## 2012 Gold Coast Open Teams by Richard Wallis

For this year's Open Teams Sue Lusk could not come due to family commitments, and Tony Jackman, Meta Goodman, Wynne Webber, Therese Tully and myself welcomed Stephen Lester to our team. Only joining our team in the last week, Stephen flew over especially from Christchurch for the teams, and left again on Thursday evening. This is the advantage of travelling 'stand-by'.
I played some matches with Stephen and Therese as well as Tony, Therese also played a few matches with Stephen, and Tony, Meta and Wynne formed a trio. We had a reasonable first day ( 3 moderate wins and a small loss), and a good third day (3 good wins and a draw), but lost 3 out of 4 on the second day, which pushed us too far down to recover.
Stephen was fun to play with, although his methods were different to what I am used to, and we were both amused after one hand when after I neglected to get the defence to take the contract another one off and he offered the following advice: "Remember to watch your partner's signals and do not try to work everything out by yourself". My comment was that Therese has also offered this good advice.
He had an uncanny knack of picking the right time to open $1 \mathrm{NT}(12-14)$ with a 5 -card major. On the first occasion, with the opponents bidding, I bid a non-forcing 2 H with my 5 -card suit and got to play there, and was pleasantly surprised to get 5 -card support, but still only had 8 tricks for +110 . At the other table Stephen's hand opened a normal 1 H , and was raised to game by his partner with 5 -card support (as I mentioned to Stephen I would do). Since Tony and Meta were both in the auction, Tony doubled and got +300 for 9 Imps. On another occasion, South bid diamonds and spades over Stephen's 1NT opening, and North won the auction with 3 H (for 3 off and +300 to us), to which Stephen led a low spade and declarer showed out! We had a 5-3 spade fit and the suit split 5-0, as EW at the other table found out to their cost.
Another successful GCC, with the Teams won by a youth team including Nabil Edggton (who also won the pairs) and Andy Hung, and Queensland players successful in Seniors and Intermediate teams and various consolation pairs events. Less than 11 months to the next GCC.


| M 1 <br> Bd 7 <br> DIr S <br> Vul All | KJT953T54K965 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q32 <br> K9862 <br> AQJT8 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q62 } \\ & \text { A764 } \\ & \text { QJ5 } \\ & 432 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | A874 <br> KJ98 <br> AT73 <br> 7 |  |  |
| W | N | E | $\begin{gathered} S \\ 1 H \end{gathered}$ |
| 2D | X | 3D | 3S |
| 1 | 4S | 1 | / |
| 5D | 5S | // |  |

On board 7 in match 1, Therese was not strong enough to bid $2 S$ directly, so she had to content herself with a negative double, possibly intending to bid spades later if the level was low enough.
It was a pleasant surprise when I showed spade support, so she raised to game and then broke the rule of not bidding 5 over 5. I was planning to double 5D, and was a little surprised when she bid in front of me.
When dummy went down it looked like I had good chances, but it was obvious that 5D had no chance, especially if the long hand has short spades.
Accordingly, since it did not look like West had bid 5D with too many spade losers, I won the DA and led a spade towards dummy, exposing the finesse, and East obliged by covering the SJ, making my trumps high.
After ruffing a couple of clubs in my hand, East further obliged by saving me a guess in hearts when I led the HT, so I made 11 tricks for +650 . At the other table, only 9 tricks for -140 , and 11 Imps.



On board 16 in match 2 Tony was motivated by my jump to 4 H to look for slam, in spite of having just 3-card support, as it was unlikely that I only had a 4-card heart suit.
Slam is within the odds, but defeated by the 4-0 trump break, as long as West leads his diamond suit, but West did not fancy leading such a motley suit, and instead led the SQ. Now 6H is cold!
One of the problems I have developed during the last few years is making assumptions about the opponents bids, distribution, or the cards they play.
I won the spade lead in hand, led a trump to dummy, ruffed a club, bringing down the $C Q$, and then led another trump to dummy, claiming 12 tricks when West ducked again!
West said "what about my $4^{\text {th }}$ trump" and called the Director. I had assumed that East would follow to the first round of trumps and had not even looked, to see the spade that she actually played! 11 Imps out instead of 11 imps in.


| M 3 Bd 2 Dlr E Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & 9852 \\ & \text { AQ6 } \\ & 9643 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Board 2 in match 3 could have been a big pickup for us when East forgot their system, but since no suit was friendly, 3NT had no real chance, unless NS went to sleep. <br> West meant 2NT as transfer to 3D, intending to bid a forcing 3S next, and infer a shortage in one of the other suits, but East forgot the systemic agreement and passed 2NT, thinking it was invitational. <br> I led the H5, and we took our 6 tricks for just 1 off and +50 . <br> At the other table, of course Therese and Stephen got to game, making the same number of tricks, so by their mistake at our table, EW had gained 2 Imps. <br> In a different match, West was declarer in 3NT and North, a NZ International, led the HA and thus this contract was doomed, but it is hard to see EW making 9 tricks unless NS are off their game, as even a small club lead from South gives NS time to take their 6 tricks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QJT4 K AKQ85 985 |  |  | K76 T742 72 AKQ3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W 2NT! | N | $E$ $1 N T$ |  |  |


| M 4 Bd 17 DIr N Vul Nil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J632 } \\ & \text { K9742 } \\ & \text { J4 } \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | On board 17 in match 4 Stephen opened 1NT (12-14), I raised to game, and South led the C9, which may have had Journalistic overtones. <br> It was important on this occasion to have Stephen's hand as declarer, as the club lead ran around to the CJ, and now Stephen had 3 stoppers. <br> He ducked a diamond to North, who led back a heart, won by South to return another heart, setting up the HT. <br> Having gained 2 heart tricks, Stephen cashed the DK in hand to verify the suit was going to yield 3 tricks, and led a club to dummy, South ducking, but now Stephen had his $9^{\text {th }}$ trick for +400 . <br> At the other table, a different system produced West as declarer in 3NT, and Tony hit upon the good lead of the C6. Deep Finesse suggests that 3NT is still makeable, but it proved too hard for declarer and they finished 1 down for another +50 and 10 Imps. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KT4 J3 AT82 KQ75 |  |  | A75 AT85 K973 J4 |  |
|  | Q9 Q6 Q6 AT |  |  |  |
| W 3NT | N / | E 1NT |  |  |



On board 23 in match 4 NS had a simple auction to 3NT after Stephen overcalled 1S. This solved a problem for me as I did not fancy leading any of my suits, so I led the SJ. The lead of a diamond by me gives away the $8^{\text {th }}$ trick immediately.
Stephen could see a double spade stop and too few entries for him to enjoy the spades, so he won the SA at trick 1 and led the CK, ducked by South, as was the CQ, although the suit would be blocked if he had won the first or second club.
Now we had the contract beaten as soon as I got in, and I was soon in with the DQ to cash my club for our $5^{\text {th }}$ trick.
I had an easy exit with a diamond, so we took another trick for 2 off and +200 .
At the other table Wynne opened 1NT (13-15), and with a maximum of 24 points, Tony did not invite game, and East did not compete, so 1NT was the final contract. Seven tricks are assured, and when the opening lead gave Wynne an $8^{\text {th }}$ tricks, +120 was worth 8 Imps.

| M 6 Bd 18 DIr E Vul NS | AQT <br> 74 <br> KJ54 <br> KT84 |  |  | On board 18 in match 6, Stephen's 3 H was bid pre-emptive after the double, showing 4 card support and maybe nothing else, so I was not interested in game. <br> South passed 3 H and led the C8, which I ducked in dummy, discarding a diamond. After North's double, it is probable that he has one of the club honours, so I won the DA and led the CQ for a ruffing finesse. After that I played safe for 9 tricks and soon claimed +140 . <br> At the other table I did not get the bidding, but EW were more ambitious, bidding to the heart game. Meta and Tony made no mistake and this lost the obvious 4 tricks for +100 and 6 Imps. <br> This was a rare success in a match in which we got thrashed, and it was a match we should not have been having. On the previous match a scoring error in Bridgemates gave us a 21-9 win instead of 17-13, so we were higher in the Swiss than we deserved to be. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KJ AQJT5 A86 QJ9 |  |  | 98654 K982 T973 |  |
|  | 63 Q2 A7 |  |  |  |
| W 1H | N X | E 3 3 | S / |  |




| M 8 <br> Bd 27 <br> DIr S <br> Vul Nil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KT9 } \\ & \text { KQ65 } \\ & \text { QJT82 } \\ & J \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { AJT9732 } \\ & \text { K4 } \\ & 832 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | A87432 8 A9 AK76 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJ } \\ & 4 \\ & 76 \\ & \text { QT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{H} \\ 3 \mathrm{NT} \end{gathered}$ | // | 3S! | 1 |

On board 27 in match 8 my past pre-emptive bids, where I used to open on bad suits, appeared to come back to haunt me.
Tony's 3S was forcing and my 3NT showed 0 or 1 spade, and Tony should have gone back to hearts, but inexplicably passed 3NT!
I had one chance in $3 N T$, South with $K(x), Q(x)$, or $K Q(x)$ in hearts, so I rose with the DA in dummy and took the heart finesse.
When I won the next diamond and the hearts did not behave, I could do nothing else except claim 6 tricks and 3 off.
Of course in 4 H , with the spades $3-3$, I can set them up to discard my club loser, and thus make 11 tricks, or at least 10,
At the other table I believe that the opening bid and response were the same, but then West passed 3S, which made exactly 9 tricks for -140 and 7 Imps away.
The ironic thing was I thought about opening 4 H , but had too many losers at equal vulnerability.

