## 2018 Northern Suburbs Teams of Three by Richard Wallis

This year in the Teams of 3 at NSBC on 9th December I had the pleasure of playing with Lyn Tracey, Robin Webcke and Peter Lang. We had 5 modest wins and 1 bad loss to finish in 3rd place. The winners in A grade were Andrew Gosney, Abigail Wanigaratne, and Gary and Debbie Gibbards, with Paul Roberts, Sumant Handa, Eugene Pereira and Rex Meadowcroft in a close 2nd place.
It was a good day, enjoyed by all who participated, with very few calls for the Director (Alan Gibson), and great hospitality from NSBC as usual. It is meant to be a learning day, and there were many instructive hands that my team could take away for logging into the memory. Some we got right, and some we put down to experience, hopefully to avoid being repeated.
On one memorable hand I cost our team 4 Imps when I forgot I was playing in a teams match and did not cash my 8 tricks in a 2 S contract, and instead tried for an overtrick, finishing 1 down! Not surprisingly, since most players were inexperienced, some of our mistakes were duplicated at the other table, finishing flat, but not this one!


| M1 Bd 6 Dlr E Vul EW | J63 876 Q974 J86 |  | On board 6 in the first match East opened 1C and I made a weak-jump overcall of 2S, over which West bid 3D without any problem. <br> East's rebid was not so obvious, and he eventually came forth with 3NT, to which I led the S10, obviously won on the table. <br> Now East's inexperience came to the fore when he cashed the top diamonds, discarding a heart(?), before changing his mind and taking the heart finesse into the safe hand. <br> I exited with a heart and now East cashed the CA and led to the CQ, which I won and exited with another heart to East who now had nowhere to go and exited with a club to Robin and we cashed out for 3 off and +300 . <br> At the other table the same contract was reached but Peter used the club fit to greater advantage, running the CT from the table, setting up 4 clubs, and 2 each of the other suits for 10 tricks and +14 imps. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K J54 AK10862 A103 |  | Q75 AK103 5 Q9752 |  |
|  | A109842 Q92 J3 K4 |  |  |
| W 3D | $\mathbf{N}$ $\mathbf{E}$ <br>  1 C <br>  3NT | S 2S // |  |


| M 2 <br> Bd 9 <br> DIr N <br> Vul EW | Q94325AJ10A1063 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { K1065 } \\ & \text { K63 } \\ & \text { KQ74 } \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \text { QJ109874 } \\ & 86 \\ & \text { J85 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AJ\& } \\ & \text { A2 } \\ & 95 \\ & \text { KQ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W <br> // | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} \\ 1 S \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ 3 H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ 4 \mathrm{~S} \end{gathered}$ |

On board 9 in the second match I was planning to get to 4 S slowly, by first responding 2 C since I only have 3-card support, but when East overcalled 3H I bid a practical 4 S .
East has a safe lead of the HQ , which will give nothing away and sometimes set up a defensive trick, but instead he led an anti-percentage D8, looking for a ruff?
This is anti-percentage because he only has a singleton trump, and, when West won the SK at trick 2, cashed the DK and returned a diamond, East had no more trumps left.

Peter was surprised when East discarded, but, since a diamond ruff looked likely, it would have been prudent to cash the SA first, eschewing the finesse, and leading the SJ.
At the other table Peter led the HQ and 4 S went 1 off for +50 and 10 imps to us.

| M 2 <br> Bd 13 <br> DIr N <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q83 } \\ & \text { AJ102 } \\ & - \\ & \text { K108543 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 13 in the second match I bid a less-than-brave (cowardly?) weak 2D over East's 1C opening and West made a negative double with a less-than-perfect hand. <br> West could bid 2NT with his good stoppers, but instead chose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A107 } \\ & \text { K85 } \\ & \text { J74 } \\ & \text { A762 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | KJ4 <br> 9643 <br> KQ9 <br> QJ9 | to bid his 4-card heart suit, and 2 H was passed out. <br> This seemed like a good time to lead the DA, as I thought that leading the D2 hoping for a club return may be a bit hard for |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 965 \\ & \text { Q7 } \\ & \text { A10 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Robin ruffed the D2 and returned a club as requested and we took the first 7 tricks for 2 off and +200 . 2 NT can make, but requires pin-point card-reading. |
| W X | N / | E 1 C 2 H | S 2D // | At the other table John Gough was braver and bid 3D with the South hand, again West doubled, and Peter bid 3 H , which suffered the same defence but this time 3 off for -300 and 3 imps out. |


| M 2 <br> Bd 14 <br> DIr E <br> Vul Nil | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5 \\ 1086 \\ \text { A75 } \\ \text { QJ9743 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJ8743 } \\ & 752 \\ & \text { K102 } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | QJ93 <br> 8643 <br> K10652 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AQ} \\ & \mathrm{AK} \\ & \text { QJ } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W <br> // | N | E | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 1 \mathrm{~S} \end{gathered}$ |

On board 14 in the second match Robin picked a very good time to be conservative. He has the absolute minimum for a response to my opening bid, albeit with a reasonable 6-card club suit, but his shortage in my spade suit suggested a pass.
East must has suspected a spade stack in the West hand but was too weak to respond, so 1 S was the end of the auction. West led the CA and switched to the H5.
I was very pleased to be in just 1 S when dummy tracked, as I would likely have rebid $3 S$ if Robin had responded 1 NT , and even happier when West covered the DQ.

My delight eased a little when East discarded when the S 5 was led off the table, and I knew I had problems. Luckily West pulled the wrong card during the play and I finished up with 8 tricks for +110 . At the other table $2 S$ went 1 off for +4 imps .

| M 3 <br> Bd 20 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { QJ4 } \\ \text { K864 } \\ 4 \\ \text { AJ853 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A1095 } \\ & \text { A1052 } \\ & 7632 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | K82 <br> J973 <br> AJ85 <br> Q9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 763 \\ & \text { Q } \\ & \text { KQ109 } \\ & \text { K10764 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1C | 1 | 1D |
| 1 | 1H | 1 | 2C |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 20 in the third match I did not like my hand for game so bid a conservative 2 C on the second round which was passed this out.
East led the S2 and Peter won the $3^{\text {rd }}$ round and drew trumps, finishing unfortunately in dummy, so he exited with the DK, won by East who switched to the H3.
Finishing the trumps in the dummy at least eliminated any minor problem with finding the $C Q$, but the diamond suit is more powerful if the D4 is led towards dummy.
Not to worry, West did not want to give a ruff-sluff with a spade, nor force dummy to ruff a heart, so led a diamond into the Q109, and Peter could wrap up 9 tricks for +110 .
At the other table NS climbed all the way to 5 C , also making 9 tricks, but +100 was worth 5 imps.

| M 4 <br> Bd 3 <br> Dlr S <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK82 } \\ & \text { J9843 } \\ & \text { KJ8 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Board 3 in the fourth match proved that sometimes fortune favours the brave! <br> Peter called 2C over the 1NT opening bid to show both majors, and obviously I bid 2S, which was passed out. <br> The opening lead was the HA and West switched to a trump, but I could win in dummy and lead another heart, setting up the suit. My modest hand fitted in perfectly with Peter's hand and we emerged with 8 tricks for +110 . <br> Even a heart continuation at trick 2 does not beat the contract, as East ruffs with a natural trump trick. <br> At the other table North was silent over the 1NT opening, and Lyn had no problem making 8 tricks for +120 and 6 imps. <br> East could have doubled the 2 C artificial bid to suggest a club contract, but maybe thought he was too weak? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 AK5 A963 AQ63 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q94 } \\ & 72 \\ & 1074 \\ & \text { KJ1087 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { J653 } \\ & \text { Q106 } \\ & \text { Q52 } \\ & 954 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| W 1NT // | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} \\ 2 \mathrm{C}! \end{gathered}$ | E | S 1 $2 S$ |  |



| M 5 <br> Bd 16 <br> DIr W <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & \text { K62 } \\ & \text { J942 } \\ & \text { Q972 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A10864510865AK10 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 753 \\ & \text { AJ1097 } \\ & 7 \\ & 6543 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | KQJ <br> Q84 <br> AKQ <br> J8 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | / | / | 1D |
| 1 S | 2D | 2 S | 2NT |
| 3S | / | / | 3NT |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 16 in the fifth match I opened 1D in the $4^{\text {th }}$ seat, West now overcalled 1S, having passed initially, and East raised to 2 S over Lyn's 2D response.
My 2NT rebid showing 18-19 was easy, but I had to re-think when 3 came around to me. A penalty double came immediately to mind, and this fits in with the vulnerability, but I went for broke with 3NT.
3SX is our best result, especially if Lyn is psychic enough to lead a trump, but on the obvious trump switch when I win the DA, 7 tricks appears to be the limit for +500 .
West led the S6 which I won and led the H3. East won the HA and returned a spade. West paused to think on winning the SA and thought a little too much as he cashed the top clubs before returning a spade and now I had 9 tricks for +400 .
Same contract at the other table, but 2 off for 11 lucky imps.


