# 2005 QBA Senior's Pairs

The Surfers Paradise Club used to hold the Senior's Pairs, but they relinquished the right this year, for the first time, it was run by the QBA at the QCBC club rooms at Wooloongabba. A total of 24 pairs entered, and while this may have been disappointing for the QBA, it did clash with the GNOT Finals at Tweed Heads, and the number of entries allowed a complete Howell movement which was well received by the players.

Tony Jackman and I got off to a reasonable start, and were always close to the lead, but in the end Michael Kent and Rob Slobom won by the length of the straight with us in 2<sup>nd</sup> place just ahead of Ian Afflick and Paul Collins. Since there were only 23 matches, Director Alan Gibson was able to set up 5-board rounds against every other pair, which gave you some chance to recover from a bad board in the beginning. A cynic may say it gave you more opportunities to make mistakes. This was a great format, and appeared to be enjoyed by all of those who played, so should be adopted whenever allowed by the entry numbers.

M 1 Bd 5 Dir N Vul NS 85 QJT9 AJ92 A63	K964 A QT75 K52 AJ72 K8642 3 J87		QT3 753 K86 QT94
w	Jo <i>i</i> <b>N</b>	Е	s
VV	1D	/	1H
/	1S	,	2S
3D	3S	/	4S
//			

Board 5 was actually the first one we played and our opponents were inexperienced, which explains the odd decision to bid the 4-card diamond suit at the 3-level. I think West got confused at being told that my 1D opening showed at least 2 diamonds, and he thought it meant I did not have diamonds. He did the same thing again on board 2 and I passed with AQT4 to await Tony's re-opening double, but it never came and he escaped with 2 off and a bad score to us.

I was not inclined to double the 3D bid, never for a moment thinking it was only a 4-card suit, and we ended up in 4S, which should go down on a club lead even though the spade finesse was right.

The opening lead was the D6 and West won and led the HQ to my stiff Ace. A diamond ruff, East playing the D8, enabled me to discard a club on the HA and lead a club, winning with the CK to lead another diamond, ruffing down the King. It was then obvious that 3DX would have been a bloodbath, but I still did not have any idea where the SQ was, so decided to continue on cross-ruff lines and emerged with 10 tricks for +620.

M 2 Bd 6 DIr E Vul EW T654 T3 T54 KQ64	973 QJ85 K97 J97		K2 76 AJ862 AT32
	AQJ8		
	AK942		
	Q3		
	85		
W	N	Ε	S
		1D	Χ
/	1H	2C	3H
//			

On board 6 in the second match we gained a good score when North was not on the same wavelength as her partner.

She explained that she only had 7 points when she passed her partner's jump raise, but she forgot to take into account that she was forced to bid after the take-out double, and her minimum response of 1H could have been made on no points at all. Since a jump to 2H would show about 9-11, she had a maximum for her bidding thus far.

If I had passed the 1H response, then a mere 2H by South would have shown a good hand, but over the 2C rebid, 2H may have just been competing for the part-score with a moderate hand. South is not strong enough to go to game opposite a very weak hand, thus must involve her partner with an invitational jump. North should accept, although her 4333 shape is not a big asset.

If the black Kings had been reversed, North's pass would have reaped a reward, but on the actual hand, 10 tricks were easy.

M 2 Bd 8 Dlr W Vul Nil A AKQ2 K864 KJ52	JT954 3 AT9 T843 K83 986	,	Q762 JT754 J AQ9
<b>W</b> 1C 2H 3C 6H	Q7532 76 <b>N</b> / / /	E 1H 2S 4C	<b>s</b> / /
M 3 Bd 12 Dir W Vul NS AKJ975 5 KQ53 QJ	8 AK7 842 KT987 Q632 J82		T4 QT9643 A6 A32
<b>W</b> 1C 2S 3S	JT97 54 <b>N</b> 1H! /	<b>E</b> 2H 3H 3NT	<b>s</b> / //
M 3 Bd 14 DIr E Vul Nil 865 T8753 KJ76 K4	AQJ2 AQJ4 A54 A6 KT74 6 QT3	•	93 K972 982 J975
<b>w</b> /	QT832 <b>N</b> 2NT	2 <b>E</b> / /	<b>s</b> / 3C
/	3D	/	3H

6D

6NT

4D

/

//

When dummy went down on board 8 in the second match after South led the H6, I briefly thought of 13 tricks, but this was quickly dispelled when the trumps were 3-1.

I could still draw all of the trumps and throw my DJ on the 4<sup>th</sup> club, but then I would need the DA or SK to be doubleton or tripleton, and I would have to guess which, as transport problems would prevent playing for either distribution to occur.

It was therefore better odds to just rely on the DA being well placed, so I finished drawing trumps in hand and led the DJ, covered by South. My first instinct was to duck this, and rely on a short Ace, but I thought that South might have played the DQ and ducked the DA, so I covered in dummy, losing to North.

This was woolly thinking as not even a raw beginner is going to play the DQ when also holding the DA, but fortune was on my side when I was able to set up the D8 by 2 ruffs and 12 tricks rolled in. Note that if I ruff 2 spades, the SK drops and I could have made 13 tricks after all.

On board 12 in the third match North's 1H was purported to show heart tolerance and another suit, and my 2H was natural.

When Tony showed his suit, I decided to show my 6<sup>th</sup> heart, and he showed his 6<sup>th</sup> spade, after which I had a choice of 4S or 3NT.

Being a Pairs event, I decided to go for the highest scoring contract, but this looked to be a bad decision when South led the C5.

The sight of dummy's excellent club holding gave me some hope, but as I won the CA it was obvious that 4S was going to make 11 tricks by ruffing a diamond and giving up a heart. However if the spade finesse lost, I had at least 3 losers in 3NT.

Good news when the ST held, and even better news when North showed out on the 2<sup>nd</sup> round of spades, as that meant only 11 tricks in a spade contract, so we gained a good score.

On board 14 in the third match it was obvious that NS had a system mis-understanding when South jumped to 6D and North returned to 6H. My normal lead was going to be the D9, but this did not seem like a good idea when South wanted to play in a diamond slam. Still, since North made it obvious that 4D was not natural, I should have stuck to my lead and then we would have had a happy ending.

Since North had hearts and South had spades and diamonds that only left a club lead, although I generally detest leading away from Jxxx. The C9 made this less of a no-no, so I led the C5 and immediately regretted it when dummy went down.

Declarer guessed wrongly when he called for the CT, and won the CA to lead back the C6, my C9 forcing the Queen, with Tony showing out! I was oblivious to the possibility of a revoke, and neglected to protect Tony, and declarer next finessed the HQ, losing to my HK.

Since it was obvious that 6NT was the wrong spot, I cashed my CJ for 1 off and again toyed with a diamond return, but rejected it in favour of a safe club return. The slam still should have gone off, even with the revoke, but we could not pick North's 4-card spade suit, and eventually we recorded –990 for an absolute bottom.

M 4 Bd 17 Dlr N Vul Nil T75 987 KT942 Q7  W / 3H	KJ86 T5 65 AJT53  A4 KQJ62 AQJ8 98  Q932 A43 73 K642  N E S / 1H / 2C 2D 3C 3S //	On board 17 in the fourth match I was not strong enough to double in the balancing position, so I just bid my 5-card suit. When Tony supported, and EW found they had a heart fit, I elected to go to 4C, and had nothing to lose by bidding 3S on the way.  Tony was happy to play in spades, but did not think of raising, as he knew I was already relying on him for a few points. Also I had not doubled to start with, nor had I opened as dealer, so I had a limited hand with at least 9 black cards.  Since East had bid the red suits and turned up with 2 spades, after drawing trumps I elected to play West for long clubs, and cashed the CK in dummy, intending to finesse if necessary.  The finesse was not necessary and we wrote down +140 for a good score.
M 5 Bd 22 Dir E Vul EW AQ6 AKJ5 87642 K	J72 Q94 QJT9 A87  K854 T83 5 JT432 T93 762 AK3 Q965 N E S // / // 1S /	On board 22 in the fifth match East was in two minds at to whether to respond in the first place, but when West offered what looked like a playable contract with his reverse into hearts, he quickly passed.  Luckily for him, West did have a real suit and was not merely making a forcing bid prior to showing a good hand with 3-card support for spades.  I led the DQ, which Tony overtook to lead a trump. Declarer could afford to finesse, and even though it lost he still got the diamond ruff. When the spades broke 3-3 that was 8 tricks, which looked like a poor score for us.  If declarer had not finessed, he could have ruffed a diamond and led a club, and if I returned a heart that would have cost us our trump trick and 9 tricks would have resulted.  On a diamond lead, he could ruff in dummy, ruff a club, cash 3 spades finishing in dummy, and lead another club to ruff with the HJ for the 9 <sup>th</sup> trick. Deep finesse suggests that 9 tricks can be made in hearts with West declarer, and this is probably the line, as South can lead 2 rounds of trumps before a diamond can be ruffed if East is declarer.
M 6 Bd 27 Dir S	K5432 K QJ9643	On board 27 in the sixth match North pre-empted by myself and his partner when he chose to bid his 5-card major in preference to his 6-card diamond. His relatively weak hand and poor club support

J **Vul Nil** J8 **AT76** 843 QJT52 AK8 T2 KT8763 9 Q9 A976 75 AQ542 W Ε S Ν 1C 3C **1S** 2H / 3D 3S

//

and his to his 6support alamona. His relatively weak hand and poor club probably predicated this choice.

I think that South got a little carried away in bidding 3C on such a motley 5-card suit and absolute minimum values, but now North felt his distribution justified showing his second suit, since he had such poor club support.

Now the earlier bid of 1S instead of 1D came back to haunt North when South gave preference to spades. While I was quietly confident that 3S would go down, I thought that this was more likely if I did not double, so 3S became the final contract.

This poor contract had no chance from the beginning, and was held to only 6 tricks for 3 off and +150 to us.

M 6 Bd 28 Dir W Vul NS AKQT732 K9 - AK53	864 43 QT6532 QT J9 QJ87 84	5 AT652 AKJ97 J7
<b>W</b> 1C 2H 6S	98642 N E / 1H / 2N / 75	H /
M 7 Bd 1 Dlr N Vul Nil QJT52	A874 KT2 4 AQJ98	963

On board 28 in the sixth match Tony was in charge of setting the final contract, as he had the full range of asking bids available after the positive response to his 1C opening.

I was somewhat taken aback when he jumped to 6S after I had shown 8+ HCPs and 5 to one of the top honours in hearts.

Since he did not ask about the minors, I concluded that as well as solid spades he was void in diamonds and had at least AK in clubs, and his heart holding was either Kx (if I had Qxxxx), or QJ??? (if I had Kxxxx).

A heart holding of Kx seemed more likely since he did not make any more asking bids, so since my values were very crisp, I bid 7S, which proved to be lay-down.

This is a tricky hand to bid with any assurance, as the void makes Blackwood a poor choice unless partner (as here) shows both red Aces. Natural bidders could open 2C, followed by 3S asking for cuebids, and there is sufficient room for East to cue-bid all 3 controls.

# M 7 A874 Bd 1 KT2 DIr N 4 Vul Nil AQJ98 QJT52 963 Q98765 43 83 KQ65 - 6432 K AJ AJT972 KT75

Ν

2C

**3S** 

Χ

Ε

/

/

//

S

2D

5C

W

3C

5H

On board 1 in the seventh match West got carried away by his extreme distribution and paid the full penalty. Luckily it was only pairs scoring, so just another bottom.

West meant his 3C on the first round as Michael's, since my 2C opening was natural and limited.

Tony's 2D bid was a forcing inquiry, and my 3S was natural, in spite of West's 3C bid. A 4H cue-bid seemed to be in order from Tony now, but this may have been misinterpreted, and he did have forewarning of likely bad breaks, so he signed off in 5C.

The bidding is almost certain to have died at 5C, but West was still on his charger, and offered his head on the block with 5H, which I doubled. East must have been unsure of the 3C bid, especially in the light of my 3S bid, so did not correct to 5S, and 5HX became the final contract.

I led the D4 and Tony won, cashed the SK, and gave me a diamond ruff. I cashed the SA and gave him a spade ruff, followed by another diamond ruff and a spade ruff with the HA to make all 5 of our trumps and 8 tricks in all for 6 off and +1400.

### M 7 JT8 Bd 5 T83 DIr N AT8 **Vul NS** AQJ9 KQ3 9742 AQ954 62 J753 Q64 3 T874 A65 KJ7 K92 K652 W S Ε 1NT 3NT //

On board 5 in the seventh match Tony raised my 1NT opening directly to game and West gave something serious thought before finally passing, thus I mentally allocated him most of the missing points, and I thought a likely 2-suiter.

East led the S9, which I ducked, and West got off lead with the C3, won in my hand with the CQ and I led to the HJ, with West in again.

A simple heart return will lead to an endplay for 9 tricks, and Ace another heart will lead to a squeeze for 9 tricks. West instead exited with the D3, and now I was able to pick up the diamonds for 3 tricks. When I cashed the clubs finishing in hand, West was squeezed out of his hearts and diamonds and thrown in with the HK to lead away from the SK for 10 tricks and +630.

This is a simple example of thinking in advance, as West had no reason to hesitate over the 3NT bid, but by doing so he gave so much away. It is dubious whether West should bid even if 1NT was opened on his right.

M 8 Bd 8 DIr W Vul Nil KQ864 86 AQJ JT9	AT3 Q9732 - Q8752 72 54 KT8652 A64	J95 AKJT 9743 K3	On board 8 in the eighth match I raised Tony's 1S bid to game and with nothing to guide him North led a 4 <sup>th</sup> highest H3.  Since he had other sources of tricks, and no immediate heart losers, Tony did not finesse at trick 1, and led to the SK, taken by the SA and North returned the H2, won in dummy by Tony to finesse the DJ.  The good news was that the diamond finesse worked, but the bad news was that North was void, and put his partner in with the CA to get another ruff for 1 down.  Of course after North ruffed the diamond and led a club, Tony took his only chance for the contract by rising with the CK, so lost 2 clubs and finished 2 down.
<b>W</b> 1S	N E / 4S	<b>S</b> //	Maybe I should have given South a chance to bid his diamonds, and then Tony would have known that the diamond finesse could wait and another round of trumps would have led to success.
M 9 Bd 11 Dir S Vul Nil J9 KJ9753 KJ75	T6432 86 86 AQJ4 AKQ875 AT	- Q42 AQT9432 K98	Board 11 in the ninth match generated a few interesting scores around the room, and we were on the wrong end.  At our table, West overcalled in hearts, and his partner bid 5H over my 4S shut-out bid without ever mentioning diamonds. When Tony bid 5S, West bid 6H, probably hoping that the cost was going to be less than 500, but when he saw dummy, he realized that he had 11 top tricks and 12 if we fell asleep and failed to lead a club.  Of course Tony switch to a club when he won his HA, but not before he ducked a heart, so his diamond ruff disappeared. It is not certain that I would have returned a diamond if Tony had won his HA at the first opportunity, but there was not much else to do since the CK was
<b>W</b> 2H 6H //	T7652 N E 4S 5H / /	<b>S</b> 1S 5S X	on the table.  Our score of +100 was likely to be a bottom, as 5S is cold, and at least one of those EW pairs that did find their diamond fit failed to lead a heart to 6SX, so 12 tricks were made.
M 9 Bd 13 Dir N Vul All T2 4 A874 AKJ985	AK974 QJ86 QT95 - QJ865 97 KJ3	3 AKT532 62 QT42	It is not often that the opponents open the bidding, your partner overcalls in suit that you have a singleton, and yet you are cold for slam in your own suit, but board 13 in the ninth match was one example.  If your side has a 5-5 fit in a major, it often pays to jump to game, as even if game goes down, the cost is not likely to be high, and the opponents may be able to make game themselves.  This was one such opportunity, but no doubt swayed by the vulnerability, Tony only made a simple raise, which gave West an easy 3C bid and East was able to invite game by bidding 4C after I passed.
<b>W</b> 3C 5C	765 N E 1S 2H / 4C //		West gave some thought to bidding more than game, but the 2 losing spades warned against slam, so he contented himself with just game. There was no problem in the play for 12 tricks as West was able to set up dummy's hearts and lost just 1 spade.

M 10 Bd 16 DIr W Vul All J7542 AJ973 9 T2	K9 QT64 A764 KQ7		T863 5 JT53 J543
<b>W</b> 2H //	AQ K82 KQ82 A986 <b>N</b> 2NT	<b>E</b> /	<b>S</b> 4N1

On board 16 in the tenth match West opened 2H to show a weak 5-5, with hearts the only know suit. My 2NT was a little underweight, but I knew that my HT was a good card and worth something, and an extra trick is often available when the bidding gives away the distribution.

Since I showed 15-18, Tony's first thought was to jump straight to 6NT, probably hoping that there were only 12 tricks and not 13, but he erred on the side of caution and simply invited slam with 4NT. I had nothing to spare, so passing 4NT was the easiest decision of the weekend.

As expected, the known 5-5 distribution in the West hand gave me a blue-print for 12 tricks, especially after the lead of the DJ by East. Since East had 4 cards in every other suit, she had no way of knowing what West's other suit was, and guessed wrong.

I won the DA and led to the HK, winning the spade return with the SA to cash the DK and then take the marked heart finesse. Since the diamond finesse was confirmed, that was taken next, followed by a club to hand. When I cashed the second club and the CT dropped, another finesse became marked and I had 12 tricks after all. Likely to be a below average score since many pairs probably bid the slam.

M 11 Bd 23 Dir S Vul All 54 AQ5 Q9853 T87	AK6 T3 T72 A6542		QJT9872 872 - QJ3
	3		
	KJ964		
	AKJ64		
	K9		
W	N	Ε	S
			1H
/	1NT	2S	3D
/	3NT	//	

On board 11 in the eleventh match I had a chance to make 3NT but gave East too many points for her 2-level overcall and went 1 down when I ducked the spade lead. Against a pre-emptive overcall of 3S or 4S, I would likely have got it right.

After the lead of the SQ I can win and run the HT, which West may be best to duck, but I continue the heart and now West can switch to a club and restrict me to 9 tricks.

If West leads a spade instead of a club, a diamond could be ducked and 10 tricks made.

In the auction, 1NT was forcing, and I intended to rebid 2NT as invitational. 3D was natural, and with 2 stoppers, I elected for the 9-trick game. With everything wrong, 5D has no chance, I just had to play 3NT more productively to get a good score.

M 11 Bd 25 Dir N Vul EW AQJ73 4 Q8432 Q7	T K9876 AKT6 T95 K9655	,	84 AQJT3 5 KJ643
	J97 A82		
W	<b>N</b> 1H	<b>E</b> 2C	S
2S	/	3C	/
3D	/	3S	/
4S	/	/	X
//			

On board 25 in the eleventh match East made what I consider to be a very poor decision to overcall 2C when she had a bad suit and such a strong heart holding.

I had a very minimum hand for the opening, but 2 suits and 4 controls with an easy rebid, so passing was never a strong consideration.

West, no doubt beguiled by the overcall, appeared to keep bidding thinking that either East had a lot of clubs, and his Q7 would be useful, or they had a fit in either diamonds and spades.

When Tony passed and West bid 2S, East had nowhere to go without a diamond stop, and was forced to rebid the moth-eaten suit. The preference to spades rather than 3NT seemed to confirm the spade fit, and West raised to game, a little adventurously, since he had forced out the bid and East could have bid 4S herself with a suitable hand.

Tony confirmed the rashness of the 4S bid by his double, and we had no trouble getting this contract 2 off for +500 and a likely top. Note that even though I had opened he did not double 3S, as his spades are not well placed, and I had 15 HCPs at most.

M 12	AK965		
Bd 28	K65		
DIr W	KJT93		
Vul NS	-		
-			8732
84			AT73
Q52			76
AKQT7543			J82
	QJT4		
	QJ92		
	A84		
	96		
W	N	Ε	S
5C	Χ	//	

On board 28 in the twelfth match we were a victim of both the dealing position and the vulnerability. West had the vulnerability in her favour and put It right to us with a 5C opening that was bound to succeed.

If I had been allowed to open 1S, a 5C overcall would hardly have phased us as I could have rebid 5D if necessary, but it is certain that Tony would have bid 4S before West had a turn to bid.

As it was, I could not pick suit myself, and could only double, hoping Tony would remove it, but to no avail. 5CX at least had 3 losers, but poor consolation for +650, or even +1430 if declarer gets a count on the hand and picks the diamond finesse.

At one other table, where North doubled, South bid 5H, and raised North's 5S bid to slam. West took out insurance by bidding 7C, which only went for –500, so was a good save against game.

Anyone who opened with less than 5C with the West hand (there must have been a few) deserved the poor score that would have engendered.

M 13 Bd 1 Dlr N Vul Nil KQT65 QJT6 93 92	82 K83 QJ8 AQ8	75	A73 A AKT54 KJT3
<i>52</i>	J94 9754 762 64	.2	1.010
W	<b>N</b> 1C	E X	S
2S 3H	/ /	3D 3NT	, , ,/

On board 1 in the thirteenth match we missed a potential slam, but thanks to the deceptive opening lead of the H4, which fooled North, I made 13 tricks for the best score available to our side.

Since I was unbalanced, and initially too strong for a 1NT overcall anyway, I started off with a take-out double of the 1C opening, and Tony's 2S was encouraging. Not inclined to bid 3NT yet with my singleton heart, I showed a strong hand with 3D, but when Tony next showed his heart suit, I was happy to make the obvious pairs bid of 3NT.

South has a very weak hand and would have been best served by leading his partner's suit, but instead chose to lead the H4. In my partnerships, 4<sup>th</sup> highest (which it must be given our combined holding) shows an honour, so, knowing from the opening bid that North had the HK, I called for the HQ and North obligingly covered.

I went to dummy with a spade and led the D9, which North unwisely covered with the DJ, marking him also with the DQ on the bidding, I was able to pick up the diamonds without loss and made 13 tricks.

### M 13 KJ842 Bd 5 KT874 DIr N KQ **Vul NS** Α QT65 А3 Q9653 J 9532 A87 KJ42 987 97 A2 JT64 QT653 S W Ν Ε 1NT 2C 3NT

NS were playing a system that allowed North to open 1NT on board 5 in the thirteenth match, and the 3NT response to 2C showed both majors. This is a very unusual system and I would not recommend it to anyone, but without any sort of fit, it was a NT hand, just not as high as game.

I was warned off a heart lead by the explanation of the 3NT rebid, so I chose to lead the C9, which promised the C8 and denied an honour.

This fortuitous lead set up 2 club tricks to go with my 2 Aces, and another 2 tricks arrived due to the bad breaks, so it finished 2 off for +200 to us.

I doubt if any pairs stayed out of trouble on this hand, although I feel that Precision players may have stopped in 2NT via:

1C 1D, 1S 2C, 2H, 2NT end.

M 14 Bd 7 Dir S Vul All T76 KQ4 J653	KQ82 A983 T Q983	9543 T6 K82	On board 7 in the fourteenth match I failed to lead the club that would have sealed declarer's fate in 4H, but luckily for us they did not take advantage of it and went 1 down anyway.  On a club lead declarer might still succeed by running the H9 and later entering dummy to run the HJ, pinning the HT and restricting the trump losers to just 1, but Deep Finesse suggests that 9 tricks are the limit.
AJ2	AJ J752 AQ974	KT75	After I led the S4, declarer could have cashed both spades in dummy, ruffed a diamond to hand, and discarded both clubs on the top spades while West ruffs.
W	64	<b>E S</b> 1D	The HA will leave both opponents with a trump that they could make at their leisure, but with the diamonds set up after 1 more ruff, it appears as if 10 tricks would result.
/ / //		/ 2H / 4H	Our declarer seemed to consider none of this as they quickly conceded 1 off.
M 15 Bd 12 Dir W Vul NS QT T9754 JT8	AK42 Q8 AQ52 AK32	876 AJ3 97	Board 12 in the fifteenth match was a slam that our opponents did not even appear to think about, despite having 23 opposite 9 and a 4-4 fit. In close slams such as this one, I tend to stay out of them if there is no fit, but consider slam if a fit is shown as here. 3C was asking for a 5-card major, 3D showed at least one 4-card major, and 3H showed a spade suit, thus establishing the fit.
965	J953 K62 K643	T8732	Instead of signing off in game, with such good controls North could have shown slam interest by bidding 4C, thereby agreeing spades. Blackwood by North would not have been appropriate, as South could be much weaker.
<b>W</b> / / /	2NT 3D	E S 3C / 3H //	I led the S8 to 4S and North was untroubled to take 12 tricks for –680. In slam, on a non-spade lead, declarer has 2 possible lines if all follow small to the first spade. Firstly to play for the SQ to be doubleton, (on either side) or for East to have the doubleton ST and run the SQ from dummy to smother the ST. Obviously the doubleton SQ is better odds as it caters for both sides. After the ST falls on the first round it is the only chance on this hand, as Q876 in one hand will be guaranteed a trick.
M 15 Bd 15 Dir S Vul NS AK73 T7 KQ3	J962 J965 A8 942	854 A JT7542	The vulnerability may have worked for us on board 15 in the fifteenth match when North did not raise South's weak 2H to game over Tony's take-out double.  Over the 3H bid I had any easy 4D, which Tony raised happily to game. He may not have been so happy to raise if North had passed, unless I still bid 4D, which is unlikely. When I made a free bid of 4D he
AJT6	QT	Q53	could expect me to have at least a 5-card suit so we were on solid ground.  Note that even 2H is too high for NS at the adverse vulnerability as

have certainly doubled again.

onside with a 3-3 break.

KQ8432

Ε

4D

S

2H

96

Ν

ЗН

//

W

Χ

5D

K87

Note that even 2H is too high for NS at the adverse vulnerability as

that would be 500 if doubled. 4H by South after North's raise would

have been ill-advised and suffered a severe penalty as Tony would

5D was cold, and I made 12 tricks when the CK obliged by being

M 16	J972		
Bd 17	AQJ5	2	
DIr N	AQ5		
Vul Nil	Α		
653			KT4
KT73			84
8			KT9732
J7642			KQ
	AQ8		
	96		
	J64		
	T985	3	
W	N	Е	S
	1H	2D	/
/	2S	//	

The bidding on board 17 in the sixteenth match was unusual to say the least.

North would appear to have a reasonable although slightly flawed 2NT rebid after my 2D overcall, and I even prefer double followed by 3NT if South bids 3C to the actual 2S bid found at the table. I do not know what South expected by North's 2S, but he passed fairly easily. Looking at all four hands, with everything friendly, it is possible to

Looking at all four hands, with everything friendly, it is possible to make 11 tricks in hearts or spades, but our declarer contended himself with just 9 tricks.

3NT is a very good contract, making 10 tricks against perfect defense, so I expect that -140 was a good score for us, but without the individual summary sheets that David Anderson used to supply I do not know.

M 17 Bd 21 DIr N Vul NS AQ9 A54 T654 972	T854 T876 93 T63 KJ72 J2	63 K0 A0 A0	Q93
	KJ87 K8	72	
W	N	E	S
2NT	/	1C 3NT	1S //

Board 21 in the seventeenth match was a good score for us due to the peculiar tactics adopted by NS.

I opened with an artificial 1C and South overcalled 1S, which showed spades and a minor, and Tony's 2NT showed a spade stopper and 10 or 11 points.

North led the suit that they had a known 4-4 fit, but not recognizing the ST as an honour, led the S8. South did recognize the ST as an honour, and therefore 'knew' that Tony had AQT9 so played low and Tony won with the S9.

A losing club finesse was followed by a winning spade finesse and then Tony ran the clubs, discarding diamonds from hand. South was already under pressure, but as he was now known to have diamonds and spades, good technique by Tony gained another trick. Tony played the HK and then another to his Ace, and when South played the HJ on the second round North was marked with Txxx thus he finessed on the 3<sup>rd</sup> round and made 12 tricks for a top score.

M 17 Bd 22 Dir E Vul EW 8743 876 Q873 Q7	KT965 AK42 J5 T5	A T A	J 3 K92 J642
	QJ95		
	T64		
	A983		
W	N	E 1NT	<b>S</b> //

Board 22 in the seventeenth match was a hand where desperate measures were called for in my 1NT contract when NS began to run their heart tricks.

I thought a spade switch after cashing the hearts was inevitable, but threw 2 clubs from hand and a diamond from dummy in a last-ditch attempt to divert South's attention.

Luckily South won the  $3^{rd}$  and  $4^{th}$  hearts and NS did not have any signalling methods for North to suggest a switch, so South was in the dark, and his spade holding steered him towards a club.

I quickly won the club in dummy and led one back to establish my 7<sup>th</sup> trick, but looking at all 4 hands, on a spade switch I could duck the first round and the 5-2 break with South having the CA would have still seen me emerge with 7 tricks. However, at the vulnerability I may have ducked 2 spades to give me the best shot at avoiding 2 off and the dreaded –200.

M 18	A876	65	
Bd 26	Α		
DIr E	K654	4	
Vul All	K63		
Q9		2	<u> </u>
KJ752			Q
J87		P	Q932
AJ8		1	97542
	KJT₄	43	
	T986	643	
	Т		
	Q		
W	N	Е	S
		2NT	/
3C	3S	/	4S
//			

Board 26 in the eighteenth match could have developed into a bidding war with shapely hands in 3 positions, but surprizingly EW gave up without a fight.

East opened 2NT to show both minors, and perhaps put off by the vulnerability, West rather timidly bid only 3C (to play). With some sort of fit in both minors, West could have made it harder for Tony by bidding 4C, although 5C would have been a bit of a stretch.

Having described his hand with the opening bid, East correctly did not bid over Tony's 3S bid, and I was able to raise to 4S, expecting West to bid again in view of my minor suit singletons.

Although 11 tricks are there double-dummy, 10 is the most probable number without the sight of all 4 hands, even with the help from the 2NT opening bid. Tony was not put to the test when EW slipped a trick in the play and he made 11 tricks after all for +650 and a good score.

5CX is a good save, making 10 tricks due to the fall of the DT, and even 6CX will be better than letting NS make +650.

M 18 Bd 28 DIr W Vul NS AT5 AKQ95 Q 8763	J863 T AT94 QJ95		KQ974 873 J865 4
	K732 AKT2		
W	N	Ε	S
1H	/	2H	/
3C	/	4H	//

Board 28 in the eighteenth match must have been a bottom for us when EW sailed into 4H and we could not find the double-dummy defence to beat it.

With a minimum hand and heart support, East did not bid his 5-card spade suit, and the cold 4S was missed. However, when West made a help-suit game try of 3C, he suddenly thought that he had a great hand and jumped to 4H.

Leading from Jxxx in an unbid suit normally leads to a poor score, but this is the 1 time when it would have paid off!

On a spade lead, West cannot draw trumps or we can cash 4 clubs, a heart and a diamond, so he has to lead a club. Now Tony can win the club and give me a spade ruff, and the diamond entry allows me to get a second spade ruff.

After the DA followed by the CQ, West was able to ruff the next club on the table, take 1 round of trumps, and noting the fall of the HT, lead the ST to dummy to take the heart finesse. When he cashed the SA, the marked finesse enabled him to garner 11 tricks for –450 to us.

M 19	94		
Bd 1	KJ963		
DIr N	84		
Vul Nil	A987		
KQ			JT872
2			AT8
AKJT95			Q
K542			QT63
	A653		
	Q754		
	7632		
	J		
W	N	Ε	S
	/	/	/
1C	/	1S	/
2D	/	3C	/
3C	/	3NT	//

Board 1 in the nineteenth match was a misfit that I thought belonged in 3NT, but 4S was secure in spite of the 4-2 break, owing to the good spade pips.

Tony's bidding showed 16+ HCPs and at least a 6-card suit, so I knew that a heart was automatic, but hoped that he had at least 1 heart honour, as even a singleton honour gave me a chance. If his major suit cards were reversed, 3NT would have been easy.

When a heart was led and dummy went down I regretted not raising diamonds, as this would have had chances, but both minor suit games fail because of the 4-1 club break, so 4S was the only game.

After the heart lead I had 7 tricks and luckily none of them got away, but unluckily no more appeared, so I went 2 down for -100 and a poor start to the last session.

M 19 Bd 3 Dir S Vul EW K4 T5 KQ87 AQ654	987 K43 A96 JT97		63 J9862 J53 K82
	AQJ		
	AQ7		
	T42		
	3	_	_
W	N	E	S
2C //	2S	3C	1S 4S

Board 3 in the nineteenth match was a 50/50 chance that fell our way. I was not inclined to bid a light-weight 1NT with my hand over the 1S opening, nor did double or pass come into serious consideration, so I

had no choice but to bid 2C as the lesser of the 4 evils.

North made a normal raise to 2S, which gave Tony the chance to bid 3C and take away some of South's options.

The key to 4S being a good contract is North's values outside of clubs, but after the raise South did not have any mechanism to make a game try anymore, so he simply bid 4S.

In spite of North's 4333 distribution and modest hand, all of the points are working and 4S is a 50-50 prospect, depending only on the trump finesse.

Luckily for us the finesse failed, and we had achieved our best result.

## M 20 AQ94 Bd8 AKJ7 DIr W **Vul Nil** T743 75 J32 Α4 KJ752 QT9643 852 Q52 86 **KT86** QT863 AKJ9 W Ε S Ν 1H 1D / **1S** 4S //

Board 8 in the twentieth match was a hand with great potential for NS but one in which we did not adequately explore. Luckily the club finesse lost so 11 tricks were the limit on the hand and we scored well for +450.

In our version of Precision, a 2D opening shows a limited hand with at least 4/4 in the majors, so I had to open with 1D on the North cards.

Since 1D was not natural, Tony could have bid 4D as a splinter bid instead of the shut-out 4S, but since most of my strength was in diamonds and I was short in hearts, I would have just settled for game and the same result would have been achieved.

The opening lead was the C6, which still looked to be a possible doubleton or small trebleton, so I rejected the finesse and rose in dummy and led a heart to set up cross-ruff. The good pips in trumps allowed me to garner 11 tricks by ruffing the heart return, discarding 2 clubs on the top diamonds, and then ruffing the clubs in dummy.

M 21 Bd 15 Dir S Vul NS AQ953 K42 65 QJ5	862 A95 AKQ. A	,	4 6 T987 K87643
	QJT8 3	373	
w	T9 <b>N</b>	E	S /
1S / / /	2D 2S 4NT 5H	/ 3C / //	2H / 5C

Board 15 in the twenty-first match was a little exciting for South after he bid a pushy 2H after North made a simple overcall, and then suddenly his partner was interested in slam.

I do not know what system of overcalls NS were using, but the simple 2D overcall found at the table on the North cards does not seem to do it justice. Nonetheless, 5D by North fails on a spade lead and ruff, and then declarer can be locked in hand and forced to concede a heart trick. 5D by South, although an unlikely contract, is cold.

Tony is too weak to bid 3C on the first round, which we would play as forcing, so he was forced to pass, but having limited his hand he was happy to take the opportunity to show his clubs on the next round.

Even though South is a passed hand, he still seems a little weak to bid 2H without diamond support and possibly wasted spade honours, but he struck gold and North firstly cue-bid and after no interest from South, still looked for slam.

5H by South was a safe contract, and after the lead of the CQ South could have ruffed the 2<sup>nd</sup> diamond to successfully take the trump finesse and get 12 tricks, but led trumps from the table and we got 2 tricks.

M 22 Bd 16 Dlr W Vul EW Q4 QT Q97 T87643	J82 KJ9842 864 A		K976 76 KJ32 KQ5
	AT53 A53 AT5 J92		
<b>W</b> / /	<b>N</b> 2D 3H	E / //	<b>S</b> 2S(?

On board 16 in the twenty-second match NS were playing the Multi-2D differently to what I am used to when South responded 2S, and North bid only 3H which was the final contract.

When I play the Multi-2D, a 2S response is invitational opposite a 2H opening bid, and North therefore has a clear-cut 4H bid. However, South is stronger in spades than hearts, so NS must have been using their own responses. Whatever, the system, a good 4H was missed.

After the opening lead of the CK 4H is cold by a simple elimination play. Draw trumps and duck a diamond. If either opponent plays a spade, 10 tricks are assured by finessing on the next round if necessary. If a club is played, discard a diamond if West had won the diamond (and later a spade on the established club), or if East is on lead, ruff the CQ or win the CJ as appropriate.

On a diamond return, win in dummy and exit with a diamond and the same endplay works.

M 23 Bd 23 Dir S Vul All J7542 T653 Q7 K3	KQ986 K K84 T842		T3 Q942 A953 QJ6
w	JT62 A975 <b>N</b>	E	s
**	IN	_	1N <sup>-</sup>
/ //	3S	/	3N <sup>-</sup>

On board 23 in the twenty-third and last match Tony opened with a slightly off-shape 1NT, and my 3S response gave him a choice of games, which was an easy decision for him.

With nothing to guide him, except barring a spade lead, West led the H6, which took an entry out of dummy prematurely and was therefore good for the defense.

EW 'knew' from the opening bid of 1NT that Tony had a second spade, and therefore another entry to dummy, so defended on that basis, which allowed an extra trick and we gained +630.

After winning the opening lead on the table, Tony ducked a club and a heart came back into his tenace. Now the 3rd club was ducked and a diamond came back from East, ducked in hand and West was forced to put up the DQ, setting up a second diamond to go with 3 spades, 3 hearts, and 2 clubs.