## 2011 Coffs Harbour Teams by Richard Wallis

Coffs Harbour was a congress I had planned to play in next year, but John Brockwell and Elaine Leach's other pair had to pull out due to illness just a week before, so I was asked to play with Nick Van Vucht from Canberra to make up the team. We had never played together before, but quickly agreed a system after meeting before the first match, and except for a few slight hiccups along the way, were always in the hunt, but never close enough to fully threaten. Unfortunately our last match was our worst loss, and dropped us from $9^{\text {th }}$ to $21^{\text {st }}$.

A Victorian team won well, but Therese Tully and Pele Rankin, playing with a Sydney pair, came in $3^{\text {rd }}$, and Paul Hookyaas and Janine Solomon, playing with Richard Ward and Lindy Vincent, were in a close $4^{\text {th }}$ position, just out of the money.

The format was similar to the Barrier Reef Congress, consisting of $8 \times 14$ board matches played over 4 sessions, day time play only. I always think that this is a bit of a waste of 2 nights when you have to travel so far for the congress, and those that came from further away, Canberra was a 9.5 hour drive away, had to come the day before. However, it seems that I am alone in wanting to play at night!

| M 1 | Q5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 1 | 9 |  |  |
| Dir N | AKT9876 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | Q86 |  |  |
| JT96 | 83 |  |  |
| 842 | T653 |  |  |
| J43 | Q2 |  |  |
| T97 |  |  | AK532 |
|  | AK742 |  |  |
|  | AKQJ7 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |
|  | J4 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1D | 2C | 2S |
| 1 | 3D | 1 | 3H |
| , | 3S | 1 | 4NT |
| 1 | 5D | 1 | 5S |
| // |  |  |  |

Board 1 in the first match had so much promise when Nick opened 1D, but abated a little when East overcalled 2C, and turned out to be a big disappointment.
I forced Nick to give me preference, so I knew he may not have 3-card support, and the club overcall warned me that we were getting potentially the worst lead, so when Nick only showed 1 Ace, I stopped out of slam.
The SQ in dummy was a bonus, but other than that Nick's hand was only moderately useful, but at least 5S was playable. After the lead of the CT I tried to dissuade East from cashing the CK by dropping the CJ, but it did no good, and even though the club return survived, the contract was scuttled by the 4-2 trump break, and I had to settle for one down and -50.
Worst was yet to come as it was obvious that our 5-1 heart fit would have made 11 tricks, and 11 tricks were also available in Notrumps.
At the other table, NS were not put off by the lack of controls, and bid slam anyway, going down 2 doubled, for a 6 Imp gain.

| M 1 | 62 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 6 | JT5 |  |  |
| DIr S | KJT863 |  |  |
| Vul EW | 65 |  |  |
| KQT |  | AJ975 |  |
| 862 |  | 93 |  |
| Q52 |  | 74 |  |
| Q842 |  | T973 |  |
|  | 843 |  |  |
|  | AKQ74 |  |  |
|  | A9 |  |  |
|  | AKJ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Our new partnership was tested on board 6 in the first match when I opened a strong 2NT holding weak spades and a 5 -card heart suit. Our system allowed for this and I felt there was no better way to describe my hand.
Nick could have checked for a 5-card major with 3C, and gave thought to bidding 4D looking for slam, but when he gave up on slam he also gave up on a major suit fit, and simply raised to 3NT.
Not wanting to give too much away on the opening lead, West had a reasonable opening lead of the SK, and hit paydirt. I watched helplessly as EW peeled off the first 5 tricks for -50 .
At the other table NS stayed out of the hopeless slam, but made all 13 tricks in 4 H by South anyway after a minor suit lead for -510 and 11 Imps away.

| M 1 | J32 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 10 | KJ654 |  |  |
| Dlr E | QT852 |  |  |
| Vul All | - |  |  |
| AQT985 |  | K74 |  |
| 32 |  | T |  |
| J76 |  | A |  |
| 85 |  |  | T9432 |
|  | 6 |  |  |
|  | AQ987 |  |  |
|  | K943 |  |  |
|  | J76 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1C | 1H |
| 2 S | 4H | 4S | 5H |
| 1 | 1 | 6C | 1 |
| 6S | // |  |  |

On board 10 in the first match 2 S by West was a negative free bid, so East was content to just bid game over Nick's 4H pre-empt.
It thus looked safe for me to go again over 4S, but now suddenly East re-evaluated the hand and thought that slam was a good prospect. What had I done?
Having received spade support, West removed 6C to the inferior 6S, and there the matter rested, with Nick leading the H5, won by me with the HA.
Now is the time to count the clubs and realize that there are only 2 missing (the lead of the H 4 , probably not $4^{\text {th }}$ highest may have given me a clue), but to my shame I got lazy and led back a diamond!
Now a funny thing happened. West called for the S4 from the table, and after I followed with my singleton S6, he played the S5! Partner was so surprised at this that he did not notice it was my S6 holding the trick and won with the SJ to defeat the contract. If the S6 held the trick I could have finally led a club and taken it 2 off. Declarer had thought he had played the SK from the table, hence the low card from his hand. Slam was missed at the other table but still 12 Imps in.

| M 2 | A95 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 17 | 42 |  |  |
| Dir N | AT953 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | AK2 |  |  |
| QT43 |  | K76 |  |
| AQ7 |  | KT9863 |  |
| 42 |  | 8 |  |
| J864 |  | Q97 |  |
|  | J82 |  |  |
|  | J5 |  |  |
|  | KQJ76 |  |  |
|  | T83 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1NT | 2 H | 2NT! |
| 3 H | / | 1 | X |
| // |  |  |  |

After a 14-16 loss in the first match we were well on the way to another similar result in the second match when I let the balance of points persuade me to double 3 H for penalties.
Dummy's doubleton diamond was a big disappointment to me, but it was even worse when East ruffed the second diamond. 10 of our points amounted to only 1 trick!
We were still in with a chance if declarer miss-guesses the spades, or clubs, but after drawing trumps he pulls a small club off the board, and our only hope is for Nick to duck and allow declarer to mis-guess, but of course after I show up with the DK and DQ, he cannot guess wrong, and we have to write down -530.
At the other table a different bidding sequence subsides with NS in 4D off 2 , so the loss is 10 lmps .
Of course if we had been playing a weak 1NT, Nick would have opened 1D and now I know about the fit and duplication of values, so double of 3 H would not be an option.

| M 2 | J986 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 20 | K3 |  |  |
| DIr W | QJ74 |  |  |
| Vul All | A87 |  |  |
| Q754 |  | K32 |  |
| Q752 |  | AJ9 |  |
| 98 |  | T |  |
| Q94 |  | KJT532 |  |
|  |  | AT |  |
|  | T864 |  |  |
|  | AK6532 |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| / | / | 1C! | 1D |
| /! | $2 C!$ | I/ |  |

On board 20 in match 2 we were disadvantaged by East's imprecise explanation, but understandably, got no sympathy from the Director.
1C was artificial (ala Precision), and after West passed my natural overcall of 1D, East alerted, and when Nick asked its meaning, East said that the pass showed that the 1D had taken his partner's bid.
Nick now bid 2C, which I took to be natural, as the 1C was not natural, and while I would have preferred to rebid my good 6-card suit, interpreted East's explanation to mean that West had diamonds as well.
2C was not a good contract, and Nick did well to make 4 tricks, but that was still 11 Imps out when 2D made 10 tricks at the other table.
After it was obvious that West did not have diamonds, I called for the Director, but now East said that he added the rider that the 1D bid that West wanted to make was not natural. I definitely did not hear this and Nick did not remember it, but EW agreed it had been said so we had no recourse.

| M 3 | 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 7 | AT |  |  |
| DIr S | AKQ654 |  |  |
| Vul All | 9653 |  |  |
| QJT2 | 97653 |  |  |
| Q8763 | 92 |  |  |
| 932 | T8 |  |  |
| * |  |  | QJT7 |
|  | AK8 |  |  |
|  | KJ54 |  |  |
|  | J7 |  |  |
|  | AK42 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1C |
| 1 | 1D | , | 2NT |
| 1 | 4C | 1 | 5C |
| / | 6C | // |  |

On board 7 in the third match I was looking at my losers in hearts and diamonds and not concentrating on my good controls. Since I had only shown 3 clubs by my 1C opening and 2NT rebid, I expected Nick to have 5 clubs, so 5C was okay in teams, rather than the higher scoring 4NT.
To my surprise, Nick raised my sign-off to slam, and when dummy went down I thought that we had lost 2 Imps, as 6NT was cold, It was worse than that however, as the $4-1$ club break consigned 6 C to the scrap heap, and we lost 17 Imps , out largest loss of the competition.
Of course I should have cue-bid 4 S rather than bid game, and then Nick would have been more interested in 6NT as his singleton spade is covered.
Should we be playing in clubs anyway? Nick has likely got 7 tricks in his own hand, and I have shown 18-19 points, so surely I can supply the other 5 tricks in notrumps? A simple 6NT over my 2NT rebid would seem to be a reasonable shot rather than pinning our hopes on clubs.

| M 4 | QJT43 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 17 | AJ6 |  |  |
| Dir N | KQJT3 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | - |  |  |
| 72 |  |  | 9865 |
| 975 |  |  | K43 |
| 987 |  |  | 6 |
| JT765 |  |  | AK932 |
|  | AK |  |  |
|  | QT82 |  |  |
|  | A542 |  |  |
|  | Q84 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1 S | 1 | 2D |
| / | 4C! | 1 | 5D |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 17 in the fourth match I was concerned about my wasted club values when Nick showed his shortage and East doubled, so did not consider the slam possibilities. This was short-sighted, as my hand has good controls, and the AK in Nick's first-bid suit are of enormous value.
However, there is a very good way to use these lead-directional doubles to your advantage, and this would have worked out well here! The procedure is simple, a redouble should show first-round control, and since I did not have it, I can pass and see if Nick has a void in clubs instead of the singleton.
After his redouble I can cue-bid the SA and then we will have to decide whether to bid 6 or 7 . It will be easy to identify that the HK is missing and stop in 6D.
The slam was missed at the other table, so just another flat board.

| M 4 | A7542 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 26 | 652 |  |  |
| DIr E | K |  |  |
| Vul All | AQ97 |  |  |
| J3 |  | 86 |  |
| AQJT974 |  | K8 |  |
| QJ3 |  | AT64 |  |
| K |  | JT854 |  |
|  | KQT9 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |
|  | 98752 |  |  |
|  | 632 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | $/$ | $/$ |
| $1 H$ | $1 S$ | $/$ | $3 S$ |
| 4H | $4 S$ | $/ /$ |  |

Board 26 in the fourth match was a rarity, a double vulnerable game swing, when we bid and made 4 S at our table, and John and Elaine bid and made 4 H at the other table.
The opening lead was the HK, followed by the CJ, won by Nick who led a trump to the table and led a diamond, losing to East's DA.
East led another club, and West ruffed Nick's club loser. Now West made a fatal mistake when he led a diamond, ruffed by Nick who led a trump to dummy to ruff another diamond.
A heart ruff enabled the $4^{\text {th }}$ diamond to be ruffed, setting up the $5^{\text {th }}$ diamond to discard a club loser, with the $3^{\text {rd }}$ heart ruff available as an entry to dummy.
If the diamond cashes, it is the setting trick, but it is never going away, and a heart return instead of a diamond will defeat 4S. That would still have been 11 Imps to us, instead of the 15 Imps from the double game swing.


| M 6 | K84 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 15 | T9 |  |  |
| Dir S | T92 |  |  |
| Vul NS | AJT98 |  |  |
| QJ963 | 2 |  |  |
| 4 | J863 |  |  |
| AQJ | 876543 |  |  |
| KQ62 |  | 43 |  |
|  | AT7 |  |  |
|  | AKQ752 |  |  |
|  | K |  |  |
|  | 75 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1H |
| X | 1NT | / | 3H |
| / | 4H | , | / |
| 4S | X | 5D | X |
| // |  |  |  |

Board 1 of in the $5^{\text {th }}$ match was a lucky swing to us when East was a bit conservative following his partner's 1NT overcall. Many players, with additional help in hearts and such intermediate values would jump straight to game, or at least invite with 2NT, and West would likely have accepted.
Luckily Nick ignored my 1H opening bid, and led his long suit, setting up a problem for West. West made the normal (but wrong in this case) play of the SQ at trick 1, and ducked my SK. However Nick was able to overtake my ST at trick 2 and set up his spades.
West unblocked the top hearts, and then only had to get to dummy to cash the last 2, but I obviously had the CA and could keep him out by ducking the CQ, which I did. However, when West next led his CT to dummy, my duck was to no avail as the C8 is now an entry, with the C 2 in hand to access it. West only made 8 tricks, so maybe East was right after all.
To make 3NT West has to play low from dummy at trick 1 and duck spades twice, then run the CT through North This was found by John at the other table after the same opening lead and +400 was 7 Imps.

Board 8 in the $5^{\text {th }}$ match looked to me like I had my outside honours and shortage in the wrong suits. The SK figured to be of limited value, even though my 3-card holding suggested that Nick was short.
When Nick raised my forcing 3 H to game, I could envisage 2 club losers in his hand opposite my poor holding, so I subsided in game in spite of my good hand.
Of course all I really needed was the CA, as if he had solid diamonds and Axx in clubs I could draw trumps and discard my club losers. Even the CK in his hand would give 6 H some play if the CA was on side, so I should have bid 4NT and check we had all the Aces.
At the other table they NS were not so restrained and sailed into 6 H , making 12 tricks for -980 and 11 Imps away.

On board 15 in the $6^{\text {th }}$ match West was goaded into making what was likely to be a phantom sacrifice, as 4 H , even played by South requires carefull play, probably of a double-dummy variety.
Bidding 4S on such a shaky suit was always going to be dangerous, as we only had a tentative fit in hearts, brought about by my jump rebid, so there is less chance that EW have a fit in spades.
East removed to their 6-card suit, but that was a dreadfull contract, and a trick was lost in the play to be 5 off and +1100 to us.
At the other table John and Elaine did not have to make the decision, as South stopped in just 2 H , making only 9 tricks, so we picked up 14 Imps.
It is marvelous the number of times that bad sacrifices are made by non-vulnerable players to protect against a game by the vulnerable opponents. The favourable vulnerability seems to give players a death wish.

| M 6 | 8642 |  | On board 18 in the $6^{\text {th }}$ match EW were not on the same wavelength <br> Bd 18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and finished up in the ridiculous 3NT contract, allowing me to cash the |  |  |  |


| M 7 | T852 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 2 | T4 |  |  |
| DIr E | A76542 |  |  |
| Vul NS | 8 |  |  |
| 96 | AKQJ4 |  |  |
| J | AKQ652 |  |  |
| KQJ93 |  |  |  |
| AJ963 | 52 |  |  |
|  | 73 |  |  |
|  | 9873 |  |  |
|  | T8 |  |  |
|  | KQT74 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1 C ! | 1 |
| 2D | 1 | 2H | , |
| 3C | 1 | 3S | / |
| 4C | 1 | 5S | // |

On board 2 in the $7^{\text {th }}$ match EW were handicapped by the Precision system forcing East to open 1C instead of starting off with her longest suit.
From her point of view it is quite likely that we could cash the first 2 tricks in clubs, and Blackwood does not help with her diamond void.
She did the best she could by jumping to 5 S over West's 4 C rebid, hoping that West knew what to do, but he did not, so he passed, and 12 tricks were easy. In fact, double dummy, 13 tricks are cold in either major, by way of the ruffing finesse in diamonds, and the HJ in dummy giving an additional entry.
At the other table John and Elaine had a natural auction, and when the misfit came to light, preferred the safety of 6NT. This was worth 11 Imps

| M 7 | QT5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 6 | J4 |  |  |
| DIr E | QJ76 |  |  |
| Vul EW | AJ75 |  |  |
| 6432 |  |  | K987 |
| 852 |  |  | AQT96 |
| 843 |  |  | T5 |
| 864 |  |  | KQ |
|  | AJ |  |  |
|  | K73 |  |  |
|  | AK92 |  |  |
|  | T932 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1C! | 1NT! |
| 1 | 3D | // |  |

On board 6 in the $7^{\text {th }}$ match EW were playing an artificial 1 C opening, and my 1NT overcall showed the minors.
Bidding 1NT on such a strong hand was a mistake when East's 1C could be only a minimum opening bid, and I did not know whether Nick's 3D was obstructive or invitational. Have a look at the West hand, luckily you rarely get a hand where all of the pips add up to only 63.

Since there are so few points missing I doubt that Nick would have got it wrong, but during the course of the play in 3D, East led the CK, making it very easy to set up 11 tricks for +150 .
At the other table NS played in 3NT, and it looks as if this would go down on the lead of the HQ, but East has to find 2 discards on the diamonds, so 1 heart has to be discarded, allowing clubs to be set up for the $9^{\text {th }}$ trick. 6 Imps away.


