## 2013 ANC Seniors Butler by Richard Wallis

The event on the weekend following the ANC Teams in Adelaide was Stage 1 of the Open and Womens Butler, and Seniors Pairs were able to enter the Open Butler Stage 1 for practice and pull out on Sunday afternoon to play in the Seniors Butler commencing on Monday morning. After the solid week of the ANC Teams, Therese and I decided to have the weekend off and start fresh on Monday morning, a decision that was vindicated by our good showing to finish second.
With pairs pulling out and at least one Open pair aspirant that did not make stage 2, the field for the Seniors Butler gained 3 pairs from initial entry to start Monday morning with 26 Pairs, comprising 15, 12board matches to be played as a Swiss over 4 days. The Womens Butler, was reduced to a single Stage played as a round-robin over 6 days to the same schedule as the Seniors, but the Open Stage 2 played an extra match on each of the 4 days for a total of 19 matches.
We made a few mistakes, but also had quite a few good boards, and until match 14 our worst result had been - 7 Imps, to have us in a good second place with one match to go, and only 3 VPs away from the leaders. Some of our wins astounded us, as we thought that we had lost, only to find that a large win was posted! Butler scoring is often hard to predict.
However, our tiredness finally caught up with us on the last mach. We had the mis-fortune to sit EW, and we suffered our worst loss by 32 Imps in match 15 to be vulnerable to being overtaken for second place. Luckily, only the third pair could catch us, and they only had a modest win, so we stayed in second place behind David Lusk and Peter Chan, who had led throughout.
Thursday night's dinner for the Lusk household turned out to be a victory dinner, as Sue had won the Womens with Margaret Bourke, David had won the Seniors with Peter Chan, and Therese and I had come second in the Seniors.


Board 9 in the first match was too difficult for us to diagnose and we missed an easy slam. Not 6S, which can be defeated by a diamond ruff, but 6D.
I opened because I had a reasonable suit, a second suit, a void, and an outside AK. Over 1H from Therese I would probably have rebid 1S, but maybe 2D to limit my hand, especially because the void is not as effective in partner's suit.
Therese made it easy for me by responding 1S, and over her 2NT game-forcing enquiry, my 3S confirmed 4-card support.
Over her 4NT enquiry my hand gained in strength, and I thought about ways to show the void, or even bid 6S over the sign-off, but decided I had no way of knowing which Ace Therese was missing, so passed.
West did lead the singleton D2, but their partner was mesmerized by Therese dropping the DK under the DA and did not deliver the diamond ruff, so we scored up +480 .
This looked like a possible 1 Imp gain to us, but was in fact a loss by the same margin as the average was -510 .

| M 1 <br> Bd 12 <br> DIr W <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ6 } \\ & \text { T543 } \\ & 74 \\ & \text { KJ43 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { T97543 } \\ & 72 \\ & \text { QT8 } \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | J2 <br> A86 <br> AK65 <br> QT96 |
|  | K8 <br> KQJ9 <br> J932 <br> A75 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | 1 | 1NT | 1 |
| 2 H | 1 | 2S | X |
| 1 | /! |  |  |

Board 12 in the first match was a potential disaster that actually fell our way, but could still have lost us 1 Imp .
The bidding looks odd, and that is because even with the bidding boxes in use, I did not notice Therese's take-out double of 2S! Of course, once the bidding was over and declarer played from dummy at trick 1 (often even before this) the bidding cards were returned to the boxes, so I could not see the final contract during the play.
When 2S came around to me I knew Therese had values but could not double for take-out, in spite of my hearts and clubs because of my doubleton diamonds, so meekly passed.
I was glad to see Therese had enough usefull stuff to lead to a 1-trick defeat of 2 S , and it was only then when I entered the result that I was told it had been doubled by Therese and I had passed it out.
As we could make 3H I thought that we had still lost on the board but in fact gained 3 lmps.

| M 2 <br> Bd 13 <br> DIr N <br> Vul All | AK42AKJT3A7AJ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT965 } \\ & 94 \\ & \text { KJ962 } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $752$ <br> 85 <br> KQT97532 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q873 } \\ & \text { Q86 } \\ & \text { QT43 } \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N 2 C | $\begin{gathered} E \\ 3 C! \end{gathered}$ | S |
| / | 3H | 1 | 4H |

On board 13 in the second match East appeared to have been inhibited by the vulnerability, and his nothing bid of 3C actually helped us to get to the right contract from the right side!
Left to our own devices, if East passes, Therese is forced to bid 2 H , which shows a hand of any shape with zero controls. It is not fatal to make South declarer in 4 H , but it could have been the case on other hands.
We had an easy sequence over 3C, as I could show my suit, and Therese had an easy raise, but over 4C by East, 4H may have been too high if the South hand was a bust, or South with the actual hand might be tempted to make a slam try.
Thrying for slam appears to have been the case at some tables as the average was only 400, and it seems unlikely that NS would not get to game, so we gained 6 Imps for +620 . It could have been +650 by endplaying West in spades to lead away from the DK, but no more Imp!

| M 2 <br> Bd 24 <br> DIr W <br> Vul Nil | AK7432 <br> Q98765 <br> K |  |  | Board 24 in the second match was another that was too difficult to diagnose. <br> My 2D cue-bid to show both majors would have forced Therese to bid if East passed, but when East bid 2NT, assumed by West as showing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| J <br> K4 <br> 97643 <br> AKJT9 | Q9 <br> JT32 <br> AQJ2 <br> 876 |  |  | a limit raise in diamonds, she was able to make a free-bid of 3S. <br> I have not got much except great shape, and my DK is likely to be useless, but I thought 4 S would have chances. At that stage I did not |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T865 } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { T85 } \\ & \text { Q5432 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | West led the CA to 4S, and I could tell from Therese's reaction to dummy that it was a good contract, but until she cashed the HA and ruffed a heart after drawing trumps I did not realize that we had missed a slam! |
| W |  | E | S |  |
| 1D |  |  | 3S | 5 D by EW only goes off by the unlikely lead of a heart and a spade |
| 1 | 2D! | // |  | back allowing South to get a heart ruff, so +480 still have been okay, but it was a flat board. |


| M 3 <br> Bd 5 <br> DIr N <br> Vul NS | 84AKT865AKJ85 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT76 <br> 4 <br> QJ742 <br> QT2 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { KJT97652 } \\ & \text { A3 } \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJ } \\ & \text { Q8 } \\ & 9 \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | $\begin{gathered} N \\ 1 D \\ 5 C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 4 \mathrm{H} \\ / / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |

On board 5 in the third match I am sure that my 4 H overcall was duplicated at many tables, and I assume that South's double was for penalty, but many would bid their reasonable 6-card spade suit in preference to a penalty double.
Holding equal length in the minors, North bid his second suit, but must have considered passing, or maybe he did not consider the double was for penalty?
I led the SQ, and declarer cover in dummy and I ruffed the next round of the suit, and prudently cashed my DA to take the contract 1 off.
Declarer still had a lot of losers and could do little else but concede another trick to Therese for 2 off and +200 .
Since 4 H had no play, and should be 2 off, the board average of only +20 suggests that North was not alone in going on to 5 C , but we gained 6 Imps in a tight match.

| M 3 <br> Bd 12 <br> DIr W <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & 82 \\ & \text { AK873 } \\ & \text { AT82 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q6543 } \\ & \text { AQJT3 } \\ & \text { J2 } \\ & \text { J } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T9 } \\ & 765 \\ & \text { Q94 } \\ & \text { K9643 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKJ2 } \\ & \text { K94 } \\ & \text { T65 } \\ & \text { Q75 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 S | / | 1NT | , |
| 2 H | 1 | 2S | 1 |
| // | X | / | 3C |

On board 12 in the third match North found his partner with a good hand when he balanced over our $2 S$ bid, but with 3 cards in each minor, South predictably picked the wrong one to bid!
Therese has a difficult hand to lead from, although a diamond lead is safe on the actual layout, and chose the CJ as the opening lead, which seemed to screw my club holding. However, declarer, not aware of the danger at this stage, won the CQ in hand and led another club!
In the fullness of time I was able to extract his last trump and we made 6 tricks for +200 and 7 Imps.
2NT is a good contract for NS, not considered by South, and another successful option is to pass the double, as according to Deep Finesse NS can make 2 S, thus EW have no chance in this contract!
In fact according to DF, EW cannot make any contract. 1H looks promising for EW, but would need a lot of help as the East hand has no entries.


Board 13 in the fourth match was difficult to stop in a part-score, although the obvious miss-fit could have warned against getting too high.
Over 3NT I should have rebid my clubs, and warn Therese about my extreme distribution, although another possibility is to offer 4 H as a contract, especially if she chose to rebid 3H instead of 3NT.
All games can go off, although 5D is the better chance, apparently only going down if East least 2 rounds of trumps!
In 3NT, Therese had no chance, although if I had Kx in hearts, both 4 H and 3NT are reasonable contracts. This again emphasizes how shortness, and often even worse, a void, in partner's long suit is often not an advantage.
Going 2 off for -200 was not catastrophic, losing 4 Imps against the board average of +70 .

| M 4 <br> Bd 15 <br> DIr S <br> Vul NS | AK96 <br> AJ53 <br> QJ85 <br> 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 732 \\ & 42 \\ & \text { KT964 } \\ & \text { T9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT } \\ & 97 \\ & 732 \\ & \text { AQ8653 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 854 <br> KQT86 <br> A <br> KJ72 |  |  |
| W | N | E | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 1 H \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 2NT | $3 C!$ | 3 H ! |
| 1 | 4C | 1 | 4D |
| 1 | 4S | 1 | 4NT |
| 1 | 5 H | 1 | 6 H |

On board 15 in the fourth match our systemic flow of information was interrupted by a 3C overcall from East, but this could have warned us about club values not pulling their full weight.
My 2NT response was a forcing raise, showing 4-card support, but the meaning of Therese's 3H rebid after the overcall was unclear.
Having club control I was interested in slam, and thus bid 4C, after which Therese showed her diamond control with 4D, both bids showing $1^{\text {st }}$ or $2^{\text {nd }}$ round controls.
Next I continued on with 4S, and Therese checked on Key Cards and bid the slam.
Bidding the slam is easy, but how to make it? After the overcall, an obvious line is to take the ruffing finesse in diamonds, discarding the losing spade, but this line failed.
Another line, not so obvious, is to draw trumps and ruff some clubs and diamonds, and after 2 such ruffs, the final trump squeezes West in spades and diamonds. This line is successful, and probably explains why we lost 9 Imps against the board average of -300 .

| M 4 <br> Bd 17 <br> DIr N <br> Vul Nil | AJ9658J528653 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T3 <br> KJ943 <br> AK4 <br> J92 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K8 } \\ & \text { AQT2 } \\ & 9876 \\ & \text { AT7 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q742' } \\ & 765 \\ & \text { QT3 } \\ & \text { KQ4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | / | 1D | 1 |
| 1H | X | 2 H | 2S |
| 4H | // |  |  |

On board 17 in the fourth match we received a bonus 8 Imps when West took a $75 \%$ line for 10 tricks, but failed to improve the chances by giving up a diamond trick early in the hand.
Played by West, 4 H is always held to 9 tricks by the unlikely lead of a club, which West must duck, and then a spade return allows North to lead another club with a diamond trick to come.
However I led the SA and now 4H is cold. In isolation, the chance of getting 2 club tricks by taking 2 finesses is $75 \%$, and my double, must have increased these odds, as I am likely to have a club honour for the double.
Declarer played along these lines, but since a diamond must always be lost, it does not hurt to lose a diamond early and see if that suit breaks $3-3$, and when it does, there is no need to find the club honours well placed.


On board 21 in the fourth match Therese made a game try with 2NT after the overcall and my simple raise, but we were not getting to game with my weak hand, so I signed off.
There were a few hand out of the 15 sets where we received an unexpected positive score from an indifferent result, but this hand was one where I expected to receive a good score and we has a 2 Imp loss!
West apparently did not fancy leading his own modest suit, and the spade holding was not one to lead from, so chose a 'MUD' lead of the D8.
Therese won in hand, tested the hearts for a 3-2 break, unblocked the DK, and led a trump to dummy to draw the last trump and run the diamonds.
The defenders got muddled in the discards and allowed Therese to also score a club trick, for +200 , but we lost 2 Imps.




On board 22 in the seventh match (round 8) I was not strong enough to introduce my own suit at the 2 -level after the 2C response from West, especially since I had 3-card support for Therese's spade suit, so I simply made a minimum raise.
However, Therese had a good hand, and made a game try of 3D over the 3C rebid by opener, so now was the time I could show both where my values lay, and also at least a 5-card suit, although it appeared a futile bid at the time.
Holding 3-card support herself, Therese offered 4 H which I was happy to accept, although pleasantly surprized to get support, and East led the CA.
Probably not expecting me to have a 7-card heart suit, East switched to a trump after the CA, and I drew trumps in 2 rounds and took the losing spade finesse, but when West also failed to switch to a diamond, I gained an overtrick for +450 . Due to the vagaries of Butler scoring, this was still only +3 Imps against the average of 330 .



| R 9 <br> Bd 6 <br> DIr E <br> Vul EW | K9 <br> Q852 <br> QT5 <br> JT75 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT2 <br> KT63 <br> K9743 <br> 3 |  |  | J73 <br> J974 <br> AJ86 <br> 64 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q8654 } \\ & \text { A } \\ & 2 \\ & \text { AKQ982 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | $\begin{gathered} S \\ 1 \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| X | 1H | 1 | 1 S |
| 1 | 1NT | , | 2S |
| / 1 | 2NT | 1 | 3NT |

On board 6 in the eighth match (round 9) South described his 6-5 shape, but North did not disclose his 4 -card club support, so that suit withered and died.
I can understand North preferring the 9-trick NT game instead of the dizzying heights of the 5 -level on such a modest hand, but he put too much faith on the DT as a potential stopper help and paid the price.
Maybe 3C instead of 2NT after the 2S rebid by South would have allowed the hand to stop below game, but 2NT goaded South into raising to game, having shown the short red suits, and relying on North to control them.
In spite of North bidding 1H, a heart was a viable lead after Therese's take-out double, since she will have at least 3, but if Therese only has 3 hearts, South will be void in diamonds, so I led the D6 and we took the first 6 tricks for +200 .
In fact as long as we are not asleep on the run of the clubs, any lead defeats 3NT as North can only run 7 tricks. A few pairs must have stumbled into 5C, as the average was -+240 , so we gained 8 Imps.

| R 11 Bd 7 DIr S Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & \text { K9 } \\ & \text { QJ6532 } \\ & \text { AQT9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AK5 <br> J8 <br> AK97 <br> 8642 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJ64 } \\ & \text { A76532 } \\ & 84 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T9873 } \\ & \text { QT4 } \\ & \text { T } \\ & \text { KJ63 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1NT! 2 H | / | 2D! |  |

On board 7 in the ninth match (round 11) West was apparently playing a 12-14 1NT opening, but devalued her J8 in hearts, hence the 1NT opening on 15 points!
However, in my view, the 2 sets of AKs outweighs the doubleton Jack, and therefore it is still a 15 count, so in there system should have been opened 1C, and followed up with 1NT.
They might still have got to the same contract, as over a 15-17 1NT rebid, East may have bid a direct 4 H , but could equally likely have invited game by bidding just 3H, and West would decline.
West was not pushed to make 10 tricks in hearts, and simple won the opening lead of the S2 and played 2 rounds of trumps, giving up 2 trumps and a club for -170.
The looked like a small gain to us, but to my surprize, the average was +420 , so about half the field must have got to game after all, and we gained 6 Imps.

| R 12 <br> Bd 16 <br> DIr W <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT7632 } \\ & 875 \\ & \text { A972 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 16 in the tenth match (round 12) North's 2 S barrage stopped me from describing my hand accurately and I did not want to bid a scrappy heart suit at the 3 -level so I guessed to bid 3NT, since I likely had a second spade stop. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K84 <br> KT4 <br> KJ854 <br> Q2 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AJ5 } \\ & \text { J9632 } \\ & 3 \\ & \text { KJ93 } \end{aligned}$ | As it turned out this was a very lucky guess, as after the obvious spade led by South, 4H has no chance due to cross-ruffs, and will finish 2 off for -200. That most pairs played in their $5-3$ heart fit is borne out by the - 50 average. |
|  | 9 <br> AQ <br> QT <br> AT |  |  | South had a surprize for me in the form of a long club suit, but she was the one who got the surprize when they led the C5 and North showed out. |
| W | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & 2 S \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ \text { 3NT } \end{gathered}$ | S | Everything was well placed, so after the club lead I came out with 10 tricks for +630 and 11 Imps . |



I opened board 17 in the tenth match because of my 6-5 distribution, but I opened 1 H because of the quality. Things took a turn for the worse when Therese bid my void suit over the 2C overcall, but since it was forcing, I had to now show my diamonds suit.
To my surprize, Therese did not repeat her spade suit, but jumped to game in diamonds!
South has a very difficult lead problem after that bidding, and many would lead the CA, giving up the contract immediately.
However, to my dismay, South found the only lead to beat 5D, the HT, which is one that I would never have considered. Put me in with the CA leaders.
On any other lead I am able to discard a club on the SA and garner 11 easy tricks, but North was able to win the HA and push a club through, allowing NS to cash 3 tricks for 1 off and -50 . The board average was -290, so we lost 8 Imps.



On board 5 in the eleventh match (round 13) East did not consider his hand good enough to overcall 1S, and when he next had a chance to bid, I had bid 1S in front of him, so his chance to suggest a spade lead had passed.
Therese forced to game with the 2 H cue-bid, and when I could not bid NT, she bid it herself hoping her heart holding was good for at least 1 stopper.
True to the overcall, West led the H5 to 3NT, so Therese won the first round with the H 9 and could lead a diamond to dummy while she still had a certain entry in the SA.
West won the heart lead from dummy and now East could signal for a spade lead, but it was too late, as Therese had 6 diamonds and a trick in each of the other suits for 9 in total,
Not realizing that West had the stiff CK, and wanting to exert as much pressure on the run of the diamonds, Therese discarded one too many clubs, so only ended up with 10 tricks, but still 8 Imps.

| R 13 <br> Bd 11 <br> DIr S <br> Vul Nil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J74 } \\ & \text { AK9654 } \\ & 94 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K3 7 AJT7 QJT832 |  |  | AQT92 <br> QT8 <br> K52 <br> 97 |
|  | 86 J3 Q AK |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 C | 2 H | 2S | 3 H |
| 1 | 1 | 2NT! | 1 |
| 3C | // |  |  |

On board 11 in the eleventh match (round 13) we had an insufficient bid of 2NT by East, and Therese was not sure what to do but guessed right in the end, since 2NT was probably only a suggestion.
If we do not accept the insufficient bid, East is virtually forced to upgrade the hand into 3NT, and this could be cold after my weak-jump bid of 2 H , so she decided to accept 2NT and then the bidding stopped at 3C since West had nothing to spare for the original opening bid.
Of course it is possible that declarer could have miss-guessed the play of the diamonds when playing 3NT, but I think this is unlikely, and since the spades are breaking well, 9 or 10 tricks would have been easy.
In 3C I led the HA and declarer did not need to guess the diamonds since the spades were friendly, so finished with 10 tricks for -130 .
The board average was only +190 , but this does suggest that some EW pairs made 3NT, or maybe some NS pairs got too high in hearts, and we finished up with 2 Imps.

| R 13 <br> Bd 12 <br> DIr W <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & J \\ & 4 \\ & \text { AQJ86432 } \\ & \text { A83 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { T742 } \\ & \text { QJ6 } \\ & \text { K7 } \\ & \text { KQJ2 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q85 } \\ & \text { T972 } \\ & \text { T95 } \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK963 } \\ & \text { AK853 } \\ & - \\ & \text { T95 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} W \\ \text { 1NT } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ $E$ <br> 3D!  | S |

Board 12 in the eleventh match (round 13) was too difficult for us to unravel after West opened with a weak 1NT.
We play 2D is a single-suited major, and 3D and above is natural, but bidding first in hand I did not want to stick my neck out too far at adverse vulnerability, so I bid a 'safe' 3D.
With two outside AKs, Therese should have enough to allow 3D to make, but how can she raise with a void!
Obviously 3NT has no chance on a club lead if Therese bids it, and even if there is no club lead, where are the tricks coming from without even one diamond to lead from hand towards dummy?
Those that got venturesome, or did not have to deal with the weak 1NT opening, had an easy time, as 6D is cold, and we were probably lucky only to lose 7 Imps for +170 versus -460 .


| R 14 <br> Bd 23 <br> DIr S <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K964 } \\ & 87 \\ & \text { K643 } \\ & \text { J85 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { KQ64 } \\ & \text { AT5 } \\ & 9764 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | AJT73 AJ J7 AKQ2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & \text { T9532 } \\ & \text { Q982 } \\ & \text { T3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1 | 2NT | 1 |
| 3C! | 1 | 3 S | 1 |
| 3NT! | // |  |  |

On board 23 in the twelfth match (round 14) we missed a good slam and I am not sure how we could have bid it scientifically.
My 2NT opening shows a good 19 to a modest 21, so opposite Therese's hand, slam looks good if there is a fit, but the $5-2$ spade fit that came to light is not a good fit unless the spade finesse works.
Since the spade finesse works, and the clubs break, both 6S and 6NT make, but for the same reason, 7C is cold.
Maybe if I had devalued my short Jacks and opened 1S, rebidding 3C over Therese's 1NT response, we would have got to a slam, but otherwise there does not seem to be any way to unearth the club fit.
Obviously 6C does not need the spade finesse, as on a diamond lead 3 rounds of clubs followed by HA and then overtaking the HJ allows a diamond discard before touching the spades.
Most pairs languished in game, but enough pairs reached slam to push the board average up to -820, so we lost a modest 4 Imps for +690 .

| R15 Bd 2 DIr E Vul NS | AK <br> 72 <br> AQ76 <br> AQT95 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q3 <br> A98653 <br> K542 <br> J |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J9854 } \\ & \text { QJ } \\ & 9 \\ & \text { K8432 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T762 } \\ & \text { KT4 } \\ & \text { JT83 } \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1H | X | 1 | 15 |
| 2D | / | 2 H | 2S! |

On board 2 in the thirteenth match (round 15) Therese opened light, third in hand, with 1H, and North came forth with a take-out double!
Since the double suggested that our fit was likely to be poor, I kept out of the bidding initially, and was pleased to see South bid spades.
Therese's rebid of 2D forced me to give preference to hearts, and now South put too much faith in his partner's take-out double when he bid his motley 4 -card suit a second time. I was happy, but not enough to double it, but on the bidding I should.
Therese led the CJ, and South could see he was in a bad contract when dummy went down. In desperation declarer tried the club finesse, but that lost and the HK was taken by the HA on the second round and a heart was returned so I could give Therese a club ruff.
Therese returned another heart, and I ruffed low to return a club for a ruff with the SQ, and we had taken the first 6 tricks! Now Therese had to return a diamond, and declarer negotiated the diamond finesse, but still had to allow me a diamond ruff and the SJ for 3 down and 6 Imps.

| R 15 <br> Bd 10 <br> DIr E <br> Vul All | Q9 <br> QT53 <br> AK6 <br> AQ42 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K864 } \\ & 876 \\ & 974 \\ & 753 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T32 } \\ & 94 \\ & \text { Q52 } \\ & \text { KT986 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | AJ76 <br> AKJ2 <br> JT83 <br> J |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1H | 1 | 3C |
| 1 | 4NT | 1 | 5D |
| 1 | 6 H | // |  |

On board 10 in the thirteenth match (round 15) NS had an uninterrupted auction to slam, with South showing a club shortage and 4-card support by the 3C splinter bid.
After 5D showed 1 or 3 Key cards (unlikely to be only 1 after the jump raise), North eschewed the grand slam and signed off in 6 H .
Warned by the splinter bid about ruffing potential, I led a trump, and declarer could now make slam by the simple expedient of leading a low spade towards dummy, but instead entered dummy with a diamond and took the losing spade finesse.
I led another trump, and it seems as if declarer had run out of options to both ruff the losing spades and losing diamond, and he finished 1 off for +100 to us and 14 Imps.
It seems that a couple of declarers had made 6 H , and after the trump lead a small spade to dummy sets up a cross-ruff for 12 tricks if my hand does not rise, or a diamond discard on the $4^{\text {th }}$ spade thus only requiring 1 diamond ruff when the trumps and diamonds behave,


On board 20 in the fourteenth match we got doubly lucky, both in the bidding and during the play. My 2NT opening shows a good 19 to a modest 21 points and may contain a 5-card major. 3C asks, and 3D shows at least 14 -card major.
Therese's next systemic bid is 4C, showing both majors with slam interest, and her 3 H rebid shows spades and denies hearts, but after along fortnight she had reverted to natural bidding.
After a club lead 6 S looked to be a good spot, although the club wastage was disappointing, so to preserve entries I won in hand and led to the SA, as a safety play.
Now I played to the HA and led a spade up, and with the 4-1 split, I was glad we had a reserve of tricks, or so I thought!
West had not bid, so I was aware of the long clubs and did not expect a 4-1 split in both majors, so I should have cashed the hearts before leading a spade to dummy, as a club return will defeat 6S, but luckily East retuned a spade so I was able to finesse the spade, unblock the hearts and claim.


| R 19 <br> Bd 11 <br> DIr S <br> Vul Nil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKT987 } \\ & 53 \\ & \text { Q754 } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & \text { K96 } \\ & \text { J862 } \\ & \text { AQ52 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Q6 <br> AT42 <br> KJT9864 |
|  | J53 QJ87 AKT93 7 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S 1D |
| 1 | 1 S | 3 C | 3 S |
| 5C | 5D | // |  |

Board 11 in the fifteenth match (round 19) was one of the few bright spots in our worst match of the week, and even then it was not all that bright.
Under many systems now in use, South could have doubled my 3C jump overcall to show hearts, 3 spades, or possibly both, but here South bid 3S and hit the spot!
Strangely North now offered 5D as an alternative contract, and South, probably fearing that 3-card spade support may not be enough accepted.
Therese led the CA to look at dummy, and I played the C4, suggesting a heart switch and she duly obliged, leading to a quick 1 off.
Declarer now has a fair idea of the diamond holding, but ruffed in dummy when Therese gave him a ruff-sluff, and now could not avoid a trump loser, so finished 2 off for +100 , and a rather disappointing 4 Imps, as many declarers in 4S must have taken the trump finesse.

