2016 Hong Kong Inter-cities Teams by Richard Wallis

Following on from our great adventure in the Yeh Cup Mixed Teams in Kaohsiung, our full team (Simon Hinge, Liz Havas, Therese Tully and myself) travelled to Hong Kong for the annual Inter-cities Teams. There we were joined by another Australian team from Taiwan, Bruce Neill, Avi Kanetkar (replacing Margaret Bourke), Pauline Gumby and Warren Lazer.

There were 41 Open teams battling for 8 quarter-final spots, and 7 Womens teams battling for 4 semi-final spots, so the Director added the 2 fields together to make up 4 groups of 12, which eliminated the Swiss element of qualifying and made it into 11 matches of 12-boards, wholly within each group.

Thus we needed to come in the top 2 to qualify for the quarter-finals, unless a Womens team was in the top 2 in the group, as they would normally pull out and play in their own semi-final. We were always close, but after losing to the eventual top 2 early on, we were never close enough to qualify, and the top 2 teams in our group were virtually assured of their place with 1 match to go. Before the last match we only had a small chance of finishing 3rd, and when that team actually won, we finished a good 4th, well ahead of 5th, but out of the race and into a Swiss event on the next day.

Bruce Neill's team also finished 4th, but they were a lot closer to qualifying, as a Womens team finished 2nd in their group, thus they only needed to win their last match (against 3rd) by 19 Imps. Alas they won by just 14 Imps, so joined us in the Swiss on the Saturday where neither team starred.

We were not the first Australian teams to play in the Hong Kong Inter-cities, and I am sure we will not be the last. While there we made some new friends and caught up with old friends, Danny and Linda Osmund, now living in Macau, who were pleased to see us and both send their regards.

M 1 Bd 8 Dlr W Vul Nil 73 T3 T73 AKQJT5	KQ965 KJ95 J8 63		842 AQ6 KQ2 9872
	8742		
	A9654		
	4		
W	N	Ε	S
1C!	1S	2C	2S
3C	3S	4C	/
5C	//		

On board 8 in the first match West made what I believe was a tactical mistake when he opted for a 1C opening, rather than a more conventional 3C opening.

At other tables, the 3C opening was passed out, and made 9 or 10 tricks! West has only 10 HCPs, and maybe only 1 trick in defence, and add to that a distinct shortage in the majors, so open 3C and do not give NS room to investigate.

Obviously we could not double 5C, but we always have 3 tricks, and when West played the diamonds, by leading the DT, we had 4 tricks for +100.

It was tempting for Therese to go on to 4S when East bid 4C, but I could have made a game try over 3C by a number of ways, and 3S was just an attempt to play.

At the other table Liz and Simon showed fine judgement in passing out 4SN, which yielded another +100 for 5 Imps.

M 2 Bd 24 Dir W Vul Nil 654 K96 97 KQ754	KQJ9 QJT73 J54 T A7 5 AQ862 AJ983 T842 A842 KT3 62	On board 24 in the second match the opening lead was critical, as was having East as declarer. Therese passed on the first round, but when East showed the minors she could comfortably double to show the majors. I have a conflict in bidding over 3C, in that if we are going to play the hand I want to bid my longest major, but if EW are going to outbid us I should be bidding my best major as a guide to the opening lead. In retrospect, it was unlikely EW were going to let me play in 3H, or that Therese was going to raise to game, so I should have bid the suit I wanted to be led.
W /	N E S / 1D /	Therese tried to look at dummy by leading the HA, and while my HQ got the desired spade switch, it was too late and East racked up 11 tricks for -150.
1NT 3C	/ 2C X 3H 4C //	At the other table the bidding was similar, except EW got to 5C, and as at our table the spade lead was not found so +400 and 6 lmps.
M 3 Bd 6	A9 AT63	Board 6 in the third match was a clash between distributional hands and we lost the battle to a gutsy West at our table.
DIr E Vul EW	9863 KQ4	Therese showed the minors over the 15-17 1NT opening, and West got in the action at adverse vulnerability, in spite of only 4 HCPs!
Q875432 Q974 - 53	KT6 KJ52 KT7 AJ8	Since I had a good fit in both minors and 2 Aces, I had no problem in bidding 4D over 3S, and Therese raised to 5D not so much expecting to make, but as insurance against 4SW making.
	J 8 AQJ542	In spite of my 2 Aces and potentially good lead, I was not confident enough to double, and was very relieved when the CQ took the third trick to assure 1 off and +100.
W	T9762 N E S 1NT 2NT!	However, since the diamond finesse was known to be working, 5D was cold for NS, so West had found the vulnerable sacrifice against the non-vulnerable game!
3S 5S	4D 4S 5D X //	At the other table NS had a mix-up and played in 5CSX, which had no chance, so another +100 was 5 Imps to us after all.
M 4 Bd 21 Dir N Vul NS	765 T9 JT63 KQT4	On board 21 in the fourth match we had a simple auction to a normal contract and East made the normal lead of 4 th highest of their longest/strongest and I was up the creek with nowhere to go. When the SJ forced the SA and another spade came back, my only
AT2 542 A75	Q943 A63 9842	chance was to take the 3 rd spade and hope that West had all 3 missing Aces, but that was a forlorn hope and I finished 1 off for -100.
8532	J7 KJ8 KQJ87	At the other table South opened with a strong 2NT in 3 rd seat, and North raised to 3NT without inquiring about a possible 5-5 spade fit, which gave nothing away to the defence.
W	KQ A96 N E S	This bidding has the effect of right-siding the contract as Deep Finesse suggests that 3NT is cold by South.
/ //	/ / 1H 1NT / 3NT	Having nothing to guide him, Simon led the H5, which gave South the tempo, and one of the defensive Aces got lost, so declarer finished with 11 tricks for -660 and -13 Imps

M 5 Bd 2 Dir E Vul NS QJ4 KT9542 Q QJ9	K87652 6 A62 AK3 A9 AJ87 J93	T3 Q3 KT8754 762	On board 2 in the fifth match I had a couple of options in 4 th seat after East opened 3D, and I chose a conservative 3S, which Therese raised to game in spades, to which East led the HQ. When dummy tracked, I could see that 3NT was a better contract, and 4S was going to need a bit of luck, so I set about an end-play on East in diamonds that would make use of the DJ in dummy. Accordingly I ruffed a heart at trick 2 and led out the top spades and a third spade, won by East, who led another heart. I ruffed the HK and led the top clubs and a third club, and was disappointed by the 3-3 break as this meant 4S was cold, but the
W	T854 N E	s	stage was now set - ruff the next heart and lead a small diamond towards dummy, end-playing West!
/ //	3D 3S /	/ 4S	However to my surprize, East did not lead another heart, but the DQ, and I could claim 10 tricks for +620,and realized that my plan would have failed. 3NTSX at the other table made 9 tricks for -750 and 4 Imps away
M 5 Bd 11 Dlr S Vul Nil 762 94 Q543 8432	Q9 AJT76 8 AK965 AKJ5 K82 AJT2	T843 Q53 K976 T7	On board 11 in the fifth match my 3C rebid after 2NT was artificial and forces 3D, following which my 3S showed 5 clubs and slam interest. I could have bid 3D over 2NT and found out the heart support, but then the club suit is lost. However, in the absence of club support, 6NT is a good contract with Therese declarer, so that is what I bid. West led the S7 and Therese did not find the HQ, so she finished with 12 tricks for +990. If declarer can find the HQ, the grand slam is cold, but this is yet another example that the risk of bidding the grand is not worth the gain, as NS at the other table played in a quiet 4H, also making 12 tricks so we gained 11 Imps. The Neill team had bad luck on this board when the opposition North
W	QJ N E	S	overbid to 7H, when South incorrectly showed the HQ in the RKCB
/ / /	1H / 3C! / 3S / 6NT //	1D 2NT 3D 3NT	responses. North reasoned that a trump lead after the bidding was clearly indicated, but East led another suit, so North played East for the HQ and wrapped up 13 tricks for 11 Imps out.
M 6 Bd 24 Dlr W Vul Nil KJ963 QJT 93 K85	AT87 A942 T874 4	Q4 87653 KJ5 QT6	On board 24 in the sixth match Therese had 2 options after my negative double, and chose to rebid her 6-card suit instead of her diamond suit, which made me optimistically think of a possible game, and since I likely had a double-stopper, I rebid 2NT, which Therese raised to game. East dutifully led the SQ and my options did not look good when dummy tracked, but the club suit looked like the best prospect, so I led to the C9 on the table and it held! This duck was a good idea by West, as it made me think the clubs were bad and there was no future there, so I exited with a spade to set up my second spade trick, and West duly obliged.
W / 1S / //	N E / / X / 2NT /	S 1C 2C 3NT	Now the diamond finesse worked, the suit was 3-2, and East won the 3 rd diamond, so I had 2 spades, 2 hearts, 3 diamonds and 2 clubs for 9 in all and +400. At the other table South rebid 2D, and the final contract was 3D, making 10 tricks for -130, but 7 Imps to us.

M 7 Bd 3 Dir S Vul EW AT542 AQJ6 K72 6	97 K52 AQ62 AKQ9 KJ63 98 JT4 JT83 Q8 T743 987	On board 3 in the seventh match the value of patience was demonstrated when West bid to a very good 4S, in spite of the fact that I had 18 HCPs. I led the top clubs and West ruffed the second, drew trumps, and finessed a heart to my HK, and it was my time to think and add up. I knew 1 diamond could be discarded on the 3 rd heart, and that West certainly had the DK, so I had to play Therese for heart length and control of the 4 th heart if she only had 4 of them, so I led back a heart and waited for my 2 diamond tricks. Therese had the vital hearts, so with nowhere else to go Declarer took the diamond finesse and went 1 off for +100.
W	7542 N E S	4SE is off immediately by a diamond lead from South, but West can
1S	X 3S	/ make 4S by passing the H9 at trick 5.
4S	//	At the other table I do not have the play, but Simon made 4S as West, so we gained 12 Imps.
M 7 Bd 5 Dir N Vul NS T53 KQ873 2 K765	AKQ8 AT64 A73 94 64 J952 QT AQ832 J972 - KJ98654 JT	Of course we could make at least 11 tricks spades, so that was a potential loss, but at least it was a game.
w //	N E 3	At the other table I do not have the bidding, but Simon and Liz stole the hand in 3H, which made exactly 9 tricks for another +140 and 13 Imps to us. D
M 8 Bd 18	AJT9 Q86543	On board 18 in the eighth match both Therese and I were put off by our void in each other's suit.
Dir E Vul NS 82 AKT2 J732 K72	- T65 54 J97 K84 AQJ94	Even though the South hand is minimum on points, I think it is worth a 2S bid over East's 2C as that implies a minimum of a 5-card diamond suit anyway. When Therese came again with 3S over West's 3C, I did not place her with a 5-card suit, and while I thought about raising, my diamond void and 4-4 fit warned of caution.
	KQ763 - AQT965 83	West led the HA and Therese was able to ruff in hand, cash the DA to discard a club, and then a couple of diamond ruffs established the diamond suit for 11 tricks and +200.
W X 3C //	N E 3 1 1H 2C 2	At the other table NS and EW had a bidding battle, with South the victor, finally winning the contract in 5S. However, after EW cashed the top clubs, declarer lost their way completely and finished 2 off for +200, and 9 Imps to us!

M 9 Bd 10 Dir E Vul All AQ76 K76 Q6 KQ64	J8 A4 A54 AJT752 K42 QJT82 KT9	T953 953 J8732 8	On board 10 in the ninth match I could have bid a natural 3C over the 1NT opening, but this is risky with nearly half the HCPs on my right, so instead I decided to take my chances on beating 1NT with my good suit and 2 Aces. I led the CJ, and it was obvious from dummy that Therese had 8-10 HCPs, so it was unlikely that 1NT was going to make unless West had good diamonds. Declarer place the SA and S6, and I won the SJ and cashed out the clubs, noting the fall of the C9, setting up my club suit. Therese won the next spade and led the DT in response to my signal,
w	93 N E	S /	and declarer made 4 tricks for 3 off and +300. Ignoring my signal and leading the HQ would have given West no more tricks and 4 off, but much the same result.
1NT	//	,	At the other table North bid clubs, and made 10 tricks for -130, but 5 lmps to us.
M 10 Bd 26 Dir E Vul All K7 J83	AQ98 9 T873 Q653	T4 AK642	On board 26 in the tenth match East got very adventurous on a minimum hand and paid a severe penalty. West's 3C was alerted and explained as a limit raise in hearts, which is what she had, but I suspect that 5C was for real, and if West had Kx she would have passed.
5 AJT9742	J6532 QT75 AKJ 8	Q9642 K	Therese had s0me thought of doubling 4H, so she had no troubling in doubling 5H, and the opening lead was the DK, asking for reverse count. I won the spade switch, cashed another spade and led the H9, which declarer won, cashed the CK and ruffed a diamond in dummy to cash the CA, pitching a diamond.
W 3C!	N E 1F 4F	l 1S l /	Therese ruffed the club and returned the HQ to squash the HJ in dummy and prevent any more ruffs. Declarer lost an extra trick in the wash and finished 5 off for +1400.
/ 5C //	4S / / 5H		At the other table Liz was more circumspect, and passed out 4S, and got a bonus when declarer went 1 off to another +100 and 17 Imps!
M 10 Bd 27 Dir S	- AQ8765		On board 27 in the tenth match the hand was very distributional and we finished on the wrong side of it.
Vul Nil KJT98743	65 QT654	AQ62	West made it easier for me initially by only bidding 3S over 1D, and I was able to bid 4H, but East has an easy 4S bid over this.
T2 Q 32		J93 A842	Therese doubled for penalties, but with my distributional hand I could not stand this, so I showed my second suit with 5C.
	5 K4 KJT973	K9	West now made a good decision to bid again, and to my surprize, Therese passed this time, and thinking it may be forcing I gave serious thought to bidding 6C, but meekly passed.
W	AJ87	e	This contract went 2 off, undoubled for +100, but when the contract at the other table was 5SX, we lost 5 Imps.
3S /	N E 4H 45 5C 5S	1D 3 X	6C or 6H would probably have made, as East has no reason to find the winning defence of laying down the DA and giving West a ruff. The only cold slam is 6D, as after a spade is ruffed on the table at trick 1, the only diamond layout that will give 12 tricks is the actual one!

M 11	AKT		
Bd 3	AK9		
DIr S	8653		
Vul EW	K53		
QJ65			86
Q632			JT
AK			QJT72
872			QJT6
	9432		
	8754		
	94		
	A94		
W	N	Ε	S
1NT	Х	2C	/
2D	/	/	X
//			

On board 3 in the eleventh match West opened a weak 1NT when I had a strong 1NT, so I doubled for penalties, although I did not have a strong or long suit to lead!

East's 2C was a runout with a single suit, (although it looks like 2 suits to me) and the pass of 2D confirms that it is diamonds.

Therese now made a negative double, and I decided to pass this for penalties and lead a trump!

It took me a while, but eventually I found where Therese's meagre values were, and we cashed our 6 tricks for 1 off and +200. When declarer turned up the the DA and DK early on, and Therese had nothing in the majors, (indicating declarer had both major suit Queens), she was marked with the CA since West had thus far 11 HCPs, so there was no room for an Ace.

At the other table South managed to eke out 8 tricks in 2H for -110, so we gained a meagre 3 Imps.