## 2015 Barrier Reef Teams by Richard Wallis

A record number of players came to Cairns this year for the Pairs and Teams, which pleased the organizers, and also caused some of the players to ask: "Why not Cairns all of the time?" It is convenient for anyone to fly in from all Australian States and NZ, but rotation is part of the set-up for this Congress, and this is likely to remain.
Next year the BRC will be held in Mackay, and it will be a month earlier, which will remove the clash with the VCC in Melbourne, but start a new clash with the ANOT in Adelaide. This has been brought about because of the reinstatement of the May Day Public Holiday and the abolition of the Queen's Birthday Public Holiday in June.
The Congress was held at the Hilton Hotel, just around the corner from the Reef Casino, which was an ideal location, with numerous restaurants around the area, as well as plenty of accommodation. Some stayed at the Hilton, others at the Casino, and others at one of the many apartment buildings within walking distance.
David Beachamp came up from Sydney for the $4^{\text {th }}$ time, and made it 4 out of 4 ! His partner for this year, Fred Whittaker, completed his treble of wins, and has also been runner-up three times. The other pair in their teams was Jenny Millington and Barry Jones, who flew in from NZ. My team, Richard Ward, Lindy Vincent, Therese Tully and myself were in the lead twice, but had our only loss against Whittaker dropped us down to $3^{\text {rd }}$, but 2 modest wins at the end brought us back to $2^{\text {nd }}$, with Wyer (Paul Wyer, Nathan Van Jole, Tony Hutton and Malcolm Carter) in $3^{\text {rd }}$ place.

| M 1 <br> Bd 9 <br> Dir N <br> Vul EW | Q8 J9852 KQT643 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 432 \\ & 43 \\ & \text { A87 } \\ & \text { AK984 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | AT76 AKQT J52 QT |
|  | KJ99 76 9 J76 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ \text { 3NT } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{2 \mathrm{~N}!}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E} \\ 2 \mathrm{NT} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{s}$ |

On board 9 in the first match North's 2 H opening showed hearts and another and I bid a practical 2NT, hoping for Therese to cover the minors, or for South to lead a major.
It should not have been hard for South to deduce that North had the red suits, and he led the $H 7$, setting up my $9^{\text {th }}$ trick. When I cashed the CQ, I had 10 tricks from the marked finesse, so after the CT was allowed to hold, I ducked a spade hoping to set up a squeeze.
North won the SQ and led back to DK, which gave me 11 tricks, but after winning the DA on the table I carelessly led back the D7 before cashing my 2 club tricks, and now I only had 8 tricks!
Luckily I was able to cash out and throw South in with a spade for him to lead a club to dummy as my $9^{\text {th }}$ trick.
At the other table East finished up in 4 C , and the $6-0$ split was too much to handle and finished 2 off for +200 and 13 Imps .

| M 1 Bd 13 DIr N Vul All | KJ3 KT4 Q97642 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A <br> 852 <br> AK <br> AQT9632 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T5 } \\ & \text { AQ9 } \\ & \text { T53 } \\ & \text { KJ875 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q98 } \\ & \text { J76 } \\ & \text { J8 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | $\begin{gathered} E \\ 1 C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 2 S \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 S | X | 4C | 1 |
| 4 D | , | 4 H | 1 |
| 6 C | // |  |  |

[^0]| M 2 <br> Bd 28 <br> DIr W <br> Vul Nil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q942 } \\ & 5 \\ & \text { T9875 } \\ & 654 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KT8 <br> AJ8743 <br> 3 <br> AJ8 |  |  | AJ5 <br> Q9 <br> AKJ6 <br> KQ72 |
|  | 76 KT Q T9 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1H | I | 2 C | 1 |
| 2 H | 1 | 2NT | 1 |
| 3H | 1 | 4NT | 1 |
| 5 H | 1 | 6 H | // |

On board 28 in the second match my 2C response showed at least 2 clubs and was forcing to game, and the 2 H rebid was a waiting bid, but the 3 H rebid definitely showed a 6-card suit, so I trotted out RKCB and bid only 6 H when Therese had only 2 Key Cards.
North led the S2, and after such a good lead, Therese looked for a safety play to guarantee the slam, but what is it?
Therese elected to lead a heart to dummy, which South won and returned a heart, and not being blessed with second-sight, she rose with the HA and 12 tricks looked to have vanished.
On this hand a simple heart finessed would have ensured 12 tricks and that chance was gone, but what now. At least the long hearts were on her right, so maybe a trump coup would bring the total back to 12 again, so that is what Therese did!
Therese entered dummy twice to ruff winners to reduce her trumps, then back to dummy on the second club, and with dummy good, she could discard winners until the J8 of trumps were poised over the T6 in South's hand and the slam was home. 14 well-earned Imps.

| M 3 <br> Bd 11 <br> DIr S <br> Vul Nil | 95 <br> KQT96 <br> AJ8543 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K82 72 972 QJ872 |  |  | AQT63 <br> A4 <br> KQ6 <br> AT4 |
|  | JT J8 T K9 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1H | X | 4H |
| 1 | / | 4S | / |
| 1 | 5H | X | // |

On board 11 in the third match North opened light in third suit, but with more than adequate distribution to cover the shortfall in HCPs.
I doubled initially to start a sequence to show a good hand, but when South announced the heart fit, I gamboled on a spade fit for us as well by bidding 4 S .
On a heart lead, I may make 4S, but if South elects to lead his singleton diamond, NS can take the first 5 tricks on a cross-ruff, and the heart loser will still be there for 3 off!
The same distribution that tempted North to open also tempted him to bid 5 H over my 4 S , and I could do nothing else at this point except double for penalties.
Therese led the S2, and we cashed the top spades, but since South could set up the diamonds, the HA was our only other trick, so +100 was our limit.
At the other table, the bidding was the similar, but EW did not cash both of their spades, so the Contract made for +650 and 13 Imps.

| M 3 <br> Bd 14 <br> Dir E <br> Vul Nil | A7 <br> KT62 <br> 98 <br> J9843 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KQ <br> A8753 <br> K6 <br> AKT6 |  |  | 82 <br> QJ <br> AQJT53 <br> Q52 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT96543 } \\ & 94 \\ & 742 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1D | 1 | 2D | , |
| 2D! | 1 | 3D | 1 |
| 4NT | 1 | 5D | 1 |
| 6D | // |  |  |

On board 14 in the third match Therese and I had a good auction to the slam that is more than $50 \%$, so within the odds for a slam at teams.
Even on my 12 HCPs, slam would be cold if the 3 points in hearts were the HK.
South led the C7, so the $36 \%$ chance of the $3-3$ club break seemed unlikely, which made me fall back on the $50 \%$ chance of the heart finesse!
When the heart finesse lost, I was 1 down, which was a flat board as the contract and score was duplicated at the other table.
However, Since North obviously has the club length I could have increased my chances by placing North worth the HK, still 50\%, but now North could be squeezed in clubs and hearts!
Thus win the CA on the table, draw trumps and play a spade, which North will win and return one. Now the HA (Vienna Coup), and return to hand with the CQ to run the diamonds, and North cannot control both clubs and hearts.
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On board 3 in the fourth match the vulnerability coerced me into opening 3C as dealer, and South, with a reasonable hand but little options, doubled for take-out.
North bid 3H, and Therese went against her normal principles when she passed this contract out, in spite of her knowing it was not likely to succeed, and that double would have been for penalty.
We have talked about this often, and I often do not double a contact I am sure of defeating if they may have a better place to play, but Therese normally doubles.
I did not find the double-dummy lead of the C5, but the contract made only 5 tricks for +400 .
At the other table there was a different auction, stopping in 3DS, which went 2 off for -200, but 5 Imps to us.


Board 21 in the fourth match was very unusual, in that Therese made a free-bid holding a genuine Yarborough!
My hand was more suitable for a take-out double over the 1D response, but South was likely to have both majors for her double, and I wanted to confirm that I also had at least a 4-card club suit, which the 1 H rebid did signify.
South subsided quickly, and led the DA and another diamond which I ruffed, I had at least 5 losers if the clubs broke, so prospects looked bleak, but I led the SK hoping to limit my losses.
South won the SA and led another diamond to force the ruff, and now I cashed the SQ and led CA and CJ, expecting the heart switch to take me 1 off, but South led back the S2 and with the 3-3 break, a heart could be discarded from dummy for 9 tricks and +110 ,
At the other table West did not bid, so the final contract was 2DN, which made 9 tricks also, for another +110 and 6 Imps.

| M 4 <br> Bd 26 <br> DIr E <br> Vul All | 97 <br> AJ652 <br> 62 <br> JT75 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AJ83 } \\ & \text { K843 } \\ & \text { K43 } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { QT97 } \\ & \text { JT76 } \\ & 986 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KT654 } \\ & - \\ & \text { AQ98 } \\ & \text { AKQ2 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 1 S \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 1NT! | 1 | 2 C |
| // | 2 S | 1 | 4S! |

On board 26 in the fourth match South got carried away with her good hand and mis-read the 2 S rebid by North after the forcing 1NT response.
Therese got us off to a good start with the H3, and reality dawned for South at the sight of dummy.
We continued hearts at every opportunity after South discarded a diamond at trick 1 and took the losing diamond finesse at trick 2, and at trick 4 when declarer led a spade to dummy and my SQ.
Eventually Therese was able to draw declarer's trumps and put me in with a diamond to cash our $9^{\text {th }}$ trick!
Thus we scored up +600 against a freely bid game.
At the other table the mis-fitting hands were again bid too much and finished in 5C, going 3 down, fortunately not doubled, so it was only 300. That was 7 Imps to us


Board 2 in the fifth match was a routine strong 2NT opening bid, which could have contained a 5-card major, so I checked up with 3C, and the 3NT rebid denied any major suit, neither 4, nor 5 card.
Since North was silent, South had no reason to lead anything except the H4, and North won the HA and continued the suit, allowing South to win the HK and set her suit up.
3NT was now in the balance, depending on the diamond position, and who held the SA, but it was essential not to let South get on lead with a diamond. Thus Therese cashed the DA, and when the DJ dropped, she took the diamond finesse as a safety measure, hoping North had the SA if the finesse lost.
Anyone who followed the rule of Restricted Choice would always take the diamond finesse in this position, so 3NT is cold, and we duly scored up +430 .
At the other table Richard was inexplicably allowed to play in 2S, making 10 tricks for another +170 and 12 Imps.


Board 3 in the fifth match had great potential if I could find a fit, so I initially doubled to show the other two suits, but since my clubs were so much better, I did not double again over North's 3 S rebid.
Even though North's 3 S rebid suggested a 6-card suit, South did not bid directly over my 4C, which allowed Therese to bid 4H, but when this came around to South he then took out insurance by bidding 4S after all.
When Therese showed heart preference, I assumed that it was real preference, rather than false preference, so I had no hesitation in bidding 5H over South's 4S.
Not being psychic, North led the D7, and Therese ruffed in dummy then quickly wrapped up all 13 tricks for +710 .
I do not have the bidding at the other table, but Richard was allowed to play in 4 S , losing the obvious 4 tricks for -50 , but 12 Imps to us.
Two NS pairs were allowed to make 11 tricks in 4S, I suppose because East played a third club trying to promote a trump trick.

| M 6 <br> Bd 20 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T98 } \\ & \text { J952 } \\ & \text { A9542 } \\ & \text { Q } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQ543 } \\ & \text { Q7 } \\ & 86 \\ & 8743 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A762 } \\ & \text { K843 } \\ & \text { KQT7 } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & \text { AT6 } \\ & \mathrm{J} 3 \\ & \text { AKJ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | / | 1D | 3C |
| 1 | 3NT | // |  |

On board 20 in the sixth match North took a flyer when his partner made an intermediate jump overcall over my 1D opening, and was spectacularly successful.
I led the DK, and North must have been delighted with his gamble when he saw dummy. as there were now 9 top tricks, and he did not have a spade stopper in either hand!
Therese was not really strong enough to bid over South's overcall, even as a passed hand, so a spade lead was not on the agenda, but even if I did lead a spade, leading $4^{\text {th }}$ highest will block the suit!
At the other table EW contrived to play in 3S, making exactly 9 tricks for -140 and 12 Imps away.
The results on the board varied across the room, with 3 NS pairs bidding and making 3NT, 1 making 5C, although 1 South went off in 3C, and many EW pairs made10 tricks in spades, 5 of them in 4S.

| M 6 <br> Bd 25 <br> DIr N <br> Vul EW | J97 <br> AT753 <br> KT8 <br> 43 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KT854 } \\ & \text { Q4 } \\ & 9753 \\ & \text { Q9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | A3 <br> 62 <br> AQ62 <br> AKJ82 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q62 } \\ & \text { KJ98 } \\ & \text { J4 } \\ & \text { T765 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | / | 1 C | , |
| 1 S | 1 | 2D | , |
| 2S | 1 | 3C | 1 |
| 3D | 1 | 3S | 1 |
| 4D | 1 | 4S | // |

Board 25 in the sixth match was a difficult one for us to find a viable contract, and we finally settled on the 5-2 fit, when 3NT seemed out of the question and 5D was a little too high.
After the 2D reverse by me, Therese's 2 S rebid was a 1 -round force, and showed a minimum of a 5 -card suit. Her next bid of 3D confirmed only 5 spades and I offered the 5-2 fit, expecting a 3NT rebid with a heart stopper if her spades were only moderate.
The return to diamonds denied a heart stopper, and since it looked like we had 2 heart losers, I again offered 4 S and this time it was accepted.
North led the C4, and with spades 3-3 and the diamond finesse right, even though EW switched to hearts, there were only 3 losers, so we scored up +620.
At the other table EW stayed out of game, and with the pressure off the defence, they made 11 tricks, but that was only -200 , and we gained 9 Imps, which was very critical in what was our only losing match, to the eventual winners.

| M 7 <br> Bd 7 <br> DIr S <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K87432 } \\ & 64 \\ & 432 \\ & \text { Q2 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 7 in the seventh match if I had been dealer, after a 2NT opening we would have had an easy transfer sequence to make my hand declarer in 4 H .4 HW can be taken off by the lead of the CQ. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q9 <br> QT9752 <br> Q7 <br> 964 |  |  | A5 <br> AJ8 <br> AKJT5 <br> K83 | Thus I had to guess, and I guessed to bid 3NT and hope that South did not know what suit to lead. <br> Therese gave some thought to bidding over 3NT, but elected to pass |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT6 } \\ & \text { K3 } \\ & 986 \\ & \text { AJT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | as we had no agreement for transfers in this specific sequence. <br> South elected to lead her shortest suit, and pulled out the HK, face down, but then attempted to change her mind! North, a qualified Director, pointed out to her that she could not change her mind, so the |
| W / | N 2D! | E 3NT | S // | HK was faced, and I had no trouble taking the first 12 tricks for +690 . This was a flat board, as West made 12 tricks in 4 H for -680 at the other table. |


| M 7 <br> Bd 9 <br> DIr N <br> Vul EW | KJ9 <br> JT965 <br> KQ84 <br> 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A742 } \\ & - \\ & 7632 \\ & \text { KQJ87 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 8743 \\ & \text { AJT95 } \\ & \text { AT5 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT865 } \\ & \text { AKQ2 } \\ & -\quad \\ & 9632 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W/// | N | E | S |
|  | / | 1 | 1 S |
|  | 2 H | 1 | 4H |

On board 9 in the seventh match NS were missing three of the four Aces, but a good fit and great distribution negated the missing Aces, and made 4 H on just 21 HCPs a good contract.
However we had a bit of distribution of our own, and I led the S3, a likely singleton considering it was dummy's first-bid suit. Therese won, and expecting it to be a singleton, returned the S2, a McKenny signal suggesting a possible club entry for a second ruff.
Here is where I got lucky as I was sorely tempted to cash the CA before sending back the C6, but resisted the temptation and just played back the C6 for a second ruff. When I tried to cash the CA after that, North ruffed and I was glad that I had followed Therese's suggestion.
At the other table 4 H by North was also the contract, but this time it made, because the second ruff was not obtained, so we gained a lucky 10 Imps.

| M 8 <br> Bd 17 <br> DIr N <br> Vul Nil | 7554KQT6432T2 |  |  | On board 17 in the eighth match North at our table started off the guessing game with a 3D pre-empt, and I had an easy 3S, which put the pressure on South. <br> South must have hopes of defeating 4 S if we bid it, but she took the optimistic view of raising to 5 D , to get all the marbles. <br> Therese was probably not going to raise to 4 S , so definitely did not was to go again, and did have the trump Ace, so she doubled for penalties, without any great conviction. <br> Luckily the spades were friendly, so we had 3 tricks and scored up +100 , with even 3 easily defeated. <br> At the other table EW did get to game in spades, and while Lindy did not double (who would?), the heart ruff and club trick added up to 2 off and another +100 for 5 Imps . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 982 <br> Q93 <br> AJ <br> J9854 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQJT43 } \\ & \text { J76 } \\ & 7 \\ & \text { AQ3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W X | N 3D // | E $3 S$ | S 50 |  |



On board 25 in the eighth match the adverse Vulnerability tempered my enthusiasm, and I only opened with 3S as East, over which South had an easy take-out double.
Therese redoubled to show a good hand,, but fancied our chances in 4S rather than accept the penalty from 4CX (only +300 ), so she raised to 4 S with her singleton SJ and well-placed honours.
South led the CQ (underleading), and I won on the table and led the SJ for a finesse. Even if North had the SK, I knew it was unlikely I could prevent North from getting the SK, so I overtook with the SQ and cashed the SA.
North went into the tank on the lead of the SA, and after a lot of thought he placed the SK on the table by mistake.
I had already decided to play South for the top two Diamond honours, and when this proved correct, I made 11 tricks for +650 .
At the other table, a competent declarer let the SJ win in dummy, and had to ruff a club to hand. When he also miss-guessed the diamonds, he lost control and went 1 off for 13 Imps .


[^0]:    On board 13 in the first match I was a little light for an opening bid of 1C, but had a 5 -card suit and a couple of Tens, as well as double-up of honours in 2 suits, often more valuable than a single honour in each of the 4 suits.
    When Therese cue-bid 3S, this would normally show support for my opening bid suit, but I could show a spade stop if I had one, so when I returned to 4C, Therese knew we had nothing wasted in spades.
    Two further cue-bids followed, first or second round controls, and Therese had heard enough to know that slam was a good bet. She must have even wondered about the Grand Slam.
    South led the S7, and slam depended on the HK, which I placed with South for the overcall, but the presence of the H 9 in my hand made slam $100 \%$.
    I eliminated the spades and diamonds by ruffing in dummy, and then led a heart to the H9, which forced South to lead back a heart, or give me a ruff and discard. I was disappointed to see that North had the HK after all, but still 13 Imps to us.

