## 2008 BBC Graded Teams by Richard Wallis

Since the number of congresses has exploded, there is often more than one to attract players most weekends in the south-east corner, and July 19 was no exception, when both Caloundra and BBC ran a 1-day teams event. The net result is a reduction of entries for both events, so BBC was relatively happy with the roll-up of 28 teams.

Our usual Director was busy on other business, but Chris Snook filled in for him admirably. Tony Jackman and I played with Mike Robson and Betty Lee, and thanks to a bit of luck in one match and results falling our way in others we managed to come out on top.

Our first crucial match was in round 3 against Francis (N Francis, K Steffensen, C Miller and R Moraweicki), and when Tony mis-read my 1C opening as 1 S we lost 13 Imps in an otherwise even match. This put Francis well in front at lunch, but straight after lunch they were well beaten, and after a few more close results for Francis we were in the lead.

The other crucial match was straight after lunch against Parker (R \& S Parker, J Mills \& C Green), and at our table Ralph \& John bid to 2 slams going down and Tony and I bid a making slam to gain a maximum win. One of the slams hinged on the opening lead and I hit gold with the S2 from K32. If their slams make and our goes down it would have been a maximum win to them. Parker recovered well for the remaining matches to finish in $3^{\text {rd }}$ place, just behind Francis in $2^{\text {nd }}$.

| M 1 | 97 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 2 | 63 |  |  |
| DIr E | K72 |  |  |
| Vul NS | AKT742 |  |  |
| KJ83 | AQ |  |  |
| A942 |  |  | KQT75 |
| Q4 |  |  | J965 |
| Q95 |  |  | 63 |
|  | T6542 |  |  |
|  | J8 |  |  |
|  | AT83 |  |  |
|  | J8 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1H | 1 |
| 3H | / | 4H | // |

The value of overcalling to show a suit worth leading rather than just being a nuisance (like Jxxxx) should not be discounted.
At our table on board 2 in match 1 North had no realistic chance to bid clubs, as Tony made a limit raise in Hearts, thus North would have had to bid at the 4-level, which is too rich even for my blood.
We open 2D to show 4 spades and at least 4 hearts, thus my 1 H opening bid had already denied 4 spades, so Tony did not need to mention the suit, nor should he bother when we have a 5-4 heart fit.
South could still have led a club looking for a ruff, but instead chose to lead the unbid major, and 4 H was now cold. If I had been prepared to risk a 6-1 spade break, I could have cashed the SQ before drawing the last trump, but the lead of the S4 when I have the top 4 honours made it too much of a risk, thus I led a $3^{\text {rd }}$ heart to dummy after unblocking the SQ and only made 10 tricks.
At the other table Betty was able to bid 2C over the 1S response, and after a club lead 4 H had no chance.

| M 1 | 76 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 6 | 9764 |  |  |
| DIr E | 632 |  |  |
| Vul EW | K862 |  |  |
| AKQ4 |  | T9832 |  |
| T2 |  | AKQJ853 |  |
| AKQJ7 |  | 4 |  |
| T3 |  | - |  |
|  | J5 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | T985 |  |  |
|  | AQJ9754 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 4 H | / |

Board 6 in match 1 was a flat board, but it contrasted the various bidding styles around the room.
Superficially I have a 7-5 distribution, with both majors, and many people opened 1 H to keep the 5 -card spade suit in the picture, but the hearts are good enough to play opposite a void in partner's hand, so the spades should be discounted unless partner bids spades strongly himself, and even then I would take some convincing not to play in hearts.

At our table the bidding was direct, although Tony was worried about 2 club losers, so took a little longer to bid slam.
The trouble as I see it with opening 1 H with only 10 HCPs is that almost certainly NS have a share of the points and may be able to make life difficult by preempting in clubs, and that is what happened at some tables where the slam was missed.
At the other table, East did open 1 H and Mike bid 4C, but EW persisted on to 6 H anyway.
 for +14 Imps.

| M 2 | T75 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 14 | A9872 |  |  |
| Dir E | 743 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | J5 |  |  |
| 642 |  |  | AK3 |
| 654 |  |  | T |
| KQ85 |  |  | AJT92 |
| K62 |  |  | AT87 |
|  | QJ98 |  |  |
|  | KQJ3 |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |
|  | Q943 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1C | 1H |
| X | 4H | I | I |
| X | // |  |  |

On board 14 in match 2 we were barraged in the bidding after South showed both majors over Tony's 1C opening, so I decided to take any penalty that was on offer.
My first double showed either 5-8 or stronger, with no 5-card suit to bid, and my second double was to show a positive response. Tony looked to have at least 4 tricks, so passed it out.
NS have 5 losers in a heart contract, and none of them got away so we collected +300 . The icing was on the case when it was obvious that even on a non-heart lead we could only take 8 tricks in 3NT before NS found their heart suit.
An alternative contract was 5D, but that would only make if NS were careless with the clubs, which did not happen at the other table so Betty and Mike collected +50 from 5D, for a total gain of 8 Imps.

| M 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 18 | AK9763 |  |  |
| Dir E | 4 |  |  |
| Vul NS | 63 |  |  |
| K72 |  | 654 |  |
| J54 |  | Q82 |  |
| KQJ9 |  |  | 832 |
| A94 |  |  | QT87 |
|  | JT |  |  |
|  | T |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | KJ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | , | 1 |
| 1NT | X | 1 | 2D |
| / | 2 H | 1 | 3 C |
| / | 3H | // |  |

Board 18 in match 3 proved too difficult for most pairs, and probably rightly so, because although 11 tricks were there in either major (actually 12 could be made in spades), the hearts were 3-3, and the club and spade honours were well placed for NS, so even 3 H was in jeopardy.
North's double after my 1NT opening showed a single-suiter, and I feel sure that South's suspicions were confirmed when North rebid 2 H .
South made another try with 3C for a 'safer' contract, but North was not inclined to try 3NT or show her spade suit opposite a passed hand, and 3 H closed out the auction, as South could hardly bid any more on the apparent misfit.
There was nothing in the play, and when declarer made the right guess in clubs, guided by my opening bid, she made 11 tricks.
At the other table Mike and Betty found their $4-3$ spade fit, and played at a safe level for +200 and a flat board.

| M 3 | 9865 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 22 | K7 |  |  |
| Dir E | 932 |  |  |
| Vul EW | A863 |  |  |
| KT3 |  |  | A74 |
| A52 |  |  | J83 |
| AKJ85 |  |  | QT4 |
| K2 |  |  | QJT9 |
|  | QJ2 |  |  |
|  | QT964 |  |  |
|  | 76 |  |  |
|  | 754 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 1C | 1 | 2S | / |
| 3S | 1 | 4S | // |


| M 4 | KJ952 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 2 | Q9 |  |  |
| Dlr E | Q64 |  |  |
| Vul NS | Q96 |  |  |
| Q74 |  | AT8 |  |
| KJT872 |  | A543 |  |
| K53 |  | AT |  |
| A |  |  | K732 |
|  | 63 |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |
|  | J9872 |  |  |
|  | JT854 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1NT | 1 |
| 3H | / | 3S | 1 |
| 4C | / | 4D | 1 |
| 6 H | // |  |  |

Board 22 in match 3 was a complete disaster, and was the major loss in the match. The bidding looks very strange, but is a result of failing eyesight and light pencils.
After my 1C opening Tony's 2 S bid showed 8-10 (as a passed hand) and 4441, the singleton being clubs.
3NT does not look a good bet opposite a singleton club, and we reached 4S with Tony declarer.
The first I knew something was wrong was when Tony did a secondtake when dummy went down, but he might have expected 4-card support. The second was when he won the diamond on the table and led the CK.
When he won the $3^{\text {rd }}$ trick with the CQ , I realized that we were in trouble, and he did well in the end to only go 1 off for -100. At the other table EW had no trouble getting to 3NT for -630 and 12 Imps out.
The reason for the odd bidding was that Tony read my bid as 1S, and was merely accepting my game try bid of 3 S .

On board 2 in match 4 I could have bid 2D over Tony's 1NT, forcing a 2 H response, and then 3 H (or any other 3 -level suit) by me would have been a slam try. However, I thought that I was a little light to look for slam opposite a 13-15 opening, so instead I just bid 3H, offering either 3NT or 4H.
Tony looked at his 3 Aces and 4-card support and thought that it would cost nothing to make a cue-bid on the way to 4 H with 3 S .
Once I cued clubs and Tony diamonds, I thought that I had enough to make 12 tricks somehow, so just bid slam, expecting Tony to have the HA because of his initial cue-bid.
North led the S2, which gives me 13 tricks, but this was teams, and there was a very slight chance that the lead was a singleton (South did not double the spade cue-bid), so I took my 12 tricks.
At the other table slam was not bid, so we gained 11 Imps.

| M 4 | Q874 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 4 | K5 |  |  |
| Dlr W | - |  |  |
| Vul All | AKJT942 |  |  |
| KT3 | AJ65 |  |  |
| 87642 | JT |  |  |
| T7 |  |  | KQ98632 |
| Q65 |  |  |  |
|  | 92 |  |  |
|  | AQ93 |  |  |
|  | AJ54 |  |  |
|  | 873 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1C | 1D | 1H |
| , | 1S | 2D | X |
| 1 | 4 C | 1 | 6C |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 4 in match 4 we were lucky when declarer had a memory failure in the end game and failed to take his $12^{\text {th }}$ trick. South doubled 2D for penalties, but thought that slam was a chance when North showed a good suit.
Slam would have had no chance against the late Harold Hiley, as no matter that North had bid spades, he would have led his Ace to the slam and EW would take the first 2 tricks.
Tony led a reasonable DK, and declarer discarded a spade and led a trump, finding out about the bad break, so he had to get back to dummy for the marked finesse.
Declarer unblocked the hearts and discarded another spade on the HQ , but did not notice that the heart honours were originally short and that now the H 9 on the table was good, so he took the club finesse and lost 2 spades at the end.
At the other table Mike and Betty had a bidding misunderstanding and played in just 3C for +150 , which looked to be 11 Imps out, but was 6 Imps in. Making the slam would have cost us 17 Imps.

| M 4 | T84 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 7 | K8 |  |  |
| DIr S | A9852 |  |  |
| Vul All | K93 |  |  |
| K32 |  | QJ7 |  |
| T754 |  | J932 |  |
| T743 |  | Q6 |  |
| J6 |  | 8752 |  |
|  |  | A965 |  |
|  | AQ6 |  |  |
|  | KJ |  |  |
|  | AQT4 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 2NT |
| I | 4C | I | 4NT |
| I | 6NT | I/ |  |

On board 7 in match 4 NS bid confidently to 6NT without giving anything away except that South had 3 Aces.
I had nothing to guide me except aversions.
I did not consider leading the CJ from a doubleton, and leading from Txxx had nothing to recommend it, and could quite easily give away a vital trick, so that left spades.
A spade lead may cost the first trick, but it is unlikely to be fatal for the defence, so I led the S2. It looked promising when declarer ducked Tony's SJ and when declarer won the next trick with the SA, I knew that if I had a discard problem I could jettison the SK.
After some thought declarer led a heart to dummy and took the diamond finesse, but even though the finesse won he could not overcome the 4-2 break, and finished 1 off for +100 .
At the other table, on a non-spade lead, 12 tricks in 3NT was worth 13 Imps.

On board 10 in match 5 fortune favoured the brave.
South could not bid over Tony's weak 2 H opening, and since I had 3 hearts myself and a weak hand, it looked like something was on for NS, so at equal vulnerability I took an advance sacrifice of 4H. Maybe neither side could double!
However, North was not to be denied and doubled for take-out, and all South could reasonably do was convert to penalties.
South led the SA and switched to a diamond and dummy was forced to ruff a diamond at trick 3. Tony now passed the HJ to South who took the trick and a diamond return set up another trump trick and 500.

At the other table Mike and Betty were able to play in 3NT and had 10 top tricks after a heart lead for +630 and 4 Imps.

| M 6 | AQJ8542 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 17 | Q |  |  |
| Dir N | QT7 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | T7 |  |  |
| T | K97 |  |  |
| J987632 | K5 |  |  |
| AKJ6 | 53 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 986532 |
| 63 |  |  |  |
| AT4 |  |  |  |
| 9842 |  |  |  |
| AKQJ |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1S | / | 2 C |
| 4H | 4S | // |  |


| M 7 | JT965 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 27 | T7 |  |  |
| DIr S | A8643 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | 2 |  |  |
| KQ | 8743 |  |  |
| J432 | K |  |  |
| T5 | J |  |  |
| K7543 |  |  | AQJT986 |
|  | A2 |  |  |
|  | AQ9865 |  |  |
|  | KQ972 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1H |
| 1 | 1S | 2C | 2D |
| 4C | 5D | 1 | 6D |
|  |  | 7C | X |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 27 in match 7 Tony took things very carefully early in the bidding, and had to finally make a tough decision (luckily he got it right) and pay a penalty of 2 Imps. Maybe an initial 4C (or 5C) bid could have left NS with less ambition.
I am generally not in favour of lying doggo, and I gave thought to bidding 5C myself, and when NS got to slam, I wished that I had!
Over my 4C, North was able to jump to 5D, which improved South's hand enormously, with 2 good suits and a club void, so he bid the slam.
If North had just bid 5D over my 5C bid, South would likely not have a reason to get too excited.
Once they had bid to slam, Tony made the good decision to sacrifice, and made 10 tricks for -500 .
At the other table the EW bidding was more of a barrage, and Mike and Betty played in 5D for +420 , so we lost 2 Imps, but would have lost 11 Imps without the sacrifice.

| M 7 | 84 |  |  | On board 32 in match 7 I took advantage of the vulnerability and teams scoring to leave the last guess with NS. South looked like |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 32 | Q982 |  |  |  |
| DIr W | AQ |  |  | someone who was not going to let us play in game when he bid 3NT, |
| Vul EW <br> AT93 | KT8 |  |  | so I bid 4 H expecting him to show his true colours and I was not disappointed. |
| A764 | KJ53 |  |  | Tony's 2C showed both majors, and with my 2 Aces I did not |
| J74 | 986 |  |  | that South was serious with his 3NT, so did not waste my time |
| 53 |  |  |  | doubling him, and by the speed with which he bid 5C, I think I wa |
| J2 |  |  |  | right about his 3NT bid - he was happy as long as they were only 50 s. |
| T |  |  |  | We cashed the first 2 spade tricks and when Tony won the CA he le |
| KT3QJ97642 |  |  |  | a heart, so our reward was +300 . |
| W | N | E | S | Luckily we had 4 unavoidable losers in either major ga |
| 1 | 1NT | 2C | 3NT! | other table Mike and Betty did not sacrifice in 5C, and with +100 |
| 4H | / | / | / | 4S 1 off, we gained 9 Imps . |
| X | // |  |  | his 3NT bid looks like another version of the bluff 1NT overcall |

