## 2019 Gold Coast Open Pairs by Richard Wallis

This year in the Bobby Richman Pairs I played with Craig Francis, no relation to Neville Francis, although he jokingly calls him 'Dad' as Craig is a little younger and far less experienced. Our second qualifying session was just below average, but the first session was far worse, and we finished in section $G$ for the final 3 sessions. Consequently I am going to skip the qualifying and go straight to the final.

We finally hit our straps in the G final, winning comfortably, which was a little embarrassing, as we should have been in a higher section and it was not really fair on the less experienced players. We were not the only experienced pairs to falter in the qualifying though, as section $F$ had 3 pairs who probably expected to make the final, or at least the Plate.

Nevertheless Final G was a very enjoyable event, everyone was friendly and courteous, and generally enjoying themselves. Of course in this type of Howell movement you play follow the leader, and the ladies that took our seats for the next round following each move, always asked how we were going and continued to ask when we saw them during the Teams event that followed the Pairs.


| S 1 Bd 3 DIr S Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { K6432 } \\ & \text { KQ7 } \\ & 984 \\ & 76 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On Board 3 in the first session I, South, had a fair hand and my redouble showed 3 -card support for spades. Craig's 2 S rebid was not forcing, but confirmed a 5 -card suit. <br> My heart shortage and good diamond suit over East prompted me to bid 4 S next rather than invite, although it is likely that Craig would have accepted anyway. <br> East led the CK, CA, HA and C9, won in dummy by Craig, who proceeded to cash out the spades finishing in hand to take the diamond finesse. <br> When the DK popped he could claim the rest and the reason East cashed out was obvious as Craig had 11 tricks otherwise. <br> Surprisingly this was a $75 \%$ score, so most NS pairs must have played in a part-score. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J107 986 652 J432 |  |  | 95 <br> AJ10532 <br> K <br> AK98 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |
| 1 | 1S | X | XX |  |
| // | 25 | 3H | 4 S |  |


| S 1 Bd 15 DIr S Vul NS | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline J 10 \\ 64 \\ \hline 1086 \\ \text { K9864 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | On Board 15 in the first session Craig's 2D response to my strong 2C opening simply showed at least 1 Ace or King, and my 2 H rebid was artificial, forcing Craig to rebid 2S (Kokish), whereupon I clarified my hand with 3H. <br> Craig's 4 C was first or second round control and I got lucky when I just jumped to 6 H , because it was actually first round control. A more sensible bid would have been 4NT (RKCB) to check that it was the CA and sign off in 5 H opposite a zero-ace reply. <br> South led the DK and I was exposed to a loser at trick 1, but the CA settled the issue and we were soon scoring up +980 for $88 \%$. <br> Since spades were so friendly, 6S also makes but you would only get there by accident. <br> This hand illustrates a principle that I understand, but unfortunately rarely follow: Slow down! <br> If Craig had held the CK and no other, a diamond lead would have led to defeat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q92 QJ72 942 A107 |  |  | AK65 AK10983 A3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | [1 |  |
| 2D! | / | 2 H | / |  |
| 2S! | 1 | 3 H | 1 |  |
| 4C | / | 4D | / |  |
| 4 H | 1 | 6 H | // |  |


| S 1 Bd 21 DIr N Vul NS | Q7A6JAKQ109632 |  |  | On Board 21 in the first session North opened with a strong 2 C (not my choice!) and rebid the suit at every turn up to game, and at the same time denying any support for South's majors. <br> It seemed like a good idea to have a look at dummy, so I cashed the SA and continued with the S4 to Craig's SK and the ball was in his court. <br> Unfortunately Craig guessed to return a heart, and declarer claimed the rest of the tricks for -600 . <br> However we had the last laugh as 3NT is cold and many declarers made 10 or more tricks in 3 NT so we scored $88 \%$. <br> North has the potential to take many tricks in NT, but his diamond shortage is a serious risk, and the 2C opening took away a level of bidding to allow North to ask South for a diamond stop. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K10 98754 109432 5 |  |  | AJ64 102 AQ87 J74 |  |
|  | 985 KQJ K65 8 |  |  |  |
| W | N 2 C |  | S |  |
| 1 | 3 C | 1 | 35 |  |
| / | 4C | 相 | 4 H |  |
| 1 | 5 C | // |  |  |


| S 2 <br> Bd 22 <br> DIr E <br> Vul EW | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { K943 } \\ 952 \\ 109763 \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | On Board 22 in the second session Craig opened 1 H and my 1 S response kept South silent, following which Craig jumped to game. Even with 5 diamonds and 4 spades, I would only respond 1D if I was strong enough to reverse into spades on the next round of bidding. <br> North hit on the lead of the D10 instead of the CQ and got lucky when South ruffed this, but then their luck ran out as South tried to cash the SA at trick 2. <br> Craig had carefully discarded the DA when South ruffed, and thus he was able to draw all of the trumps, cash the DK and finesse the D8 for 10 tricks and a $75 \%$ score. <br> Those Norths who led the CQ gave West no winning play. If they ducked, then South would play the C6 suggesting a diamond switch and a cross-ruff would develop. If they covered, the C6 return would again suggest a diamond return and NS will take 3 diamond ruffs and 3 club ruffs plus the CA and only 6 tricks for EW. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKQJ73 <br> AK2 <br> J853 |  |  | J1062 <br> - <br> QJ854 <br> K942 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W 1H 4 H | N / // | E 1 18 | [ $\begin{aligned} & \text { s } \\ & 1 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ |  |


| S 2 <br> Bd 23 <br> DIr S <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 974 \\ & \text { A1086 } \\ & \text { KQ10542 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On Board 23 in the second session I missed a golden opportunity to get a good score when I rebid 3 H instead of my good 6-card club suit. <br> After Craig's cue-bid I know he has a good hand so 4C would be reasonably safe and if clubs are trumps my hand could take quite a few more tricks than I can take if hearts are trumps. <br> If I rebid 4C it is likely that Craig will raise to game with such good support, and 5C is cold. <br> In 3H North led the DK and switched to a spade. Craig won the SA and ruffed a diamond in dummy then led the HQ which North ducked. <br> Back to hand with the SK and then the HK led to 10 tricks when South did not get their club ruff. However +170 was only worth 19\%. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKQ <br> KJ973 <br> 98 <br> KJ2 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 8532 \\ \text { Q4 } \\ 7 \\ \text { AQ9543 } \end{array}$ |  |
|  | J106 52 AJ63 108 |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |
| 1 H 3 D | 2D | X 3 H | // |  |


| S 3 <br> Bd 3 <br> DIr S <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A10432 } \\ & \text { A3 } \\ & \text { KQ2 } \\ & \text { K32 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On Board 3 in the third session I opened with a weak 2 H with a poor suit and Craig asked with 2 NT , to which my 3 H rebid suggested a poor suit and maximum points. <br> I was off to a good start in 4 H when West led the C5, and, after dummy tracked it looked like only a 4-1 break would put 4H down. <br> I did get a 4-1 break, but the kind I could deal with and I subsequently lost 2 hearts and the DA for +420 . <br> Since 4 S is also cold on the 3-2 break, losing just a spade, a heart and a diamond, and we have 25 HCPs, this looks a routine flat board. <br> Even more so when you consider that 3NT also makes 10 tricks, but somehow we scored $64 \%$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q97 } \\ & \text { J } \\ & \text { AJ10763 } \\ & 1085 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { J8 } \\ & \text { KQ72 } \\ & 984 \\ & \text { Q976 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S $2 \mathrm{H}!$ |  |
| , | 2NT! | 1 | 3 H ! |  |
| / | 4H | // |  |  |


| S 3 Bd 5 DIr N Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { J62 } \\ & 72 \\ & 10654 \\ & 10874 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On Board 5 in the third session Craig doubled the 1NT opening bid for penalties and I had more than enough to leave it in. If I had North's hand I would likely have at least thought about getting out, but since the doubler is on lead, it is often best to leave it in unless you are weak with a 6-card suit. <br> I definitely would have escaped 1NTX if I was North, and 2D is a far superior contract, reachable by bidding 2 C , showing the lower of 2 suits, and South bids their lowest 4 -card suit thus North can pass 2D. <br> Craig led the S7 and I could infer by the Rule of 11 that South had just one higher card. If it was the SA or SK then the SJ becomes a second trick for declarer if I play the SQ, so I played the S9 and much to their surprize declarer won the first trick with the S10! <br> That was the end of the good news for declarer and +500 was worth $81 \%$ to us. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AK8753 A109 A2 63 |  |  | Q9 KJ85 973 Q952 |  |
|  | 104 Q643 KQJ8 AKJ |  |  |  |
| W X | N / | E | S 1 NT |  |


| S 3 <br> Bd 8 <br> DIr W <br> Vul Nil | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { KJ10832 } \\ & 105 \\ & 764 \\ & \mathrm{~J} 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | On Board 8 in the third session Craig opened with a weak 2 in spades and I had 4-card support and a 20-count! <br> For some reason best known to her, East thought before passing 2 S, so I deduced that the outstanding HCPs were likely to be well placed, and maybe QJ10xxx would be enough in Craig's hand as long as he had an entry. <br> Grand slam aspirations were quickly dispelled by the 5D response to RKCB, and I bid the obvious 6S, to which East led the HA and another heart. <br> Craig also noted the hesitation over 2 S so diagnosed that if the trumps broke 3-0 it would be likely that it was East who was void, so cashed the SA in dummy first, leading to an easy 12 tricks for +980 and $81 \%$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q9 } \\ & 743 \\ & \text { J3 } \\ & \text { K109743 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 7 <br> AJ862 <br> Q98 <br> Q862 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A654 } \\ & \text { KQ9 } \\ & \text { AK1052 } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W / / // | $\mathbf{N}$ $\mathbf{E}$ <br> 2 S $/!$ <br> 5 D 1 | S 4 NT 6 S |  |



