## 2015 Seniors Trials by Richard Wallis

The entry of 14 pairs for this year's Seniors Trials, played over just 2 weekends, reduced the length of matches to just 16 boards, and even then 64 boards were required to be played on the first day. The Womens Trials, played at the same time, only had 12 pairs, which allowed for 20-board matches, but this meant the schedules and the boards were different, a problem for the Director and the players.
Therese and I started well with a good win in the first match to jump to the lead, and continued in a similar vein over the remaining 12 matches, being effectively locked into the team for Fremantle with 2 matches to go. The action for the other 2 places was much more highly contested, with the result on the final match dropping $3^{\text {rd }}$ back to $4^{\text {th }}$ and out of the team. The final team was Wallis/Tully, Gough/Pemberton and Howard/Wood, with O'Dempsey/Moses as the reserve pair. After some discussion between the team, Terry O'Dempsey was asked to be non-playing captain, and he has subsequently been endorsed by the QBA.
Our worst result was -1.3 Imps (cross-Imped scoring) against Gough/Pemberton, and we won the other 12 matches. Not that we played well all of the time, but most of the time we were in sync, and we rode our luck and did not get any really bad results.


On board 1 in the first match East picked the wrong time to open with a 13-15 1NT, and I happily doubled for penalties, content to have a good lead and 2 suits wrapped up.
When East rescued himself to 2C, I was less confident, so I passed to see if Therese had anything to say, and her double was take-out, and I thought showing some values, so I passed for penalties again.
If I could have persuaded Therese to raise, a $2 S$ bid by me now would have led to game and a better result, but since I did not have a 5-card suit, and I still had a safe lead, a penalty seemed the best option.
Against 2CX I led the SA, and continued with the SQ when Therese encouraged with the S3, Declarer ruffing the $3^{\text {rd }}$ round to lead a trump to dummy.
Declarer could not engineer an end-play on me, so we took all 7 of our tricks for +300 and 5.33 Imps . Bidding and making 4 S would have been better, but it is unlikely we would get there.


On board 9 in the first match East was strangely silent over the 1C opening, so maybe they were not playing Michaels, but the pass was to come back to haunt them when I responded 1 H , which made it very difficult for EW to attack hearts.
Instead of the normal 1NT rebid, Therese rebid 2C, usually showing a 6 -card suit, and over my 3NT rebid West led the D5, her longest suit. If Therese had rebid 1NT, East is likely to have led a spade!
Obviously I could see 3NT at least 1 off when dummy went down, but if I could sneak a spade trick, I could then run for home, so I led the SQ, hoping to persuade whomever held the SA to duck, or at least lead back a diamond if they took the SA on the first round.
The SQ won and I ran for cover, scoring +400 and 5.83 Imps. It occurred to me later that a better play at trick 2 is to lead the ST, like a man looking to finesse the SQ, which makes it much more likely West will duck with the SA. If East had won the SA maybe they would have returned a 'safe' D9, rather than underlead their hearts after I bid 1H?

| M 1 <br> Bd 15 <br> DIr S <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \text { T842 } \\ & \text { K875 } \\ & \text { A762 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJ63 } \\ & \text { QJ3 } \\ & \text { JT3 } \\ & \text { T93 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | AT8542 K9 AQ KJ4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K7 } \\ & \text { A765 } \\ & 9642 \\ & \text { Q85 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | 1 | 1C! | 1 |
| 1D | 1 | 2S | / |
| 2S | 1 | 4S | // |

On board 15 in the first match I picked a good time for an aggressive lead, after a Precision sequence in which West appeared very limited and East seemed to have extra values.
When dummy tracked, the West hand looked good enough for a 3S response after first limiting the hand to less than 8 HCPs by 1D, so our defeating 4 S did not seem to be very likely.
I led the C5, and to my delight Therese won the CA and returned the C2, which suggested a 4-card suit and thus my CQ was a winner even if East had played the CK!
I won the CQ and cashed the HA, and returned the C8, then waited for the setting trick with the SK
One off for +50 was worth 6.67 Imps , as any other lead gives the tempo to Declarer and a correct guess in clubs leads to 10 tricks.
A diamond lead is immediately fatal, especially if North plays the DK, and even the HA allows the entry to dummy (by unblocking) for the necessary finesses.

| M 2 <br> Bd 10 <br> DIr E <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJT9742 } \\ & \text { T54 } \\ & \text { Q93 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { QJ32 } \\ & \text { AK76 } \\ & \text { KJ42 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK63 } \\ & 87 \\ & \text { JT2 } \\ & \text { A876 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \text { AK } \\ & 85 \\ & \text { QT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | $\begin{gathered} E \\ 1 C \end{gathered}$ | S |
| 1 H | 2S | 1 | 1 |
| X | 1 | 3NT | // |

On board 10 in the second match Therese could not bid over the 2 S jump, as I could have a poor hand, but when I doubled for take-out, she had a choice of passing for penalties, or bidding 3NT, and chose the 'safer' option, as she has complete control in spades.
South was on the horns of a dilemma, as it is unlikely that North's hand will be good enough to set up and enjoy the spades, even if she led the S8, so she led her best suit, as after all, the 1C opening from Therese only shows $2+$ clubs.
Luckily for us, the opening lead was the C5, which gave Therese 4 club tricks, to go with 3 diamonds and 2 spades, and there was no risk involved.
On winning with the C8, Therese immediately took the losing diamond finesse, but now there was adequate transport to finesse clubs again and cash out the spade and diamond tricks. Scoring +600 was worth 6.17 Imps.

| M 2 <br> Bd 12 <br> DIr W <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \mathrm{~J} 762 \\ & \mathrm{~J} 975 \\ & \mathrm{~T} 842 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AJ64 } \\ & \text { KQ9 } \\ & \text { T83 } \\ & 963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KT7 } \\ & \text { AT543 } \\ & \text { KQ } \\ & \text { KJ5 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q9852 } \\ & 8 \\ & \text { A642 } \\ & \text { AQ7 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 C | , | 1H | 1S |
| X | 1 | 4H | // |

On board 12 in the second match my good major suit values persuaded me to open, but we would have got to game anyway, and even if Therese had opened 1NT in $3^{\text {rd }}$ seat, my automatic 3C enquiry would have unearthed the 5-3 heart fit.
South made a normal overcall of 1S, and my double showed 3-card heart support, which was all Therese needed to hear to bid 4 H .
A suit good enough to bid is good enough to lead, or so the saying goes, but this time the lead of the S5 presented Therese with an easy 10 tricks.
Winning in hand with the ST, she led the top hearts from dummy to reveal the finesse, and after clearing trumps, led the DK to establish the $10^{\text {th }}$ trick, the spade finesse certain for the $9^{\text {th }}$ trick.
South did not make the mistake of cashing her CA when she won the DA, and 10 tricks were the limit, so it was just +420 and 1.50 Imps .


| M 3 <br> Bd 13 <br> DIr N <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJT52 } \\ & \text { JT8 } \\ & \text { T83 } \\ & \text { T3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K9 AQ32 <br> K74 <br> 9876 |  |  | A86 <br> 95 <br> A965 <br> AJ52 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 743 \\ & \text { K764 } \\ & \text { QJ2 } \\ & \text { KQ4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E} \\ 1 \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | S |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 3 \mathrm{NT} \end{gathered}$ | 1S | 1NT | 1 |

Board 13 in the third match was a tricky declarer play that eluded most declarers, even Deep Finesse.
North passed as Dealer, but ill-advisedly overcalled his spade suit when I responded 1 H , and Therese showed a spade stopper and up to 14 HCPs with a 1NT rebid.
Since I had help in spades and 12 HCPs, I did not invite, just raising to game, and South dutifully led the S4, although a heart lead would probably have led to 5 tricks for the defence.
Therese ducked the spade and then won the next in dummy and played to the D9, won by South who cleared the spades.
Now a diamond to dummy to pass the C9, covered by all and won by South who finally exited with a heart, the finesse winning.
Another club finesse to South, the safe hand, and now, by virtue of the diamond break, she had 9 tricks for +600 and 8.5 Imps.

| M 4 <br> Bd 8 <br> DIr W <br> Vul Nil | A82 <br> Q54 <br> JT5 <br> QJT3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q4 JT732 A8 8653 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJT63 } \\ & 8 \\ & 7632 \\ & \text { A97 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 975 <br> AK96 <br> KQ94 <br> K4 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | / | /(!) | 1NT |
| 1 | 3C | 1 | 3D |
| 1 | 3NT | // |  |

On board 8 in the fourth match East missed an opportunity to make a lead-directing bid of 1 S in $3^{\text {rd }}$ seat, but maybe their system does not allow for it?
I opened with a 15-17 1NT that could have a 5-card major, so Therese responded 3C to check, but reverted to 3NT when I denied a 5-card suit, but could have had even both 4-card majors.
West led the H3, and I had 5 tricks and 2 good minor suits to develop the additional 4 tricks from.
Firstly I led the CK, and when that held, my tally was up to 6 , so now 3 diamond tricks were enough.
I could not safely continue clubs as a spade switch would be certain, so I reverted to diamonds, won by West, but the spade switch was too late and I had 9 tricks for +600 and 5.00 Imps.
As it turned out, the CA is with the long spades so I could have continued clubs and lost a spade, a diamond and a club.

| M 4 <br> Bd 13 <br> DIr N <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q983 } \\ & - \\ & \text { AK97 } \\ & \text { A5432 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A65 } \\ & \text { Q54 } \\ & \text { T865 } \\ & \text { K98 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $42$ <br> AJT763 <br> 2 <br> QT76 |
|  | KJ K9 Q J |  |  |
| W | $\begin{gathered} N \\ 1 C \end{gathered}$ | E 1 H | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{H}$ | 2S | 1 | 4S |

On board 13 in the fourth match we had what seemed like a routine auction to 4 S , but the results at other tables were anything but routine! While 1 pair made 12 tricks in spades, another only made 8 , and another pair did not get to game, while yet another tried 3NT, unsuccessfully.
The worst result for NS was 2HEX, for -670, although it looks like South can easily get 2 club ruffs, plus a trick in every suit to beat 2 HX if East is declarer.
Against our 4S, East led the HA, and Therese was not unduly troubled to lead a trump to dummy and ruff another heart before reverting to spades for 11 tricks and +650 . This was a healthy 8.67 Imps , distorted by the -670 result at one table.
If East leads their D2, which is easy for West to pick as a singleton, then the diamond ruff holds North to just 10 tricks, and may lead to a defeat.

| M 5 <br> Bd 4 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J83 } \\ & \text { T654 } \\ & \text { KT } \\ & \text { JT96 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q J932 <br> AJ8762 <br> AK |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T9652 } \\ & - \\ & 54 \\ & \text { Q87432 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | AK74 <br> AKQ87 <br> Q93 <br> 5 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1D | / | / | X |
| 2D | 1 | 1 | X |
| 1 | 2 H | 1 | 4H |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 4 in the fifth match my take-out doubles forced Therese to bid and made her hand declarer, and rather than any more testing card, East led the D5, as indicated by the bidding.
West won the DA and after cashing the CK, led the SQ, which seemed a little odd, but made more sense when Therese cashed the HA and found out about the 4-0 split!
My jump to 4 H after Therese was forced to make a bid was based on my good 5-card suit, so it was disconcerting when East showed out on the first round of trumps, but at least Therese had the DK, which made me hopefull of 10 tricks.
Thanks to the SJ in her hand as well, West was entitled to no more than the 2 Aces and the trump trick, so along with one other pair, we scored up +620 and 8.17 Imps.
The one thing I knew after the 2D rebid and my own 3-card diamond holding was that when Therese was forced to bid she had short diamonds and therefore hearts was at least a 4-card suit.

| M 5 <br> Bd 16 <br> DIr W <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & 87643 \\ & 8 \\ & 65 \\ & \text { Q7652 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K5 K5 JT9872 A83 |  |  | QT2 <br> AQ9643 <br> K3 <br> KT |
|  | AJ9 <br> JT72 <br> AQ4 <br> J94 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1D | 1 | 1H | , |
| 2D | 1 | 3H | 1 |
| 4H | // |  |  |

On board 16 in the fifth match when West opens the bidding with 1D (and most would do the same), EW are always going to get to game, but in which denomination, 4 H , or 3 NT ?
It looks like EW were playing the 3 H rebid as forcing (not in our system), but here West might consider protecting his SK by trying 3NT instead of supporting hearts. After all, if the hearts run, 9 tricks in 3NT may be easier.
On the actual hand 4 H is a good contract, only requiring a heart break and one of 2 finesses, but with everything wrong, went one off, although Deep Finesse can make 10 tricks as East as follows.
South wins the Club lead on the table and leads the SK, won by South who exits with a club to East, and now East ruffs a spade and cashes the HK before ruffing a club back to hand to play 3 more rounds of hearts, end-playing South to lead a diamond allowing the DK to be the $10^{\text {th }}$ trick.


| M 6 <br> Bd 16 <br> DIr W <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AJ43 } \\ & 4 \\ & \text { K8654 } \\ & 752 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 16 in the sixth match I made my most-ill-considered bid of the event! I was in another planet of thinking, and I think that this was the most Imps we lost on any hand in the whole event. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \text { QT98653 } \\ & \text { T2 } \\ & \text { AK3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT982 } \\ & 2 \\ & \text { J73 } \\ & \text { T984 } \end{aligned}$ | At the Vulnerability, West elected not to preempt, and instead opened 1 H and rebid the suit, over which Therese made a free bid of 2 S in response to my take-out double. <br> There is hardly any hand that I can construct when the North hand bids 2 S freely over the 2 H rebid in which 3 NT is not a better contract that 4 S , even if we have a $5-3$ spade fit. <br> Of course if North had a 6-card spade suit and very little strength, then a take-out to 4S over 3NT would be automatic. |
|  | K65 AKJ7 <br> AQ9 <br> QJ6 |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S | 4S East did not double 4S, as he did not want to wake me out of my |
| 1H | 1 | , | X | slumber (4NT is makeable), he just sat back smugly and collected his |
| 2 H | 2S | 1 | 4S | trump tricks to take the contract 2 off for -100 and 11 Imps away. |


| M 7 Bd 1 DIr N Vul Nil | K KQJT7 AJ932 QT |  |  | On board 1 in the seventh match slam looked possible when Therese rebid her diamonds, and diamonds is probably the safest slam, but here, a heart lead will lead to defeat. <br> By the same token, if the heart suit was not so robust, 6D might be the only making slam. If the hearts are not so good, the CK instead of the SK in the North hand would make 6D by far the better contract. <br> I chose to show hearts after 3D, and Therese showed K or singleton spade with 3S, which was good either way. RKCB showed 2 Key Cards and the trump Queen, so hoping for good hearts, I bid the slam in hearts. <br> East led the C4, and it did not take Therese long to draw trumps and claim 12 tricks for +980 . <br> This was only worth 8 lmps , as while only 3 pairs bid the slam, one pair had a bidding accident and did not get to game. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T875 9 T65 J9852 |  |  | Q64 A542 7 K7643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AJ932 863 KQ84 A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| / | 1H 2D | 1 | 1S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3D | / | 3 H |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | 3S |  | 4NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 5S | 1 | 6 H |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| // |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| M 7 <br> Bd 6 <br> DIr E <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKQJT9 } \\ & \text { Q6 } \\ & \text { T6 } \\ & \text { Q94 } \end{aligned}$ |  | My 3D jump overcall was weak, although at the maximum strength for the bid, and thus I had no hesitation in biding 3NT over Therese's 3S bid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 653 \\ & 82 \\ & 93 \\ & \text { KJ7653 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & \text { AJ943 } \\ & \text { K54 } \\ & \text { AT2 } \end{aligned}$ | bid. <br> The opening lead of the C6 caused me serious palpitations, even when dummy went down, and it seemed like an age before East won |
|  | 52 <br> KT75 <br> AQJ872 <br> 8 |  | East kept communications open by ducking, and I ran the spades, with no real play except the diamond finesse, which I thought was almost certain to work. |
| W / // | N E <br>  $1 H$ <br> $S$ $/$ | S 3D 3NT | I discarded all of my hearts and the diamond finesse did work, so I made 12 tricks for an unlikely +490 . <br> This was 1.67 Imps , but could well have been 3 off for -150 and 11 Imps away. |
| M 8 <br> Bd 10 <br> DIr E <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJ3 } \\ & \text { J95 } \\ & 84 \\ & \text { KQ932 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Board 10 in the eighth match was difficult to assess after Therese showed 4-7 HCPs and a 6-card suit, so I put it back to her with an invitational 3S. <br> Holding an extra spade and a reasonable suit, she accepted, in spite of being at the lower end of the HCPs. <br> South made it easy by leading the SA, although no lead by South threatens the contract, and with the hearts 3-3 and the SJ in the pocket, 11 tricks were made for +650 . <br> One pair did not get to game, and two pairs played in hearts (one in 4 H going off), so this gained 6 Imps. <br> Without the helpfull trump lead, East can finesse spades twice by leading from dummy, and should therefore always make 11 tricks. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & \text { AKQ83 } \\ & \text { A93 } \\ & \text { A85 } \end{aligned}$ |  | ```QT98542 64 QT7 T``` |  |
|  | A <br> T72 <br> KJ652 <br> J764 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & 1 H \\ & 3 S \end{aligned}$ | N $\mathbf{E}$ <br> $/$ $2 S$ <br> $/$ $4 S$ | S // |  |



Board 13 in the eighth match was a test of endurance and defence, as West is bound to show both suits with such a distributional hand.
I was surprized when Therese supported my clubs so early in the auction, and this encouraged me to show my spades over 4 H , on the way to 5C. After all, if NS have a heart fit, we could have a spade fit.
A trump lead would leave me with little chance of 11 tricks, but North reasonably led the DK, which I won on the table to lead a spade, preparing for a cross-ruff.
Then it was South's turn to shine, but understandably, he was not up to rising with his SK to lead a trump, so North won the SA and exited with the CQ.

It was too late now as I could cross-ruff spades and diamonds, expecting to be 1 off, but when the SK fell in three, I had 11 tricks for +750 , and 10.0 Imps . If South had risen at trick 2, the ST would have taken the $3^{\text {rd }}$ trick for the defence. Hearts made 10 tricks for NS three times, once in game, but one declarer went off in 4H.


On board 1 in the ninth match North's $2 S$ opening was a weak twosuiter, and South bided his time until we got to game, and then he guessed that we were going to make game, so he sacrificed.
At least South guessed correctly, as both 3NT and 5C are cold, but at equal Vulnerability, a 4-level sacrifice without some distribution to help you is very risky.
This was no exception, as with a minimum fit in spades and, by good fortune hearts, North did well to make 7 tricks for 3 off and +500 to EW, which was more than adequate compensation for the nonvulnerable game.
I led the CA so North was under the pressure of the force at trick 1, with trumps breaking 4-1 and no pips in the side suits.
This was 5.33 Imps to us, magnified slightly because one pair did not get to game as EW, and one NS pair were allowed to play in 2S, making.

| M 9 <br> Bd 6 <br> Dir E <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & 952 \\ & \text { K854 } \\ & \text { Q764 } \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJ74 } \\ & \text { T2 } \\ & 8 \\ & \text { AKQ432 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | AT3 <br> Q97 <br> T5 <br> JT865 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q8 } \\ & \text { AJg } \\ & \text { AK } \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 2 C | 3D | 3C | 3D |
| 3S | X | 4C | // |

On board 6 in the ninth match NS were not very competitive in spite of South having the best hand.
I was too strong in our system to jump to 3C over the 1D opening, but when I got support, I was happy to suggest a spade contract over South's 3D.
In spite of being doubled in 3S, it is likely that this contract would make, as it requires a club lead to beat 3 , followed by 3 red suit tricks in the correct order and then another club ruff.
3SX was never really and option with such a good club suit, and support from partner, but I was surprized that NS subsided so quickly.
North led the D4, and I ruffed the second diamond to draw trumps and consider the spade finesse. A heart towards dummy saw North rise and he led another heart which South won with the HJ, and I ruffed the HA. It seemed like North had already shown enough for 2D, so I finessed spades the right way and made 10 tricks for +130 , and 4 Imps. Interestingly, NS can make 4 H , but the suit was not mentioned.

| M 10 Bd 1 Dlr N Vul Nil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT8542 } \\ & \text { Q9763 } \\ & - \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 1 in the tenth match EW got away with murder, but we still gained 3 Imps. <br> We do not have any bid to open with a weak two-suiter, so Therese was forced to pass as dealer, and East bid a natural 1D. Now when West bid 2C, Therese could double to show both suits, or bid 2D as a Michael's Cue-bid. <br> I felt I had more than enough to compete to 3 H , but when it was my next turn to bid I was looking at 5D! Double looked like it could work, but Therese was a passed hand and my club holding looked vulnerable, so I meekly passed. <br> When this contract went 3 off, we scored +150 , and rued my failure to double, but we still gained 3 Imps as only one pair played 5DX for 3 off, and one 5D undoubled for 1 off. <br> 4 H and 4 S are both makeable, but 3 H at one table made 7 tricks and 5 HX at another table made 10 tricks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q96 J K96 A98762 |  |  | J3 K854 AQJT73 Q |  |
|  | K7 AT2 854 KJT |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |
| 2 C | 2D! | 3D | 3 H |  |
| 4D | 1 | 5D | /I |  |




On board 1 in the eleventh match South was close to being doubled when $2 S$ came back to me, but 2NT seemed more prudent, so I had no hesitation in doubling 3 S when he stuck his neck out.
I was not looking for ruffs, but the bidding suggested Therese had long diamonds, so I led the DK to look at dummy, and switched to the HQ when it held.
Declarer cashed the top hearts and ruffed one in dummy before ruffing a diamond back to hand for another heart ruff, over-ruffed by Therese with the SK.
Declarer still had 3 trumps and 2 clubs to lose, so that was only 6 tricks and 3 off, for +500 .
This was 7.5 Imps to us, as while 3NT was made by EW at two tables, two other tables played in spades, undoubled, one played in 3DE, making, and one played in 4HW going off.


On board 16 in the eleventh match North got carried away with her good hand and did not take into account Therese's silence in regard to spade support.
The silence on Therese's part, and North's own spade shortage should have pointed to a long spade holding in the South hand, not good for a heart contract by North.
Therese doubled 4H and led the DK, and North was caught between a rock and a hard place as Therese could over-ruff diamonds, so the contract finished 3 off for +500 , and 12.33 Imps.
At other tables West played in doubled spade contracts, with varied results. 2SWX only went 1 off, and 4SWX only went 2 off, but 3SWX went 3 off twice, for +600 to EW.
4 HN was also played at two other tables but was not doubled, going 3 off, but only -150.

| M 12 Bd 6 Dir E Vul EW | AK8432 <br> AQ73 <br> 832 |  |  | On board 6 in the twelfth match EW appeared to be daunted by the adverse Vulnerability when they allowed Therese to play in 4H in spite of their excellent spade fit. <br> It is hard to say what we would have done if EW had taken the push to $4 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{I}$ am glad we were not put to the test! <br> East led the S3, and the sight of dummy allowed Therese to relax, as if the red suits break, 4 H is cold, and if they do not, 4 S is cold, so she could not lose! <br> We were the only pair to play in 4 H , three other Norths taking the phantom sacrifice in 5 H , and two Wests made 10 tricks in spades, one of them was in game, but another lost the obvious 4 tricks in 4SW. <br> Making game was worth 10.83 Imps to us, and the one EW pair that scored +620 in 4SW picked up 11.5 Imps for their trouble. Even the NS pair that played in 5 HN undoubled gained 0.67 Imps . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AQJT98 Q9 T4 AKJ |  |  | K743 T5 J62 Q765 |  |
|  | 85 J7 K9 T9 |  |  |  |
| W | N |  | S |  |
| 1S | 2 H 4 H | 3S |  |  |



| M 13 <br> Bd 16 <br> DIr W <br> Vul EW | 64 <br> AKT63 <br> AT973 <br> 9 |  |  | On board 16 in the thirteenth match I was in a reasonable contract, with chances, but I sensed that South did not have the DA which would have made 4 S easy, so I looked for an alternative line, and found it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT983 } \\ & \text { J } \\ & \text { K642 } \\ & 874 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | AKQ2 <br> Q87 <br> 85 <br> AKJ6 | North led the HA then switched to the C9, suggesting that South had the CQ. I won the CA and ruffed a heart before cashing 2 trumps to clear the suit, preparing for an endplay on North. |
|  | 95 |  |  | HQ and discarded my club loser. <br> North knew he was endplayed and resignedly cashed the DA giving my $10^{\text {th }}$ trick for +620 , and 9.5 Imps . |
| W | N | E | S | While I was waiting for North to accept his fate, I realized that a ruff- |
| I | 1H | X | 2 H | sluff would not have helped me as I have 4 diamonds and only 2 |
| 2S | 3D | 4S | // | trumps left in dummy to ruff them! |

