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# OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP ROUND OF EIGHT 1 ${ }^{\text {ST }}$ STANZA 

Barry Rigal

The first board gave the defenders an easy task at one table and a very hard one at the other.

| Dealer: North Vul: None | © 104 |  |  |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Q 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 1 | - Q 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Rd of 8 | \& K 10852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 532 |  |  | ¢ Q 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K 95 | - J 1043 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 3 |  |  | -KJ87 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& A 976 |  |  | \& Q J 3 |  | 1 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | ¢K J 976 |  |  |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | - A 8 |  |  |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -65 |  |  |  | 1 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 4 |  |  |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |  |  |
| Cooper | B'champ | Nagy | Hans | Lee | Middleton | Hung | Lilley |  |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | 14 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| 1NT | Pass | 3NT | // | 1NT | Pass | 24 | Pass |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2¢ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Beauchamp led the 10 and declarer finessed unsuccessfully in the round suits; Down three. In the other room Middleton led a club. Declarer won the queen and finessed in hearts. Middleton more in hope than expectation shifted to the $\$ 10$. Well done, but a loss of 2 IMPs, since declarer had seven tricks after the spades and hearts were cashed.

In the other match Bach as West received a club lead and won it cheaply in hand to clear clubs. The defenders won and shifted to spades. Meanwhile Doecke opened a mini no-trump and played 3NT as East on a spade lead. He was somewhat unlucky that with both the heart and club finesses losing he did not quite have enough material for nine tricks (at double dummy a heart to the king and a club up might have done the trick, but he ran the $\quad \mathrm{J}$ at trick two).

| Dealer: East | - K 97643 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - KQ106 |  |
| Brd 2 | - 2 |  |
| Open Tms Rd of 8 | - A 4 |  |
| - 5 |  | ¢ Q 1082 |
| - J 9754 |  | - A 82 |
| - J 6 |  | - Q 93 |
| \&QJ765 |  | +K93 |
|  | - A J |  |
|  | $\bullet 3$ |  |
|  | - AK 108754 |  |
|  | -1082 |  |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooper | B'champ | Nagy | Hans | Lee | Middleton | Hung | Lilley |
|  |  | Pass | 1 |  |  | 1\% | 2 |
| 2NT | Double | 3 | Pass | Pass | 24 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | Double | Pass | 3NT | Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | Pass | Double | // | Pass | 4¢ | All Pass |  |

Middleton played his awkward 4 with aplomb, pitching his club loser on the diamonds, leading a heart to the ten, and ruffing his small heart in dummy. He lost two trumps and one heart, while 3NTx on a heart lead and club shift lost six tricks, since declarer had to give up a diamond to establish the suit.

Howard duplicated that line in $4 \uparrow$ after a mini no-trump from Doecke, East, while Gold overcalled $3>$ over Cornell's $1 \$$ opener. Whether this was just a mild underbid or in line with what he thought a red call should look like, no one had anything more to say and $3>$ made 150.

The third board saw Cooper and Bach pick up 3 IMPs in undertricks for their side when they took a simple finesse in a vulnerable $3 N T$, rather than playing for the extra chance of finding a doubleton $\vee Q$ first, with AKJx facing 108x. That saved an undertrick when the finesse lost and the danger side-suit was 5-3 rather than 4-4.

A quiet $4 \Phi$ followed, then the excitement started up again;


Beauchamp/Hans had a cash-out problem against 5eauchamp led a top diamond and shifted to hearts, leaving Hans to work out which suit to play next, and he got it wrong. Had Beauchamp been able to lead an honour to get count at trick one it would seem clear to play on hearts now. Obviously the $\downarrow$ A at trick one didn't get things done...but why wouldn't you lead $\boxtimes K$ for count at the five-level or higher?
Cooper could ruff the heart at trick three and run clubs for the show-up squeeze. The defenders got it wrong in the other room too - suggesting that in unfamiliar partnerships there are considerably more slips between cup and lip than the average reader might believe. There is hope for us all...
Both tables in our other match sold out to $4 \bullet$ after East had shown the majors, in one case, and spades in the other case. Whereas Del'Monte knew to ruff the third spade with 99 , Gold had no reason to expect the uppercut in hearts. He ruffed the third spade with the ace and played trumps from the top; down one. It was $25-0$ to Ziggy, and 30-3 to Cooper.

On the next deal the secret was to defend, or at least to find your nine-card fit if you were going to declare. Three E/W pairs played a six- or seven-card major fit at the three-level rather than their $5-4$ club fit, where they had nine tricks available. 34 on the $4-3$ fit played poorly 30 on the $5-1$ fit fared worse. Since Del'Monte and Howard had overbid the N/S cards to $4 \downarrow$, Gold had 5 IMPs, and added six more on the next deal when a vulnerable weak-two from Del'Monte on the sort of suit you tell your students to avoid, at any vulnerability $(\$$ A108653) got him to a 6-0 fit. Any suggestions of poetic justice are up to the reader, not this writer.

| Dealer: West | - 53 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -98 |
| Brd 8 | $\begin{array}{r} \bullet K J 108 \\ +A Q 109 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } 109864 \\ & \bullet \text { Q } 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| -954 |  |
| -K2 |  |
|  | - AKJ 2 |
|  | - AK73 |
|  | - A 6 |
|  | - J 43 |

A board later Gold closed the match right up when Vanessa Brown took a more aggressive view with the North cards than her counterparts. At the other tables North had passed their partner's 3NT overcall. Brown heard her partner jump to 3NT after a multi to her right, $2 \downarrow$ to her left. She explored delicately for slam with 6NT (5NT
to get partner to pick a slam or a quantitative 4NT must also have been serious considerations here?) and after a diamond lead declarer had 12 top tricks. Easy game bridge.
Gold took the lead when an optimistic 3NT by Del'Monte/Howard needed a finesse to work through the partner of the overcaller and it didn't. We've all made worse contracts - but when Beauchamp/Hans attempted the same contract a more precise defence led to five off not one off, while Middleton/Lilley and Howard/Gold were racking up a more sensible partscore.

The two sets ended 34-25 to Gold, Gold having scored the last 34 IMPs without reply, and 46-6 to Cooper.

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP ROUND OF EIGHT $2^{\text {ND }}$ STANZA

Barry Rigal
The second halves of the two matches would see Gold entering with a small lead, Cooper with a nearly insurmountable one.
Gold added to their lead on the first deal, playing a sensible partscore, down one on a mildly unfavourable lie of the cards, while defending to a near hopeless game in the other room. They added an extra undertrick for two further IMPs on the next deal, before something more serious came along.

| Dealer: South Vul: N-S Brd 15 | - J <br> -K 6 <br> - AKQJ5 3 <br> \& J 732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \uparrow 9743 \\ \bullet 9754 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ } \text { Q } 52 \\ & \text { J } \\ &-74 \\ &+ 4 K Q 9\end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K } 1086 \\ & \text { A Q } 108 \\ & 102 \\ & \& 1085 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 986 \\ +64 \end{array}$ |  |  | Makeable Contracts |
|  |  |  | - | 6 | - | 5 | NT |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | $\bullet$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | 6 | - | 5 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | 6 | - | 5 | 4 |
| West O'Shea | North <br> Howard | East <br> Doecke |  |  | South Del'Monte | West Wallis | North Haffer | East <br> Konig | South <br> Gold |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1NT |  |  |  | 1 NT |  |  |
| Pass | 2NT(*s) | Pass | 36 | Pass | 2NT(*s) | Pass | 3\% |  |  |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT | Pass | 3 | Pass | 4 |  |  |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5NT | Pass | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 6 | All Pas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Both Norths showed diamonds, and a singleton spade, but Gold's raise suggests some ambiguity. Haffer opted to settle for game, while Howard would I am sure have considered $6 \boldsymbol{\beta}$ if he could have protected his VK . After a club lead declarer drew trumps and led the $\boldsymbol{\$ J}$. When Doecke ducked impassively declarer fell back on the heart finesse. Nicely defended - but doesn't the lead from small clubs suggest East has the key honours not West?
Lilley/Melbourne reached 6 the wrong way up, thus getting the worst of all worlds - and on a heart lead the defence took the first two tricks before declarer could do anything ingenious. Hung/Lee had a sequence that started like the Del'Monte/Howard one. Over 3NT Hung bid 4*, denying a club control. Then over 4 $\mathbf{4}$ - (lasttrain promising a heart control) Lee bid $5 \downarrow$. Again, clubs would have been wrong-sided. That was 12 IMPs to Milne, and on the next deal they added 8 more.

| Dealer: West | - A Q 986 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -96 |  |
| Brd 16 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { K } 93 \\ +K 96 \end{array}$ |  |
| -1073 |  | - 52 |
| - Q 10 |  | -KJ7432 |
| - A J 107 |  | - 865 |
| \& A 1075 |  | ¢ 43 |



- 52
- Q 10
- 86
\& 43

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooper | Hung | Nagy | Lee | Milne | Melbourne | Griffith | Lilley |
| 1 | 14 | Pass | 2 | 1 | 14 | $2{ }^{\text {( }}$ ( s ) | 20 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 24 | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pa |  | Double | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

Well done by Hung/Lee to reach 3NT, and after a club lead declarer had a straightforward route to ten tricks. 4 would not have been easy. With $3<$ down a trick the margin was down to 21 at 49-28.
The point about 4 was demonstrated in the other match when Ziggy Konig did extremely well to lead a diamond after an unopposed sequence $19-3 N T-4$. Declarer had no reach chance to guess clubs now, I think. Del'Monte heard his partner overcall 2 over 1NT and as South jumped to 3NT. Well judged.

The next board proved a death-trap for three of our four pairs

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ J } 7652 \\ & \bullet K 64 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & +A 754 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet 10843 \\ & \bullet 9832 \end{aligned}$ |
| -K97432 |  | ---- |
| \& K 86 |  | \& Q J 1093 |
|  | - A 9 |  |
|  | - A Q 107 |  |
|  | - AQ J 1085 |  |
|  | - 2 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | 0 |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

West

Cooper \begin{tabular}{llllll}
North <br>
Hung

 

East <br>
Nagy <br>
Pass

 

South <br>
Lee <br>
$1 \uparrow$

 

West <br>
Mine

 

North <br>
Melbourne

 East 

Grifith

 

South <br>
Lilley
\end{tabular}

Pass 3NT Pass 4 All Pass

Pass 5 Pass Pass Double All Pass

The American style over reverses of rebidding any five-card major has always struck me as overly rigid. Here had Lee passed 3NT he might have scored a somewhat fortuitous goal - but maybe only at double-dummy? Duck two clubs in 3NT pitching a spade and diamond from dummy and you might survive the bad diamond break. You win the third club and finesse in diamonds, win the spade shift and cash two hearts, then exit with a spade to force West to lead a diamond and give you the rest!

Not that there was anything wrong with the contract of 5 . On a normal lie of the cards (either 3-3 or 4-2 diamonds) you would win the club lead, drive out the $\leqslant$, and need to play hearts for no loser or find a squeeze - by no means improbable if you can isolate the club or spade menace or have the defenders help you do so. But the 6-0 trump split put the kibosh on that.
3 made 110, $5 \leqslant$ went down 800 when Lee lost a heart finesse, somewhat unnecessarily it would appear. It hardly mattered in IMP terms though: the Cooper lead was back up to 34 .

Both N/S pairs in our other match went down 200 in odd contracts. Haffer/Gold appear to have had a wheel fall off to reach 4 , Del'Monte played 6 undoubled on an auction where Jenner-O'Shea did not double because he feared a retreat to $6 \mathbf{~}$.

The trailing teams then both attempted a 4-3 major suit game; this was sensible enough in abstract since they were off three quick-tricks and their suit was solid down to the nine. But against a $5-1$ trump break it simply meant more vulnerable undertricks flowing in the wrong direction.
After that, there were just not enough boards left to come back in either match. Haffer added a game swing for a better lead against $3 N T$. The key suit (rotated) was:

(Doecke led the $\checkmark 7$ here and Jenner-O'Shea ducked, to allow the defenders to cash out three tricks in the suit later on. In our other match Griffith on a blind auction led $\geqslant 3$ but Melbourne put in the nine - the technical if not psychological play - and now the defenders could not really go wrong any more. Hung played low from dummy on the $\geqslant 3$ lead at trick one to force East's jack, and the defence was dead). Those 13 IMPs made the margin of 17 IMPs for Cooper with one deal to go - but there was not quite enough excitement in that hand.
Del'Monte had managed to steal a non-vulnerable game on it, since the opponents had five running tricks in a bid suit, but blocked AQJ63 facing K5 by leading a top honour from the long (otherwise entryless) hand. That closed the margin to 30 IMPs, but Gold had won decisively after a very poor start.
Milne had come back from an early 40IMP hole, but not quite enough. They lost by 17 and may look back on Board 18 as their decisive stroke of bad luck.

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP SEMI FINALS 1-10 OF 40

Barry Rigal

The two matches saw Kanetkar take on Cooper, and Nunn against Gold.

| Dealer: North | ¢ 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - A 5 |  |
| Brd 1 | -10765 |  |
| Open Tms SF 1/4 | \& A K Q 1073 |  |
| ¢ 8 |  | ¢ 642 |
| - 96 |  | -KJ87432 |
| - A Q 84 |  | -K93 |
| \&986542 |  | \& --- |
|  | -AK Q J 10953 |  |
|  | - Q 10 |  |
|  | - J 2 |  |
|  | \& J |  |

West North East South

- A 5
10765
\& AKQ 1073
- 642
KJ87432
\& ---

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\$$ |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |  |
| - | 2 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 2 | 4 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cooper | Gumby | Nagy | Lazer | Kanetkar | Melbourne | Neill | Middleton |

Gold's huge underbid paid dividends here when the defenders led a normal heart (is a club your best shot to beat it? But maybe you could cash two hearts and two diamonds?). All the other three tables reached slam, cold on a major suit lead, less successful on a minor lead. The defenders can take a ruff, cash their diamonds, and shift to hearts to wait for another diamond trick. A flat board in Cooper-Kanetkar, 13IMPs to Gold.

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\underset{\sim}{\oplus} \mathrm{A} \text { K } 54$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 2 | - AK 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms SF 1/4 | \& K 962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ --- |  | ¢ 1098732 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KJ9632 |  | -10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 73 |  | -10985 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& Q 543 |  | \% A J | - | 4 |  | 4 | NT |
|  | ¢ Q J 6 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | \$ |
|  | - A Q 875 |  | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q 6 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \$ 1087 |  | - | 2 | - | 3 | 9 |

At both tables in Nunn-Gold East treated his hand as a multi 2 and West bought the pot in 2 down 150 . Both Easts in the other match passed and N/S bid unopposed to 3NT. Each declarer guessed clubs for two tricks by finessing twice against the queen-jack, the odds play, and both made 630. No swing.

On the next deal Brown/O'Shea under-competed and never bid their 6-3 fit on a partscore deal, to get Nunn off the schneider.

Board 4 offered huge opportunities for both sides:

| Dealer: West <br> Vul: Both Brd 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1 \\ \bullet \\ -9 \\ +9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 753 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ } 862 \\ & \text { A6 } \\ & \text { K } \\ & \& K \text { J } 87652 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | - J <br> -K9853 <br> - 52 <br> \& A Q 1043 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Makea | able Co | acts |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | - AKQ 4 |  |  |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | \$ |
|  | - Q 7 |  |  |  | 1 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q J 10763 |  |  |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& --- |  |  |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\%$ |
| West Cooper | North <br> Gumby | East <br> Nagy | South <br> Lazer | West <br> Kanetkar | North <br> Melbourne | East <br> Neill | South <br> Middleton |  |  |
| 1\% | Pass | 19 | Double | 1\% | Pass | 1 | Double |  |  |
| 2\% | Pass | 54 | 5 | 24 | 24 | 54 |  |  |  |
| 6\% | Pass | Pass | Double // | 6\% | Pass | Pass | Double | // |  |
| West <br> Brown | North Geo | East <br> O'Shea | South Appleton | West <br> Nunn | North Haffer | East <br> Ware | South Gold |  |  |
| 3\% | Pass | 3 | Double | 1\% | Pass | 17 | Double |  |  |
| 4 | 4¢ | 5\% | 5 // | 24 | 24 | 5\% | 5 // |  |  |

Where to start? So much insanity to comment on and so little space... at one table, where Kanetkar and Neill were E/W they judged the hand well, in that 5 might well have made. You might argue with Cooper's decision to save (if you don't I have reasons to question your judgment, but based on what happened elsewhere he took an excellent decision!). At both tables N/S cashed out for down one.

In the other match both Souths played 5 . How would it be possible E/W could not beat this via the trump promotion? At one table Brown led ace and another heart, and O'Shea played...a club. In the other room Nunn led the club king, a choice that deserved the result it achieved according to this dyspeptic commentator. No swing.
Nunn took the lead on the next board, thanks to this auction.

| Dealer: North Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q J } 8542 \\ & \bullet \text { Q } 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | West <br> Nunn | North Haffer | East <br> Ware | South Gold |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 5 | - A 97 |  |  | 24 | 3 | Pass |  |
|  | ¢ 97 |  | 34 | Pass | 49 | Pass |  |
| - A 3 |  | ¢ 109 | 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |  |
| - AK 4 |  | - 7 | 6\% | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| - 82 |  | -KQ10543 |  | Makea | le Con | cts |  |
| \& A Q 6543 |  | \& K J 102 | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ K 76 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | \$ |
|  | - J 1098532 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - J 6 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 8 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - |  |

All the other three tables played game, when East didn't take immediate action over a weak two or Multi. $6 \mathbf{4}$ made comfortably enough.


4V looks a comfortable contract, but E/W have a save that may get out for anywhere between 100 and 500 . In Kanetkar-Cooper Nagy came in over $1 \cup$-Pass-1NT with 2 and E/W sold out to $4 \cup$. The diamond ruff came with the trump trick and Lazer had a painless 620. In the other room Neill heard 10 -Pass- 20 and bid 34 , raised by Kanetkar to $4 \oplus$. No one doubled and Neill found out enough from the heart lead and shift to $\& \mathrm{Q}$ to lay down $\uparrow$ A. down one and 11 IMPs to Kanetkar.

Both tables in Nunn-Gold played 4థx. But O'Shea was prepared to play Tislevoll to have opened a miserable 10 -count and took the spade finesse, while Ware played successfully for the drop of the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$. That was 7 IMPs and a 24-16 lead at the break.


Both $3 \mathbf{\$}$ and 4 were perfectly good contracts were it not for the top losers; well you can't have everything. 3\% lost the five aces and kings, $4 \vee$ on a top diamond lead saw declarer win and cross to the \&A to play trumps. The defenders arranged a trump promotion as declarer ruffed the fourth diamond and was overruffed. Back came a trump and declarer was left with a club loser for down two. That basically concluded the scoring on the set.

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP SEMI FINALS 11-20 OF 40

Out of general interest, bridge writers like to follow the closest match. However in this case that option was denied us since Nunn led Gold by 8.1 and Kanetkar led Cooper by 4.1. Oh well, follow the rule let's see how Kanetkar fares against Cooper in this set. With Gumby Lazer (N/S) facing Cooper Nagy in the Open Room and Kanetkar Neill (E/W) facing Melbourne Lilley in the closed room.

Hand evaluation played an important part in Board 11

| Dealer: South | $\text { © } 543$ |  | West <br> Cooper | North Gumby | East <br> Nagy | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\bullet \text { A } 73$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 11 | - J 8652 |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |
| Open Tms SF 2/4 | \& A 2 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |  |
| - J 109 |  | - A | Pass | 4\$ | All P |  |  |
| - K 82 |  | - Q J 1054 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q 97 |  | - K 104 |  | Make | le Con | acts |  |
| ¢ 974 |  | ¢10853 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢KQ8762 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | ¢ |
|  | - 96 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 3 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K Q J 6 |  | - | - | - | - | \% |

At the other table Melbourne showed a 9-11 three card raise via $2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and Lilley elected to settle for $2-6$ IMPs to Kanetkar. Some may feel that Lazer was lucky to buy perfect cards - two aces - and for the spades to be favourably placed, but bridge is a bidder's game. For the record, Reverse Romex trial bids would let South bid 2NT to make a game-try with unspecified shortage (as recommended in Barry Rigal's excellent articles yesterday) and now North can jump to game without disclosing his partner's shortage. Wherever it is, game must be excellent.

| Dealer: West Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ Q } 863 \\ & \text { AK Q J } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Cooper | North Gumby | East <br> Nagy | South <br> Lazer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 12 | - 72 |  | Pass | 10 | Double | 3\% |  |
| Open Tms SF 2/4 | ¢ 106 |  | 3 | Pass | Pass | 4 | // |
| ¢K 95 |  | ¢ J 742 | Kanetkar | Melbourne | Neill | Lilley |  |
| - 98 |  | - 54 | Pass | 10 | Pass | 24( $\sim_{\text {s }}$ ) |  |
| - A J 865 |  | -KQ43 | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 34 |  |
| \& 732 |  | \& K Q 4 | Pass | 3 | Pass | 4 | // |
|  | ¢ A 10 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  | -10762 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | -109 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | ¢ A J 985 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\bullet$ |
|  |  |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |

The defence will prevail as long as they take their spade trick before declarer establishes clubs. In the Open Room Nagy led the $\langle K$, saw the eight from partner and cashed the $\checkmark Q$ before switching to a spade. Declarer, North ducked this and on winning the $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathrm{K}$, West tried another diamond, allowing declarer to shake her club loser and make the contract. This might have been the right defence on a different day - but we still haven't found which day that might be.

In the Closed Room Neill won the first trick with the $\diamond Q$ and maybe influenced by partner's high diamond, immediately switched to spades, won by West's king, a second diamond was cashed and a spade exit. +200 and +620 meant 13 IMPs to Kanetkar.
Board three saw a contest to see who would claim the diamond suit first

| Dealer: North | ¢ 95 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AQ4 |  | Cooper | Gumby | Nagy | Lazer |
| Brd 13 | -QJ98643 |  |  | Pass | 1 | Pass |
| Open Tms SF $2 / 4$ | \& 4 |  | 10 | Pass | 20 | 3\% |
| ¢KQ106 |  | ¢ A J | 31 | Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| -109762 |  | - J 853 | Kanetkar | Melbourne | Neill | Lilley |
| - A |  | -K752 |  | 3 | Double | All Pass |
| \& J 72 |  | \& A 93 |  | Makeab | le Contra |  |
|  | ¢ 87432 |  | 1 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - K |  | - | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | -10 |  | 3 | - | 3 | $\bullet$ |
|  | \& K Q 10865 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 |
|  |  |  | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |

In the Open Room Cooper received a club lead and hoping for a favourable heart layout, won the club, unblocked the $\checkmark A$ crossed to $\uparrow A$ and tried to pitch a club on the $\checkmark K$. South ruffed with the $\checkmark K$, cashed a club allowing partner to pitch their last spade and gave partner a spade ruff. Declarer still had two hearts to lose, 200. In the Closed Room, declarer lost the 'obvious' five tricks, two spades, two diamonds and a club and -200 and 9 IMPs to Kanetkar who had picked up 27 IMPs on the first three boards to lead by 31.1. Hmmm; maybe I had chosen the wrong match in terms of closeness of results.

Board 14 saw more IMPs flow to the Kanetkar team.

| Dealer: East | $\text { ↔ } 95$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\bullet \text { Q } 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 14 | -K108632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms SF 2/4 | \& K 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ K Q 6 |  | ¢ A 743 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -743 |  | -K1095 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q 4 |  | - J 7 |  | Makeab | le Con |  |  |
| \& A Q J 8 |  | \& 763 | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ J 1082 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | ¢ |
|  | - A J 82 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -95 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 1094 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |

Both tables reached 3NT. In the Open Room, Nagy opened with $2 \odot$ showing both majors and after West made enquiries, during which North doubled 3 , he opted to play $3 N T$. In the closed room the unopposed auction began with West opening. The sequence was: 1-10-2NT-3 -3NT.

The play was interesting in both rooms. In the open room Gumby, North, avoided the diamond lead, opting instead for the spade. Declarer cashed three rounds of spades finishing in dummy and finessed clubs, which North ducked. Declarer next played a diamond towards the jack, which North won with the king and continued diamonds. Declarer won in hand and played a heart to the king, losing to the ace. South cashed the winning spade and exited with a heart to partner's queen. Now a diamond established that suit for the defence with North still holding the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ entry - down two.
In the Closed Room, North led a diamond and the play was not dissimilar except that after the first club was ducked, declarer cashed off the spades. When North won the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ he exited with a low heart to the king. South won the $\vee A$ and took his spade, North pitching a club. This is hardly an error - except that when South failed to play back a diamond to his partner but led a heart instead, North was now end-played after winning the $\mathbb{Q}$ into playing a second diamond and giving up the ninth trick for declarer. Had North retained his third club he would have had an exit card. Kanetkar was now leading by 42.

Board 15 saw some relief when both tables reached a very solid slam 6NT in one room and 6 in the other room, the type of board where you want to be in 6NT in case there is a ruff, there being 12 tricks otherwise.

I am sure that my co-editor Barry Rigal would find some interesting commentary for Board 16. 1NT was played at both tables but after the excitement of the first four boards it hardly rated a mention even though Kanetkar picked up another five IMPs when it made at one table but failed at the other.
There was only one big swing left in the set, and again it went to Kanetkar.

| Dealer: South | - Q J 42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - A 9 |  |
| Brd 19 | - J 10942 |  |
| Open Tms SF 2/4 | \& Q 2 |  |
| - 7 |  | - AK 1093 |
| - Q 8765 |  | $\bullet$ K J 104 |
| - 76 |  | - AK3 |
| \& AK 1085 |  | \% 4 |
|  | ¢ 865 |  |
|  | - 32 |  |
|  | - Q 85 |  |
|  | ¢J9763 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | NT |
| 5 | - | 5 | - | 4 |
| 6 | - | 6 | - |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |  |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 |

Both Easts were allowed to open $1 \uparrow$ here. Cooper responded 1 NT and raised Nagy's $3 \bullet$ to $4 \checkmark$ - an action sufficiently wet that we could hydrate the whole of the Northern Territory with it. By contrast Avi Kanetkar as West responded 2 to $1 \oplus$ as a passed hand - an action not without risk, but Avi tried underbidding once and didn't like it. Neill hit him with keycard and drove to slam over the response. With $6 \odot$ having decent play even if neither major split, you'd have to be unlucky to go down, and today was not that day. The set finished a remarkable 58-0 to Kanetkar, and the match seemed almost over. Meanwhile this board was the only big swing in our other match. Gold bid to slam, Nunn did not, and it was $35-31$ to Nunn at the half (all the other small swings having gone to Nunn in the set).

## OPEN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIP SEMI FINALS 21-30 OF 40

With 20 deals to go, Cooper needed a lot of action to get back into the match, while Nunn-Gold was separated by 4 IMPs.
Gold immediately took the lead on the first deal, bidding a game which was not made more difficult by the favourable location of the $\vee A Q$, the $\diamond$ Q and the $\& \mathrm{~J}$. When spades were $4-2$ the contract needed every one of these cards onside and duly got them. Kanetkar bid it too, for the same partscore swing.

All four tables played game on the next hand - two E/W and two N/S all going down one or two tricks. No double no trouble, but Gold and Kanetkar picked up 6 IMPs for defending successfully at both tables. With the margin in that match climbing rapidly into the stratosphere we shall focus on the closer encounter.

None of the tables got very close to bidding a respectable game with 21-HCP and a 5-3 fit (Brownie points to Nunn-Ware for reaching the three-level) and then a successful balance gave Nunn their first IMPs of the set, two to be precise. It was 37-44 now to Gold.

| Dealer: North <br> Vul: N-S <br> Brd 5 <br> Open Tms SF $3 / 4$ <br> - A Q 2 <br> - A <br> - 10754 <br> \&KJ632 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K J } \\ & \text { Q J } 84 \\ & \text { J86 } \\ & \$ 10874 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | West | North Make | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - 85 <br> 10762 <br> - AK 932 <br> \& Q 5 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } 1097643 \\ & * K 953 \\ & \text { Q } \\ & \& \text { A } 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\bullet$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6 | - | 5 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\pm$ |
| West Doecke | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |  |  |
|  | Thompson | O'Shea | McGann | Nunn | Brown | Ware |  |  |  |
|  | Pass | 1NT | 2\% |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| Double | 3 | Pass | Pass | 14 | Pass | 1. | $1{ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |
| Double$5$ | Pass | 4 | Pass | Pass | Pass | 2 | Pass |  |  |
|  | All Pass |  |  | 24 | Pass | 3 | Pass |  |  |

In 3NT Nunn was favoured with the lead of $\uparrow$ K. He won and led the 10 to the king, then a club to the jack and a diamond to the nine saw him home with four diamonds (thanks to the internal blockage) two spades two clubs and a heart.

Jenner-O'Shea brought home $5 \diamond$ after a heart lead by the restricted choice play in trumps. He took six trumps, three clubs and two aces. No swing.
The rest of the set could be summed up as: 'Last bidder gets the zero' as Bobby Wolff so picturesquely puts it.


Would you bid with the West cards after hearing 1\% to your right? Nunn tried $1 *$ and now after a negative double from North, N/S found their spade fit and competed to 3 over 3 by South. After a diamond lead helped his cause, Gold guessed the trump suit, starting with $\uparrow K$ and finessing the seven. So he held his losses to two clubs, one diamond and one heart. By contrast, Doecke considered the West hand worth a take-out double of 1\&; as we have already seen, his box contains very few green cards. Now Jenner-O'Shea drove to 3 NT , and even after a low club lead let the $\boldsymbol{\%}$ score, and he guessed the $\boldsymbol{\cup}$, he still lost three spades and two aces for down one.

That made it 42-45, but after an overtrick IMP increased the lead to four, both sides had their opportunities here.

| Dealer: North | -9842 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - J 8 |  |
| Brd 9 | - AKQ 109 |  |
| Open Tms SF 3/4 | \& J 3 |  |
| - Q 73 |  | - K |
| - Q 964 |  | - AK32 |
| - J 6 |  | -8543 |
| +A982 |  | \& KQ65 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet A J 1065 \\ & \bullet 1075 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\bullet 72$ <br> \& 1074 | 1 four spades and a minor |

With $4 \checkmark$ cold on the favourable breaks, cui culpa (if either of East or West is to blame?) for missing it? I'm not sure I can blame anyone; trumps have been breaking so badly this tournament (pace Lanzarott/Buratti) that if E/W had bid game no doubt they would have been doubled and set 800 .
$4 \uparrow x$ went down 300, and well judged by E/W to play for penalties here rather than chase the moon at the fivelevel, while 3 was one down, for 6 IMPs to Gold.

As if to prove the point in the paragraph above:


Both tables played 44x. Doecke received a heart lead, which gave him no chance to escape for down one. Nunn received a diamond lead and won cheaply in hand, led a club up, and Brown won and shifted to a heart, ducked. He won the next heart and led a spade, and Gold split his honours. To escape for down one it looks relatively easy to win, cross to the club king and ruff a heart. Now duck a diamond, and the defenders can only take one more trick. When Nunn ducked the first spade the defenders had the timing, by simply exiting in hearts. No swing, and with ten deals to go Gold led by 9 IMPs at 51-42.

## NOVICE TEAMS FINAL - BIG COMEBACK

## Brent Manley

A team from the Kenmore Bridge Club in Brisbane, trailing at the halfway point of their Novice Final match, had a big third set to take the lead and played virtually even with their opponents in the final set to win by 17 IMPs. The final score was 93-76.1.

The winners are Allan Byrnes, Steve Parkes, Unis Suliman and Peter Taylor. The runners-up are Hans Van Weeren, Peter Clarke, Colin Dempster and Norman Grech.

The Van Weeren team had forged a 10-IMP lead at the break, but the Byrnes team won the third set 33-5 to grab the lead. The final set went to Van Weeren but by just 1 IMP.
The big set for Byrnes was mostly a matter of missed games by their opponents.
This deal, from the final set, typified the strong performance by the Brisbane squad.

| Dealer: East | ¢ J |  | West | North | East <br> V Weeren | South <br> Byrnes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - KQ 76 |  | Clarke | Parkes |  |  |  |
| Brd 22 | - AK 5 |  |  |  | Pass | Pass |  |
| Novice Tms Fin 3/4 | \& K J 1073 |  | Pass | 148 | 14 | Double |  |
| ¢ Q 96 |  | ¢ 108752 | Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |  |
| -1085 |  | - J 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 106 |  | - J 872 |  | Makeab | le Contra | acts |  |
| \& A Q 96 |  | \& 42 | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | - AK 43 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | - A 432 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\bullet$ |
|  | -943 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ¢ 85 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 |  |

Van Weeren led the $\& 4$, taken by Clarke with the ace. Clarke switched to the $\uparrow$, taken with the king. Parkes cashed the $\Psi K, ~ Q$ and $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and played his singleton spade to dummy's ace. He cashed the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$, pitching a diamond from hand, and got back to hand with the $\forall$. He then ruffed a club in dummy, ruffed a spade and ruffed another club with the trump ace. In the two-card ending, Parkes could play either of dummy's cards (the -9 or the $\uparrow 4$ ) to score his $\geqslant 7$. He opted for the spade, giving West the choice of ruffing with the master trump, allowing Parkes to score the $\$ 7$ at trick 13 , or discard his $\$ 10$. Either way, Parkes had made an overtrick in elegant fashion.

Playing matchpoints, Parkes might have played a club to his jack or 10 in search of two overtricks, but if the finesse lost, the defenders might have been able to play a third round of trumps.
The fact that the board was a push does not take away from the nice technique exhibited by Parkes.
The first set was a tight match, with only one swing of double digits. This board was good for 6 IMPs to the Byrnes team.

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } 84 \\ & \bullet \text { Q J } 10654 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Clarke | North Parkes | East $\vee$ Weeren | South <br> Byrnes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 2 | -3 |  |  |  | Pass | 1 |  |
| Novice Tms Fin 3/4 | ¢962 |  | 14 | Double | 24 | 3\% |  |
| - K 1062 |  | -9753 | 3 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| -K7 |  | -983 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KQJ85 |  | - A 4 |  | Makeab | le Contr |  |  |
| \& 43 |  | \& Q J 108 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | - A J |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | - A 2 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -109762 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | \& AK 75 |  | - | - | - |  | 4 |

Parkes led his singleton diamond, taken in dummy with the ace. Clarke led a trump from dummy, covering Byrnes's jack with the king. When he led a second trump, Parkes - not expecting Clarke to have only four spades - went up with the queen, which crashed into his partner's ace. Parkes got the trick back by ruffing the diamond return. The defenders still had three tricks coming - the 『A and top two clubs - so declarer was one down for minus 50.

At the other table, Dempster, South, found himself in a hopeless 2NT contract, finishing two down for minus 200.

Byrnes posted another 5-IMP gain just before the big swing of the set came along.

| Dealer: East | - J |  | West | North | East | South Byrnes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -10854 |  | Clarke | Parkes | V Weeren |  |  |
| Brd 6 | - AK 1065 |  |  |  | Pass | Pass |  |
| Novice Tms Fin 3/4 | \& K Q 9 |  | 1 | 2 | 24 | Pass |  |
| -AKQ764 |  | 4982 | 4* | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| - AK |  | -932 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 7432 |  | - J 9 |  | Makea | le Contra | acts |  |
| ¢ 8 |  | - A 10642 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | - 1053 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  | - Q J 76 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q 8 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | ¢J753 |  | - | - | - |  | 4 |

The contract can be defeated with a trump lead, but North must cooperate and play low when declarer plays a diamond from hand, hoping to be able to ruff one in dummy. If North plays low, South wins the queen and exits with a trump. If declarer plays a second diamond, North must win and play a third round, allowing South to overruff dummy. Declarer will lose three diamonds and the overruff for one down.
At the table, Parkes started with the $\forall$ A, continuing with the king and a third diamond. Declarer ruffed with the $\oplus 9$, overruffed by Byrnes, who exited with a heart. Clarke won and ruffed his last diamond with the $\uparrow 8$ and could claim after cashing the \&A, ruffing a club and pulling trumps.
At the other table, North-South bought the contract at 3 , just making for plus 140 and 13 IMPs to Van Weeren.

After 12 boards, Van Weeren was ahead 19-11.

There was a lot more scoring in the second set but it was closer than the first. Van Weeren prevailed 26-24, surviving two swings of minus 11 IMPs.

This board was an 11-IMP swing for Van Weeren.

| Dealer: East Vul: None | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Q Q } 83 \\ \bullet 732 \end{array}$ |  | West Suliman | North Grech | East Taylor | South Dempster |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 14 | - 2 |  |  |  | Pass | 1 * |  |
| Novice Tms Fin 3/4 | \& AK 8652 |  | Pass | 2\% | Pass | 3NT |  |
| - J 952 |  | - 76 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| - A Q J |  | -985 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 1086 |  | - 543 |  | Makea | e Con | cts |  |
| \& J 10 |  | \&Q9743 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | - AK 104 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | -K1064 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  | - AKJ 97 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  | \& --- |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

With help from the opening lead, Dempster managed this awkward contract skillfully. Suliman's lead of a low spade is reasonable, but it didn't work out well for his side. Dempster won in hand with the $\boldsymbol{\$} 10$ and played the * 9 to Suliman's 10. A spade came back, taken in dummy with the queen. Dempster cashed dummy's high clubs and played a spade to his ace, then followed with the top diamonds and the $\downarrow$ J. Suliman won the $\downarrow$ and played his last spade to declarer's king. The $\downarrow 7$ was trick number nine for plus 400.

At the other table, 3NT was played from the North side on the lead of the 99 and declarer came up two tricks short for minus 100.
The contract can be made whether played by North or South, but success requires a line of play difficult to imagine without a peek at an opponent's hand. Because West has only two clubs and only three hearts, declarer can cash the top clubs, pitching hearts, and play a heart to his king. West can take three heart tricks but then must lead into one of declarer's tenaces. A spade return gives declarer four tricks in that suit, and after taking those tricks he can follow with the $\diamond A$ and $\diamond J$, leaving West on lead after he wins the $\diamond Q$ to lead from the $10-8$ into declarer's $\$$ K-9.

The first 11-IMP swing for Byrnes resulted from an unusual situation.


Suliman (West) opened $2 \diamond$ (weak) after Dempster (South) passed. Grech has a natural $2 \checkmark$ overcall, but he selected double for his call. Taylor passed and Dempster, who had not noticed his partner's double, selected double for his call.

The tournament director arrived and cancelled the second double, informing Dempster that he could choose any legal action but whatever his decision, Grech was barred from the rest of the auction.
Dempster bid 2 4 , which was followed by three passes. Dempster took seven tricks for minus 50, which cost 11 IMPs when Byrnes and Parkes scored 11 tricks in $4 \checkmark$ for plus 450.

The other 11-IMP swing was the result of overbidding by Van Weeren and Clarke, who bid to a no-play slam on board 19 while Suliman and Taylor stopped in game.


## BELATED MEDAL

Ben Ibradi was a member of the winning Indonesian team in the Gold Coast Congress 2015 Open Teams Championship.
He had to leave before the presentation so the tournament took the opportunity to award him his medal this year.

## ZEPHYR FOUNDATION

Carmel Martin and her team are pleased to announce that the Foundation raised $\$ 8,900$ in total, an amount that will help about 20 kids kitted out and back to school. There are collection boxes still located at the venue.
The list of raffle prize winners are:
$1^{\text {st }}$ Prize Entry to 2017 GCC Pairs Sheryl Gardner (Sanctuary Cove BC)
A Session with an Expert in their State: Margaret Plunkett, Mardi Hogarth, Marlene Watts, Anne Paton, Jane Biscoe, Helen Hellsten, Margaret Reid, Jill Haworth and Malcolm Allan.

The Zephyr Foundation is indebted to the bridge playing community for their generosity.

## STRENGTHEN YOUR GAME

Getting the most from your conventions - Part 8 Brent Manley
Two young women arrived at the table where Eva Shaffer of Springfield VA was playing. When Shaffer's lefthand opponent opened $1 \Downarrow$, her partner bid $2 \boldsymbol{*}$. Shaffer's RHO asked the meaning of $2 \boldsymbol{*}$. Said Shaffer, "That's a Michaels cuebid, showing five spades and five of a minor." When the round was finished, one of the women turned to Shaffer's partner and said, "Good luck, Michael. It was nice playing with you."
In previous instalments on this page, readers have learned that there are many uses for the red X in your bidding box, the X being the double card.
You may not know that there is another familiar tool with many uses. It's the cuebid.
The Official Encyclopaedia of Bridge defined cuebid as a forcing bid in a suit in which the bidder cannot wish to play. It is applied to (1) bids in the opponent's suit at any level and (2) bids to show controls at a high level after a suit has been agreed directly or by inference.
A couple of examples:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \%$ | Dbl | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |

South's $2 \boldsymbol{*}$ is a cuebid, showing at least enough high-card strength to invite game. It is not a suggestion to play a contract in clubs. In many cases, South will have a hand such as

- AJ76 KJ43 J 8 \& 543

South wants to play in a major, but he doesn't want to guess which one in case North has made a takeout double with a hand such as
-K98 A 1098 - 5432 \& AK
North would prefer to have one more spade, but it's a routine takeout double. After South's cuebid, North will look at his majors and bid the stronger if he has four cards in each suit or simply bid the four-bagger. South will then raise North's choice of suits, inviting game. The 4-3 fit is avoided.
Here'a another hand with which South might cuebid over partner's takeout double:
-KQJ108 AJ KQ65 403

Instead of jumping to game in spades, South bids 2\&, planning to bid spades strongly at his next turn to show at least mild slam interest. In that case, the spade fit is established because North would have no fewer than three spades to make the takeout double. A possible auction:

| West ${ }^{\text {L }}$ |  | East |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - A 76 |  |
| - AJ |  | - K Q 73 |  |
| -KQ65 |  | - J 1095 |  |
| + 103 |  | \& A 5 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | 14 | Double | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 4* [1] | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 64 | All Pa |  |  |

[1] Club control: Ace, guarded king, singleton or void in clubs
If West simply sails into 44, it's likely the slam would not be bid because there would be no room below game for the $4 *$ cuebid. Further, if East's cuebid was $4 \downarrow$, skipping the club suit, West would know East had at least two losers in clubs. With two losers in his own hand, West would sign off in 44.
The latter point is worth discussing to see if you and your partner are comfortable cuebidding controls other than aces. It is effective to use your cuebids below the game level to show first and second-round controls in the named suits. First-round control means declarer can win the first round of the suit with the ace or with a void. Second-round control can be a singleton or guarded king (the king and at least one other card).

NORTH AMERICAN COLLEGIATE BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS - NOVEMBER, 1986
Barry Rigal

| Dealer: West | - J 9 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Nil | - 5 |  | 1 * | 24 | Pass | 2 |
|  | - 7432 |  | Pass | $3 \%$ | Pass | 3 |
|  | \& AKQ 1086 |  | Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |
| - Q 432 |  | - 5 |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 9 |  | -107642 |  |  |  |  |
| - AK Q 105 |  | -98 |  |  |  |  |
| +4 |  | \&J9753 |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ AK 10876 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - AK83 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - J 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 2 |  |  |  |  |  |

Par tournaments were most popular in the early days of bridge. The deals featured today and tomorrow show points of technique in the bidding and play. Players scored points for getting to the right contract and then making (or defeating) it.

Occasionally after a pair had bid to the right spot they were directed to playing different or more challenging spot, for which the cards would lie especially well - or badly.
The deals here are normal contracts, but the opponents' cards are designed to reward accurate card-play.
THE PROBLEM: The defenders lead two top diamonds and shift to clubs. What next for declarer?
THE ANSWER: A totally safe plan is to win the club at trick three, cash the A-K, ruff a heart in dummy, return to the closed hand with a diamond ruff and ruff the last heart. This leaves declarer with all trumps, and at worst one loser (the $₫ Q$ ). This line involves no jeopardy. On the bidding, East will be unable to ruff or overruff hearts (else West would have opened 1४), and West will be unable to ruff the first round of hearts (East would have bid with eight good hearts). If West ruffs the second heart, declarer can overruff in dummy and play winning clubs - West, with five diamonds, one heart, and at most four spades (didn't open 1申), can't ruff clubs also. A ruff by East or a high ruff by West cannot hurt.
Note what happens if declarer cashes only one high heart before taking the ruffs: $\downarrow$ A-K; club to dummy; $\vee A$ and heart ruff; diamond ruff; heart ruff; diamond ruff; $\uparrow \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{K}$ - the 4-1 trump break prevents declarer from scoring the remaining high heart.

## NEED TRANSPORT HOME FROM THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS? OR DO YOU WANT TO SHARE A TAXI TO THE AIRPORT

If you are looking for a "ride" home after the tournament you really should visit the travel desk outside the Administration Office where players can exchange offers of a ride with people needing one.

## DIRECTOR'S TIP - PSYCH BIDS

A psychic bid is "a deliberate and gross misstatement of honour strength and/or of suit length".
Psyche bids should be reported to the Director by the psycher or their partner, and if the Director agrees that it is a psyche, it will be recorded in the Club's Psych Register.
Partnerships that have a track record of psyches may need to modify their system card accordingly.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Saturday 27th February |
| OPEN EVENTS |  |
| Open Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs | 9:00am Dinner Dance <br> Start 4x12 7:30pm for <br> Brds Final Drinks <br> 10:00am 3/3 8:00pm Start |
| INTERMEDIATE EVENTS (Under 750MPs) |  |
| Ivy Dahler Intermediate Butler Swiss Pairs | 10:00am 3/3 Bookings Essential |
| WALK-IN PAIRS | From \$10 Depending Number Sessions Played |
| Holiday Walk-In Pairs 3 - Play 1, 2 or 3 Sessions | 10:00am S3 |
|  | Saturday |

## SOME HUMOUR - A LITTLE CLOSE TO HOME FOR ME - FORGET ABOUT IT

An elderly husband and wife visit their doctor when they begin forgetting little things. Their doctor tells them that many people find it useful to write themselves little notes.
When they get home, the wife says:
"Dear, will you please go to the kitchen and get me a dish of ice cream? And maybe write that down so you won't forget?"
"Nonsense," says the husband, "I can remember a dish of ice cream."
"Well," says the wife, "I'd also like some strawberries and whipped cream on it."
"My memory's not all that bad," says the husband. "No problem, a dish of ice cream with strawberries and whipped cream. I don't need to write it down."
He then goes into the kitchen, and his wife hears pots and pans banging around. The husband finally emerges and presents her with a plate of bacon and eggs. She looks at the plate and asks:
"Hey, where's the toast I asked for?"


Winners of the Friday Novice Pairs David and Kathryn Kerr

## THE CHOCOLATE FROG SUPPLY DEPLETION LIST

## Carmel Bourke and Vona Hadfield

Restricted Team 81 Barbara Wood and Carol Gilder - friendly ladies and fun to play against
Director Sebastian Yuen - light hearted with a great sense of humour
Lee Rogers and Laurie Skeate - courtesy and kind compliments on their play during the match Sia Parsanejad, Nero Bactashi Restricted Team 71 - charming, humorous and polite bridge players Margaret Morgan Intermediate Team 62 - very pleasant and welcoming local Director Ed Barnes - cheerful and polite and went to the trouble of explaining everything Caddy Lauren Mercer - after calling for boards a few times anticipated our needs from thereon TIMES THREE Director Simon Edler - very polite and very correct
Andrew Purvis Intermediate Team 83 - early alert of a revoke and then played very ethically Caddy Jack Ebb - very polite and efficient caddy TIMES TWO

Bob Sebesfi - active ethics, great to see it at the table
Caddy Janine Patterson - a really nice person who looks after the player and a fun personality
Colleen Bosci Intermediate Team 12 - substituted on our team, gracious and a pleasure to play with John Kelly Open Teams 70 - still wants to play with me after I passed his Voidwood enquiry
Restricted Team 85 Jacobs - for dealing with adversity under pressure during play

## BRIDGE FOR THE IMPROVER Ron Klinger

East deals with both sides vulnerable and sitting South you hear right hand open What would you bid holding:

| Dir E | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Vul | \&Q92 |
|  | KQ J654 |
|  | -9762 |

Double showing 4 plus spades

Solution: From a National Open Teams:
In one match the auction at both tables went like this:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { West } & \text { North } & \text { East } & \text { South } \\ & & 1 \uparrow & 2 \bullet ? ? \\ \text { Pass } & \text { Pass } & \text { Double } & \text { All pass }\end{array}$ Lead: $\mathbf{\uparrow} \mathrm{K}$

West continued with the A and East signalled suit-preference with the $\$ 3$. Then came the $\& Q$, taken by the $\& \mathrm{~K}$, spade ruff, J , club to the ace, spade ruffed low, over-ruffed. South made the A, diamond ruff and two top hearts for four
 tricks, four down -1100.
The $2 \square$ overcall with these values is just plain silly. Three cards in opener's suit is a great sign of danger. South's 20 got what it deserved. South should have the discipline to pass. Datum: E-W 550.

In another match it went:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1 \downarrow^{1}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Double All pass |  |

Here $2 \boldsymbol{v}$ is reasonable since South is void in opener's suit, but the result was the same. Would it have hurt to bid just $1 \downarrow$ ?
If $2 \boldsymbol{V}$ is an unsound overcall after $1 \uparrow$ you can imagine what I think of South's action here:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1 \uparrow$ | $3 凶$ |
| Pass | Pass | Double All pass |  |
| Lead: $\uparrow$ K |  |  |  |

That cost a mere 1400. This particular South is unlikely to be cured of his folly since his team gained 12 Imps!!
The auction at the other table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1 \uparrow$ | 2 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3 |
| Double | 4 | Double All Pas |  |
| Result: - 2000. |  |  |  |

## BRIDGE HOLIDAYS WITH SUZIE AND RON KLINGER IN 2016

Sunday $24^{\text {th }}$ July, to
Sunday $31^{\text {st }}$ July 2016
Monday $12^{\text {th }}$ December to
Monday $19^{\text {th }} 2016$

Tangalooma Wild Dolphin Resort - Moreton Island, off Brisbane, includes Whale Watch cruise and Island Tour.

Norfolk Island includes Welcome Dinner, Clifftop Breakfast, Island Tour, Beachside Barbecue, Outdoor Fish Fry Dinner and Farewell Dinner.

TELEPHONE: (02) 99585589 OR 0411229705 EMAIL: SUZIE@RONKLINGERBRIDGE.COM Brochures available on request - HOLIDAY BRIDGE, P.O. BOX 140, NORTHBRIDGE NSW 1560

Friday Novice Pairs - Overall - 32 Tables

|  |  | Avge |  |  | Avge |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | David Kerr - Kathryn Kerr | 58.5 | 18 | Sully Detmold - Mary-Ann Adamson | 53.2 |
| 2 | Reid Archibald - Cheryl Archibald | 57.8 | 19 | Simon Van Kruistum - Cobie Van Kruistum | 53.0 |
| 3 | Juliet Dunworth - Maria Page | 57.2 | 20 | Lin Kendall - Claudia Gibson | 52.8 |
| 4 | Di Garside - Sam Bishop | 56.7 | 21 | Gill Tidey - Margie Hullah | 52.8 |
| 5 | Sandra Cool - Daniele Escreet | 56.6 | 22 | Dennis O'Connor - Sue O'Connor | 52.1 |
| 6 | Fiona Law - Frank Spurway | 56.4 | 23 | Ryan Stevens - Rachel Langdon | 51.7 |
| 7 | Wilma Coloper - Jennifer Simpson | 56.0 | 24 | Wendy Crombie - Julie Stockley | 51.3 |
| 8 | Kim Reeder - Janet Jefferies | 55.4 | 25 | Bill Hanlon - David McEvoy | 51.1 |
| 9 | Judith Parsons - John Russell | 55.4 | 26 | Eric Harrison - Robyn Harrison | 50.9 |
| 10 | Bernhard Boulton - Lindsay Williams | 55.0 | 27 | Maureen Neubauer - Kate Forrester | 50.8 |
| 11 | Leonie Love - Jennifer McDowell | 54.5 | 28 | Walter and Annemarie Hugentobler | 50.7 |
| 12 | Monika Nilsson - Carolyn Young | 54.5 | 29 | Don Robertson - Warwick Newton | 50.6 |
| 13 | Mary Simon - Patricia Thurlow | 54.4 | 30 | Suzi Ledgen - Barbara Simpson | 50.5 |
| 14 | John Rogers - Daria Williams | 54.2 | 31 | Bev Northey - Dianne Thatcher | 50.3 |
| 15 | David Freilich - Anne Sacco | 53.6 | 32 | Narelle Mclver - Barbara Bright | 49.6 |
| 16 | Anthony Marsland - Helen Blair | 53.3 | 33 | Rena Indermaur - Annie Sinclair | 49.5 |
| 17 | Claire Weston - Nicole Bertinshaw | 53.2 | 34 | Pat Eather - Barbara Moni | 49.5 |

## Holiday Pairs Event 3 - Session 1 - 15 Tables

| N-S | Score |  | E-W | Score |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Andrew JANISZ - Trevor ROBB | 67.1 | 1 | Liz JONES - Margaret TAYLOR | 61.0 |
| 2 | Betty LEE - Mike ROBSON | 57.4 | 2 | Deanna STUART - John STUART | 60.6 |
| 3 | Allison SIMON - Geraldine HARE | 55.3 | 3 | Tim O'LOUGHLIN - Andrew HILL | 60.5 |
| 4 | Erica TIE - Anne DEAKER | 53.2 | 4 | Marie IRVING - Jillian GRIFFITH | 57.8 |
| 5 | Dianne BRINKWORTH - Christine Young | 52.7 | 5 | Elizabeth LOCK - Tricia LONN | 56.8 |
| 6 | Jo SKLARZ - Jane HENDERSON | 52.5 | 6 | Ivan SCHMALKUCHE - Penny SCHMALKUCHE | 49.6 |
| 7 | Beverley WELCH - Nimal WEERASINGHE | 51.1 | 7 | Debbie JAMES - Helen LARSEN | 48.0 |

## Holiday Pairs Event 3-Session 2-9 Tables

| N-S |  |  | Score |  |  | E-W | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Ja | James WALLIS - Siegfried KONIG |  | 60.4 | 1 Dav | PSO | Jeanette CHATTERTON | 62.7 |
| 2 Fa | Fatma AHMET - Lanny CHAN |  | 58.1 | 2 Chr | MAND | - Dianne BENVIE | 56.9 |
| 3 An | Anne LOWE - Patricia MCNAMARA |  | 55.6 | 3 Pen | HNS | - Rosalyn STEVENS | 52.8 |
| 4 Rh | Rhonda LANGLEY - Carolyn GREENWICH |  | 53.0 | 4 Mar | ING | lian GRIFFITH | 52.1 |
| 5 Jo | Jo SKLARZ - Jane HENDERSON |  | 50.2 | 5 Lou | ARNE | - Lol GARNETT | 50.0 |
| 6 Jo | John BELWARD - William HEATH |  | 49.1 | 6 Kev | MEN | IN - Judy HILDEBRAND | 46.5 |
| Seres-McMahon Mixed Teams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 15 | P Zatorski - A Chojnicka - E Vainikonis - J Rotomskyte - A Arlovich - S Badrenkova |  |  |  |  | 104.35 |
| 2 | 16 | T Munro - J Brake - M Smith - F McGrath |  |  |  |  | 87.10 |
| 3 | 2 | V Wood - R Krochmalik - A De Livera - E Havas |  |  |  |  | 86.70 |
| 4 | 9 | I Berrington - F Wen - B Jones - J Millington |  |  |  |  | 79.78 |
| 5 | 7 | T Marker - B Toohey - L Van Der Hor - L Van Der Hor |  |  |  |  | 77.45 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 6 | 5 | Callaghan | 75.94 | 11 | 6 | Biro | 67.79 |
| 7 | 11 | Palmer | 75.72 | 12 | 12 | Olanski | 67.04 |
| 8 | 1 | Bourke | 71.03 | 13 | 14 | Kruiniger | 66.61 |
| 9 | 3 | Cormack | 70.24 | 14 | 8 | Treloar | 52.66 |
| 10 | 4 | Hurley | 69.47 | 15 | 13 | Randhawa | 41.25 |
| Friday Teams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 2 | M Bloom - N Rosendorff - S Bock - L Grewcock |  |  |  |  | 102.85 |
| 2 | 6 | C Richardson - A Boughey - M Brown - S Boughey |  |  |  |  | 91.95 |
| 3 | 13 | M Obenchain - A Talbot - L Anderson - K Anderson |  |  |  |  | 86.52 |
| 4 | 5 | J Gauld - J Clarson - P Livesey - R Thomas |  |  |  |  | 85.66 |
| 5 | 17 | M Ward - C Nettle - P Morris - G Heyting |  |  |  |  | 84.10 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 6 | 8 | O'Dempsey | 83.62 | 14 | 11 | Dawson | 63.54 |
| 7 | 10 | Kilvert | 80.79 | 15 | 14 | Stobo | 62.67 |
| 8 | 1 | Ibradi | 72.08 | 16 | 20 | Singer | 49.97 |
| 9 | 3 | Wallis | 70.95 | 17 | 12 | Packer | 49.82 |


| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place |  | No. | Team | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 9 | Rew | 70.33 | 18 |  | 19 | Gray | 49.39 |
| 11 | 4 | Hurley | 68.45 | 19 |  | 7 | Abbenbroek | 48.06 |
| 12 | 18 | Driscoll | 67.68 | 20 |  | 16 | Leach | 46.62 |
| 13 | 15 | Boyce | 64.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ivy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs - One Session to Play |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rank | Pair |  | Total | Rank | Pair |  |  | Total |
| 1 | 1 | Richard Jedrychowski - Apolinary Kowalski | 104.86 | 1 | 557 |  | wn - Jeanette Reitzer | 107.82 |
| 2 | 17 | Peter Kahler - Jeannette Collins | 95.59 | 2 | 545 | Adria | Fontes - Karen Dewar | 106.14 |
| 3 | 3 | Peter Gill - Anthony Burke | 94.72 | 3 | 531 | Ton | nart - Alister Stuck | 99.98 |
| 4 | 12 | Peter Strasser - Michael Wilkinson | 94.24 | 4 | 553 | David | Weston - Sophie Ashton | 99.79 |
| 5 | 5 | Jodi Tutty - Stephen Fischer | 94.01 | 5 | 558 | Jenn | Gibbons - Julian Foster | 95.34 |
| 6 | 51 | Andrew Struik - Nikki Riszko | 91.68 | 6 | 591 | Thea | owie - Erica Linton | 92.19 |
| 7 | 93 | Paul Kron - Allan Gory | 90.97 | 7 | 559 | Tony | utton - Malcolm Carter | 90.53 |
| 8 | 44 | Rex Hanson - Judy Herring | 90.85 | 8 | 568 |  | trasser - George Bilski | 90.34 |
| 9 | 22 | Adam Rutkowski - Judy Marks | 90.06 | 9 | 555 | Nick | cob - James Coutts | 89.51 |
| 10 | 42 | Paul Weaver - Terry Bodycote | 89.96 | 10 | 595 | Pam | choen - Phil Hale | 89.31 |
| 11 | 83 | Joan Waldvogel - Max Wigbout | 89.35 | 11 | 599 | Ann | ttigrew - Adrienne Stephens | 88.45 |
| 12 | 11 | Robert Milward - Helen Milward | 89.10 | 12 | 569 | Murray | Perrin - Neville Francis | 88.07 |
| 13 | 23 | Tim Healy - Helen Healy | 88.38 | 13 | 556 |  | Peibowitz - Paul Lavings | 87.15 |
| 14 | 27 | Michael Pemberton - Graham | 87.89 | 14 | 598 | Cecily | Critchley - Lynley Jenkins | 86.23 |
| 15 | 89 | Tom Jacob - Daniel Braun | 87.72 | 15 | 563 | Ton | arp - Marilyn Chadwick | 85.00 |
| 16 | 14 | Garry Khemka - Yumin Li | 86.46 | 16 | 539 | Allan | orris - Beverley Morris | 84.16 |
| 17 | 87 | Richard Carter - Brett Glass | 86.36 | 17 | 554 | John | Newman - Peter Hollands | 83.72 |
| 18 | 47 | Linda Bedford-Brown - Robin | 85.60 | 18 | 530 |  | rgan-King - Leigh Thompson | 83.10 |
| 19 | 94 | Yuzhong Chen - Gary Foidl | 85.42 | 19 | 576 | Aliso | Dawson - Maureen Jakes | 82.81 |
| 20 | 104 | Russell Watt - Leslie Watt | 84.20 | 20 | 603 |  | y Thomas - Geoffrey Toon | 82.01 |
| 21 | 102 | Deborah Cooper - Peter Anstice | 84.17 | 21 | 560 | Steve | Baron - Michael Whibley | 81.35 |
| 22 | 4 | Sue Ingham - Michael Courtney | 83.98 | 22 | 593 | Judith | Gaspar - Richard Greenfield | 81.23 |
| 23 | 7 | Robert Sebesfi - Peter Chan | 82.63 | 23 | 581 |  | Draper - Margaret Draper | 80.71 |
| 24 | 43 | Denis Grahame - Jeanette Grahame | 82.45 | 24 | 561 | Mind | Wu - Lorraine Stachurski | 80.48 |
| 25 | 86 | Derek Snelling - Brian Cleaver | 81.19 | 25 | 562 | Hele | Dawson - Richard Douglas | 80.37 |
| 26 | 15 | George Smolanko - Jane Dawson | 81.01 | 26 | 520 | Hugh | calister - Diana McAlister | 79.72 |
| 27 | 10 | Karen Martelletti - Julie Sheridan | 80.47 | 27 | 586 | Lea | olf - Sam Arber | 79.71 |
| 28 | 85 | Noel Bueia - Val Holbrook | 80.43 | 28 | 578 | Jim F | -Gerald - Ellie Fitz-Gerald | 79.57 |
| 29 | 9 | Watson Zhou - Chuan Qin | 80.15 | 29 | 588 | Eilee | Li - Pele Rankin | 79.53 |
| 30 | 40 | Neil Gibson - Elizabeth Gibson | 78.87 | 30 | 549 | Brad | hnston - Nicholas Mitchell | 79.13 |
| 31 | 37 | Jan Hackett - Tom Hackett | 78.32 | 31 | 507 | Val | wood - Muffy Smithson | 78.17 |
| 32 | 2 | Bill Hirst - Phil Gue | 77.17 | 32 | 505 | Phil | wer - Kathy Power | 77.72 |
| 33 | 95 | Judy Johnson - Joan McCarthy | 76.42 | 33 | 564 | Jill M | ee - Terry Strong | 77.53 |
| 34 | 26 | Franci Halmos - Jean Hall | 76.21 | 34 | 512 | Pat | Onnor - Kay O'Connor | 77.31 |
| 35 | 13 | Michael Johnson - Michael Simes | 76.07 | 35 | 574 | Ivy | - John Luck | 76.90 |
| 36 | 45 | Bastian Bolt - Rita Kahn | 75.57 | 36 | 597 | Paul | cGlew - Brian Thorp | 76.73 |
| 37 | 31 | Theo Mangos - Leigh Foran | 74.24 | 37 | 587 | Mon | Darley - Kath Poole | 76.55 |
| 38 | 34 | Kathy Yang - Suzy Narita | 74.03 | 38 | 577 | Rich | Grenside - Sue Grenside | 76.09 |
| 39 | 28 | Tom Strong - Edda Strong | 71.21 | 39 | 570 | Julia | ffman - Noelene Law | 75.02 |
| 40 | 90 | Annette Hafan - Ken Carmichael | 71.06 | 40 | 596 | Alan | asson - Kathie Radcliffe | 74.45 |
| 41 | 63 | Helen Stewart - Freda Banner | 71.00 | 41 | 585 | Hilary | Yovich - Dennis Yovich | 74.27 |
| 42 | 8 | Rebecca Wood - Murray Wood | 70.96 | 42 | 515 | Aliso | Hanson - Therese Demarco | 74.20 |
| 43 | 55 | Peter Ventura - Pang Ventura | 70.71 | 43 | 565 | Char | Howard - Kerry Wood | 73.56 |
| 44 | 32 |  | 70.39 | 44 | 567 | John | uoni - Will Adler | 73.51 |
| 45 | 36 |  | 69.94 | 45 | 602 | Dawn | Swabey - Denise Keenan | 72.50 |
| 46 | 92 | Anita Thirtle - Alan Geare | 69.70 | 46 | 517 | Helen | Clayton - Lesleigh Rooney | 72.49 |
| 47 | 88 | Les Ajzner - Simon Rose | 69.36 | 47 | 508 | Sylvi | oster - Les Bonnick | 72.33 |
| 48 | 35 | Steven White - Frank Kovacs | 69.21 | 48 | 542 | Norm | Silcock - Kate Terry | 71.97 |
| 49 | 91 | orraine Inglis - Judy Plimmer | 68.86 | 49 | 538 | Sue | encer - Bev Guilford | 71.92 |
| 50 | 50 | Richard Touton - Ryan Touton | 68.79 | 50 | 537 | Trudy | ange - Rosemary Matskows | 70.62 |
| 51 | 65 | Penny Sykes - Elizabeth French | 68.36 | 51 | 501 | Betty | obdell - Rosemary Glastonbury | 70.52 |
| 52 | 39 | Peter Andersson - Ashok Tulpule | 68.26 | 52 | 523 | Shirley | Lau - Henry Lee | 70.34 |
| 53 | 82 |  | 67.75 | 53 | 514 | Bill R | head - Freda Hadwen | 70.23 |
| 54 | 54 | iana Dick - Sumant Handa | 67.58 | 54 | 571 | David | mee - Mischa Solar | 69.60 |
| 55 | 38 | Vendy Harman - Carmen Jackson | 67.50 | 55 | 541 | Mich | Gearing - Lakshmi Sunderasan | 69.58 |
| 56 | 79 | Brian Jacobson - Bill Webster | 67.46 | 56 | 519 | Trish | hatcher - Amber Noonan | 69.36 |
| 57 | 60 | Elizabeth Zeller - Patricia Larsen | 67.07 | 57 | 550 | Cher | Winsor - Susan Rowe | 69.12 |
| 58 | 52 | Arch Morrison - Herschel Baker | 66.70 | 58 | 600 | Marie | urkiss - Toni Dixon | 68.90 |


| Rank | Pair |  | Total | Rank | Pair |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | 41 | Maha Hoenig - Sue O'Brien | 66.03 | 59 | 525 | Ken Moffitt - Sue Moffitt | 68.89 |
| 60 | 19 | Jay Faranda - Eva Berger | 65.55 | 60 | 504 | Tony Allen - Kelela Allen | 68.72 |
| 61 | 103 | Peter Langston - Marit Langston | 64.71 | 61 | 592 | Anna St Clair - Dee Harley | 68.43 |
| 62 | 80 | Chris Bayliss - Catherine Chown | 64.48 | 62 | 580 | George Gaspar - Sue Small | 67.83 |
| 63 | 16 | Annette Maluish - Hugh Grosvenor | 63.89 | 63 | 583 | Anne Small - Ronald Smith | 67.32 |
| 64 | 72 | Carolyn Leach - Sandy Leach | 63.81 | 64 | 522 | Frank Vearing - Jo-Anne Heywood | 67.17 |
| 65 | 21 | Ken Wilks - Rosalie Broughton | 63.22 | 65 | 511 | Rosemary Mooney - Roberta Tait | 67.16 |
| 66 | 84 | Sally Moore - Sue Chapman | 63.19 | 66 | 502 | Ines Dawes - Di Jones | 65.54 |
| 67 | 18 | Deidre Greenfeld - Deborah Frankel | 62.95 | 67 | 552 | Michael Johnstone - Paula Gregory | 65.47 |
| 68 | 70 | Patricia Mann - Peter Cox | 62.52 | 68 | 589 | Jeanne Hey - Joan Valentine | 65.15 |
| 69 | 33 | Ken Storr - Phaik Yao | 62.31 | 69 | 584 | John Gough - Larry Moses | 64.83 |
| 70 | 25 | Bente Hansen - Madge Myburgh | 62.22 | 70 | 532 | Paul Brake - Jessica Brake | 64.61 |
| 71 | 66 | Richard Fox - Tim Runting | 61.97 | 71 | 534 | Sue Southen - Ian Southen | 64.33 |
| 72 | 6 | Herve Cheval - Gilles Josnin | 61.76 | 72 | 573 | Heather Cusworth - Frances Lyons | 64.04 |
| 73 | 73 | Frances Garrick - Janet Rowlatt | 61.75 | 73 | 524 | Bruce Fraser - John Bamfield | 63.65 |
| 74 | 71 | Lesley Kenyon - Erin Waterhouse | 61.47 | 74 | 536 | Pat Kennedy - Geoffrey Norris | 63.52 |
| 75 | 96 | Carol De Luca - Bev Henton | 61.30 | 75 | 572 | Normand Maclaurin - Paul Collins | 63.49 |
| 76 | 99 | Ann Woodhead - Bob Lawrence | 60.72 | 76 | 528 | Ken Moschner - Saftica Popa | 63.38 |
| 77 | 64 | Sylvia Gluck - Ben Kingham | 60.28 | 77 | 579 | Eva Samuel - Serhat Ozenir | 62.92 |
| 78 | 68 | Judith Crafti - Anne Jackson | 59.49 | 78 | 546 | Malcolm Smith - Michael Neels | 62.56 |
| 79 | 20 | Sally Clarke - Garry Clarke | 59.44 | 79 | 551 | Erin Batchelor - Bruce Batchelor | 62.27 |
| 80 | 67 | Byron Longford - Annette Corkhill | 59.09 | 80 | 601 | Kuldip Bedi - Richard Misior | 60.77 |
| 81 | 48 | Errol Miller - Dorothy Gehrke | 58.82 | 81 | 544 | Barbara Daly - Lyn Mansfield | 60.71 |
| 82 | 78 | Jan Randall - Peter Randall | 58.50 | 82 | 526 | Carol Wilson - Laurie-Mar McRoberts | 60.70 |
| 83 | 61 | Val Churchill - Helga Corbett | 57.57 | 83 | 543 | Tracey Lewis - Brett Middelberg | 60.32 |
| 84 | 81 | Penny Blankfield - Sue Read | 57.07 | 84 | 548 | Lynn Hall - Judith Bishop | 59.96 |
| 85 | 75 | Audrey Bonnick - Pat Rogers | 55.58 | 85 | 566 | Peter Hall - Wayne Burrows | 59.95 |
| 86 | 98 | Jill Church - Rhondda Sweetman | 55.23 | 86 | 513 | John Tredrea - Phil Rains | 58.39 |
| 87 | 53 | Jan Smith - Gladys Tulloch | 53.82 | 87 | 604 | Ian Lisle - Vicky Lisle | 58.10 |
| 88 | 77 | Fay Stanton - Anne Young | 53.76 | 88 | 521 | John Lanham - Donna Smith | 57.63 |
| 89 | 29 | Ann Mellings - Marion Spurrier | 52.94 | 89 | 535 | Brian Ashwell - Jan Ashwell | 56.53 |
| 90 | 57 | Christine Houghton - Wayne | 52.87 | 90 | 518 | Gwen Cordingley - Desma Sampson | 56.41 |
| 91 | 97 | Lucie Armstrong - Alice Young | 52.28 | 91 | 594 | Connie Schoutrop - Jan Malinas | 55.91 |
| 92 | 101 | Eileen Gray - Patricia Knight | 52.03 | 92 | 510 | Graham Rusher - Stephen Stening | 55.37 |
| 93 | 49 | Shirley Wanz - Susanne Mould | 52.02 | 93 | 506 | Lex Bourke - Pepe Schwegler | 54.86 |
| 94 | 59 | Trish Henderson - Jill Pike | 49.85 | 94 | 527 | Abigail Wanigaratne - Nikolas Moore | 54.63 |
| 95 | 56 | Mary Allison - Judi Sunman | 49.40 | 95 | 529 | Lise Allan - Rilla England | 54.44 |
| 96 | 100 | Harry Procel - Louis Cukierman | 49.33 | 96 | 540 | Martin Oyston - Pat Oyston | 53.48 |
| 97 | 58 | John Kable - John Masters | 48.99 | 97 | 516 | Linda Wild - Helene Kolozs | 49.16 |
| 98 | 24 | Tony Berger - Merle Bogatie | 48.93 | 98 | 575 | Lisa Ma - Emlyn Williams | 44.19 |
| 99 | 74 | Wendy O'Brien - Robyn Brown | 48.32 | 99 | 590 | Rick Rhodes - Maura Rhodes | 43.58 |
| 100 | 30 | Janet Wallis - Ann Bullock | 48.16 | 100 | 582 | Betty Mill - Tania Gariepy | 42.61 |
| 101 | 69 | John Correy - Catherine McPaul | 47.52 | 101 | 533 | Peer Bach - Setsuko Lichtnecker | 39.85 |
| 102 | 46 | Gabor Fleiszig - Janina Fleiszig | 46.39 | 102 | 547 | Sue Brown - Robert Brown | 38.77 |
| 103 | 62 | Barbara Grant - Louis Koolen | 44.88 | 103 | 509 | Ruth Chapman - Eileen Seaborn | 36.81 |
| 104 | 76 | Fifine Hutton - Wendy Hutton | 41.34 | 104 | 503 | Gizella Mickevics - Mary Waterhouse | 35.66 |


| $\mid$ IVy Dahler Intermediate Butler Swis Pairs - One Session to Play |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Rank | Pair |  |  | Total | Rank | Pair |  |
| 1 | 70 | Gwenda Mealyea - Cecile Senior | 104.85 | 43 | 78 | Gregory Gosney - Margaret Plunkett | 69.16 |
| 2 | 83 | Andrew Purves - Pam Tibble | 92.31 | 44 | 47 | John Rogers - Margaret Rogers | 68.53 |
| 3 | 32 | Linda Norman - Wendy Gibson | 91.29 | 45 | 46 | Sunny Campbell - Gwendolyn Gray | 68.29 |
| 4 | 19 | Jennifer Bandy - Christine Jenkins | 91.07 | 46 | 66 | Edgar Beckett - Janice Beckett | 67.94 |
| 5 | 5 | Judy Wulff - Marilyn Whigham | 90.10 | 47 | 42 | Murray Wiggins - Kevin Balkin | 67.88 |
| 6 | 7 | Diane Quigley - Ross Gyde | 89.59 | 48 | 67 | Jenny Hoff - Kay Leeton | 67.34 |
| 7 | 48 | Alexander Cook - Robin Ho | 88.89 | 49 | 65 | Joan Jenkins - Alan Boyce | 67.11 |
| 8 | 54 | Greg Nicholson - Jean Barbour | 88.82 | 50 | 10 | Mike Edwards - Robin Devries | 67.07 |
| 9 | 24 | Carole Roache - Dot Piddington | 88.53 | 51 | 63 | Bernard Yates - Jay Medhat | 66.69 |
| 10 | 12 | Rebecca Delaney - Lesley Fraser | 87.11 | 52 | 58 | Cherie Butler - Marion Bucens | 66.37 |
| 11 | 2 | Eddie Mullin - Dianne Mullin | 87.06 | 53 | 16 | Lynn Baker - Peter Robinson | 66.24 |
| 12 | 35 | Megan Sutherland - Gerald Dawson | 85.78 | 54 | 75 | Kees De Vocht - Allayne Gray | 65.86 |
| 13 | 50 | Margaret Pisko - Trish Anagnostou | 85.43 | 55 | 43 | Helen Fitzpatrick - Patricia Hobson | 64.64 |
| 14 | 15 | Trevor Fletcher - Angeline Christie | 85.21 | 56 | 11 | Faye Morris - Eva Veress | 64.51 |
| 15 | 80 | George Powis - Patricia Powis | 84.50 | 57 | 8 | Tony Davidson - Noreen Weyling | 64.23 |
| 16 | 44 | Peter Nilsson - Deborah Nilsson | 84.48 | 58 | 68 | Judy Wilkinson - Vicki Foots | 63.61 |
| 17 | 62 | Lex Ranke - Jack Rohde | 82.07 | 59 | 71 | Loraine King - Helen Standfast | 63.46 |


| Rank | Pair |  | Total | Rank | Pair |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 49 | Helen Chamberlin - Neil Strutton | 81.77 | 60 | 72 | Robert Fleet - Helen Fleet | 63.14 |
| 19 | 52 | Alan Davies - Vivien Eldridge | 81.73 | 61 | 84 | Max Robb - Peter Van Leeuwen | 62.98 |
| 20 | 26 | Anne McNaughton - Margot Moylan | 81.69 | 62 | 69 | Peter Coppin - Dean Hollingworth | 62.68 |
| 21 | 18 | Edward Truscott - Allan Pike | 81.11 | 63 | 37 | Ned Kelley - Rosemary Kelley | 62.28 |
| 22 | 14 | Chris Fernando - Bert Romeijn | 78.59 | 64 | 23 | Helen Kite - Helen Rollond | 60.76 |
| 23 | 29 | David Lehmann - Bert | 78.44 | 65 | 20 | Jim Taylor - Cora Taylor | 60.63 |
| 24 | 38 | Naomi Hannah-Brown - Priscilla | 78.09 | 66 | 30 | Larry Attwood - Kathryn Attwood | 60.37 |
| 25 | 79 | Pam Hancox - Phil Merchant | 77.15 | 67 | 74 | Lou Tillotson - Sue Robinson | 60.35 |
| 26 | 27 | Janet Munro - Milton Hart | 75.90 | 68 | 39 | Cheryl Stone - Monica Pritchard | 60.11 |
| 27 | 22 | Eric Leivesley - Janelle Conroy | 75.56 | 69 | 25 | Julie Jeffries - David O'Gorman | 59.43 |
| 28 | 60 | Annette Hyland - Lorraine Collins | 74.97 | 70 | 77 | Wendy McEntegart - Bruce Anderson | 58.82 |
| 29 | 51 | Rita Morris - Angus Lightbody | 74.95 | 71 | 57 | Joan Leckie - Margaret Williamson | 58.08 |
| 30 | 61 | Faye Bell - Heather Scott | 74.82 | 72 | 21 | Jillian Tuckey - Rozanne Thomas | 53.85 |
| 31 | 17 | Jenny Williams - Katrina Hewings | 73.54 | 73 | 4 | Norma Cameron - Joan Anderson | 53.39 |
| 32 | 53 | Dale Wells - Ruth Young | 73.53 | 74 | 1 | Ros Warnock - Valerie Isle | 52.84 |
| 33 | 13 | John Donovan - Perelle Scales | 72.94 | 75 | 82 | Kathy Palmer - Meg Sharp | 52.81 |
| 34 | 56 | Diane Nichols - Elizabeth Lawrence | 72.79 | 76 | 28 | Barry Koster - Margaret Dickerson | 52.75 |
| 35 | 55 | Mike Robertson - Gavin Bow | 72.55 | 77 | 81 | Alison Ruddell - John Ruddell | 51.94 |
| 36 | 76 | Cassie Morin - Helen Arendts | 72.12 | 78 | 40 | Vivienne Sexton - Mary Driesbock | 50.32 |
| 37 | 36 | Sharon Stretton - Pauline Mulligan | 71.88 | 79 | 73 | Kevin Petrie - John Stacey | 48.25 |
| 38 | 3 | June Glenn - Alan Hamilton | 71.19 | 80 | 9 | Dave Hurst - Veronica Rozier | 47.78 |
| 39 | 31 | Frank Campbell - John Sheean | 70.27 | 81 | 45 | Joan Campbell - Jenny Crawt | 46.46 |
| 40 | 64 | Jack Pierce - Henry Hirschhorn | 69.73 | 82 | 33 | David Tucker - Sarah Strickland | 44.77 |
| 41 | 34 | Helen Walker - Kevin Walker | 69.53 | 83 | 41 | Margie Knox - Robin Steinhardt | 43.23 |
| 42 | 6 | Max Gilbert - Kathy Gilbert | 69.26 | 84 | 59 |  | 41.86 |
| Ivy Dahler Restricted Butler Swiss Pairs - One Session to Play |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rank | Pair |  | Total | Rank | Pair |  | Total |
| 1 | 39 | Robert Stick - Colin Payne | 104.69 | 37 | 54 | Jennifer Hollingworth - Carolann Verity | 69.41 |
| 2 | 27 | Michael Young - Sarah Carradine | 95.44 | 38 | 49 | Prunella Adams - Malcolm Adams | 69.36 |
| 3 | 63 | Tony Bowmaker - Kyle Gilchrist | 95.18 | 39 | 37 | Dana Holmes - Michael Holmes | 68.75 |
| 4 | 40 | Robyn Clark - Sheila Wills | 90.95 | 40 | 24 | Richard Kuipers - Peter Koorey | 68.51 |
| 5 | 44 | Sylvia Cryer - Deborah McLay | 88.94 | 41 | 11 | Ashok Chotai - Veena Chotai | 68.38 |
| 6 | 1 | Rachael Loomes - Maurice Loomes | 88.77 | 42 | 42 | Marsha Woodbury - Virginia Warren | 66.65 |
| 7 | 36 | Tony Jiang - Julia Zhu | 88.21 | 43 | 45 | Charlotte Harrison - Shelley Taylor | 66.36 |
| 8 | 48 | Ian Pick - Graham Carson | 87.99 | 44 | 41 | Judy Hapeta - Barbara Love | 66.17 |
| 9 | 52 | Sharon Clifford - Kay Goodwin | 87.72 | 45 | 33 | Jenny Pulsford - Les Wozniczka | 64.51 |
| 10 | 9 | Gwyneira Brahma - Vicki Taylor | 87.51 | 46 | 6 | Misako James - Sue Kleeman | 64.44 |
| 11 | 50 | Rick Gryg - Michael Byrne | 87.50 | 47 | 71 | Carol Joseph - Denise Mayhew | 62.71 |
| 12 | 29 | Max Holewa - Diane Holewa | 85.14 | 48 | 16 | Susie Herring - Peter Hooper | 61.98 |
| 13 | 28 | Keith Mabin - Fiona Smith | 84.40 | 49 | 20 | Wolfgang Gerber - Margaret Gerber | 61.54 |
| 14 | 13 | Shayne Palfreyman - Sonya | 81.04 | 50 | 35 | Parveen Rayani - Jamal Rayani | 61.42 |
| 15 | 67 | Jane Stearns - Di Emms | 80.99 | 51 | 64 | Janette Kollisch - Natasha Thomas | 61.02 |
| 16 | 56 | Dennis Sullivan - Albert Loh | 80.62 | 52 | 23 | Bhavna Patel - Nilesh Patel | 60.94 |
| 17 | 5 | Neville Clatworthy - Sherrell | 79.08 | 53 | 21 | David Corney - Margaret Corney | 60.22 |
| 18 | 60 | Judy Bardone - Anne Kirkpatrick | 78.25 | 54 | 65 | Janice Quigley - Barbara Gordon | 59.96 |
| 19 | 3 | Sheryl Gardner - Marcey Spilsbury | 78.12 | 55 | 8 | Sandra Mulcahy - Doris Beaton | 59.91 |
| 20 | 7 | Raymond Jones - Rita Jones | 78.11 | 56 | 46 | Neville Williams - Barry Williams | 59.07 |
| 21 | 57 | Lyn Mayer - Margaret Carlton | 77.98 | 57 | 32 | Mary Penington - Annette Bury | 58.86 |
| 22 | 15 | Teresa Phillips - David Spencer | 77.15 | 58 | 70 | David Munro - Peter Rollond | 58.60 |
| 23 | 17 | Beatrice McCarthy - Pat Leavy | 76.72 | 59 | 66 | Lorraine Pescatore - Margaret Baker | 56.47 |
| 24 | 22 | Austin Driscohl - Charles Fisher | 76.54 | 60 | 38 | Loraine Neville - Jeanette Weaver | 56.12 |
| 25 | 26 | Clive Klugman - Fran Martin | 76.19 | 61 | 12 | Wendy Harrison - David Gillard | 55.48 |
| 26 | 43 | Margaret Kenny - Robyn Ronaldson | 75.88 | 62 | 62 | Glenda Parmenter - Barbara O'Shea | 55.23 |
| 27 | 30 | Winny Chan - Catherine Ang | 75.79 | 63 | 51 | Adam Hertelendy - Dorothy Hertelendy | 54.40 |
| 28 | 61 | Chris Wlodarczyk - Justine | 75.51 | 64 | 4 | Mick Fawcett - Lyn Tracey | 54.27 |
| 29 | 34 | Mereana Cullen - Debbie Marcroft | 74.59 | 65 | 58 | Gayna Ryan - Margaret Robertson | 53.61 |
| 30 | 31 | Valerie Robbins - Peter Robbins | 74.22 | 65 | 10 | Jacqueline Bonner - Elizabeth | 53.61 |
| 31 | 68 | Maree Filippini - Jennifer Bavage | 73.26 | 67 | 25 | John Elich - Gabrielle Elich | 53.24 |
| 32 | 19 | Trish Dolphin - Barbara O'Connor | 73.08 | 68 | 72 | Raymond Powley - Susan Powley | 53.13 |
| 33 | 14 | Gwyneth Hopkins - Ian Beattie | 71.27 | 69 | 2 | Renate Pettit - Kelly Chapman | 51.75 |
| 34 | 69 | Bill Bishop - Charles Page | 70.94 | 70 | 18 | Ted Morriss - Jane Doyle | 44.46 |
| 35 | 53 | Liz Sylvester - Stuart Schon | 70.60 | 71 | 47 | Margaret Fleming - Freda Star | 42.65 |
| 36 | 55 | Fay Jeppesen - Kenneth Griggs | 70.20 | 72 | 59 | Sandra Berns - Dov Berns | 38.24 |

DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU

| $2-$ | $252 \times$ | $2 \div$ |  | $18+$ |  |  | $2 \div$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $20+$ | $10+$ | $6 \times$ |  | $9+$ | $7-$ |
| $35 \times$ | $480 \times$ |  |  |  | $16+$ |  |  |  |
| $1-$ |  | $392 \times$ |  |  | $2 \div$ |  |  |  |
| $7+$ | $288 \times$ |  |  |  | $8-$ | $10+$ |  |  |
| $7-$ | $15+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

YSTERDAY'S SOLUTION
DIFFICULT CALCUDOKU

| ${ }^{2-}$ | 9 | $\begin{gathered} 480 x \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | $4$ | 1 | ${ }^{3-}$ | 3 | $11+$ <br> 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 90 x \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 5 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 54 x \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 9 | $\begin{gathered} 49 x \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 8 |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 18+ \\ 8 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4-}$ | 6 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 15 x \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 3 | 1 | 7 | 4 |
| 6 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 x \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 24 x \\ \hline \mathbf{3} \end{array}$ | 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 45 x \\ \hline 9 \end{array}$ | 5 | ${ }^{6 \times} 1$ |
| $\stackrel{5+}{5+}$ | 1 | $1{ }^{1-}$ | $3$ | $3$ | 4 | ${ }_{8}^{6-}$ | 2 | 6 |
| $4$ | $\begin{gathered} 126 x \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 10+ \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 5+ \\ 2+ \end{array}$ | 3 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 5+5 \\ \mathbf{6} \end{array}$ | 9 |
| 8 | 3 | 6 | ${ }^{55 x}$ | 9 | $\stackrel{1-}{5}$ | $2 \div$ | ${ }^{3-}$ | 7 |
| $3 \div 3$ | $2 \div \frac{3}{2}$ | 1 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 | $19$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 15 x \\ 5 \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 15+ \\ \mathbf{4} \end{array}$ | 9 | 2 | ${ }^{2-}$ | 5 | 8 | 3 |

DIFFICULT SUDOKU

|  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1 |  |  | 9 |  | 6 | 8 |  |
|  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| 2 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |  | 7 |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 7 |  |
| 9 |  | 3 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 |  |

YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION
DIFFICULT SUDOKU

| 2 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 5 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| 8 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 8 |
| 9 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| 7 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 9 |

## SERVICES

## TABLE COUNT

TO THE END OF PLAY FRIDAY NIGHT 8,156
(Last Year 7,785)


THE $56^{\text {TH }}$ INTERNATIONAL CELEBRATION of BRIDGE


FRI 17TH - SAT 25 TH FEBRUARY GOLD COAST CONVENTION CENTRE • BROADBEACH


GOLD COAST
congress


ENQUIRIES - KIM ELLAWAY
+61733518602 +61412064903 manager@q/dbridge.com qldbridge.com/gcc


