## 2011 QLD Seniors Pairs by Richard Wallis

After being cancelled in 2010 for lack of entries, entries were so slow leading up to the closing time for 2011 that the event looked like being cancelled again, but a late flurry ended with 22 pairs, which enabled an 11-table Howell to be played on the Sunday. This was satisfactory for the players, but not for the convenor, and Ralph Parker has vowed to see a few more tables for 2012.

The numbers were swelled somewhat by the lowering of the minimum age to align with WBF regulations, and it was disappointing to notice how many of the regular competitors in the ANC Seniors Trials failed to enter. Some when asked about non-attendance pleaded ignorance of the event, so please everyone look at the QBA calendar well in advance.

Nonetheless it was a great day, and Tony Jackman and I had our noses in front most of the time, and more importantly, when it mattered at the end! Terry Dempsey and Paul Collins were always on our tail, or slightly ahead of us, but we stayed ahead on the last match, with Rosa Lachman and Bill Hunt in third place.
We had some good boards through our own good play, and some ordinary boards through our own ordinary play, but the luck was with us a couple of times when our ordinary play was still worth a top.

| R 2 | AKJ642 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 9 | Q7 |  |  |
| Dir N | 74 |  |  |
| Vul EW | T83 |  |  |
| Q75 | 3 |  |  |
| JT9 | AK86532 |  |  |
| AK83 |  | QJT |  |
| AQ4 |  | K2 |  |
|  | T98 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |
|  | 9652 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 2S | X | 2 H |
| 3 H ! | 1 | 4H | , |
| 4NT | / | 5 H | 1 |
| 6D | // |  |  |

There was much confusion on board 9 in the second round, when Tony doubled the 2D (Multi) opening and I reverted to the system I play with Therese where this shows a good hand, and I was looking for a slam.
I was surprised when my stopper ask of 2 H was raised to game, so tried to bail out in 4NT, but Tony took this as RKCB. Then I thought he must have been doubling to show diamonds, so I bid 6D, and he subsided, after a lot of thought.
The real system that Tony and I had agreed to play was that a double of 2D shows hearts, as he reminded me when I asked about his 7card suit, and 2 H shows spades. However, I think a 7 -card suit is worth showing in its own right by bidding 3H immediately, and I would have investigated slam.
Thanks to his magic diamond holding, 6D was cold, although +1370 against +1430 does not score very well in a match-pointed pairs event, but slam was not bid at any of the other 10 tables, so we scored a top after all.

| R 2 | 98643 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 20 | AJ764 |  |  |
| DIr W | 4 |  |  |
| Vul All | Q8 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | KQT5 |
| KQT2 |  |  | 3 |
| 97 |  |  | AQ653 |
| AJ7542 |  |  | T63 |
|  | AJ7 |  |  |
|  | 985 |  |  |
|  | KJT82 |  |  |
|  | K9 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1 | 1D | / |
| 2 C | , | 2S | 1 |
| 2NT | 1 | 3NT | // |

On board 20 in the second round EW got a little carried away on a misfit with too few high cards, and paid the penalty.
I considered doubling 3NT to ask for a diamond lead, which I think is best, but did not succumb to the temptation, and was disappointed when Tony led my worst suit, which is the one West might have been expecting when he bid 2NT.
After the cheap trick from the opening lead, declarer has a chance to make 3NT if the SA is onside by leading a spade at trick 2 and then setting up the club suit, but this will fail on the actual layout.
Declarer won the HT and took the diamond finesse, but I led back a heart and Tony ducked. Declarer next led a spade, and I won to lead back the DJ and pin the D9, setting up $t$ more tricks.
Clubs never did get set up in time to be much use, and declarer finished 3 off for +300 to us. Of course with the misfit West should have rebid his club suit instead of 2NT, which would have been passed out, as I do not think that 2 S was a reverse.

| R 2 | J54 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 25 | QJ3 |  |  |
| DIr N | AQ63 |  |  |
| Vul EW | T43 |  |  |
| 976 |  | AQT832 |  |
| KT82 |  | A76 |  |
| KT4 |  | J2 |  |
| KQ8 |  | A5 |  |
|  | K |  |  |
|  | 954 |  |  |
|  | 9875 |  |  |
|  | J9762 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | $/$ | 1S | $/$ |
| 3S | $/$ | $4 S$ | $/ /$ |

Board 25 in the second round illustrated a good principle. Either finesse or not finesse, but do not half do it.
I got off to a fortunate diamond lead, and we took the first 2 tricks, and Tony exited with a diamond, so it was a simple matter for declarer to play the trumps for 0 or 1 loser.
Playing teams, the hand is easy to make 11 tricks as to guard against a singleton honour in the South hand, you cash the SA and then enter dummy to lead towards hand, losing only 2 trumps when South has both guarded.
This is still a good way to play a 6-3 fit, but declarer decided to finesse the SQ on the first round, and did not follow it up with a second finesse on the next round, so lost 2 spade tricks for 1 off.
Playing the SQ on the first round works if I have the stiff Jack, but loses if I have the stiff King. But why guess, bang down the SA and make at least 10 tricks.

The bidding was short and sweet on board 30 in the second round, but at other tables it was even shorter, when South bid a full-blooded 4 S on the first round and suffered much carnage.
Since Tony could have had only 11 HCPs, but was bound to be short in spades, I elected for the positive action of bidding 4 H , since it is not clear that Tony, not having 4 hearts, would re-open with a take-out double if I passed.
South led the C3, and I rose with the CA to lead a spade, and thanks to dummy's good trumps, and North's reluctance to Ruff with the HT and lead a trump, I emerged with 11 tricks for +450 .
This was a poor score compared to the +1100 those that were able to double 4 S received, or even the +800 available in 3SX, and we were lucky to get $30 \%$.


