## 2013 SWP Open Teams by Richard Wallis

This year for the first time for many years I played in a team of 4 over the 12 rounds and relished the opportunity to be involved all of the time instead of twiddling my thumbs for a third of the time. The team was Tony Jackman and myself playing with Elaine Leach and John Brockwell, and while not threatening the leaders at any stage we were well pleased with our $32^{\text {nd }}$ place at the end of 12 matches.
We started out day 1 with no losses but no big wins either, and suffered our first loss on the morning of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ day when we played our only team from the top 15 . Moderate wins or draws followed until the $3^{\text {tr }}$ day which had 3 losses, putting us all the way down to $89^{\text {th }}$ place. This gave us a final day against much more moderate opposition, and 3 good wins were enough to propel us to $32^{\text {nd }}$ place, above our seeding, but it would have been more satisfying to be in the thick of the action more often.
The Otvosi team, imported from Poland, won the Swiss going away, but in a most surprising result was beaten in the quarter finals by the Brown team (T. Brown, A, Kanetkar, B. Neill, K. Morrison, P. Lavings, R. Krochmalik), who they had beaten comprehensively in the Swiss. Brown went on to win the semifinal against Beachamp, last year's winners, but lost to Thomson (M.Thomson, P. Newman, I. Del'monte, H. Melbourne) in the final.
Next year we also plan to play as a 4-person team, but as age takes over we may have to supplement our team again for future years. Out of 240 hands there we many of interest, both for good play and not so good and some involving the luck of the game, and a selection follows.





On board 2 in the second match Tony's 3 S bid showed 5 spades and offered a choice of game contracts.
I had a very flat distribution and honours in each suit, so I chose to play in 3NT, to which West led the D4, which was a very promising start.
After winning in hand with the D6 I finessed a diamond in dummy and led the HQ, which held the trick. A diamond off the table set up my $9^{\text {th }}$ trick, and then when East won the HA and led a club, a good guess led to 10 tricks and +630 .
I was glad to be playing in 3NT instead of 4S, as even an unlikely low club lead by West will beat 4 S, and the DA will lead to 2 or 3 off. If West had led a club to 3NT, that contract was likely to fail also
At the other table NS did not get to game, playing in just 2NT for 9 tricks and -150, but 10 Imps to us

| M 2 <br> Bd 6 <br> DIr E <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQ7542 } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { QJ853 } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J86 } \\ & \text { Q } \\ & 762 \\ & \text { AK7532 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | A3 <br> JT9873 <br> A4 <br> QT4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T9 } \\ & \text { K6 } \\ & \text { KT } \\ & \text { J9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 2C | 2S | 3 C | 1 |
| 1 | 3D | // |  |

Board 6 in the second match showed differing hand valuations. Both sides can make 10 tricks in a minor, but EW at our table sold out to 3D, which was a comfortable make. Setting up the spades can lead to these 10 tricks, but playing teams, Tony was content to make 9 tricks via a cross-ruff.
At the other table NS climbed all the way to 5DX, going 1 down for a 5 Imp pick-up.
Spades is a good contract for NS (I thought about converting 3D to 3S), since the T9 allow a finesse for the SJ, but on a double-dummy basis, or maybe by design, East can lead DA and D4 and get a diamond ruff to hold declarer to just 9 tricks.
Even on a heart lead, declarer in 4 S will have to lead towards dummy's diamonds to take the spade finesse, and East can rise and exit with a diamond and thus get a diamond ruff to defeat the spade game.

| M 2 <br> Bd 19 <br> DIr S <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & 865432 \\ & \text { J9 } \\ & \text { J4 } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KQ T5 A862 AKT98 |  | AJT7 <br> AQ643 <br> 5 <br> 432 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \text { K872 } \\ & \text { KQT973 } \\ & \text { J6 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 3 N T \end{gathered}$ | N  <br> //  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 3 D \end{gathered}$ |

Board 19 in the second match initially looks like a straight-forward heart finesse for the $9^{\text {th }}$ trick. There are 8 tricks on top and a wellplaced HK will yield the $9^{\text {th }}$, but there is a danger!
Tony led my suit, and if the finesse lost West knew I had diamond tricks to cash for 2 off, so should she cash her 8 tricks and accept 1 off? No of course not there is a better line of play.
Maybe the club honours will be doubleton, giving 5 club tricks, or maybe my hand has a doubleton honour, allowing a club to be lost to North, the 'safe' hand, but how would declarer know that Tony had the CQ and not me. It would be pretty silly to give up a club to me when the heart finesse was working all the time.
An avoidance play is required, ensuring that North wins any club tricks, and keeping me off the lead. Duck a diamond, and enter dummy by overtaking the second spade to lead a club off the table and cover my card. Now win the heart return on the ta7ble with the HA, discard a heart and diamond on the spades, and lead clubs off the table for 10 tricks, instead of just 7 with a losing heart finesse.

| M 3 <br> Bd 7 <br> DIr S <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & 87632 \\ & \text { J96 } \\ & 54 \\ & \text { KT4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KJ KT743 72 9632 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT954 } \\ & \text { AQ82 } \\ & \text { KQT } \\ & \text { Q } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | A <br> 5 <br> AJ9863 <br> AJ875 |  |  |
| W | N | E | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ \text { 1D! } \end{gathered}$ |
| // | / | X | 3C |

On board 7 in the third match Tony was not likely to get excited with his modest values as my 1D opening limits my hand to just 15 points.
He has no need to bid on the first round, and when East made a balancing double and I rebid 3C, this shows a maximum hand with $5 / 4$ in the minors, or possibly better shape and less points. If I had good shape as well as 15 HCPs I may have been inclined to open 1 C .
Tony elected to pass 3C, as that was at least a 4-3 fit, whereas removing to 3D may put us in a 4-2 fit.
West led the H 4 and with everything friendly I was not hard-pressed to make 10 tricks for +130 , losing a trump, a heart and a diamond.
At the other table however, the stakes were much higher, as the contract climbed all the way up to 5CX by South, and somehow, 2 of declarer's tricks got away.
8 tricks to NS led to +800 , and 14 unexpected Imps to us.


Board 8 in the third match was the luck of the system that made my hand declarer in 5D, as a heart lead from East dooms 5D if North is declarer.
East explained the 2NT by his partner as showing the majors, he thinks, and yes Tony did make an insufficient bid, but East decided to accept it (??), giving me the chance to show my heart stopper.
Tony still wisely decided to play in 5D, as 3NT has no chance at all, and West led the C7.
I won in hand and not sensing any danger if West has the DA, I led the DK, and East ducked! Maybe he was not convinced that West had the majors afterall, although dummy should have confirmed it.
I did not want to give East a second chance to lead a heart through my King, so I went to dummy with the SA and discarded my hearts and 1 spade on the clubs, cashed the SK, and led a diamond from the table for 11 tricks and +400 .
At the other table North was declarer, so the result was +50 and 10 Imps.

| M 4 Bd 10 Dlr E Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & 952 \\ & \mathrm{~J} \\ & 94 \\ & \text { QJ98532 } \end{aligned}$ |  | I opened with the nebulous Precision 1D on board 10 in the fourth match, and West had no good call, so chose to start the bidding off with 1 S , and there it quickly came to a stop. <br> Tony led the HJ, and I ducked, expecting a doubleton rather than a singleton, and assured of 2 heart tricks anyway, and declarer lost just 2 tricks in each of the majors for 9 tricks in total and -140. <br> West remarked that in a heart contract the only losers were in trumps, so a game had been missed, but could it be bid? <br> At the other table I do not know the bidding, but John and Elaine did get to 4 H , making 11 tricks for +650 and 11 Imps . <br> If the South hand bids 1 C , West has an easy take-out double, and 4 H should be easy to reach, but what if South passes. West opens 1S, East responds 1 NT , and then raises a 3 H by West to game? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AQJ76 K962 AK72 |  | 4 Q7543 QJ53 KT7 |  |
|  | KT93 AT8 T86 A64 |  |  |
| W 1S | N $\mathbf{E}$ | S 1D! |  |



On board 12 in the fourth match I was very disappointed that Tony did not re-open with a take-out double, but since he was obviously short in diamonds, his 4C rebid must have good shape so I raised to game. I would have preferred to have taken my chances in 3DX, as although Deep Finesse suggests that there are 8 tricks for EW in diamonds, many of the results that were reported in the bulletins were making less tricks.
However, the contract is not hopeless and as with many hands, lots of Imps swing on the opening lead. In this case East selected the lead of the S 5 , probably to only lead to give Tony the contract.
After winning on the table with the SQ, Tony led the H5 off the table and West took his HA, but along with the CA, that was the last trick for the defence, so we scored up +600. Maybe ducking the HA would have led to defeat, but a cross-ruff will loom.
At the other table the contract was a modest 3C, making -130, so we had 10 Imps.

| M 5 <br> Bd 4 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKJ3 } \\ & \text { AT53 } \\ & \text { KJT } \\ & \text { A3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { T5 } \\ & \text { Q } \\ & \text { Q9874 } \\ & \text { T9876 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 982 \\ & \mathrm{~J} 97 \\ & \text { A632 } \\ & \text { Q54 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q764 } \\ & \text { K8642 } \\ & 5 \\ & \text { KJ2 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | 1 C | / | 1H |
| 1 | 2 H ! | 1 | 2NT! |
| $1 /$ | 3 H | 1 | 4H |

On board 4 in the fifth match Tony opened with an artificial 1C and made 2 moves towards slam, but I had a minimum hand for my 1 H response without any Aces, so I signed off in game.
2 H was a trump ask and I showed a 5 -card suit with 1 of the top 3 honours, and the 3 H showed slam interest inviting cue-bids, and thus more than 18 points.
When dummy went down it looked like I had done the wrong thing as 6 H has many chances, but the 3-1 break was the wrong way around, so 11 tricks were the limit.
If East had the QJ doubleton in trumps, I still would have made only 11 tricks, as I am a firm believer in 'restricted choice' and thus if I led the HK, would have finessed on the second round of trumps.
At the other table NS did get to slam, although the 2 hands lack the normal number of points for a slam, and had yo go 1 off, so we gained 13 Imps.

| M 5 <br> Bd 15 <br> DIr S <br> Vul NS | Q6Q3K2AKJ8642 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A54 } \\ & \text { J } \\ & 9843 \\ & \text { Q9753 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J9832 } \\ & \text { T962 } \\ & \text { QJ6 } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | KT7 <br> AK8 <br> AT7 |  |  |
| W | N | E | $\begin{gathered} S \\ 1 H \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 1NT! | 1 | 3D |
| // | 4C | 1 | 4H |

On board 15 in the fifth match Tony could not bid 2C in our system as that was a trump asking bid, and he did not want to bid 3C, so he bid the catch-all forcing 1NT, to which I showed a maximum with a 4-card diamond suit.
Tony's 4C was a bit of a puzzle to me as I did not believe it was forcing, as 3C would have been non-forcing if I had rebid 2D or 2H, but I decided to suggest an alternative contract by rebidding my heart suit, and with the apparent mis-fit (maybe Tony thought 4C was forcing after my 3D response) he elected to pass.
West led the SA solving one of my potential problems, and then 6 H looked like a good bet, just relying on a favourable trump break as I could dispose of my diamonds on the clubs.
However fortune smiled on us when the trumps broke 4-1 and 11 tricks were the limit of the hand.
This time NS at the other table were more cautious and they also stopped in game so it was a flat board.

| M 6 <br> Bd 8 <br> DIr W <br> Vul Nil | K6 AKT862 QJ65 T |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 843 \\ & 53 \\ & 432 \\ & 98632 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT52 } \\ & \text { QJ9 } \\ & \text { A98 } \\ & \text { Q54 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Q 74 KT AK |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1H | X | XX |
| 2 C | 2D | 3C! | X |
| // | 1 |  |  |

On board 8 in the sixth match East had one of those hands where it was easy for her to get carried away with the bidding and bid once too often.
My redouble showed the balance of the points without a primary heart fit, and when West bid my best suit I was looking forward to doubling 2C for penalties.
Tony's announcement of a distributional minimum with his 2D rebid suggested 4 H or 3NT as the likely destination, but then to my surprize, East ventured a 3C bid which I was delighted to double for penalties.
Having already announced his hand fairly well, Tony was not inclined to remove the double, and he led the HK to find out that I had a singleton or doubleton.
Poor West, with his Yarborough, had nowhere to hide, and only made 4 tricks for +1100 to us to go with the -420 from 4 H making 10 tricks at the other table and12 Imps to us.

| M 6 <br> Bd 17 <br> Dir N <br> Vul Nil | AKJ5 <br> AJ74 <br> A7 <br> Q43 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & \text { KQ863 } \\ & \text { Q9 } \\ & \text { AKT9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & \text { T5 } \\ & \text { KJT3 } \\ & 87652 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT642 } \\ & 92 \\ & 86542 \\ & J \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} \\ 1 \mathrm{C}! \end{gathered}$ | E | S |
| 1H | X | 1 | 2 S |
| / | 3 S | 1 | 4S |

On board 17 in the sixth match I had shown very little except 4 spades when I bid 2S, so when Tony invited game by bidding 3S, I accepted, since not only did I have a 5-card suit, but also a singleton club.
West led the CA and switched to a trump at the sight of dummy, but with trumps 2-2 I was not extended to make 10 tricks for +420 .
An interesting hand to be able to make game with only 21 HCPs between the 2 hands, but the club shortage and $5^{\text {th }}$ trump made the difference. Even without the even trump break I think 10 tricks were available in a spade contract.
At the other table NS also played in spades, but only at the threelevel, and even though North made 11 tricks on the lead of the HT, that was still worth 6 Imps to our team.
4 S is the best game contract, as it would have to be very ordinary defence to allow 3NT to make, such as cashing the CA and CK after declarer ducked the HQ at trick 1.


| M 7 <br> Bd 18 <br> DIr E <br> Vul NS | AJ96 <br> AKT74 <br> A <br> 532 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K54 } \\ & \text { J6 } \\ & \text { KQ8654 } \\ & \text { J9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT873 } \\ & \text { Q3 } \\ & \text { J7 } \\ & \text { KQ84 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| W | N | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E} \\ 2 S! \end{gathered}$ | S |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { // } \\ & 4 S \end{aligned}$ | $3 H$ $X$ | // | 4H |

On board 18 in the seventh match East's 2 S opening showed $5 / 4$ in spades and a minor, and West wisely passed initially to await developments.
Tony could have chanced a 2NT bid hoping East had diamonds, but naturally bid his heart suit and with 4 trumps, an Ace and a singleton spade, I raised to game.
Now West correctly judged that 4 H was a likely make, so they sacrificed in 4S, which Tony was happy to double.
I led the H9 to 4SX and we collected all 6 of our tricks for +500 , but it was obvious that with hearts 2-2 we could make at least 10 tricks in hearts, so it was a potential loss.
We need not have worried, because at the other table NS stopped in 3 H and made 11 tricks for -200. Thus we gained 7 Imps after all.


On board 1 in the eighth match we had a lucky escape when Tony missed his opportunity to bid 3 H on the way to 3 NT . Having already shown his 5-card club suit, Tony could easily have shown his 4-card major with 3 H and I would have likely raised to game in hearts.
Against 3NT, West still led a diamond (DJ) which I won with the DQ to run the C9. East won but had no diamonds left, so they led a spade, which I ducked to dummy and West returned a spade, but now I was in control and finished with 10 tricks for +430 .
Game in hearts is apparently on if my hand is declarer, as West is end-played at trick 1, but if East is on lead a diamond lead leaves North with no recourse when the spade finesse also fails.
However, South making 10 tricks is double-dummy, and maybe West did not bid diamonds at the other table, because while I did not get the details, there the contract was 4 H by South, and they went 3 off giving us 11 Imps.

| M 8 <br> Bd 5 <br> DIr N <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { JT987 } \\ & \text { KJ74 } \\ & \text { AQ6 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AK5 <br> Q652 <br> 9865 <br> 75 |  |  | J864 <br> AT32 <br> KJT93 |
|  | QT972 <br> AK43 <br> Q <br> 842 |  |  |
| W <br> // | $\stackrel{\mathbf{N}}{1 \mathrm{H}}$ | E | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ 4 \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ |

On board 5 in the eighth match we had a typical Precision auction to game, with the limited nature of the 1 H opening precluding any thoughts of slam.
East has a number of unpalatable opening leads, all of which could be costly, but chose the S4, won by West with the SK, who then paused to consider the distributions.
If West had thought to ask about our system he would have been told that a 1 H opening normally does not contain 4 spades, thus the opening lead had to be from precisely J864.
However, he concluded that Tony played the ST from dummy to fool him, and tried to cash the SA. The club trick and ruff available to EW thus disappeared and Tony claimed 10 tricks for +620 .
At the other table NS went 2 off in the same contract for +200 and 13 Imps.


Board 19 in the eighth match was a disaster for Tony and I when we played a cold grand slam in just game.
After West's 3S bid (what was he on, or did it show clubs and not get alerted?) I discounted my spade suit, and this was reinforced by Tony's 4C bid, which I thought was possibly a natural slam try, unlikely to be a cue-bid, as why are the opponents silent with 12 clubs between them?
However Tony is right (I wonder how long it has been since that happened?), a 4 S cue is almost certainly safe and then he could be away to the grand based on his diamond suit and controls.
This would have been a good time to be using exclusion Blackwood, as if Tony had bid 5C, he would have found out about the SA, and then the DK as an extension.
At the other table NS had no trouble bidding to 7 H , so we lost a massive 14 Imps.

| M 9 <br> Bd 5 <br> DIr $N$ <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQT86 } \\ & 42 \\ & \text { QJ } \\ & \text { AK98 } \end{aligned}$ |  | My plan on board 5 in the ninth match was to be gradually forced up to 4 H if pushed, but play in a lower level if possible. Even before West had bid, I thought that a direct jump to 4 H on the first round would be more likely to provoke a minor suit sacrifice by EW. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AJ AT A85432 543 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9753 \\ & 8 \\ & \text { KT76 } \\ & \text { T762 } \end{aligned}$ | West was cooperating nicely, even to the extent of passing over my 4 H rebid, but East now decided to get into the action by bidding 5D, possibly to the chagrin of West, sitting there with 3 Aces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & \text { KQJ98653 } \\ & 9 \\ & \text { QJ } \end{aligned}$ |  | Unfortunately for us 5DX had 9 tricks available, so the best we could do was +300 , and 4 H was cold, so since 4 H was left alone at the other table (who would sacrifice with 3 Aces?) we lost 8 Imps. <br> Initially it appears as if we could get 5D 3 off by a small club lead to South, followed by 3 more rounds of clubs for South to ruff with the D9 to promote a diamond for North. |
| W | $\mathbf{N}$ $\mathbf{E}$ <br> 1S  | S 2 H |  |
| 3D | 1 / | 3 H | However, while the trump promotion would work if West was asleep, they could simply discard the spade loser instead of ruffing. |
| 4D | , | 4 H |  |
| / | / 5D | 1 |  |
| 1 | X // |  |  |



On board 11 in the ninth match I had difficulty getting Tony to support one of my suits, but when he bid his clubs for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ time, I assumed my singleton honour would be enough to give slam a chance, so I jumped to 6C, hoping he would have at least 2 key cards.
As I had opened an artificial 1C, I was declarer, and West led the DK, a small complication. My first impression on seeing dummy was that 12 tricks were possible as long as West had Kx in trumps, or either defender had a singleton CJ.
Luckily, everyone followed to 3 rounds of hearts, so the diamond loser disappeared, and when West contributed the CJ on the first round of trumps I could cater for East ducking the CQ.
After winning the CQ, a spade to dummy allowed me to play the CA and CT, and then a diamond ruff to dummy to draw the last trump.
At the other table NS also bid to slam, but the very reasonable 6 S rather than 6C, and that contract had to go 1 down, so we gained a lucky 14 Imps.


On board 20 in the ninth match West opened with a somewhat light 1C, but surprisingly, both Tony and I missed this opening bid. East bid a forcing 1 H , holding an $8 / 5$ red suit hand.
West was either distracted or inattentive when she made an insufficient bid of 2 H over my intermediate jump to 2 S .
After the Director explained her options, she was in a quandary with such a poor hand for the opening bid and thus passed, instead of bidding a potentially dangerous 3 H , thus 2 S became the final contract.
East was also subject to a lead penalty when he won the first trick with the HA, and when I asked for another heart the ruff-sluff eliminated one of my diamond losers.
I carelessly played the SK from dummy and lost a trump, so I still only made 9 tricks, but this seemed a good result since EW were cold for 6H.
However, an accident at the other table resulted in 4SSX making 10 tricks for -790, so we lost 12 Imps after all.


| M 10 Bd 10 DIr E Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A6 } \\ & \text { AQJ4 } \\ & \text { A4 } \\ & \text { AQT3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 10 in the tenth match East opened with a weak two-suiter, and EW barraged us when they found their diamond fit, but we were not to be denied. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q3 KT7 K8653 K72 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT875 } \\ & - \\ & \text { QJT972 } \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | I had no problem bidding 4H over 3D, and when Tony showed slam interest with his 4 S cue, I leapt to slam. <br> West led the SQ, which I took in hand to take the heart finesse, and |
|  |  |  |  | the last trump. <br> Since it was likely that West had the CK, I next ruffed the DA to lead the C9, and when this held I could repeat the finesse as often as |
| W | N | E | S | necessary by leading the CJ next, and finished up with 13 tricks for +1460 . |
| 3 C | X | 3D | 4H | At the other table slam was not in the picture, so we gained 13 Imps . |
| /1 | 4S | 5D | 6 H |  |



On board 12 in the tenth match Tony made a normal overcall which I raised one level, and a few seconds later the contract was 2DX, with us Vul and EW not.
Luckily East had no clear lead, and chose the CA, setting up an extra trick for us, and upon winning the CK at trick 2 Tony could lead towards dummy to restrict his trump losers to just 2!
Thus he finished only 1 off for a modest -200, which compared very well against the +490 that John made in 3NT at the other table.
After being given a club trick, Tony even thought about taking the heart finesse in dummy to shed a spade loser and even make the contract, but common sense prevailed and he accepted -200 as a good result.
That is often that hardest part about playing this game, accepting a negative score to prevent a larger negative score.


| M 11 <br> Bd 2 <br> DIr E <br> VuINS | AK984 KJ 9 AJ862 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $J$ <br> QT9 <br> QJT85 <br> KQ73 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T76 } \\ & 7653 \\ & \text { K74 } \\ & \text { T54 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q532 } \\ & \text { A842 } \\ & \text { A632 } \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 2 \mathrm{D}! \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 2NT | 1 | 3C! |
| 1 | 3D | 1 | 3H |
| 1 | 4NT | 1 | 5 H |
| 1 | 6S | // |  |

On board 2 in the eleventh match I liked my 2 Aces and distribution so I borrowed a point to open 2D, which showed, among other things, 4 spades and at least 4 hearts.
Tony's 2NT asked for clarification, and my 3C rebid showed a three suited hand, defined as a singleton club by the 3 H response to the 3D asking bid.
Tony now asked about key cards in hearts, and I admitted to 2 , which was all Tony needed to have a shot at slam.
East led the C4 and Tony ruffed 2 clubs in dummy while drawing trumps and gave up a club for 12 tricks and +1430 .
Of course double dummy he could also have taken the heart finesse and made all 13 tricks, but that was a needless risk.
At the other table slam was not reached, so it was imperative to make sure of the 12 tricks, and the overtrick would still have been worth the same 13 Imps.

| M 11 <br> Bd 10 <br> DIr E <br> Vul All | K42AQ7652K586 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 975 \\ & \text { K4 } \\ & \text { Q98 } \\ & \text { J5432 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJT8 } \\ & \text { JT93 } \\ & 642 \\ & \text { AT } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | A63 <br> 8 <br> AJT73 <br> KQ97 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S 1D |
| 1 | 1H | 1 | 2C |
| // | 3H | / | 3NT |

On board 10 in the eleventh match my 2C rebid showed $5 / 4$ either way in the minors, and Tony's 3 H was strongly invitational to game.
I won the second spade in dummy to preserve entries to my hand, and played 2 rounds of diamonds, finessing to West, who returned a spade.
Now I led the CQ and East won immediately to avoid being endplayed and forced to lead a heart into dummy, and cashed the last spade, setting up a potential squeeze in hearts and clubs.
I won the CT with the CK and when I ran my diamonds, West was squeezed, making it easy for me by discarding the H4, so the last 2 tricks were taken in dummy for +600 .

Of course West should have hoped his partner had the C9 and discarded his clubs and make me guess to take the heart finesse, but he could see all along that it was working, so was not vigilant in his discarding.

| M 12 <br> Bd 7 <br> DIr S <br> Vul EW | T62 <br> A95 <br> KT86 <br> KT7 |  |  | On board 7 in the twelfth match EW were using transfer responses to their 1C opening, so 1 H by East actually showed spades, and the 2 H rebid described the 55 major holding, but I suspect maybe not the points, as West signed off in 2S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KJ4 72 AJ5 QJ853 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ753 } \\ & \text { JT863 } \\ & 9 \\ & \text { A2 } \end{aligned}$ | Still, with the East hand I would have had one more try. It is not common for an opening hand opposite an opening hand not to at least invite game! |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & \text { KQ4 } \\ & \text { Q7432 } \\ & 964 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | This would have been a good time for things to break badly for West, and for the club finesse to fail, but when everything behaved, including hearts breaking $3-3$, West had 10 tricks for -170 . <br> The spade lead by Tony, which foiled the heart ruff by West, at least stopped West from making 11 tricks and gained an Imp. |
| W | N | E |  |  |
| 1 C | 1 | 1H! |  | At the other table, after a 12-14 1NT opening, Elaine transferred to spades at the 2 -level, and jumped to 4 H to describe her hand and John made the same 10 tricks in 4 S for +620 and 10 Imps . |
| 1 S | , | 2 H | - |  |
| 2S | // |  |  |  |




On board 15 in the twelfth match the 2C by West was a single suited hand, although showing both majors would have worked out better here, and Tony showed clubs with his double.
East passed awaiting clarification and I showed my club support, whereupon West showed the spades, which did not excite East.
Tony had suspect spades values for game in clubs, so contented himself to just raising clubs, and there the bidding stopped.
West is end-played at trick 1 and chose to play the SA and another spade, which I won in hand to lead to the CK in dummy in case West was void in clubs.
When West proved to have 3 clubs as well as 6 spades, I played the DQ from dummy to establish the distribution and allow me to finesse the D9 on the next round and thus make 11 tricks for +150 .
At the other table NS appeared to get to game, but played by North, where it was easily defeated, so we gained 6 Imps.

| M 12 <br> Bd 20 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & \text { J654 } \\ & \text { KQJ93 } \\ & 987 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 20 in the twelfth match East had one of those horror moments where she pulled out the wrong bid from the bidding box and placed it on the table. This would not have been a problem if she had pointed out her mistake at once, but she did not react until her partner had jumped to 4 H and Tony had passed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AK72 AKT7 T2 AK6 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT965 } \\ & 983 \\ & \text { A76 } \\ & \text { Q3 } \end{aligned}$ | partner had jumped to 4 H and Tony had passed. <br> The Director was called and it was obvious that East meant to bid 3H, transfer to spades, even though she went away from the table to talk to the Director. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 843 \\ & \text { Q2 } \\ & 854 \\ & \text { JT542 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | West was in an ethical dilemma, and since East did not mention her spades again he felt he had no recourse but to bid 6C over her 5NT as if it was some form of GSF, but I do not know what the 6C meant. |
| W | N | E | S | I would have thought that East could have tried to clarify the situation ethically by bidding 6 S instead of 6NT, but maybe this should also be taken as a GSF in a smooth flowing auction. |
| 2NT | 1 | 3D! |  |  |
| 4H | 1 | 4S |  |  |
| 5 C | 1 | 5NT | 1 | The key point is that when you pull the wrong card out of the box or make the wrong bid call the Director as soon as possible. Of course we picked up 14 unearned Imps for this board |
| 6C | // |  |  |  |

