## 2015 Territory Gold by Richard Wallis

I had the pleasure of playing in the Territory Gold in Alice Springs with Margaret Bourke this year, and she did me a big favour by learning the $2 / 1$ system I normally play with Therese Tully, albeit with a few minor changes.
We started off with the Match-Point Pairs, played over a qualifying and semi-final on Wednesday and a final on Thursday morning. Alas there was no carry-over and we saved our worst performance for the final, only scoring $50 \%$ for a $9^{\text {th }}$ place. Next we teamed up with Micha Solar and George Bilski for the Swiss Teams, consisting of 8 matches played over Thursday night and Friday, where we all preformed creditably, for a $6^{\text {th }}$ place finish.
We saved our best until last, which was the Swiss Butler Pairs, contested over 11/10-board matches on Saturday and Sunday. A couple of small losses on the first day had us poised at table 3 for the $7^{\text {th }}$ match on Sunday morning against one of the top seeds, where luckily for us our opponents had a couple of blind spots and we ended up with a good win to move to $3^{\text {rd }}$ place.
A modest win against the leaders in match 8 was not enough to displace them, but moved us up to $2^{\text {nd }}$, and then a good win in match 9 while they lost, got us to the top spot for the final 2 matches. A near maximum win in match 10 gave us a 10.8 VP lead for the last match, and thus only a draw was needed to ensure victory. EW had most of the cards for the last match, and it did not feel good to us at the end, but we emerged with a 1 Imp win to keep us in the lead and a very satisfying win.
Tony Jackman, a partner and good friend for many years, and apparently a budding comedian, emailed me the following message on Sunday night: "I always thought Margaret Bourke was a good player, and now I am sure of it!"

| M 1 <br> Bd 1 <br> Dir N <br> Vul Nil | 98 8762 AT A5 |  |  | Board 1 in the first match was a straight-forward check-back situation, and when Margaret showed 3-card spade support, I went for game in spades. <br> 3NT is a lucky make if NS do not lead diamonds, but goes 1 off on a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KJT42 AKJ4 43 Q9 |  |  | AQ5 <br> 953 <br> Q86 <br> KT87 | South alerted my suspicious mind by asking about the 2D bid (alerted by Margaret) before passing 4S, and both Margaret and I were getting ready for a diamond lead, but North had a club ruff on his mind and led the CA and another club. <br> After this good start, I was able to win in hand, draw trumps ending on the table, and discard a diamond on the CK before taking the heart finesse for my contract, needing only a reasonable layout. |
|  | 63 QT KJ J6 |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |
|  | 1 | 1C | 1 | When South had the short heart honours, I had an easy 11 tricks for +450 , and a modest 2 Imps against the board average of -370 . |
| 1 S | 1 | 1NT | - 1 |  |
| 2D! | / | 2S | - |  |
| 4S | // |  |  |  |


| M 1 <br> Bd 5 <br> DIr N <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T96542 } \\ & 85 \\ & 75 \\ & \text { J64 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 5 in the first match Margaret preferred rebidding 2C to rebidding 1NT, even though I might have expected a more unbalanced hand, or a 6-card suit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AQ <br> KQ764 <br> AQT9 <br> A2 |  |  | J8 <br> AJ K642 KQ875 | Obviously I was always going to slam when Margaret opened 1C, but the grand slam was not out of the question if we had a fit somewhere, so I rebid 2D, which was an artificial game-force now known as Bourke's Relay. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K73 } \\ & \text { T932 } \\ & \text { J83 } \\ & \text { T93 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | What I was hoping for was 3 -card heart support, but instead I found 4card support for diamonds, which indicated Margaret had 2 hearts at most, 4 diamonds, and at least 5 clubs. <br> Now 4NT was RKCB for diamonds, and by her 5H response I knew she had the vital HA and DK, making the grand slam a good chance. |
| W | N | E | S |  |
|  | , | 1 C | 1 | I bid 7NT instead of 7D, which has more play possibilities, as I did not |
| 1H | 1 | 2 C | 1 | want to rely on just one suit, when either clubs or hearts may give me enough tricks. |
| 2D! | 1 | 3D | 1 |  |
| 4NT | 1 | 5H | 1 | When dummy tracked I lost no time in claiming 13 tricks for +1520 , which was a surprizing 10 Imps to us. |
| 7NT | // |  |  |  |


| M 2 <br> Bd 16 <br> DIr W <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & 9652 \\ & \text { Q876 } \\ & \text { Q9642 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | I do not think anyone knew what was going on in the bidding of board 16 in the second match, as surely the 1S rebid by East was intended as forcing, and showed longer clubs! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T8 KT92 J753 A43 |  |  | ```AKJ4 J3 A QJ8762``` | My double over the 1C opening is unconventional, but I did not want to pass with 14 HCPs and 3 -card support for every suit. When 1S was passed around to Margaret, she balanced with 2D, and now suddenly, West thought they had enough for game after all! |
|  | Q73 <br> A54 <br> KT8 <br> KT95 |  |  | Margaret led the D4, and our system worked against us as I felt I had to unblock the DT under the DA in dummy, but this gave Margaret the wrong message about my diamond strength, as she could hardly credit West with J753 as his diamond stopper for bidding 3NT over 3C. |
| W | N | E | S | It looked easy for West when he led the CQ, which held, until he saw Margaret show out by discarding the D2! |
| 1H | / | 1 C | X |  |
| / $/$ | 2D // | 3 C | 1 | Now he passed the HJ, and Margaret won and led a spade, declarer playing low in dummy. Winning the SQ I now cashed the DK and then led the D8, allowing Margaret to cash her 2 remaining diamonds, and the HA made it 2 off for +200 and 5 Imps . |


| M 3 Bd 25 Dir N Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQ98 } \\ & \text { J986 } \\ & \text { T86 } \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 25 in the third match East showed 25-27 HCPs by the 3NT rebid, and West elected to pass and hope for the best, but this was not a success. <br> I led the S4, and East was in trouble immediately, since there were now likely to be at least 4 spades and a club to lose, with no prospect of setting up the club suit in dummy. <br> East did not set up his best suit and hope for some confusion in the spade suit, instead leading out the top hearts before the CK. I ducked the CK and won the next, although ducking is the best move in case Margaret's C8 was a singleton, and exited with the SJ. Margaret overtook the $\operatorname{SJ}$ to cash her spades and the HJ before exiting with the DT, allowing us to take 7 tricks for +300 and 4 Imps. <br> West might have been lucky with the club support by East in 3NT, but it is surely better odds to play in 5C rather than 3NT, and since East is declarer, this is cold unless I lead an unlikely spade! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T3 T73 43 JT9632 |  |  | A5 AKQ5 AQJ72 KQ |  |
|  | J7642 42 K95 A54 |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |
|  | / | 2C |  |  |
| 2D | 1 | 3NT |  |  |


| M 4 Bd 4 Dlr W Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & \text { KT874 } \\ & \text { K85 } \\ & 764 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Board 4 in the fourth match involved a bit of determination to limit my losses and finished with a bit of luck. <br> The 3D response by Margaret showed spade support, and either 3 or 4 -card support. I could have found out the type of support and pointrange by bidding 3 H , but obviously I was not interested in game. <br> North led a non-committal C7, and South won the CA and immediately played the HA and another, getting a heart ruff. <br> The contract looked to be 1 down at this stage, and if South was short in hearts, he was likely to be long in spades, with North having a singleton. When I won the DA and led a spade up, I wanted to avoid 2 off by taking what looked like a losing spade finesse, so I rose with the SA hoping to pitch away my diamonds on the clubs before South could trump in. <br> To my surprise, the SK dropped so I made +140 for 6 Imps. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q8543 QJ2 A96 QT |  |  | AJT9 965 T3 KJ92 |  |
|  | K2 A3 Q A8 |  |  |  |
| W $1 S$ $3 S$ | N / // | E |  |  |



| M 5 <br> Bd 15 <br> DIr S <br> Vul NS | $J 94$AJ98643985 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KT632 } \\ & \text { KQ2 } \\ & \text { J752 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 75 \\ & \text { AK3 } \\ & \text { AKQJ73 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | AQ T QT T9 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 2D! | X | 2 H |
| 1 | / | 30 | // |

On board 15 in the fifth match lady luck was with us in a big way when North decided to open with a Mult-2D, instead of 3 H as did the rest of the field.
I was more intent on South's response rather than Margaret's bid, and I did not notice that she had doubled over the 2D, showing a good hand.
When North passed the 2 H response by South, I still had not noticed the original double when Margaret now bid 3C, and therefore passed, hoping for the best.
After the lead of the HT and subsequent ruff, followed by SA and S8, Margaret drew trumps and lost a diamond for 9 tricks and +110 .
Then the first double was brought to my attention and I knew we had missed a cold game! At many other tables, North opened 3H, East doubled, and West jumped to 4S, inevitably going off, so we had actually picked up 3 Imps , but it could have been a lot more if I had not dozed off!


| M 7 <br> Bd 4 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | AJ8 <br> J963 <br> Q8 <br> J876 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K654 } \\ & \text { AQ8 } \\ & \text { A932 } \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T72 } \\ & \text { KT2 } \\ & 6 \\ & \text { AKQT42 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q93 } \\ & 754 \\ & \text { KJT754 } \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1C! | 1 | 1S! | , |
| 1NT | 1 | 2C | 1 |
| 3C | 1 | 3D | X |
| 3NT | // |  |  |

Board 4 in the seventh match was a straight-forward 3NT for EW, requiring just a little bit of care, but at our table a wheel fell off during the play and it affected declarer big time.
EW play one of those systems with transfer responses to 1C, and at the end of the auction, I believe that East had shown opening values, with a long club suit and short diamond.
After my double of 3D Margaret led the DQ, and while declarer was considering this, I gave some thought to overtaking at trick 1, but played low. To my surprise, declarer took the DA at trick 1!
Declarer next played to the CA, and noting the fall of my C9, came back to hand with the HA and led a club up considering the finesse, but eventually playing the CK to reveal the club loser.
Declarer gave up a club and Margaret won and led the D8, so I cashed my diamonds and exited with the S3, which declarer predictably got wrong, so we had 3 more tricks to cash for 5 off and +500 . Declarer explained he thought he had 3 clubs, so was certain of 9 tricks as I could hardly have long clubs as well as diamonds.

| M 8 Bd 12 DIr W Vul NS | AKQ <br> QJ873 <br> J9 <br> AQ9 |  |  | Generally speaking in a Swiss movement it is an advantage to have the cards, but board 12 in the eighth match was an exception. <br> North opened with 2NT (19-21), and after checking for a spade fit, South signed off in 6NT. Holding a minimum of 32 HCPs between the two hands, this was eminently reasonable, and from the score, was repeated at a few other tables. <br> Margaret avoided the fatal opening lead of a club, and instead led the D3, giving nothing away. <br> Declarer has many chances for 12 tricks, firstly if the hearts broke, or the T9 were doubleton, but when neither of these happened, there was a still the chance of a squeeze if I had the CK as well as the $4^{\text {th }}$ heart. <br> When the squeeze did not work, that was 1 down for +100 , and 7 Imps against the board average of +210 . It is a shame really that those pairs not in slam actually gained so much. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T972 AT62 54 JT8 |  |  | 43 95 T8732 K743 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J865 } \\ & \text { K4 } \\ & \text { AKQ6 } \\ & 652 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |
| , | 2NT |  | 3C |  |
| // | 3 H | 1 | 6NT |  |


| M 9 <br> Bd 27 <br> DIr S <br> Vul Nil | Q54 <br> AK64 <br> K93 <br> KT5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJ973 } \\ & \text { Q7 } \\ & \text { Q4 } \\ & \text { AQ93 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A862 } \\ & 9532 \\ & \text { AT872 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | T JT8 J65 J87642 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1NT | 1 | 2 C | 1 |
| 2 S | 1 | 4S | // |

On board 27 in the ninth match I should have opened 1S on my 5224 hand, but upgraded it to a 1NT opening because of the 5 -card spade suit, wanting to be declarer in any NT contract, wanting to protect my red-suit holdings by having the lead up to me.
Margaret used simple Stayman, and obviously raised to game, and North found himself on lead, and end-played at trick one!
North led the top hearts, and with nothing better to do, continued the suit, which I ruffed to set up the H9 for a discard.
Now a cross-ruff looked in order so I cashed my SK and it looked hopeful for an overtrick when South played the ST, but the SQ did not fall under the SA, so I cashed the H9, pitching a diamond, and set about the cross-ruff by cashing the DA and ruffing a diamond back to hand.
CA and a club ruff, followed by another diamond ruff, and when I led the next club from hand I had my $10^{\text {th }}$ trick en passant, even if North was now void in clubs. +420 was a modest 1 Imp gain.

| M 10 <br> Bd 6 <br> DIr E <br> Vul EW | 643 QJ Q7 QJT862 |  | On board 6 in the tenth match, in my view, West paid too much attention to her void in East's announced spade suit and drove to a slam that can make double-dummy, but in practice will always fail. <br> EW were playing transfer responses to a 1 C opening, so the 1 H response showed at least a 4-card spade suit. <br> West's 2 H rebid was natural, to which East responded 4D, a splinter agreeing hearts, and by virtue of the first transfer response, East was the declarer! <br> I do not know enough about their system to confirm if having 4 hearts showed a minimum of 5 spades in the East hand for the 1 H transfer response, but now West has a void in her hand opposite a long suit, and a singleton opposite her other long suit, and likely only 4 trumps to set up the suit. <br> All in all, I think this was not a hand to go slamming on and East could not find a way to get home, even after the ruffing finesse in spades worked, and +100 was 12 Imps to us. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AK632 AT982 A94 |  | KQ752 T985 3 K73 |  |
|  | AJT98 74 KJ654 5 |  |  |
| W | N E |  |  |
| 1 C | 1 1H! |  |  |
| 2 H | 1 - 4D | - 1 |  |
| 6 H | // |  |  |


| M 11 <br> Bd 20 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q962 } \\ & \text { Q83 } \\ & 53 \\ & \text { AK54 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Board 20 in the eleventh match was literally the last board of the Territory Gold for 2015, and after a less than spectacular previous 9 boards, I was not sure whether to balance with 1 NT , or pass it out, thinking the final event could hang on this board. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AJ3 <br> KJ74 <br> KT2 <br> J92 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K85 } \\ & \text { T952 } \\ & \text { J864 } \\ & \text { T3 } \end{aligned}$ | Eventually pass won out, but we were off to a bad start when with nothing to guide her, Margaret led the S2, giving declarer an easy trick. However things picked up from there when declarer miss-picked everything and the contract went 3 off for +300 . |
|  | T74 <br> A6 <br> AQ97 <br> Q876 |  |  | Deep finesse suggested that NS can make 3NT, but there was a lot of work to do and we were happy with our +300 . The line for 9 tricks is not obvious, even double-dummy, so I doubt if anyone found it. |
| W 1 C | N | E | S | As it turned out we picked up 4 Imps for +300 , just enough to finish up with a 1 Imp win and ensure our victory. |

