## 2012 Seniors Last Train at the NOT by Richard Wallis

This year was my first visit to the NOT since 1997, and only my $4^{\text {th }}$ overall, but in contrast to the other times, I was there for the whole 2 weeks, the first event of which was the Seniors Last Train.

I was playing with John Brockwell, and we had a shaky start, losing our first 2 matches to be near the tail of the field of 22 Pairs, before storming back with 3 maximum wins to hit the lead with just 3 matches to go.
Our $6^{\text {th }}$ match was a 14-16 loss to the eventual winners, Richard Brightling and Kathy Boardman which still kept us in a close second, but our final 2 matches were not much better, being a 16-14 win and a 15-15 draw, but luckily there were no bolters, and we stayed in second position to the end.

This was a very enjoyable event and I hope to play again in it next year when we can try to improve just a little.


[^0]| M 2 | T |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 20 | QT963 |  |  |
| DIr W | KJT986 |  |  |
| Vul All | A |  |  |
| AK542 |  | Q98 |  |
| K7 |  | 5 |  |
| Q7 |  | 5 |  |
| KJ65 |  |  | T9832 |
|  |  | J763 |  |
|  | 82 |  |  |
|  | A432 |  |  |
|  | Q74 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1S | $2 S$ | $3 S$ | / |
| 4S | // |  |  |

Impetus is a double-edged sword. Sometimes it can work, and other times it backfires. On board 20 in match 2 it worked when John raised pre-emptively over North's Michaels Cue-bid, and I went with the flow and continued on to game.
North led the CA, and luckily did not find the diamond switch that would have allowed South to give him a club ruff to defeat the contract, instead switching to a heart. A diamond switch may give up a trick unnecessarily, but after the lead of the CA, it should have been automatic at teams scoring.
I won in hand and cashed the SA and then another trump to dummy to take the club finesse, then conceded a diamond, losing just a club, a diamond and a spade, for +620 .
5 C is a safer contract, just needing a club finesse, although almost impossible to reach, but the field stayed out of game on this one, or NS took the cheap sacrifice, so we gained 10 Imps.

| M 3 | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 3 | 7 |  |  |
| DIr S | KQJT9853 |  |  |
| Vul EW | AK84 |  |  |
| Q9432 | A5 |  |  |
| JT94 | AKQ32 |  |  |
| - | A6 |  |  |
| T976 |  |  | QJ32 |
|  | KJT876 |  |  |
|  | 865 |  |  |
|  | 742 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 2S |
| , | 5D | 5H | 1 |
| 1 | 6D | , | 1 |
| 6 H | X | // |  |


| M 3 | 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 12 | Q63 |  |  |
| Dir W | AQJ98 |  |  |
| Vul NS | T632 |  |  |
| Q96432 |  |  | KJ |
| AJ52 |  |  | T9874 |
| - |  |  | T32 |
| K87 |  |  | J95 |
|  | AT85 |  |  |
|  | K |  |  |
|  | K7654 |  |  |
|  | AQ4 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | / | / | 1D |
| 1 S | 2S! | 1 | 3D |
| 3S | 4D | 1 | 5D |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 12 in match 3 we struggled our way to game in diamonds, thinking about the possibility of 3NT on the way. My 2S bid showed at least a limit raise in diamonds, which is all I am likely to have being a passed hand.
John has a difficult hand to evaluate, but accepted my willingness to go to the 4-level as encouragement and bid the cold game, complicated only slightly by the 3-0 trump break.
After the lead of the S4 John won in hand and ruffed a spade in dummy to lead first the DA, finding out the 3-0 split, and then the H3, losing to West. Another spade came back from West, but dummy's trumps were too high for East and the HQ provided a discard for the C4.
John was able to ruff his 3 spades and take the club finesse for an overtrick, but had to settle for +600 when it lost. This was worth 10 Imps, when other tables either stayed out of game or tried 3NT which has only 8 tricks.

| M 4 | K962 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 27 | 65 |  |  |
| Dir S | AKQT9 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | 4 |  |  |
| Q83 | J7 |  |  |
| J | AK |  |  |
| J863 | 742 |  |  |
| K9862 |  |  | AQJT75 |
|  | AT54 |  |  |
|  | QT98742 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | / |
| 1 | 1D | 2C | 2 H |
| 4C | 1 | 4NT | / |
| 5 C | 1 | / | 5 H |
| // |  |  |  |

John has a policy of overcalling 2C over 1D on possibly lighter values as it has good pre-emptive value, so I was not sure where we were going when I jumped to 4C on board 27 in match 4.
I thought his 4NT was a good hand, possibly asking for Aces, but he was responding to 4C as Minorwood, as we had agreed that after a minor had been bid naturally by either partner, 4 of the minor was always Minorwood, but I had forgotten.
Despite the bad fit and no support from partner, South rebid their 7 card suit and there the matter rested, with the lead in my court.
This looked like the time to have a look at dummy if possible before deciding on the next play, so I led the CK, and even though this held, prospects did not look good when dummy had 3 trumps and a singleton club.
Low and behold, John had 2 certain trump tricks, so we took 3 tricks for +50 and 6 Imps , the biggest swing of the match, a match that we won 40-0 for 25 VPs , our second in a row.

| M 4 | Q952 |  |  | Board 30 in match 4 was set to be and easy slam to bid after John opened 1 NT , as I could make an immediate slam try with 3 H . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 30 | 3 |  |  |  |
| DIr E | 762 |  |  | However, South had other ideas and interposed his long suit, taking |
| Vul Nil | 97542 |  |  | away the 3H as a slam try, so I decided to bid 6H as long as John had |
| A4 |  |  | KJT8 <br> QT5 <br> AT3 <br> QT3 | 1 Ace, since 6H had chances even if the HQ was not in John's hand |
| AKJ874 |  |  | and was likely to be cold then if I could find it as he would have a lot of |  |
| Q |  |  | other fitting cards. |  |
| KJ86 | 763 |  |  | Luckily 4NT was Ace-ask, not quantitative, and his 5D response |
|  |  |  |  |  | confirmed only 1 Ace was missing, sol bid the slam. |
|  | KJ9854 |  |  | North led a predictable D6, and the sight of dummy was muck more |
|  | A |  |  |  | than I could expect, to I was able to win on the table, draw trump |
| W | N | E |  | S | and claim. |
|  |  | 1NT |  | 2D! | This was only a 5 Imp gain, as most other pairs bid the slam, but |
| 4NT | I | 5D | 1 | least it was a gain. |
| 6 H | // |  |  |  |


| M 5 | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 5 | AQJ8542 |  |  |
| Dlr N | 93 |  |  |
| Vul NS | K987 |  |  |
| Q72 |  |  | K864 |
| KT7 |  |  | 63 |
| K864 |  |  | AJT52 |
| QJ5 |  |  | 32 |
|  | AJT953 |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |
|  | Q7 |  |  |
|  | AT64 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1H | / | 2S! |
| / | 3H | / | 4S |
| // |  |  |  |

I did not think it was politic to ask the systemic meaning of the 2 S response on board 5 in match 5, but whatever it was it appears to have been wrongly applied.
We have 4 tricks in the normal 4 H contract, but the field was making +620 , so EW must have been contriving to throw one of them away, and in 4S I make the potentially costly lead of the CQ!
South took the heart finesse and pitched a diamond, so he was off to a good start after my lead gave away our club trick, declarer now just having 3 losers, 2 spades and a diamond, but he continued by ruffing a heart on which John pitched a club, and whenever we got the lead we forced him again with diamonds, so he lost control.
Towards the end game he was a little rattled and forgot about my lead so we made a club trick after all, and 4S finished 3 off for +300 and 13 Imps.


On board 15 in match 5 NS were playing a strong 1NT, and thus were able to right-side the hand by transferring with the North hand and making South the declarer in 4 S .
The bidding after that is a bid wonky, even to John passing 5C when I had shown hearts, expecting a 5-card club suit in my hand, but NS let us off the hook.
4 S is defeated by a diamond ruff followed by a club return before the HA is knocked out, but that was too hard to find from my hand, and I led the H4, which allows South to make 5 S unless a diamond comes back immediately, but John, with 4 trumps, led back a club.
Declarer won the CA, pitched the losing club on the HK, and then led out the trumps, probably expecting to have 2 diamond losers and being 1 off.
However, while the favourable diamond position allows my DT to be picked up, there is no way for declarer to get back to hand after drawing all of the trumps, so 5 S finished 1 light after all, for +100 and 12 Imps.

| M 6 | KJ3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 18 | QT8 |  |  |
| DIr E | 642 |  |  |
| Vul NS | AK73 |  |  |
| Q95 |  |  | T8764 |
| A76 |  |  | 954 |
| AKJ75 |  |  | T98 |
| J4 |  |  | T9 |
|  | A2 |  |  |
|  | KJ32 |  |  |
|  | Q3 |  |  |
|  | Q8652 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1NT |
| X | XX | 2S | I |
| 1 | 3NT | // |  |


| M 7 | KT82 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 4 | J4 |  |  |
| DIr W | 654 |  |  |
| Vul All | AT87 |  |  |
| - |  | 5 |  |
| A9863 |  | KQT7 |  |
| 983 |  | AKQJT2 |  |
| K9654 |  | J2 |  |
|  | AQJ97643 |  |  |
|  | 52 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |
|  | Q3 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | $/$ | 1D | $4 S$ |
| // |  |  |  |


| M 7 | QT754 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 8 | Q984 |  |  |
| DIr W | J |  |  |
| Vul Nil | J62 |  |  |
| AK82 | 93 |  |  |
| AJ3 | 2 |  |  |
| QT63 | AK9874 |  |  |
| 53 |  |  | AKQT |
|  | J6 |  |  |
|  | KT765 |  |  |
|  | 52 |  |  |
|  | 0974 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1D | 1 | 4D! | 1 |
| 5C | 1 | 5NT | 1 |
| 6D | 1 | 7D | // |

On board 4 in match 7 we were both too tentative and let South get away with keeping us out of the bidding. To make matters worse I did not lead hearts, and when John tried to cash 2 diamonds, declarer entered dummy with a trump and ruffed another diamond before exiting with a heart to force us to open up the club suit. Lucky for us, and under his partner's scorn, he got it wrong so was 1 off.
At the least I should have doubled to show some values, but that would be scant reward, being +200 instead of +100 , and only saving 2 Imps. It is hard to get to 6D, but even 5D would be 7 Imps
Since I have 3 diamonds and a void spade, I could have risked a 4NT bid to show the other 2 suits, but I was concerned that John would take this as RKCB.
John on the other hand, even with only 16 KCPs has a relatively safe haven in 5D, rather than take the risk that 4S will make.

On board 8 in match 7 we bid our only grand slam for the event to pick up a handy 11 Imps.
We had agreed to open 4-card suits up the line, so my 1D opening showed at least a 4-card suit, and we had also agreed that any raise to 4 of a minor was to be treated an Minorwood, so I showed 2 key cards and the DQ.
His next bid asked for outside Kings, and I showed 1, so John could count 13 tricks.
In retrospect, since he could count 13 top tricks, he should have bid 7NT, since there may be a ruff by the opponents in a diamond contract, but all was well.
S North led the DJ as before he put dummy down John proclaimed 13
Board 18 was a crucial hand in our top of the table clash with the eventual winners, and I got it wrong, bidding a vulnerable game that failed instead of doubling the opponents in a part score.
West's double was for penalties, and I meant my redouble as showing the balance of power, but that was not we had agreed to play.
The systemic meaning was thrown out the window when Kathy bid 2S, and when this came around to me I bid the 'obvious' 3NT, as must have many others as the board average was only +30 to NS.
Richard unkindly led the DK, getting count from Kathy, and proceed to cash the first 6 tricks for 2 off and -200, 6 Imps away.
It is hard for us to stop in a part-score after the 1NT opening, but that is all we can make, 3 H or 4 C , but wait there is another contract we can make, - 1S!
If I had been playing the system as we agreed, I would have passed the double to allow John to redouble, but Kathy would still have bid 2 S, and now I can double $2 S$ for +300 . tricks, and as soon as the dummy went down I claimed. It was surprizing that the board average was only -890, even less than the small slam.



[^0]:    The first match did not go well for us in general, but this board saved us from a bad loss when West was not content to pass out my opening bid of 4 S , and a very satisfactory dummy was placed on the table.
    I did not look up the scores from other tables, but I expected a few duplications of our result, and was pleasantly surprised when we got an 11 Imp pick-up.
    East led the C2, and since it was likely that West had the DA and I would not be able to discard my 3 hearts, I led to the HQ at trick 2 and was pleasantly surprised to see it win.
    A greater surprise followed, when the spade finesse worked at trick 3 and they broke $2-2$. Thus I conceded a diamond for 12 tricks and +1190 . The board average was only -670, so most of the other tables must have played it in 4 S undoubled.

