## 2012 ANC Seniors Trials by Richard Wallis

This year, by a fortunate coincidence, 12 pairs entered for both the Womens and Seniors Trials, so Stage 1 was not required, and we could proceed straight to Stage 2, playing 11 matches of 20-board over 4 days, with both sections playing the same boards at the same time.

As has been the practice for many years now, I entered with Tony Jackman, and we had looked forward to the trip to Darwin this year, although it was going to be an expensive ANC for the players, as July is peak season in Darwin. However, we fell at the last hurdle and only came in $4^{\text {th }}$, so we are staying at home. Those players with enough foresight to book accommodation before they actually made the team will get reasonable deals, although still expensive, but late bookers will have to pay a high premium. The Seniors team for the 2012 ANC in Darwin is Keith McDonald / Andrew Slater, Paul Collins / Ian Afflick, and David Harrison / Leck Kaszubski.

Unfortunately after the first 2 days of play, one pair in the Seniors had to withdraw due to ill-health, and thus there was a bye for the remaining 5 matches. Since we had not finished more than $66 \%$ of the total number of boards, the Director, Alan Gibson, was forced to re-score the first 6 matches, omitting the withdrawn pair. Understandably, this caused some confusion, as the scores for some pairs changed markedly. Where a pair had a good win against the withdrawn pair, that good score was lost and their total score reduced, but on the other side, where a pair had had a big loss against the withdrawn pair, that bad score was also lost, and their total score increased.

The Wallace Cross-Imps system is used for these trials, and, I believe due to a lack of understanding of how they work, the method continues to cause adverse player reactions. Simply put, the system works like this: For 6 tables, on each board the score at your table is compared to the score at each of the 5 other tables, and Imps calculated for each board based on the score difference. The 5 results (in Imps) are then averaged for the board, and become the result for that board. This is similar to Butler averages, except that the top and bottom scores are not removed, thus a large outlying score at any table affects the table at which it occurred most, but all other tables to some extent.

| M | J32 |  |  | Luck was against us on board 12 in match 1, where South took over after North opened a 15-17 1NT and blasted into the grand slam with |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 12 | J7 |  |  |  |
| Dir W | AQ6 |  |  | no clear idea of what the best contract was likely to be. |
| Vul NS T85 | AK964 | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & \text { T963 } \\ & \text { J9752 } \\ & \text { J2 } \end{aligned}$ |  | North dutifully showed the 2 key cards, and was probably surprised when South next bid 7S, but was even more surprised when dummy went down as there were many hands where 7 H was cold, but 7 S had no play, and hearts were not even mentioned. |
| 542 |  |  |  |  |
| KT84 |  |  |  |  |
| 853 | AKQ64 <br> AKQ8 |  |  | At most tables, South transferred to spades and rebid 3 H , receiving spades preference, so at 3 other tables, the final contract was 7S, but in the likely knowledge of a 5-3 fit. Still not good, but around 50-50. |
|  | QT7 |  |  | Of course when your luck is in you can do anything, and not only did North have 3-card support for spades, but both of the major suit Jacks |
| W | N | E | S |  |
| 1 | 1NT | / | 2 H ! | as well as the CK, so 7S or 7NT was claimed at trick 1 |
| 1 | 2 S | 1 | 4NT! | We thus lost 5.2 Imps, whereas if it not been South's lucky day, we |
| 1 | 5H | 1 | 7S!! | would have picked up about 15 Imps . |
| // |  |  |  |  |


| M 1 | AQT4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 17 | KJ52 |  |  |
| DIr N | QJ |  |  |
| Vul Nil | A76 |  |  |
| K985 |  |  | $J$ |
| 96 |  |  | Q74 |
| AT65 |  |  | 87432 |
| KJT |  |  | 9854 |
|  | 7632 |  |  |
|  | AT83 |  |  |
|  | K9 |  |  |
|  | Q32 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1NT | / | 2C! |
| 1 | 2NT | 1 | 3C! |
| / | 3NT! | // |  |

Before I selected my opening lead, I asked for a review of the bidding, and was told that 2C asked for a 5-card major, and 2NT denied one, but I was not sure whether North was maximum or minimum. 3C was then explained by North as probably asking for a 4-card major.
With no likely entries, I eschewed leading my bad 5-card diamond suit, and, based on the explanation I was given, led the SJ, since Tony was marked with at least 5 spades by North's failure to show a 4-card major.
Of course on a 'normal' diamond lead, declarer can still make 3NT by picking the HQ and playing the spades for 3 tricks, finessing the SQ , but I sure made it easy for him.
I do not know what caused North to ignore both of his major suits in favour of a dicey 3NT, but his odd bidding gained him 5.6 Imps , where it could have lost him 9 Imps. Maybe he thought that South's 3C should have been looking for a 4-card major, but was not sure.

| M 2 | QT972 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 6 | T |  |  |
| Dir E | AKQT95 |  |  |
| Vul EW | J |  |  |
| A4 | KJ |  |  |
| A9763 |  |  | KQJ8 |
| J |  |  | 7632 |
| AQT52 |  |  | K73 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 54 |  |  |
|  | 84 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1NT | , |
| 2D! | X | 2 H | 1 |
| 3 H ! | 4D | 4H | 1 |
| 5D | 6D | 6 H | // |

Board 6 in match 2 was tailored made for our system. Tony and I do not play convention transfers after a 1NT opening, and 2D forces me to bid 2 H and await developments, if there is one.
Tony's 3 H rebid is natural and slam invitational, but with no Aces and diamond losers, I signed off reluctantly in 4 H .
Having heard my heart support, and holding the 2 black Aces himself, Tony made one further try for slam by cue-bidding his diamond control, which could conceivably be a void, so I would have shown the SK on the way to 6 H , just in case, but North's 6 D got in the way and I signed off in 6 H .
South dutifully led the D8, but it was claim time as soon as both opponents followed to 1 round of trumps. To make it easier, just in case the clubs did not break, after drawing trumps I cashed the CQ in dummy before leading to my CK, which showed up the 4-1 break, but since it was the CJ singleton in the North hand, the safety play was not needed.

| M 2 | Q3 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 16 | K9 |  |  |
| DIr W | JT764 |  |  |
| Vul EW | Q976 |  |  |
| 952 |  | J7 |  |
| A76 |  | QJ8542 |  |
| KQ852 |  | A |  |
| T8 |  | AJ53 |  |
|  |  | AKT864 |  |
|  | T3 |  |  |
|  | 93 |  |  |
|  | K42 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | / | 1H | 1S |
| 2H | / | 3C | $3 S$ |
| 4H | // |  |  |

On board 16 in match 2 South made it easier for us to get to game by only a simple overcall instead of jump overcall, which may have been system-driven, but otherwise seems odd when he bid to 3 S all on his own.
My 3C was a help-suit game try, based on my 6-card suit and 2 Aces, and Tony was happy to accept.
4 H has 4 losers, but in a funny way North was squeezed by her own partner at trick 3 when he led the ST, having first cashed the top spades.
Looking at dummy's long suit, you want to keep a diamond, but North also wanted to keep her clubs, so a diamond was discarded while I ruffed.
North won the HK at trick 4 and led a club, but I was able to win the CA, cash the DA, overtake the HJ in dummy, and ruff a diamond. Then I entered dummy with the H 7 and pitched all of my clubs on the established diamonds for +620 and 9 Imps.

| M 3 | QJ965 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 3 | AQJT75 |  |
| DIr S | A |  |
| Vul EW | 2 |  |
| A82 |  | K3 |
| K94 |  | 832 |
| K92 |  | Q8763 |
| KJ94 |  | Q76 |
|  | T74 |  |
|  | 6 |  |
|  | JT54 |  |
|  | AT853 |  |
| W | N | E |
|  | 1H | / |
| 1NT | $2 S$ | // |
|  |  |  |

On board 1 in match 3 we were trapped by the limited strength of our own Precision System.
I was obviously not strong enough to open with a forcing 1C opening bid, but non-the-less I liked my hand enough to reverse with 2S even after Tony passed the original 1 H opening bid.
He thought that since I did not open 1C, we were not going to game, and obviously 2 S was a good spot so he passed.
I believe that he failed to take into account that my $2 S$ bid almost certainly showed a good 2 suited hand, and his 3 trumps, outside Ace and singleton heart, made game a good prospect, and thus a 3 S invitation should have been forthcoming.
After the non-trump lead I had no trouble taking the heart finesse and ruffing the hearts good for 11 tricks and +200 . The loss was only -3.2 Imps, so most pairs must have missed game (or played in 1H).

| M 3 | AK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 15 | A |  |  |
| DIr S | AK864 |  |  |
| Vul NS | AJ963 |  |  |
| T973 |  |  | Q842 |
| AJ83 |  |  | 97652 |
| J7 |  |  | 92 |
| K74 |  |  | 52 |
|  | J65 |  |  |
|  | KT4 |  |  |
|  | QT53 |  |  |
|  | QT8 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1C! | 1H! | X |
| 1 | 2D | / | 3D |
| / | 6D | // |  |

On board 15 in match 3 I had a very difficult hand to bid. I could open 2NT to show my points, but that would be silly, so I decided to open 1C and see how the auction developed.
East's overcall showed both majors, and Tony's double generally showed 5-8 points, so I made a huge underbid of 2D, hoping that EW would not quickly subside.
My suit was not good enough to force with 3D, and besides, I had a perfectly respectable club suit as well. The good news was that Tony did not bid 1NT, also showing 5-8 points, but also values in the majors.
To my surprise Tony raised diamonds, so I bid the obvious slam and waited anxiously for dummy to appear.
Of course when dummy did appear I could draw trumps and take the club finesse for all of the tricks.
Looks like a flat board, but those are very rare commodities in such a competition, and we gained 7.6 Imps.

| M 3 | AT875 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 18 | 97 |  |  |
| Dir E | J75 |  |  |
| Vul NS | 954 |  |  |
| 3 | KQ64 |  |  |
| AKJ2 |  |  | - |
| 9843 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKT2 } \\ & \text { AQJ82 } \end{aligned}$ |
| KT73 |  |  |  |
|  | J92 |  |  |
|  | QT86543 |  |  |
|  | Q6 |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1 C | , |
| 1H | / | 1S | 1 |
| 2D | 1 | 3D | / |
| 3NT | 1 | 6NT | // |

On board 18 in match 3 we gained 9.6 Imps from a failing slam by the opponents, whereas if they had bid the correct slam, we would have lost about the same amount.
East described his hand shape pretty well with the raise of the $4^{\text {th }}$ suit, but he had a couple of points to spare over what was required for the bidding up to this point, and showed that with the raise of 3NT to 6NT.
I am sure that West was put off slam investigations after the 3D raise by his good holding in East's presumed heart void, but in fact the top hearts were not wasted as all.
If you are playing in only game, West's heart holding dictates 3NT as the most likely game, especially with West as declarer, but in a higher $\mathbf{S}$ level contract, the 5-4 club fit figures to make 11 tricks and possibly / 12, so 4C instead of 3NT is a better bid, and has the added advantage
// After 4C slam in clubs is almost certainly to have been reached.

| M 4 | A4 |  |  | On board 6 in match 4 I mistakenly passed as dealer, even though I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 6 | T64 |  |  | could open 1D in our Precision system, but when the bidding cam |
| Dir E |  |  |  | back to me I forgot that I was a passed hand! |
| Vul EW | 942 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K97 } \\ & \text { K5 } \\ & \text { T842 } \\ & \text { KQ86 } \end{aligned}$ |  | In our system a 2 C response to a 1 H or 1S opening bid is a trump as |
| T52 |  |  |  | thus I could not bid a natural 2C after Tony opened 1H. This of coursin |
| AJ982 |  |  |  | does not apply to a passed hand, but I had one of those all to |
| A |  |  |  | frequent Seniors Moments and forgot that I had passed, so I bid 2D |
| AJT3 | QJ863 |  |  | and passed when Tony showed a minimum hand by rebidding 2 H . |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unfortunately Tony devalued his hand after my 2D response, whereas he had the values to bid a forcing 3C. It is unlikely that we would have got to the lucky club slam if I had bid 2C, but at least we would have reached a making game. |
|  | Q7 |  |  |  |
|  | KJ9 |  |  |  |
|  | 75 |  |  |  |
| W | N | E |  | Tony had an easy 11 tricks when the trump finesse worked and the |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 H | / | 2D! |  | suit broke, but that was 10 lmps out as it appears the field got game. |  |
| 2 H | // |  |  |  |  |


| M 4 | T |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 16 | T764 |  |  |
| DIr W | KJ932 |  |  |
| Vul EW | J32 |  |  |
| AKJ96542 |  | Q83 |  |
| 8 |  | AJ53 |  |
| - |  | QT86 |  |
| A965 |  | Q8 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |
|  | KQ92 |  |  |
|  | A754 |  |  |
|  | KT74 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1C | 2D | X | / |
| 4S! | // |  |  |

On board 16 in match 4 both Tony and I could have done more to get to the cold slam. He had already done a fair bit by upgrading his 12 point hand to a Precision 1C opening, but this is justified by the tricktaking potential of the hand.
My double of 2D showed at least 5-8 points and no outside 5-card suit, and I had some extras.
After the double, 3 S by Tony should be forcing to game, and I would have had an easy cue-bid of 4 H leading to the slam.
Tony was not sure that 3 s would be forcing, so made sure of game by bidding it, but I thought that he had no interest in slam, and my diamond holding did not look very effective.
However, after the 1C opening it is likely that there will be at least 11 tricks in spades, so a 5H cue-bid was probably safe. We only lost 2.4 Imps by not bidding slam, but could have picked up about 9 Imps.


On board 13 in match 5 we had another Seniors Moments, but this one was Tony's, and he fell on his feet.
I had a good distributional hand and opened 1 S as dealer, only to see Tony open 1C as South!
I had high hopes for this hand, with slam in either black suit a chance with the right cards opposite, but now I was forced to pass throughout the rest of the auction, or what was left of it.
From Tony's point of view, many contracts offered themselves up, but since it was all guesswork, he opted for the universal contract of 3NT, and neither opponent saw any reason the disturb this contract.
When a diamond was led and dummy went down he could see that 3NT was probably in jeopardy compared to 4 S unless good things happened, and the first good thing was the appearance of the DJ at trick 1 followed by winning trick 2 with the CQ.
Tony then led back a diamond to establish his $9^{\text {th }}$ trick and EW had to let him back into his hand or set dummy up, and we gained a lucky 10.4 Imps.

| M 5 | QJ932 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 17 | - |  |  |
| DIr N | QJ82 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | AT75 |  |  |
| K54 |  | T6 |  |
| A7 |  | Q2 |  |
| A54 |  | KT973 |  |
| KJ863 |  | Q942 |  |
|  | A87 |  |  |
|  | KJT986543 |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1 S | $/$ | 2 C |
| X | 2 H | $/$ | 4 H |
| // |  |  |  |

Sometimes when we get what we asked for we find out it was not what we wanted after all!
Board 17 in match 5 was a case in point. I opened 1S, 1 point light, but justified by the shape, and Tony bid 2C, which was asking about my length and strength in spades, and West double for the lead.
By a strange twist of fate, my response of 2 H showed my holding, and before I knew it Tony had bid 4 H , which had to be natural!
It did not look right to pass on my hand (why had I opened?), but I was not being asked my opinion, so pass I did.
East has 2 obvious leads, the C2 or the DT, but after the double, naturally chose the C2, and away went dummy's diamond loser, so I had 10 tricks, losing just 2 hearts and a spade for +420 , and a fortunate 4.6 Imps .
If Tony had bid 2 H initially, and then jumped to 4 H , West would have been on lead, and may have played the DA to look at dummy.

| M 6 | T76543 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 12 | 6 |  |  |
| Dir W | 85 |  |  |
| Vul NS | Q643 |  |  |
| KQ2 | A |  |  |
| KJT53 | A9874 |  |  |
| J92 | AKT3 |  |  |
| A9 |  |  | JT2 |
|  | J98 |  |  |
|  | Q2 |  |  |
|  | Q764 |  |  |
|  | K875 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1H | / | 2NT! | / |
| 4H | // |  |  |

On board 12 in match 6 EW found it too difficult to diagnose their excellent fit, and a slam was missed.
The 4 H rebid by West was about right, although the hand has got a non-minimum look about it, 14 points and two touching honour sequences, and many other pairs probably duplicated the result. These features would be a positive asset if East made move to slam.
The East hand is rich in controls, has 5 -card support, so probably warrants another try. I would suggest a cue-bid of 4 S , and if West can show the CA, slam is a reasonable bet, but after 5 C , to keep partner in the loop, now cue-bid the DA. Surely with such good spades West will now bid 6 H .
There was nothing in the play, and after drawing trumps, cashing the SA on the way, West could discard the clubs on the spades and take the diamond finesse to try for 13 tricks.

| M 6 | QT9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 17 |  |  |  |
| Dir N | 4 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | AQ876 |  |  |
| A753 |  |  | J2 |
| QT97 |  |  | AK863 |
| J7 |  |  | 8632 |
| 943 |  |  | KJ |
|  | K864 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | AKQT95 |  |  |
|  | T52 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1 | 1H | X |
| 3H | 4 C | 4H | 5C |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 17 in match 6 East made a very clever play that unluckily for him could not succeed due to his partner's trump holding.
Over the 3 H weakish raise, I felt that I could not get into too much trouble as a passed hand by bidding 4 C , and I was happy for the opponents to bid 4 H on a club lead. Next thing I knew I was in game, and East had led the HK.
It seemed likely that East had the CK, so I decided to play him for Kx, and after ruffing the opening lead in dummy, ducked a club by running the CT. This has the added advantage that West may not cover with the CJ. I was pleased to see East win the CK, and when he exited with the S2 and West ducked, I drew trumps and discarded spades and hearts on the diamonds for 12 tricks.
East had laid a trap that I may have fallen into if I had 2 diamonds (to enter dummy and return later), and I had the C9, but with neither of those I had no option except to play for trumps and diamonds to run.


Board 9 in match 7 showed up a difference in philosophies, and the fact that I had 4-card heart support was irrelevant.
Opposite a moderate 1NT opening, it is likely that the South hand will contribute very few tricks unless hearts are trumps. Even if partner only has 2 hearts, it is at least a 5-2 fit.
At the table Tony passed my 1NT opening and the defence was ruthless.
East led the CK, and led a spade through dummy's meager strength, West winning with the SJ to return a club, and EW cashed out the black suits, during which I needlessly wasted my diamonds and clung onto my hearts.
West won the $4^{\text {th }}$ club and led a diamond, and all I could make were my 2 Aces, for 5 off. Luckily on 2.5 Imps away.

On board 14 in match 7 EW had a routine auction to 3NT and Tony was on lead with a moderate 6 -card suit and no entries. What to lead, and if a spade, which spade?
Tony did decide to lead a spade, and rather than the psychic SQ, which gives declarer no chance, he selected a normal $4^{\text {th }}$ highest $S 7$.
Now the problem was on East, as she could tell from the lead that I has a spade honour, as Tony would lead the SQ from an original holding of AQJ7, but which one?
There are 2 chances in 3 that I do not have the SA, so declarer went with the odds and played the SK from the table, but this was the 1 chance in 3 where playing low would have won all of the marbles.
We cashed our 7 tricks for 3 off and +150 . Note that 3NT by West is cold as even the SA opening lead sets up the $9^{\text {th }}$ trick with the SK, and this must have been the general result as we picked up 8.25 Imps.


On board 16 in match 7 I opened 1D with such good intermediates, which got us off to a good start. The vulnerability, or perhaps the system, prevented West from making it harder for us by opening 2NT for the minors.

Tony's 2 S response was a trump and honour asking bid, and my 3S showed one of the top 3 spades but no outside Ace, so when he cuebid the CA I was able to show my diamond control and he leapt to slam.
West led the C3, and when Tony claimed 12 tricks giving up a diamond for a heart discard, West lamented his heart holding prevented him from finding the 'killing' hearts lead.
However, due to the fortunate club position, no lead can beat 6 S , as after drawing trumps declarer cashes the top clubs in hand and the marked finesse shows up allowing for a heart discard. Then the DA is conceded.
Other Wests must have opened 2NT as the slam was worth the full 11 Imps to us.

| M 8 | J9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 3 | KT3 |  |  |
| Dir S | KT32 |  |  |
| Vul EW | AJ76 |  |  |
| Q762 |  |  | K8 |
| Q5 |  |  | 964 |
| QJ865 |  |  | A94 |
| K4 |  |  | T8532 |
|  | AT543 |  |  |
|  | AJ872 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |
|  | Q9 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1 S |
| 1 | 2 C | 1 | 2 H |
| 1 | 3NT | // |  |

On board 3 in match 8 I did declarer's work for him a couple of times, by firstly leading a heart to 3NT, and then in the end-game cashing my clubs and squeezing Tony out of our $5^{\text {th }}$ trick.
Since 4 H is makes 10 or 11 tricks, we still gained +1.5 Imps on the hand, but it could have been11 Imps.
Since North did not use $4^{\text {th }}$ suit forcing, I concluded that he had the diamonds well stopped, and I could obviously not lead a club, nor did a spade look inviting, so I led a heart, but this made it easy for declarer to get his 5 heart tricks.
Declarer cashed out his hearts, on which I played the S8 for a diamond, and Tony pitched the S7 (for diamonds) and then a couple of diamonds, and declarer led the CQ, covered by both Tony and declarer. After winning the CA and cashing the CJ, declarer exited with a club, and suddenly I had 4 tricks and was looking for just 1 more!
Alas I ignored the discard pain from Tony and instead of exiting with the SK after cashing 2 clubs, I cashed the last club which squeezed him out of his spade or diamond guard.

Aggressive bidding by NS on board 7 in match 8 came unstuck due to miss-fitting hands, and we were there to pick up the crumbs.
Over 1H by North, I could have doubled to show both of my suits, or bid 2NT to show the shape, but I chose a simple overcall of 1 S since I had a good hand and could always bid diamonds later if appropriate.
When North bid 2D my double was obviously for penalties and thus showed a good hand. Having shown my hand with the double, I would not have doubled 2 H if South had shown preference although it is likely that Tony would have doubled.
North should be showing at least 5 hearts, and thus South would have been better to bid 2 H instead of rebidding the bad club suit again.
South was off to a great start when Tony led the S3 and the CA turned up on the table, but 3C was never a chance with the $5-1$ trump break and everything else badly placed, eventually finishing 3 off for +800 to us and 13 Imps.

| M 9 | KJT83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 8 | K65 |  |  |
| DIr W | J6 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | Q54 |  |  |
| AQ965 |  | 4 |  |
| Q2 |  |  | AJ93 |
| K543 |  |  | AQ987 |
| 98 |  |  | K63 |
|  | 72 |  |  |
|  | T874 |  |  |
|  | T2 |  |  |
|  | AJT72 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 S | 1 | 2D | / |
| 3D | 1 | 3NT | // |

Board 8 in match 9 was a simple hand to bid to 3NT after Tony opened 1S, and after the standard lead of the CJ, I had 9 tricks by way of the successful heart finesse.
Prospects looked good for more than 9, but since only North controlled the spades, +400 was all that was there. Actually this simple contract was worth 6.5 Imps to us, so many did not find it so simple.
North had the chance to shine on the hand, and gave it some thought but did not act.
North knows that the spades are not behaving, and unless I have another source for 9 tricks, 3NT is not a certainty. North also knows that South may give the contract on the opening lead, but a spade is unlikely to give much away, so North should double for a spade lead, and then 8 tricks are the limit.
On course, and unlikely diamond lead also holds declarer to 8 tricks.


