed and declarer, stuck in his hand, still had to lose two club tricks for minus 200.

Things went much differently at the other table.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |
|  | $1 \uparrow$ | Dbl | 1 |
| Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

There was nothing East-West could about this contract. Gumby's spade holding was sufficient to keep the opponents from running four spade tricks and she had nine top tricks in the form of six diamonds, the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and the top two clubs. Plus 600 was good for 13 IMPs to Kanetkar.

Two boards later, the contract and the result were repeated, but in a much different way.

| Dealer: South Vul: Both | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ↔ A K } 3 \\ \bullet 942 \end{array}$ |  | West Kanetkar | North O'Shea | East <br> Neill | South Doecke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 7 | -83 |  |  |  |  | 1 * |  |
| Open Tms Fin 3/4 | \& A J 943 |  | Pass | 24 | Pass | 2NT |  |
| - 85 |  | -9742 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| -105 3 |  | - A Q 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K Q 6 |  | -10942 |  | Make | le Contra |  |  |
| \& Q 10872 |  | ¢ K 5 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | -QJ106 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | + |
|  | -KJ 87 |  |  | 4 | - | 3 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - A J 75 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
|  | \% 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |

Kanetkar hit on the lead of the $\$ 7$, which went to the 9 and king. The 10 came back to the jack, queen and 3 . A second club went to dummy's jack, and declarer played a low heart to the 7 . West won the 10 and played the $\leqslant$ K, ducked by declarer. A third diamond knocked out the ace. Declarer played a spade to his ace and another heart, but Neill won the ace and cashed the $\$ 9$. The defenders took three diamonds, two hearts and a club to leave declarer two tricks short for minus 200.
At the other table, the defence against 3NT was less than stellar.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |
|  |  |  | 1 |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Haffer started with the $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathbf{7}$, taken in hand by Gumby. She played a heart to the 7 and West's 10 . On the club return, Gumby went up with the ace and played the 99 to East's queen and dummy's king. The $\checkmark J$ drove out the ace and Gumby won the spade return in hand. She cashed two more spade tricks, leaving this end position.


Gumby cashed the $A$ and put West in with another diamond. East had to win the club return and play a diamond to dummy's now-good jack. Plus 600 meant another 13 IMPs to Kanetkar. It should have been easy for West to play his partner for the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and so hold onto his low diamond. Declarer would hardly have left the \& K stranded in her hand.

Gold's rally started with a 7 -IMP swing when Lazer and Gumby landed in an awkward 3 contract on a 4-3 fit when they had a nine-card fit in diamonds. When Gumby did not play for her best chance of 3-3 trumps (which was the case at the table) ended up three down in the spade contract while Doecke made 10 tricks in diamonds at the other table.

This deal brought an even bigger swing for Gold.

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ K } 987432 \\ & \bullet 108 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Kanetkar | North O'Shea | East Neill | South Doecke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 10 | -3 |  |  |  | Pass | 1 - |  |
| Open Tms Fin 3/4 | \& 962 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | 3 |  |
| ¢ --- |  | - J 6 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| - AJ 9 |  | -76432 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AK 10762 |  | -J854 |  | Makea | le Con |  |  |
| \% K J 53 |  | \& A Q | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | - A Q 105 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | - K Q 5 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | - Q 9 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | - |
|  | \& 10874 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 |

O'Shea had to lose a heart, a diamond and three clubs for one down - minus 100.
At the other table, Gold and Haffer did much better.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold | Gumby | Haffer | Lazer |
|  |  | Pass | 1 |
| bl | 2 | Pass | Pass |
| 3 | Pa | 4 | All |

Lazer started with the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, ruffed in dummy with the 9 . Haffer played a club to his queen and ruffed his other spade with the $\vee \mathrm{J}$. He then cashed the trump ace and returned to hand to exit with a low heart. When South won the trump queen and North followed, Haffer claimed for plus 650 and an 11-IMP swing. Despite the good results near the end, there was still a big mountain to climb for Gold.

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP FINALS 37-48 OF 48 - NOT GIVING UP Barry Rigal

With ten deals to go and 35 IMPs to make up, the trailing team would hope for swingy deals; they got them.
At both tables E/W bid to game on the first deal. 5 was cold, and bid by Kanetkar/Neill. 3NT in the other room needed to survive a club suit of singleton facing K654....and the hand with all the entries had \&AJ8 and a natural heart lead. No swing for 620 against 630 .
The next deal saw a hand tailor made for strong clubs...maybe.

| Dealer: East | - 73 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - KQ963 |  |
| Brd 14 | - 763 |  |
| Open Tms Fin 4/4 | -1052 |  |
| - 4 |  | -AKJ952 |
| - A J 1072 |  | $\bullet$--- |
| - A Q 985 |  | -K J 10 |
| ¢ Q 8 |  | ¢AK 43 |
|  | - Q 1086 |  |
|  | - 854 |  |
|  | - 42 |  |
|  | \&J976 |  |


| West <br> Kanetkar | North Haffer | East <br> Neill | South <br> Gold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 14 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 6 | All Pas |  |  |
|  | Makea | le Con | acts |
| 6 | - | 6 | - |
| 5 | - | 5 | - |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |
| 7 | - | 7 | - |
| 6 | - | 5 | - |

At the other table:
O'Shea Gumby Doecke Lazer

|  |  | $1 \%$ | Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | $3 \%$ | Pass |
| $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$Positive |  |  |  |

A somewhat limp effort from the Closed Room saw E/W play 3NT with 7 surely where you would want to be - not that you'd always make it. In the other room Avi Kanetkar had no sensible way forward over $4 \diamond$ - there were no cuebids available with all suits already bid, so he bid what he thought Bruce Neill could make. Neill ruffed a club in hand for his 12th trick and didn't look for more.

| Dealer: South | - A 54 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - Q 632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 15 | - J 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Fin 4/4 | \& A 1084 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -10962 |  | ¢K87 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 5$ |  | - K 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K1082 |  | - A Q 73 |  | Make | Con |  |  |
| \& Q J 65 |  | \& K 732 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - Q J 3 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | - AJ 10874 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -654 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | \% 9 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |

On the next deal Lazer passed an 11-count in third chair and a strong no-trump ended the auction. By contrast Haffer opened 1\& and his partner (with a 'normal' vulnerable weak two in hearts) showed hearts and a maximum pass and Haffer accepted the invitation to game. With the trump finesse working, that was a painless 11 IMPs for 620 against -50 when 1NT went down one.

| Dealer: West | * A 972 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - K |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 16 | -Q984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Fin 4/4 | \& A 873 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 5 |  | - K Q J 84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AQ 72 |  | - J 1086 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 1065 |  | - K J 2 |  | Makea | Co |  |  |
| \& K 954 |  | ¢ 10 | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ 1063 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | ¢ |
|  | -9543 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 73 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q J 62 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | 9 |

Both tables reached $4 *$ by West, but Gumby had heard a precision diamond opener so she led a diamond and picked up the queen for West. That looks bad doesn't it? Not at all, she won her 0 K and played back a diamond, and now if declarer drew trumps he had no tricks, and if he didn't the defenders had a diamond ruff coming. Whatever he did, he had to go down.
Kanetkar was favoured with the lead of $\& A$ and another. He ruffed in dummy and finessed in trumps, won the 4 K and played a spade up, then ruffed the next club high and led a trump to hand to discover that South still had $>94$ left while he had $\checkmark$ A7. Declarer needed a trump coup. I believe it is worth contemplating leading to the $\checkmark J$ immediately and running spades to pitch all three diamonds, in case South is 4-4-1-4 or even 3-4-3-3. But today it worked just as well to take $\checkmark$ AK and run spades - which works when South has 3-4-2-4, as he did. Now declarer could run the spade winners and trump coup South out of his heart trick, for 12 IMPs.

Again Doecke/Jenner-O'Shea riposted, bidding a 22-point 3NT contract that needed clubs 3-3 and the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ onside, and the cards cooperated very nicely.
After four big swings in a row it was 22-19 for Kanetkar, but the boards were running out for Gold.
Finally a quiet couple of deals saw Kanetkar go plus at each table, then an overtrick IMP went the other way. But then when Jenner-O'Shea finally got to open a 9-13 no-trump without a singleton, the Gods, as if to mock him, dealt his partner a 4333 1-count, vulnerable no less. The defenders went head-hunting, and though they turned 1100 into 800 with a defence that might keep both North and South up at night, their result was still good for 5 IMPs against the 630 in the other room.

On the next deal the N/S pairs could make $4 \longdiv { 0 }$ on an unlikely guess, which Gold did not get right. But Lazer/Gumby defended to 4@x down 1400 instead, which was more than adequate compensation. The 15 IMPs made the Kanetkar lead 62, and the match was to all intents and purposes over. The final margin was Kanetkar winning 134.1 to 63.

## STRENGTHEN YOUR GAME

Getting the most from your conventions - Part 9 Brent Manley
You should play bridge for fun. The instant you find yourself playing the game for any other reason, you should rack it up and go on to something else - Charles Goren.

In the previous column, you read about cuebids that can help increase your bidding efficiency, namely strength-showing and control-showing bids. That just scratches the surface of this excellent bidding tool.

Here's a strength-showing cuebid that you can use when partner opens the bidding and the next player overcalls, as in this example:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $1 \downarrow$ | 1 |  |

You are South. Suppose your hand is
-K5 •AJ64 Q 1075 \& 632
With a pass by East, you would show a limit raise in hearts according to your partnership agreements. If East passes, you might bid 30 to show $10-11$ support points. When there is an overcall, your jump raise should show a weak hand, perhaps

## - 7 - QJ87 K 7654 \& 432.

The opponents probably have the balance of power, so you want to take away their bidding space.

A simple raise to $2 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ with the stronger hand is out of the question, so what do you do? With that hand, your call is 24, a cuebid showing a limit raise or better (you are an unpassed hand, after all) in hearts. You will, of course, respect partner's decision if he signs off in $3 \psi$.

The cuebid raise won't always deliver four-card trump support - and the more balanced your hand the more important it is for your values to be in high cards.

Here's another nifty trick with cuebids. Say your right-hand opponent opens 10 and you hold

## -AQ4 ヤ76 AKJ1054 \&K7

You overcall 2 , LHO passes and partner raises to 3 . Now what? A contract of 5 should not be on your radar, but the nine-trick game of 3 NT is eminently reasonable. All you lack is a stopper in hearts. So how do you find out if partner has a heart control? Easy: Just bid 3v, a cuebid asking partner if he can stop hearts. Do not confuse this with a control-showing cuebid. If you could stop hearts yourself, your bid would be 3NT, not 34.

Check out this cuebid:

| West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $1 \uparrow$ | South |

Pass ?
You are North. Do you have any idea what South is doing with his jump in the opponent's suit?
This is another cuebid that is asking rather than telling. South should have a hand such as

## - 7 •A54 - Q2 \& AKQ9863

If North has the $\boldsymbol{Q}$, he would be unlucky not to take nine tricks via seven clubs and two aces. With a spade stopper, North must bid 3NT.

Sometimes a cuebid doesn't specify anything other than strength. Consider this auction:

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 \uparrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $1 \oplus$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |

All North knows at this point is that South has a hand strong enough to force game. North should make a bid that best describes his hand. South might have cuebid with a hand such as

- AQ86 2 - A 107 \& AKJ54.

South is clearly interested in slam, but North doesn't know that. South might have cuebid with

## - A 2 - 75 AJ8 \& AKQJ54,

in which case he would be looking for a heart stopper for 3NT. With either hand, if North bids 3NT, South will make a disciplined pass.

NORTH AMERICAN COLLEGIATE BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS - NOVEMBER, 1986
Barry Rigal

| Dealer: E/W <br> Vul: South | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet 632 \\ & \bullet \text { QJ } 104 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East | South <br> 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - A Q J 106 |  | Double | R'dble | Pass | Pass |
|  | ¢ 3 |  | 14 | 3 | Pass | 4 |
| -A 1054 |  | - J 87 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| $\bullet 6$ |  | - 732 |  |  |  |  |
| - 875 |  | -K32 |  |  |  |  |
| \% AKQ 72 |  | \$8654 |  |  |  |  |

- K Q 9
- AK985
- 94
\& J 109
THE PROBLEM: After a top club led, what is West to do next?
THE ANSWER: The appearance of dummy, with its threatening diamonds, should convince West that the contract can be defeated only if (a) the defense can take three immediate spade tricks, or (b) East has a red-
suit winner and the defense can take two spade tricks when it regains the lead in that red suit. Both possibilities can be taken into account through a low-spade shift. If East has $₫ \mathrm{KJx}$, the defense will take the first four tricks. Otherwise, if East has the $\quad \mathrm{J}$ (or queen) doubleton or tripleton, and can gain the lead before declarer gets enough discards, the setting spade tricks will come later. West need not worry about South's holding king-doubleton of spades because East would have removed the redouble to 14 with a four-card spade holding.
After the spade shift, a tricky try for declarer is to draw two trumps and play ace and a low diamond (since South doesn't mind losing the lead to West). East should play the $\checkmark$ K because West would have followed with a higher diamond spot from four small diamonds.



## BRIDGE FOR THE IMPROVER Ron Klinger

The opponents bid 1NT (15-17) on your right and 3NT on your left. As West what do you lead on the following hand"

| West \& A K 8542 $\$ 85$ \& $\$ 1083$ $\$ 108$ |
| :---: |

Solution: From the semi-finals of a National Open Teams

| West | North | East | South 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 2 | 24 | Double |
| Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Stopper Ask |  |  |  |
| Lead: $\uparrow$ A |  |  |  |

East played the $\mathbf{~ J}$ and West continued with a low spade to the $\$ 10$ and $₫ \mathrm{Q}$. When the diamond finesse failed, declarer lost five spades, a diamond and a club for three down, 150.


| West | North | EastSouth <br> $1 N T$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass 3 NT <br> Lead: $₫ \mathrm{~K}$ | All Pass |  |

East played the $\uparrow J$ on the $₫ K$ lead and West shifted to a club. East took the $\$ A$ and returned the $\uparrow 10$, queen, ducked. Declarer now had nine tricks and when East let a heart go, it was ten tricks for +430 and +11 Imps.

As declarer in no-trumps, it is normal to duck a round with A-K-x-x-x-x in dummy with no entries. The same can be applied in defence. If West starts with a low spade lead, declarer has no chance.

## DIRECTOR'S TIP - PENALTY CARDS

Whenever a card, other than the card being played, is accidentally or intentionally exposed by a defender, it becomes a penalty card. The Director must be called when this occurs.
Whether it is a minor or major penalty card will be determined by the Director. Player obligations differ depending which it is, and the Director will inform the table of everyone's rights and obligations.

## Ivy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs

| Rnk | Pr | North-South | Total | Rnk | Pr | East-West | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Richard Jedrychowski - Apolinary Kowalski | 138.62 | 1 | 557 | Terry Brown - Jeanette Reitzer | 146.00 |
| 2 | 89 | Tom Jacob - Daniel Braun | 134.36 | 2 | 558 | Jenna Gibbons - Julian Foster | 135.82 |
| 3 | 51 | Andrew Struik - Nikki Riszko | 131.86 | 3 | 531 | Tony Lenart - Alister Stuck | 131.98 |
| 4 | 87 | Richard Carter - Brett Glass | 128.00 | 4 | 555 | Nick Jacob - James Coutts | 131.06 |
| 5 | 94 | Yuzhong Chen - Gary Foidl | 126.05 | 5 | 553 | David Weston - Sophie Ashton | 128.48 |
| 6 | 14 | Garry Khemka - Yumin Li | 124.07 | 6 | 559 | Tony Hutton - Malcolm Carter | 126.75 |
| 7 | 104 | Russell Watt - Leslie Watt | 123.72 | 7 | 545 | Adrian Fontes - Karen Dewar | 124.96 |
| 8 | 44 | Rex Hanson - Judy Herring | 123.44 | 8 | 595 | Pam Schoen - Phil Hale | 124.91 |
| 9 | 17 | Peter Kahler - Jeannette Collins | 123.13 | 9 | 560 | Steve Baron - Michael Whibley | 124.38 |
| 10 | 4 | Sue Ingham - Michael Courtney | 122.86 | 10 | 576 | Alison Dawson - Maureen Jakes | 121.05 |
| 11 | 86 | Derek Snelling - Brian Cleaver | 122.38 | 11 | 556 | Tony Leibowitz - Paul Lavings | 119.38 |
| 12 | 42 | Paul Weaver - Terry Bodycote | 122.29 | 12 | 569 | Murray Perrin - Neville Francis | 118.87 |
| 13 | 3 | Peter Gill - Anthony Burke | 122.11 | 13 | 599 | Ann Pettigrew - Adrienne Stephens | 118.65 |
| 14 | 12 | Peter Strasser - Michael Wilkinson | 121.10 | 14 | 588 | Eileen Li - Pele Rankin | 116.03 |
| 15 | 5 | Jodi Tutty - Stephen Fischer | 120.77 | 16 | 591 | Thea Cowie - Erica Linton | 115.79 |
| 16 | 102 | Deborah Cooper - Peter Anstice | 119.96 | 15 | 568 | Nicky Strasser - George Bilski | 115.86 |
| 17 | 83 | Joan Waldvogel - Max Wigbout | 119.48 | 17 | 603 | Geoffrey Thomas - Geoffrey Toon | 115.58 |
| 18 | 63 | Helen Stewart - Freda Banner | 117.45 | 18 | 577 | Richard Grenside - Sue Grenside | 114.13 |
| 19 | 23 | Tim Healy - Helen Healy | 117.29 | 19 | 530 | Pam Morgan-King - Leigh Thompson | 111.54 |
| 20 | 7 | Robert Sebesfi - Peter Chan | 116.51 | 20 | 562 | Helena Dawson - Richard Douglas | 111.12 |
| 21 | 37 | Jan Hackett - Tom Hackett | 116.33 | 21 | 505 | Phil Power - Kathy Power | 111.06 |
| 22 | 45 | Bastian Bolt - Rita Kahn | 115.87 | 22 | 598 | Cecily Critchley - Lynley Jenkins | 110.97 |
| 23 | 9 | Watson Zhou - Chuan Qin | 114.67 | 23 | 563 | Toni Sharp - Marilyn Chadwick | 110.36 |
| 24 | 47 | Linda Bedford-Brown - Robin Paterson | 114.19 | 25 | 520 | Hugh McAlister - Diana McAlister | 110.16 |
| 25 | 8 | Rebecca Wood - Murray Wood | 113.98 | 24 | 542 | Norm Silcock - Kate Terry | 110.21 |
| 26 | 34 | Kathy Yang - Suzy Narita | 113.28 | 26 | 574 | Ivy Luck - John Luck | 110.05 |
| 27 | 40 | Neil Gibson - Elizabeth Gibson | 112.87 | 27 | 596 | Alan Glasson - Kathie Radcliffe | 108.88 |
| 28 | 27 | Michael Pemberton - Graham Wakefield | 112.49 | 28 | 592 | Anna St Clair - Dee Harley | 108.82 |
| 29 | 22 | Adam Rutkowski - Judy Marks | 112.32 | 29 | 565 | Charles Howard - Kerry Wood | 108.11 |
| 30 | 31 | Theo Mangos - Leigh Foran | 112.17 | 30 | 585 | Hilary Yovich - Dennis Yovich | 107.86 |
| 31 | 2 | Bill Hirst - Phil Gue | 112.10 | 32 | 578 | Jim Fitz-Gerald - Ellie Fitz-Gerald | 107.69 |
| 32 | 10 | Karen Martelletti - Julie Sheridan | 111.93 | 31 | 564 | Jill Magee - Terry Strong | 107.70 |
| 33 | 32 | Len Meyer - Phyllis Moritz | 111.29 | 33 | 561 | Mindy Wu - Lorraine Stachurski | 107.18 |
| 34 | 43 | Denis Grahame - Jeanette Grahame | 110.32 | 34 | 570 | Julia Hoffman - Noelene Law | 106.50 |
| 35 | 88 | Les Ajzner - Simon Rose | 110.08 | 35 | 550 | Cheryl Winsor - Susan Rowe | 106.35 |
| 36 | 85 | Noel Bueia - Val Holbrook | 107.87 | 36 | 573 | Heather Cusworth - Frances Lyons | 105.81 |
| 37 | 93 | Paul Kron - Allan Gory | 107.17 | 37 | 554 | Johnno Newman - Peter Hollands | 104.96 |
| 38 | 11 | Robert Milward - Helen Milward | 105.67 | 38 | 597 | Paul Mcglew - Brian Thorp | 104.93 |
| 39 | 15 | George Smolanko - Jane Dawson | 105.61 | 39 | 507 | Val Hopwood - Muffy Smithson | 104.74 |
| 40 | 26 | Franci Halmos - Jean Hall | 105.57 | 40 | 512 | Pat O'Connor - Kay O'Connor | 104.70 |
| 41 | 35 | Steven White - Frank Kovacs | 105.03 | 41 | 567 | John Luoni - Will Adler | 104.40 |
| 42 | 72 | Carolyn Leach - Sandy Leach | 104.83 | 42 | 593 | Judith Gaspar - Richard Greenfield | 103.25 |
| 43 | 54 | Diana Dick - Sumant Handa | 103.81 | 43 | 523 | Shirley Lau - Henry Lee | 103.09 |
| 44 | 13 | Michael Johnson - Michael Simes | 103.74 | 44 | 546 | Malcolm Smith - Michael Neels | 102.76 |
| 45 | 65 | Penny Sykes - Elizabeth French | 103.20 | 45 | 540 | Martin Oyston - Pat Oyston | 102.59 |
| 46 | 99 | Ann Woodhead - Bob Lawrence | 102.61 | 46 | 571 | David Smee - Mischa Solar | 102.14 |
| 47 | 16 | Annette Maluish - Hugh Grosvenor | 102.25 | 47 | 587 | Monica Darley - Kath Poole | 101.68 |
| 48 | 28 | Tom Strong - Edda Strong | 101.89 | 49 | 549 | Brad Johnston - Nicholas Mitchell | 101.55 |
| 49 | 92 | Anita Thirtle - Alan Geare | 101.42 | 48 | 532 | Paul Brake - Jessica Brake | 101.68 |
| 50 | 96 | Carol De Luca - Bev Henton | 100.53 | 50 | 539 | Allan Morris - Beverley Morris | 101.05 |
| 51 | 55 | Peter Ventura - Pang Ventura | 100.48 | 51 | 566 | Peter Hall - Wayne Burrows | 100.64 |


| Rnk | Pr | North-South | Total | Rnk | Pr | East-West | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 19 | Jay Faranda - Eva Berger | 98.94 | 52 | 586 | Lea Woolf - Sam Arber | 100.29 |
| 53 | 20 | Sally Clarke - Garry Clarke | 98.74 | 53 | 536 | Pat Kennedy - Geoffrey Norris | 99.12 |
| 54 | 50 | Richard Touton - Ryan Touton | 97.73 | 54 | 543 | Tracey Lewis - Brett Middelberg | 98.78 |
| 55 | 95 | Judy Johnson - Joan Mccarthy | 97.65 | 55 | 515 | Alison Hanson - Therese Demarco | 98.60 |
| 56 | 36 | Alan Gibson - Ken Dawson | 96.89 | 56 | 584 | John Gough - Larry Moses | 98.45 |
| 57 | 39 | Peter Andersson - Ashok Tulpule | 96.28 | 58 | 517 | Helen Clayton - Lesleigh Rooney | 97.77 |
| 58 | 67 | Byron Longford - Annette Corkhill | 95.98 | 57 | 534 | Sue Southen - Ian Southen | 97.86 |
| 59 | 70 | Patricia Mann - Peter Cox | 95.32 | 59 | 552 | Michael Johnstone - Paula Gregory | 97.24 |
| 60 | 38 | Wendy Harman - Carmen Jackson | 95.04 | 60 | 525 | Ken Moffitt - Sue Moffitt | 96.55 |
| 61 | 73 | Frances Garrick - Janet Rowlatt | 94.94 | 61 | 544 | Barbara Daly - Lyn Mansfield | 95.81 |
| 62 | 60 | Elizabeth Zeller - Patricia Larsen | 94.32 | 62 | 581 | Michael Draper - Margaret Draper | 95.69 |
| 63 | 84 | Sally Moore - Sue Chapman | 94.31 | 63 | 600 | Marie Purkiss - Toni Dixon | 95.65 |
| 64 | 79 | Brian Jacobson - Bill Webster | 93.89 | 64 | 514 | Bill Redhead - Freda Hadwen | 95.54 |
| 65 | 82 | Lesley Gilhooly - Heather Grant | 93.50 | 65 | 579 | Eva Samuel - Serhat Ozenir | 95.46 |
| 66 | 52 | Arch Morrison - Herschel Baker | 93.41 | 66 | 519 | Trish Thatcher - Amber Noonan | 94.90 |
| 67 | 80 | Chris Bayliss - Catherine Chown | 93.02 | 67 | 548 | Lynn Hall - Judith Bishop | 94.66 |
| 69 | 91 | Lorraine Inglis - Judy Plimmer | 92.64 | 69 | 602 | Dawn Swabey - Denise Keenan | 93.63 |
| 68 | 77 | Fay Stanton - Anne Young | 92.65 | 68 | 580 | George Gaspar - Sue Small | 93.71 |
| 70 | 64 | Sylvia Gluck - Ben Kingham | 92.34 | 70 | 572 | Normand Maclaurin - Paul Collins | 93.03 |
| 71 | 48 | Errol Miller - Dorothy Gehrke | 92.09 | 71 | 502 | Ines Dawes - Di Jones | 92.79 |
| 72 | 66 | Richard Fox - Tim Runting | 91.55 | 72 | 583 | Anne Small - Ronald Smith | 92.50 |
| 73 | 41 | Maha Hoenig - Sue O'Brien | 90.33 | 73 | 511 | Rosemary Mooney - Roberta Tait | 92.35 |
| 74 | 33 | Ken Storr - Phaik Yao | 89.88 | 74 | 529 | Lise Allan - Rilla England | 92.01 |
| 75 | 81 | Penny Blankfield - Sue Read | 89.42 | 75 | 522 | Frank Vearing - Jo-Anne Heywood | 91.29 |
| 77 | 71 | Lesley Kenyon - Erin Waterhouse | 88.93 | 76 | 527 | Abigail Wanigaratne - Nikolas Moore | 91.15 |
| 76 | 49 | Shirley Wanz - Susanne Mould | 88.95 | 77 | 526 | Carol Wilson - Laurie-Mar Mcroberts | 90.49 |
| 78 | 6 | Herve Cheval - Gilles Josnin | 88.87 | 78 | 537 | Trudy Lange - Rosemary Matskows | 90.41 |
| 79 | 21 | Ken Wilks - Rosalie Broughton | 88.30 | 79 | 501 | Betty Hobdell - Rosemary Glastonbury | 90.20 |
| 80 | 25 | Bente Hansen - Madge Myburgh | 87.50 | 80 | 535 | Brian Ashwell - Jan Ashwell | 89.96 |
| 81 | 68 | Judith Crafti - Anne Jackson | 87.29 | 81 | 504 | Tony Allen - Kelela Allen | 89.77 |
| 82 | 78 | Jan Randall - Peter Randall | 86.60 | 82 | 518 | Gwen Cordingley - Desma Sampson | 88.54 |
| 83 | 18 | Deidre Greenfeld - Deborah Frankel | 84.38 | 83 | 538 | Sue Spencer - Bev Guilford | 87.43 |
| 85 | 103 | Peter Langston - Marit Langston | 83.77 | 84 | 551 | Erin Batchelor - Bruce Batchelor | 86.62 |
| 84 | 29 | Ann Mellings - Marion Spurrier | 83.79 | 86 | 508 | Sylvia Foster - Les Bonnick | 86.31 |
| 86 | 61 | Val Churchill - Helga Corbett | 83.19 | 85 | 604 | Ian Lisle - Vicky Lisle | 86.36 |
| 87 | 53 | Jan Smith - Gladys Tulloch | 83.07 | 87 | 541 | Michael Gearing - Lakshmi Sunderasan | 85.56 |
| 88 | 90 | Annette Hafan - Ken Carmichael | 82.30 | 88 | 601 | Kuldip Bedi - Richard Misior | 83.37 |
| 89 | 59 | Trish Henderson - Jill Pike | 82.08 | 89 | 594 | Connie Schoutrop - Jan Malinas | 83.25 |
| 90 | 24 | Tony Berger - Merle Bogatie | 81.08 | 90 | 506 | Lex Bourke - Pepe Schwegler | 82.02 |
| 91 | 57 | Christine Houghton - Wayne Houghton | 80.74 | 91 | 513 | John Tredrea - Phil Rains | 81.75 |
| 92 | 58 | John Kable - John Masters | 80.50 | 92 | 575 | Lisa Ma - Emlyn Williams | 81.61 |
| 93 | 56 | Mary Allison - Judi Sunman | 79.42 | 93 | 533 | Peer Bach - Setsuko Lichtnecker | 80.67 |
| 94 | 101 | Eileen Gray - Patricia Knight | 79.36 | 94 | 524 | Bruce Fraser - John Bamfield | 80.40 |
| 95 | 98 | Jill Church - Rhondda Sweetman | 77.83 | 95 | 589 | Jeanne Hey - Joan Valentine | 80.25 |
| 96 | 30 | Janet Wallis - Ann Bullock | 77.37 | 96 | 528 | Ken Moschner - Saftica Popa | 79.31 |
| 97 | 69 | John Correy - Catherine Mcpaul | 76.12 | 97 | 516 | Linda Wild - Helene Kolozs | 78.37 |
| 98 | 100 | Harry Procel - Louis Cukierman | 74.73 | 98 | 510 | Graham Rusher - Stephen Stening | 78.25 |
| 99 | 46 | Gabor Fleiszig - Janina Fleiszig | 73.28 | 99 | 590 | Rick Rhodes - Maura Rhodes | 77.08 |
| 100 | 62 | Barbara Grant - Louis Koolen | 72.24 | 100 | 521 | John Lanham - Donna Smith | 75.20 |
| 101 | 75 | Audrey Bonnick - Pat Rogers | 71.77 | 101 | 582 | Betty Mill - Tania Gariepy | 75.13 |
| 102 | 97 | Lucie Armstrong - Alice Young | 69.82 | 102 | 547 | Sue Brown - Robert Brown | 64.73 |
| 103 | 76 | Fifine Hutton - Wendy Hutton | 68.97 | 103 | 509 | Ruth Chapman - Eileen Seaborn | 56.33 |

# Ivy Dahler Intermediate Butler Swiss Pairs 

| Rnk | Pair | Names |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 48 | Alexander Cook - Robin Ho |
| 2 | 70 | Gwenda Mealyea - Cecile Senior |
| 3 | 7 | Diane Quigley - Ross Gyde |
| 4 | 83 | Andrew Purves - Pam Tibble |
| 5 | 32 | Linda Norman - Wendy Gibson |
| 6 | 27 | Janet Munro - Milton Hart |
| 7 | 2 | Eddie Mullin - Dianne Mullin |
| 8 | 35 | Megan Sutherland - Gerald Dawson |
| 9 | 15 | Trevor Fletcher - Angeline Christie |
| 10 | 80 | George Powis - Patricia Powis |
| 11 | 18 | Edward Truscott - Allan Pike |
| 12 | 50 | Margaret Pisko - Trish Anagnostou |
| 13 | 60 | Annette Hyland - Lorraine Collins |
| 14 | 52 | Alan Davies - Vivien Eldridge |
| 15 | 24 | Carole Roache - Dot Piddington |
| 16 | 12 | Rebecca Delaney - Lesley Fraser |
| 17 | 6 | Max Gilbert - Kathy Gilbert |
| 18 | 54 | Greg Nicholson - Jean Barbour |
| 19 | 3 | June Glenn - Alan Hamilton |
| 20 | 61 | Faye Bell - Heather Scott |
| 21 | 62 | Lex Ranke - Jack Rohde |
| 22 | 44 | Peter Nilsson - Deborah Nilsson |
| 23 | 29 | David Lehmann - Bert Luchjenbroers |
| 24 | 76 | Cassie Morin - Helen Arendts |
| 25 | 38 | Naomi Hannah-Brown - Priscilla Bloy |
| 26 | 78 | Gregory Gosney - Margaret Plunkett |
| 27 | 5 | Judy Wulff - Marilyn Whigham |
| 28 | 17 | Jenny Williams - Katrina Hewings |
| 29 | 13 | John Donovan - Perelle Scales |
| 30 | 46 | Sunny Campbell - Gwendolyn Gray |
| 31 | 51 | Rita Morris - Angus Lightbody |
| 32 | 75 | Kees De Vocht - Allayne Gray |
| 33 | 14 | Chris Fernando - Bert Romeijn |
| 34 | 19 | Jennifer Bandy - Christine Jenkins |
| 35 | 53 | Dale Wells - Ruth Young |
| 36 | 43 | Helen Fitzpatrick - Patricia Hobson |
| 37 | 79 | Pam Hancox - Phil Merchant |
| 38 | 10 | Mike Edwards - Robin Devries |
| 39 | 67 | Jenny Hoff - Kay Leeton |
| 40 | 65 | Joan Jenkins - Alan Boyce |
| 41 | 84 | Max Robb - Peter Van Leeuwen |
| 42 | 49 | Helen Chamberlin - Neil Strutton |


| Total | Rnk | Pr | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 134.17 | 43 | 64 | Jack Pierce - Henry Hirschhorn | 99.11 |
| 131.86 | 44 | 42 | Murray Wiggins - Kevin Balkin | 98.78 |
| 126.63 | 45 | 1 | Ros Warnock - Valerie Isle | 98.39 |
| 126.00 | 46 | 31 | Frank Campbell - John Sheean | 98.09 |
| 123.45 | 47 | 37 | Ned Kelley - Rosemary Kelley | 98.05 |
| 122.75 | 48 | 82 | Kathy Palmer - Meg Sharp | 97.82 |
| 122.48 | 49 | 81 | Alison Ruddell - John Ruddell | 97.38 |
| 119.02 | 50 | 72 | Robert Fleet - Helen Fleet | 96.16 |
| 118.67 | 51 | 68 | Judy Wilkinson - Vicki Foots | 95.99 |
| 118.66 | 52 | 47 | John Rogers - Margaret Rogers | 95.75 |
| 117.62 | 53 | 20 | Jim Taylor - Cora Taylor | 95.45 |
| 117.09 | 54 | 58 | Cherie Butler - Marion Bucens | 95.32 |
| 116.91 | 55 | 11 | Faye Morris - Eva Veress | 94.57 |
| 114.57 | 56 | 45 | Joan Campbell - Jenny Crawt | 92.52 |
| 114.42 | 57 | 36 | Sharon Stretton - Pauline Mulligan | 91.56 |
| 114.02 | 58 | 8 | Tony Davidson - Noreen Weyling | 91.46 |
| 112.83 | 59 | 16 | Lynn Baker - Peter Robinson | 91.38 |
| 112.71 | 60 | 30 | Larry Attwood - Kathryn Attwood | 91.36 |
| 112.48 | 61 | 56 | Diane Nichols - Elizabeth Lawrence | 90.50 |
| 112.43 | 62 | 34 | Helen Walker - Kevin Walker | 90.45 |
| 111.39 | 63 | 26 | Anne Mcnaughton - Margot Moylan | 90.28 |
| 111.38 | 64 | 66 | Edgar Beckett - Janice Beckett | 88.51 |
| 109.54 | 65 | 25 | Julie Jeffries - David O'Gorman | 88.09 |
| 109.10 | 66 | 71 | Loraine King - Helen Standfast | 87.41 |
| 108.50 | 67 | 39 | Cheryl Stone - Monica Pritchard | 87.40 |
| 108.23 | 68 | 22 | Eric Leivesley - Janelle Conroy | 85.06 |
| 107.87 | 69 | 77 | Wendy Mcentegart - Bruce Anderson | 83.42 |
| 107.82 | 70 | 73 | Kevin Petrie - John Stacey | 83.30 |
| 107.75 | 71 | 57 | Joan Leckie - Margaret Williamson | 83.16 |
| 107.66 | 72 | 63 | Bernard Yates - Jay Medhat | 82.76 |
| 107.42 | 73 | 23 | Helen Kite - Helen Rollond | 82.63 |
| 107.38 | 74 | 74 | Lou Tillotson - Sue Robinson | 82.16 |
| 107.28 | 75 | 33 | David Tucker - Sarah Strickland | 79.23 |
| 106.86 | 76 | 55 | Mike Robertson - Gavin Bow | 79.19 |
| 105.95 | 76 | 41 | Margie Knox - Robin Steinhardt | 79.19 |
| 104.90 | 78 | 28 | Barry Koster - Margaret Dickerson | 77.97 |
| 104.40 | 79 | 4 | Norma Cameron - Joan Anderson | 77.70 |
| 103.30 | 80 | 40 | Vivienne Sexton - Mary Driesbock | 74.21 |
| 103.17 | 81 | 69 | Peter Coppin - Dean Hollingworth | 73.47 |
| 102.66 | 82 | 9 | Dave Hurst - Veronica Rozier | 68.44 |
| 101.88 | 83 | 21 | Jillian Tuckey - Rozanne Thomas | 67.83 |
| 99.40 | 84 | 59 | Pat Leighton - Jo Gillis | 65.89 |

## Ivy Dahler Restricted Butler Swiss Pairs

| Rnk | Pr | Names |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 39 | Robert Stick - Colin Payne | 146 |
| 2 | 9 | Gwyneira Brahma - Vicki Taylor | 127 |
| 3 | 63 | Tony Bowmaker - Kyle Gilchrist | 125 |
| 4 | 36 | Tony Jiang - Julia Zhu | 124 |
| 5 | 40 | Robyn Clark - Sheila Wills | 123 |


| Total | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 146.50 | 37 | 5 | Neville Clatworthy - Sherrell Cardinal | 98.88 |
| 127.57 | 38 | 68 | Maree Filippini - Jennifer Bavage | 95.80 |
| 125.74 | 39 | 19 | Trish Dolphin - Barbara O'Connor | 95.24 |
| 124.18 | 40 | 45 | Charlotte Harrison - Shelley Taylor | 95.21 |
| 123.79 | 41 | 55 | Fay Jeppesen - Kenneth Griggs | 95.08 |


| Rnk | Pr | Names | Total | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 7 | Raymond Jones - Rita Jones | 123.79 | 42 | 69 | Bill Bishop - Charles Page | 94.61 |
| 7 | 27 | Michael Young - Sarah Carradine | 123.61 | 43 | 41 | Judy Hapeta - Barbara Love | 94.49 |
| 8 | 29 | Max Holewa - Diane Holewa | 122.77 | 44 | 4 | Mick Fawcett - Lyn Tracey | 94.29 |
| 9 | 50 | Rick Gryg - Michael Byrne | 122.02 | 45 | 70 | David Munro - Peter Rollond | 93.69 |
| 10 | 61 | Chris Wlodarczyk - Justine Wlodarczyk | 121.62 | 46 | 6 | Misako James - Sue Kleeman | 92.92 |
| 11 | 1 | Rachael Loomes - Maurice Loomes | 121.18 | 47 | 11 | Ashok Chotai - Veena Chotai | 92.78 |
| 12 | 13 | Shayne Palfreyman - Sonya Palfreyman | 120.90 | 48 | 15 | Teresa Phillips - David Spencer | 92.64 |
| 13 | 56 | Dennis Sullivan - Albert Loh | 116.45 | 49 | 42 | Marsha Woodbury - Virginia Warren | 92.57 |
| 14 | 67 | Jane Stearns - Di Emms | 115.27 | 50 | 65 | Janice Quigley - Barbara Gordon | 90.64 |
| 15 | 17 | Beatrice Mccarthy - Pat Leavy | 114.71 | 51 | 3 | Sheryl Gardner - Marcey Spilsbury | 89.42 |
| 16 | 28 | Keith Mabin - Fiona Smith | 111.16 | 52 | 72 | Raymond Powley - Susan Powley | 89.09 |
| 17 | 22 | Austin Driscohl - Charles Fisher | 111.09 | 53 | 25 | John Elich - Gabrielle Elich | 89.00 |
| 18 | 48 | Ian Pick - Graham Carson | 110.74 | 54 | 51 | Adam Hertelendy - Dorothy Hertelendy | 87.86 |
| 19 | 37 | Dana Holmes - Michael Holmes | 109.82 | 55 | 35 | Parveen Rayani - Jamal Rayani | 87.70 |
| 20 | 71 | Carol Joseph - Denise Mayhew | 107.76 | 56 | 62 | Glenda Parmenter - Barbara O'Shea | 87.52 |
| 21 | 54 | Jennifer Hollingworth - Carolann Verity | 107.59 | 57 | 21 | David Corney - Margaret Corney | 87.47 |
| 22 | 60 | Judy Bardone - Anne Kirkpatrick | 107.41 | 58 | 12 | Wendy Harrison - David Gillard | 86.89 |
| 23 | 34 | Mereana Cullen - Debbie Marcroft | 106.77 | 59 | 20 | Wolfgang Gerber - Margaret Gerber | 86.29 |
| 24 | 31 | Valerie Robbins - Peter Robbins | 106.07 | 60 | 32 | Mary Penington - Annette Bury | 86.27 |
| 25 | 43 | Margaret Kenny - Robyn Ronaldson | 105.58 | 61 | 8 | Sandra Mulcahy - Doris Beaton | 85.69 |
| 26 | 49 | Prunella Adams - Malcolm Adams | 105.47 | 62 | 16 | Susie Herring - Peter Hooper | 83.75 |
| 27 | 30 | Winny Chan - Catherine Ang | 105.05 | 63 | 58 | Gayna Ryan - Margaret Robertson | 82.54 |
| 27 | 26 | Clive Klugman - Fran Martin | 105.05 | 64 | 64 | Janette Kollisch - Natasha Thomas | 81.38 |
| 29 | 23 | Bhavna Patel - Nilesh Patel | 104.80 | 65 | 66 | Lorraine Pescatore - Margaret Baker | 80.53 |
| 30 | 53 | Liz Sylvester - Stuart Schon | 104.10 | 66 | 46 | Neville Williams - Barry Williams | 80.08 |
| 31 | 33 | Jenny Pulsford - Les Wozniczka | 103.70 | 67 | 24 | Richard Kuipers - Peter Koorey | 76.70 |
| 32 | 14 | Gwyneth Hopkins - Ian Beattie | 103.01 | 68 | 10 | Jacqueline Bonner - Elizabeth Templeton | 76.46 |
| 33 | 44 | Sylvia Cryer - Deborah Mclay | 102.95 | 69 | 2 | Renate Pettit - Kelly Chapman | 75.81 |
| 34 | 57 | Lyn Mayer - Margaret Carlton | 101.54 | 70 | 18 | Ted Morriss - Jane Doyle | 73.62 |
| 35 | 38 | Loraine Neville - Jeanette Weaver | 100.74 | 71 | 59 | Sandra Berns - Dov Berns | 73.15 |
| 36 | 52 | Sharon Clifford - Kay Goodwin | 99.58 | 72 | 47 | Margaret Fleming - Freda Star | 63.86 |

## It's Presentation and party time










## SEE YOU ALL NEXT YEAR IN THE MEANTIME WE WELL AND BE SAFE

| THAT'S ENTERTAINMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | YESTERDAY'S SOLUTIONDIFFICULT SUDOKU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 2- \\ 8 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{7}^{252 x}$ | $\stackrel{2 \div}{2 \div}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 18+ \\ \mathbf{9} \end{array}$ | 4 | 5 | ${ }^{2 \div}$ | 6 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| 6 | 9 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 20+ \\ \mathbf{2 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10+ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 6 \mathrm{x} \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 3 | $9$ | $8$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 35 x \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 480 x \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 8 | 6 | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 16+ \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 9 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| 7 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 14+ \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | $1$ | $4$ | 2 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| $1$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 392 x \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 4 | $\stackrel{2 \div}{2 \div}$ | 6 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| ${ }^{7+} 4$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 288 x \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 6 | 1 | 7 | $8$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10+ \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 5 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 5+ \\ 2+ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1+4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 40 x \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 15+ \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 8 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 x \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 8 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 9 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7-9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 15+ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | 7 | 8 | $3$ | $10+$ <br> 4 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 5 | 9 | $2 \div$ | 6 | 8 | ${ }^{3-}$ | 4 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 |

If you are in need of a doctor or medical assistance you can contact Kim Ellaway through the Administration Desk or alternatively contact the Broadbeach Medical Centre on 07-5531-6344, Suite GO1, 2681 Gold Coast Highway Broadbeach. Their after-Hours is handled by Chevron After Hours Medical Service 07-5532-8666.
Please note that they do not Bulk Bill.



## Next Year's Theme <br> Stars and Stripes

