## 2012 National Seniors Teams by Richard Wallis

The second event at Canberra was the National Seniors Teams, and in this John Brockwell and I teamed up with Hashmat Ali and Bal Krishan, recent winners of the Seniors Pairs at the Gold Coast Congress. We came a creditable $11^{\text {th }}$, but after winning 6 of the first 7 matches and being well placed, were disappointed to lose the last 2 by small margins and thus drop down the list.
After winning the Seniors Last Train, Kathy Boardman and Richard Brightling were again in the winner's circle for this event, but this time coming from $4^{\text {th }}$ position in the Swiss and having to win both the semi-final and the final. Our 20-10 win against them in round 2 was the highpoint of the event for our team, and our only win against a higher ranked team.

We had some great results, but alas, a few 'seniors' moments as well, and it would have been so easy to finish higher, but realistically, many of the teams out of the top 4 could say the same. Maybe next year we can improve, although I guess that these 'senior's moments are only going to get more frequent unless I can learn to concentrate more and play slowly, instead of playing by the seat of my pants as I used to do more successfully many years ago.

| M 1 | 73 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 3 | 97 |  |  |
| Dir S |  |  |  |
| Vul EW | A |  |  |
| T54 |  |  | AKQJ |
| J3 |  |  | AQT |
| K762 |  |  | A95 |
| Q532 |  |  | J87 |
|  | 98 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 43 |  |  |
|  | K |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | / | 2NT | / |
| 3C | 1 | 3D! | / |
| 3NT | // |  |  |

John finished up in a fairly routine $3 N T$ as East on board 3 in the first match, and it is a fairly routine 1 off. Or is it?
South led the H 5 and the HJ won trick 1 on the table. The best chance for 9 tricks is a 3-3 diamond break, but for this to work, it is best to duck a trick to South if possible, as another heart lead would be welcomed. Accordingly, John led a diamond off the board and a sleepy North played the D8, and to his surprise, the D9 from John won the trick.
This should never have happened, but maybe North was trying to engineer a Grosvenor Coup, never expecting John to put in the D9, but he would have known afterwards that if he did it would have won! My impression is that North was not as devious as this.
/ Thus we gained 12 lmps instead of a flat board, and we were off to a / good start.

| M 1 | T8632 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 13 | K754 |  |  |
| DIr N | 7 |  |  |
| Vul All | K82 |  |  |
| KQ |  | A7 |  |
| A |  | QT2 |  |
| A986543 |  | KT2 |  |
| QJ4 |  |  | AT763 |
|  | J954 |  |  |
|  | J9863 |  |  |
|  | AQ |  |  |
|  | 95 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | $/$ | 1NT | / |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3NT | // |  |  |

On board 13 in match 1 I took the easy way out since I had good outside controls and did not mention my poor diamond suit.
Due to the unfortunate duplication in spades, 3NT has no chance on a spade lead, but there was no reason for South to lead anything other than the H6, so we were in with a chance.
If the $D Q$ is onside, there are plenty of tricks, as long as North does not also have the DA and can play through John's holding. However, if the $D Q$ is onside, $5 D$ is cold!
South won the DQ and led the H3 to North, who cleared the suit, and this is the time to take a count of the tricks. Since the DA is still to be lost, unless that is with North and the hearts are 3-6, (unlikely after the play of the H 3 at trick 3) North will have a heart to lead to South and the contract cannot make.
Thus the only chance is to enter dummy with the SK and take the club finesse and when this works you have 9 tricks.

| M 2 | QJ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 1 | KQT76 |  |  |
| DIr N | 9843 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | 54 |  |  |
| T98432 |  |  | AK7 |
| A5 |  |  | 432 |
| 62 |  |  | AK75 |
| K87 |  |  | Q92 |
|  | 65 |  |  |
|  | J98 |  |  |
|  | QJT |  |  |
|  | AJT63 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 2 H ! | 1 | 3H |
| 1 | / | X | / |
| 4S | // |  |  |

On Board 1 in match 2 North's 2H opening showed 5 hearts and a 4card minor, and John decided to adopt a wait and see approach, since he did not have a heart stop, nor 4 spades.
When 3 H came back to him he doubled, probably thinking he had denied a 4-card spade suit, and I had no way to accurately assess my meager values.
The 6-card suit tipped the balance towards a game bid, so I signed off with 4S, and North led the HK.
Prospects looked good when dummy went down, as even on a 3-1 spade break something good could happen in clubs, and they brightened considerably on the fall of the spade honours, so we scored up +420.
At the other table North passed and East opened 1D, over which Bal overcalled 2C, to take bidding room from EW. This had the desired effect when 2C was passed out, and even though the result was 3 off for -150 , that was 7 Imps to us.

On board 3 in match 2 all of the key cards are well placed, so $4 N T$ is cold, but transport back and forth between the NS hands presents a problem to declarer.
At our table, John led the HQ to 3NT and declarer made a reasonable plan that relied on either a 2-2 diamond break, or a singleton honour, so he cashed the DA at trick 2 and ended up 2 off for +100 .
He could have come back to his hand with the CK and led a small diamond up for 10 tricks, or he could also have led the ST, and finessed in spades again when he won the HA also for 10 tricks. However if I won with the DQ (or DK) over dummy's DJ at trick 3, on winning the HA he would have to decide whether to finesse diamonds again or play for the 2-2 break, hence his choice at trick 2.
At the other table, Bal played in his 5-2 fit and made 10 tricks for +420 when everything was friendly.

| M 3 | KQT82 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 11 | 943 |  |  |
| Dir S | A42 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | J2 |  |  |
| J9 | 653 |  |  |
| J62 | AKQT85 |  |  |
| QT73 | 9 |  |  |
| KT53 | 984 |  |  |
|  | A74 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |
|  | KJ865 |  |  |
|  | AQ76 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1D |
| / | 1S | 3 H | 3S |
| // |  |  |  |

Board 11 in match 3 demonstrated how some luck in the choice of declarer can greatly affect the outcome, as well as the importance lead-directional overcalls.
At our table John's weak jump made it harder for NS to investigate their fit, but it did at least tell them that 3NT had no future. South took the push with 3 S and got lucky, but North was not to be pushed around, and possibly allowing for South being under pressure in bidding 3S, did not raise to game.
John led the HK to get count, and switched to the D9, which appears to give up the diamond suit, but North still only made 8 tricks for +50 to us, in a hand that Deep Finesse suggests can make 10 tricks.
The hand was won at the other table but I do not have the auction. I do know that Bal made 10 tricks in 3NT for +430 , presumably after a diamond or club lead from West and a bad discard.
It is hard to construct an auction to 3NT during which East does not bid hearts.


It is not normally good practice to rescue partner when his overcall is doubled for penalties unless you have exceptional distribution, but I broke this rule on board 16 in match 3 and lived to tell the tale.
South's pass over John's 2D overcall and my void was ominous, so I was not in the least bit surprised when South passed North's take-out double.
I had a lot of misgivings, but finally elected to redouble for rescue, and hope to improve the situation, and it looked like I had lucked in when John bid 3C.
Trump leads appear to be the norm when the opponents are in a shaky fit, but South elected to lead the HJ, which John won to take the ruffing finesse in diamonds, followed by a heart off the table.
/ John was able to ruff 1 spade in hand, win a heart and a diamond trick, and take 4 clubs in dummy, for 7 in total and 2 off for -500 . At the other table the contract was 3NT for 10 tricks and +430 , so the loss was only 2 Imps, compared to at least 9 Imps away in 2DX.


| M 5 | 86 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 20 | 875 |  |  |
| Dlr W | K53 |  |  |
| Vul All | JT643 |  |  |
| AQJ9543 | KT7 |  |  |
| A | T3 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | AQJT42 |
| A985 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |
|  | KQJ9642 |  |  |
|  | 976 |  |  |
|  | K7 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 2C! | 1 | 2D! | 2 H |
| 2 S | 1 | 3S | 4 H |
| 4NT | 1 | 5H | / |
| 5NT | 1 | 6C | 1 |
| 7S | // |  |  |

On board 20 in match 5 John's 2D response was a positive, promising $3+$ controls and $9+$ points, and his 3 S raise was stronger than a direct jump to game, so I went exploring for slam.
When he showed the 2 Key cards, but no outside King, I still went on to the grand slam as I expected any finesses to be through the South hand that was doing all the vulnerable bidding.
When dummy went down, I thought that I was in the right contract, as surely the ruffing finesse in diamonds would work, so I could dispose of my 3 club losers. I had the entries to set up the diamonds with 3 ruffs, but that would only give me 2 discards for my 3 club losers.
I won the HA at trick 1, led the SA, and went across to the DA and led the DQ (only for effect), ruffing high when South played low.
Back to dummy with the ST, and I led the DJ for my ruffing finesse, but again South played low without a flicker, so I paused for thought.
I finally concluded that South was not cool enough to play low twice when I led a diamond honour from the table, so ruffed this trick also, setting up my 13 tricks. As often happens when a grand slam is bid, EW at the other table stopped in game.

| M 6 | T932 |  | On board 6 in match 6 we did not do enough to investigate the grand |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 13 | ( |  |  |
| slam, so John was not really tested, especially when South led the C7 |  |  |  |


| M 7 | QJ976432 |  | On board 7 in match 7 North bid a sedate 2 S on the first round over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 7 | AK4 |  | my weak 1NT, but when John bid 4H over him, he was goaded into |
| DIr S | 9 |  | bidding 4S after all. |
| Vul All | 5 | K5 <br> T9853 <br> A6 <br> AKJT | John led the CK and then switched to the HT, won by declarer who |
| AT8 |  |  | led the SQ, won by John who led the H9. |
| Q62 |  |  | I thus had 2 more spade tricks to go with a heart and the minor suit |
| Q4 |  |  | Aces, thus he was 3 off for +800 . |
|  | - |  | Of course, 4 H has no real chance as South can lead either minor, and |
|  | J7 |  | when North wins the first heart, he can give South a spade ruff and |
|  | JT874 |  | then receive a ruff in whichever minor South led at trick 1. |
|  | 987632 |  | At the other table Hasmat also played in 4S as North, but he was not |
| W | N E | S | doubled, going the same 3 off, but only -300 and thus 11 Imps to us. |
|  |  | , |  |
| 1NT | 2 S 4H | 1 |  |
|  | 4S X | // |  |


| M 7 | JT942 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 19 | K |  |  |
| DIr S | T53 |  |  |
| Vul EW | JT42 |  |  |
| AQ87653 |  | K |  |
| A2 |  | QJ9874 |  |
| 864 |  | AKJ2 |  |
| 8 |  | 97 |  |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | T653 |  |  |
|  | Q97 |  |  |
|  | AKQ653 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1C |
| 3S | // |  |  |

On board 19 in match 7 I was surprised that John did not raise me to game when I bid 3S at adverse vulnerability. I would have bid 4S at equal vulnerability, but decided to temper my enthusiasm.
North led the HK, and I thought that 4S was so easy that I took my eye off the ball when North showed up with 2 spades tricks and only made 9 tricks.
This looked like a game swing against us as I expected EW to get to 4 S in the other room, and I was right, but West also made only 9 tricks, so we gained 6 Imps!
On a club lead to 4 S , West ruffs the second round, and can afford a heart and a spade, so goes over to dummy intending to return with the HA to play 3 rounds of trumps before exiting with heart to set up the discard for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ diamond.
When South shows out on the first trump, it looks like the heart and diamond finesses are needed, and unluckily North makes the singleton HK for 1 off.

| M 8 | KJ954 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 1 | J654 |  |  |
| DIr N | Q7 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | KJ |  |  |
| AQT2 |  | 7 |  |
| A3 |  | K |  |
| KJ94 |  | 652 |  |
| AT4 |  | Q9876532 |  |
|  | 863 |  |  |
|  | QT9872 |  |  |
|  | AT83 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | $1 S$ | $/$ | $2 S$ |

On board 1 in match 8 I opened light since I had an easy rebid no matter what John, but when he raised me to 2 S passed out, I wondered where all of the points were, but they looked to be evenly distributed.
But no, West had more than his fair share, only to be stifled by John's raise to 2 S . A 2NT bid now, while maybe justified, by his hand, could run into big trouble.
East led the C 7 and I rued not being in our 6-4 heart fit, but realized that EW could probably make 3NT, so was not too concerned when I conceded 1 off for -50.
At the other table Bal \& Hashmat (sitting EW for the only time) did not get to 3NT, but played in 5C and made it for an 8 Imp gain.


Complacency overtook good judgement on board 15 in match 8. When East led the ST it looked like I had to lose a spade and 2 hearts, assuming the CK was with East, and 4H was unlikely to make if West also had the CK unless I eschewed the club finesse and took the diamond finesse instead.
I toyed with finessing the CQ and taking the diamond finesse, but it was possible that West had everything, so that was also risky. Instead I led a trump to dummy, and now had to go 1 off when the hearts were bad.
This was particularly annoying when I learned that the diamond and club finesses were both onside, so my initial line would have been successful.
4 H also went off at the other table, but I managed to lose another trick so we lost 3 Imps.

| M 9 | K943 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 13 | JT98 |  |  |
| Dir N | T |  |  |
| Vul All | K762 |  |  |
| 85 |  |  | AJT |
| AQ64 |  |  | 2 |
| AJ2 |  |  | Q9743 |
| A953 |  |  | QT84 |
|  | Q762 |  |  |
|  | K753 |  |  |
|  | K865 |  |  |
|  | J |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1 | / | / |
| 1 C | 1 | 1D | 1 |
| 1 H | 1 | 1S! | 1 |
| 2D | 1 | 5C | // |

On board 13 in match 9 the bidding looks a little strange, but when John put his hand down on the table his SA was placed instead of the CA. - He sometimes confuses the 2 cards, and I noticed it straight away as I had the CA.
Thus he had the spade stopped after all, and we should have been in 3NT, not 5C. Still we were off to a promising start when North led the DT, and South's singleton was the CJ.
I covered the DT in dummy and played 2 rounds of trumps, winning with the CT when North plated low.
The DA was ruffed by North, who exited with the HJ, and now it was too late to defeat the contract. I led a diamond to dummy and North could not gain by ruffing, so discarded, and although he could overruff the diamond, that was his last trump and I could discard my spade on the established $5^{\text {th }}$ diamond and ruff a spade for my $11^{\text {th }}$ trick.
This looked like it had flattened the board, but EW at the other table had stopped in 2NT, making just 8 tricks, for 10 Imps to us.

