## 2009 ANC Senior Teams by Richard Wallis

Tony Jackman and I teamed up with John Gough \& Mike Pemberton and Eva \& Tony Berger for the ANC Seniors Teams in Canberra this year, and we went to Canberra in eager anticipation of a good result. However we performed hot and cold over the whole event, and while we had very few large losses, never looked like qualifying, as we had very few large wins, and finished in $5^{\text {th }}$ place (out of 7 ).
We were also $5^{\text {th }}$ after the first round-robin and the second round-robin started well for us with a 20 Imp win over the highly-fancied NSW, but it quickly faltered.
The only bright spot in the ANC Teams as far as Qld was concerned was when the Qld Womens Team won the final in convincing fashion over surprise finalists - Northern Territory. While NT were surprise finalists before the event, they performed consistently well during the qualifying and were always up near the top, and finished a clear second.

In spite of our average results in the round-robin, we all had a great time, enjoyed the event, and got on well together. There is always next year!

| M 1 | KQ5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 10 | 8643 |  |  |
| DIr E | J52 |  |  |
| Vul All | 653 |  |  |
| 643 |  | T8 |  |
| QJ92 |  | K |  |
| 7 |  | Q9864 |  |
| KT842 |  | AQJ97 |  |
|  | AJ972 |  |  |
|  | AT75 |  |  |
|  | AKT3 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1C | X |
| 1H | / | 2 H ! | 2S |
| // |  |  |  |


| M 1 | Q643 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 16 | KT4 |  |  |
| DIr W | Q64 |  |  |
| Vul EW | AT3 |  |  |
| 8752 |  |  | AT9 |
| AJ2 |  |  | 8653 |
| KJ5 |  |  | AT92 |
| Q82 |  |  | 97 |
|  | KJ |  |  |
|  | Q97 |  |  |
|  | 873 |  |  |
|  | KJ654 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| I | 1D | , | 1NT |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 16 against WA in the $1^{\text {st }}$ match, when West led the S 7 , expediency and safety won the day.
The diamond suit looked to be vulnerable, so I finessed a club through West as a safety measure, and luckily that was the correct way to tackle clubs for 5 tricks. Now I led a spade from dummy to give nothing away, and East won the SA and led a heart to West, who did not count my hand and realize I had already shown up with KJ in both black suits so was unlikely to have the DA.
Thus the diamond suit still looked like a risky switch to West and a heart came back allowing me 9 tricks for +150 .
At the other table NS finished too high in 2NT, and all 6 tricks were taken against them for 1 off and 5 Imps to us.
Board 10 against WA in the $1^{\text {st }}$ match was a peculiar way to start the event, and I present the bidding as it occurred at our table.
When my senses recovered, I looked closely at the bidding again to see if I had missed something, but no, West had bid a natural and non-forcing 1 H , and East had raised to the 2 -level, but we had the 4-4 fit!
2 s was a very comfortable contract when trumps were 3-2, so I drew trumps and cashed the top diamonds, intending to give up a trick in diamonds to make my $9^{\text {th }}$ trick with the DT.
However, when I cashed the DK, West showed out and East played the DQ! This looked odd, but now I had 10 tricks so I went to the DJ in dummy and both opponents discarded again.
When I pulled a heart off the table, East played the D9, and on being reminded that a heart was led, put it back in her hand and played the D8! Her heart turned out to be the King, and I cashed my DT and exited with a heart, making 11 tricks with the HT , and the revoke made it up to $12-+230$ against +130 for $3 C$ at the other table.

| M 1 | QJ53 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 17 | K5 |  |  |
| Dir N | AJ |  |  |
| Vul Nil | AKJ85 |  |  |
| K4 |  |  | 987 |
| 87642 |  |  | AJT93 |
| Q73 |  |  | K65 |
| T73 |  |  | Q9 |
|  | AT62 |  |  |
|  | Q |  |  |
|  | T9842 |  |  |
|  | 642 |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  | 1C | 1 | 1D |
| 1 | 2NT | // | 3NT! |

Board 17 was the make or break hand in the $1^{\text {st }}$ match for me and it was break.
After Tony showed 18 or 19 HCPs with his 2NT rebid, I could have bid 3C looking for a spades fit, but foolishly decided that if he had a major suit it was likely to be hearts, and just raised to 3NT.
I was anxious not to give too much away to EW about Tony's hand pattern, and if he had a heart suit my HQ was going to help, which is why I took the direct route to where I thought that we would end up anyway.
East led the HT and dummy won the first trick, so it looked like everything was going to plan, but Shock! Horror! When West won the SK and returned a heart, EW reeled off the next 5 tricks taking us 1 off.
The revelation that 4 S was cold was a bitter pill to swallow, and we lost 11 Imps, losing the match by just 1 Imp.

| M 3 | - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 4 | KJ73 |  |
| DIr W | 7 |  |
| Vul All | AKJ96432 |  |
| QJ73 |  | AK98 |
| AQT4 |  | 9 |
| AQ82 |  | KT65 |
| T |  | A875 |
|  | T6542 |  |
|  | 8652 |  |
|  | J943 |  |
|  | - |  |
| W | N | E |
| 1D | 5C | X |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | S |

The bidding on board 4 in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ match against Tasmania was short and sweet, and was duplicated at quite a few tables, but not in our match.
Daunted by the 5-level when vulnerable, North at the other table only bid 4C, and Tony and Eva were not sure of the profitability of doubling this, so played in 4S as EW, coming in with 10 tricks in spite of the 5-0 trump break, but losing 10 Imps.
There was nothing that Tony could get out of my hand, except the 4 hearts, and he could not play those to any advantage, so only made his 7 trump tricks for - 1100 .
When he saw dummy he lamented not playing in our 4-4 heart fit, but 6 (-1700)!

| M 3 | AT73 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 5 | AQT532 |  |  |
| Dir N | T |  |  |
| Vul NS | A3 |  |  |
| KJ854 | 62 |  |  |
| K876 | J9 |  |  |
| 32 |  |  | 9865 |
| Q2 |  |  | T9875 |
|  | Q9 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |
|  | AKQJ74 |  |  |
|  | KJ64 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1H | 1 | 3D! |
| 1 | 3H | / | 3NT |
| 1 | 4C | 1 | 5C |
| , | 5D | / | 6D |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 5 in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ match against Tasmania the problem was we were not high enough!
My 3D response was natural and asking for support and Aces, and Tony's 3H showed the HA but no support, so I signed off in 3NT. He could have bid 4NT to show 2 Aces, but we have no bid for 3 Aces, so he improvised with 4C over my sign-off.
Thinking his 4C was natural, I raised to game, but when he next rebid 5D, I knew he was inviting slam, but alas I only bid 6D, not 7D.
In 7D I needed the heart finesse to work and not worse than a 4-2 break, so that is what I would have to play for, but in just 6D I could afford a loser, so of the many lines available (virtually all others would have been successful) I chose to finesse the HT at trick 2, and could not recover. When in desperation I lost to the doubleton CQ at the end, I finished 2 off for -200.
The best line for 12 tricks is to find 1 of the heart honours doubleton, so ruff a heart, and if an honour falls, return to dummy and make a loser-on-loser play in hearts to set the suit up.

| M 3 | A62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 9 | T96 |  |  |
| DIr N | JT98 |  |  |
| Vul EW | 642 |  |  |
| K85 | T9 |  |  |
| 7532 | AKQJ |  |  |
| - | Q72 |  |  |
| KJ9873 | AQT5 |  |  |
|  | QJ743 |  |  |
|  | 84 |  |  |
|  | AK6543 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  | 1 | 1C | 1D |
| X | 1 | 3NT | 4S |
| 1 | 5D | X | // |

Holding such a powerfull distributional hand on board 9 in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ match against Tasmania, I was going to take a lot of silencing at favourable vulnerability, so I had no hesitation in showing my spade suit over East's jump to 3NT.
I assumed that West's double was negative, so I do not know why East made the risky bid of 3 NT when 4 H was bound to play well even if on a 4-3 fit.
Tony gave preference to diamonds, although 4 S was a possible make on the expected club lead, and East made the obvious penalty double, still failing to mention hearts.
Luckily my pips were not big enough to worry about playing for no spade losers, so after ruffing the first club and cashing the DA to confirm the break I went to dummy with the SA to take the trump finesse, clearing the trumps, and then exited with the SQ expecting to lose 2 more heart tricks for 1 off and -100.
Since 4 H was cold (12 tricks at the other table), this was worth 11 Imps, but the heart did not come so +550 for 14 Imps.

Board 11 in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ match against Tasmania looked to be one of the all-time flat boards, but not so as it was not bid at the other table so the slam was worth 11 Imps.
I thought about bidding a more encouraging 3 H instead of jumping to game, but I have only a minimum with no Aces, and most of my points are in my suit, so I left it up to Tony.
He could have looked for the grand with 4NT, and almost certainly would have over a 3 H rebid by me, but was likely put off the 13-trick contract because of his shortage in my long suit.
East led the DJ and it was immediately obvious, that while slam was a good bet, it was not cold, and the singleton SA made entries to dummy more difficult.
Winning the opening lead in dummy and leading a trump did nothing to dispel any misgivings, but after unblocking the SA, cashing 3 diamonds to pitch a club and ruffing the $2^{\text {nd }}$ club to enter dummy for the last time (pitching the last club) the appearance of the HJ on the next round of trumps made for a quick claim.

It has been said that in a teams match if the possibility of a grand slam comes up, count carefully to 13 before even considering the grand, and then bid only six!
This could have been true for board 5 in the $5^{\text {th }}$ match against ACT, as at the other table they were only in 3 NT , so we were going to pick up 10 Imps anyway and the grand slam only increased that by 4 Imps, but would have been 11 away if it had gone down.
Tony's response to my 2 S trump-asking bid showed a solid suit, and then he showed $2^{\text {nd }}$ round club control, but when he did not have $2^{\text {nd }}$ round diamond control I gave away any thoughts of the grand and settled for 6S.
However, Tony had a great deal more than he might have had for the

| $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $/$ | 1 C | $/$ |
| 1S | $/$ | 2 S | $/$ |
| $3 \mathrm{NT}!$ | $/$ | 4 C | $/$ |
| 4 S | $/$ | 5 D | $/$ |
| 5 S | 1 | 6 S | $/$ |
| 7 S | $/ /$ |  |  |

8762
AK85
AT
AQ3

543
J2
762
86542
I 1C
/ 4C /
$\begin{array}{lll}1 & 5 \mathrm{D} & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \mathrm{~S} & 1\end{array}$ bidding thus far, so he went on to the grand.
The grand is not quite $100 \%$, but has many possibilities, and I would not have been surprised if +1510 was flat! For EW at the other table to stay out of slam was a direct result of our NS being in the auction.
A trump was led, and with trumps 3-1 there was no need for the diamond finesse, but that would have worked if required.

| M 5 | QJ62 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 7 | A |  |  |
| DIr S | QJT97 |  |  |
| Vul All | 764 |  |  |
| 43 |  |  | AK |
| K97543 |  |  | J86 |
| - |  |  | 6432 |
| KJT98 |  |  | AQ32 |
|  | T9875 |  |  |
|  | QT2 |  |  |
|  | AK85 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1D | 1 | 15 |
| X | 1 | 4C | 1 |
| 1 | 4S | I | 1 |
| 5C | X | // |  |


| M 5 | AQJ32 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 10 | J86 |  |  |
| DIr E | J873 |  |  |
| Vul All | K |  |  |
| - | K9875 |  |  |
| Q9432 | AT75 |  |  |
| KQ4 | 62 |  |  |
| JT753 | A2 |  |  |
|  | T64 |  |  |
|  | K |  |  |
|  | AT95 |  |  |
|  | Q9864 |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  | 1 | 1S | 1 |
| 1NT! | 1 | 2 H | 1 |
| 4H | // |  |  |

On board 10 in the $5^{\text {th }}$ match against ACT I was seeing traps that were not there and went down in a cold game.
1NT was forcing, and Tony made an aggressive jump to game when I showed one of his 5-card suits, although I do not think that a void in my longest suit is necessarily a great asset.
South led the C6 and although I was not psychic enough to duck in dummy, it looked plain sailing to set up my long suit, so I led the HA to prevent any adverse ruffs, but was stopped in my tracks by the appearance of the singleton HK.
The plan was still sound, so I next led the C2, and after a lot of thought South played the C8! If he had won his CQ I could not have gone wrong, but now I wanted to preserve my club winner in dummy, forgetting that I had not lost a club trick yet, so I ducked also.
If I had covered North ruffs this with their natural trump trick but is end-played, and even a diamond to South for another club ruff does not defeat 4 H .

On board 12 in the $5^{\text {th }}$ match against ACT I was all too conservative when I failed to double the final contract of 4 S ! I did not even have the excuse that I was worried about a possible 5C contract, as I could have doubled that in comfort as well.
My 3H bid was a tactical bid that completely fooled Tony. When he led the HA and I played my HK, he took that as suit-preference, and promptly switched to the DK.
When this held (seemingly justifying his reading of the HK at trick 1) he continued with the DJ on which I played the DQ, but a better play may have been to duck this also, hoping for another heart. However, the SJ in dummy will then come into play in a meaningful way and would have to be negated by my cashing the SK.
We eventually made 2 tricks in spades, diamonds and hearts for +300 , but this was poor compensation for the possible 1100 in 4SX to balance out the same score in 5CX at the other table,


| M 7 | AK94 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 3 | J74 |  |
| DIr S | T4 |  |
| Vul EW | 9854 |  |
| QT853 |  | 6 |
| A5 |  | KQT9863 |
| 65 |  | 872 |
| KQJ6 |  | T7 |
|  | J72 |  |
|  | 2 |  |
|  | AKQJ93 |  |
|  | A32 |  |
| W | N E | S |
|  |  | 1D |
| 1S | 1NT /! | 3NT |


| M 7 | T762 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 11 | J65 |  |  |
| DIr S | 82 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | J952 |  |  |
| K4 |  |  | A85 |
| K987 |  |  | 42 |
| AQ53 |  |  | KJT964 |
| AKQ |  |  | 83 |
|  | QJ93 |  |  |
|  | AQT3 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |
|  | T764 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1 |
| 2NT | 1 | 3C | 1 |
| 3D | 1 | 3NT | // |

Sometimes it pays to keep quiet with a long suit, but I must confess that if I had the East hand on board 3 in the $7^{\text {th }}$ match against VIC I would have made a bid, even if only at the 2-level. There are 5 certain tricks if hearts are trumps, but likely no tricks if any other suit is trumps.
I did not upgrade my powerfull trick-taking hand to a 1C opening, although I might have done, but when Tony showed a spade stop over the overcall, I had no hesitation in bidding 3NT with my 7 tricks.
Now was the time for East to spring his surprise, when he led not a spade, but the HK, overtaken by West who returned a heart and East cashed out for 3 down and -150. Just another flat board as the same auction and play was repeated at the other table.
Of course if East had bid his hearts, we would have been warned and may have sailed into the cold 5D.
A slam swing went to the ACT on board 14 in the $5^{\text {th }}$ match when I misinterpreted Tony's 4NT rebid after I defined my hand as a minimum 1C opening with my 3NT rebid.
Expecting only around a 2 point range for the 3NT rebid, he was asking for Aces, but I incorrectly took it as quantitative, and I could hardly be more minimum, so I passed.
When the S4 was lead and dummy went down I could see only one hope for my pass, and that was a bad break in clubs, but the one time you are hoping for bad breaks everything is friendly, so 13 tricks rolled home.
The grand slam is cold because the spade finesse works, but it would be a dilemma if you somehow managed to get to 7NT, as 13 tricks are there if either finesse works, but which one to take?
I suppose a slight improvement on $50 \%$ could be obtained by cashing the longest suit (spades) in case the King was singleton, and taking the other finesse, but that fails.

Minor suit slams are often difficult to bid when the long suit is responding to a very strong hand, and on board 11 in the $7^{\text {th }}$ match against VIC, EW did not even get close to a slam, checking for a possible 5-3 spade fit before subsiding in 3NT, with diamonds not even being mentioned naturally.
However, the East hand has the potential for a slam when partner opens with a strong 2 NT , good suit and good controls, but could not now bid 4D naturally, as presumably that showed both majors after West showed at least one 4-card major.
It would be better if a transfer sequence could make West declarer, but in the absence of that mechanism East should have bid a natural 4D instead of looking for a 5-3 spade fit as he knows he has at least a 6-2 diamond fit.
/ At the other table Mike and John had no trouble sailing into 6D by // West to pick up 10 Imps.

| M 7 | AK9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 19 | - |  |  |
| Dir S | JT9532 |  |  |
| Vul EW | AKQ6 |  |  |
| J42 |  |  | T863 |
| KJT9 |  |  | AQ8653 |
| AQ76 |  |  | 8 |
| 43 |  |  | 52 |
|  | Q75 |  |  |
|  | 742 |  |  |
|  | K4 |  |  |
|  | JT987 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1 |
| 1 | 1C | 1H | 2 C |
| 2 H | 3D | // |  |


| M 8 | K4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 8 | Q983 |  |
| DIr W | QT7654 |  |
| Vul Nil | Q |  |
| JT63 |  | A85 |
| KJ764 |  | A2 |
| AK |  | J3 |
| 53 |  | AJT742 |


|  | Q972 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T5 |  |  |
|  | 982 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1H | / | 2C | / |
| 2 H | / | 2 S | / |
| 3S | / | 4H | 1 |
| 4S | // |  |  |

The best laid plans of mice and men went astray on board 19 in the $7^{\text {th }}$ match against VIC when Tony bid a tactical 3D over 2 H , expecting more bidding when he would then bid clubs, but there was none! He might have been right if the vulnerability had been reversed.
My 2C response to his strong club opening showed at least a 5-card suit and 5-8 HCPs, and the EW bidding suggested that most or all of my points were likely to be in his suits, so a game in clubs was a good bet.
I could not dredge up another bid on my minimum points, poor suit, and only 2-card support, and EW picked a good time to go quietly, possibly influenced by the adverse vulnerability and the strong opening bid.
The 3D contract was even in danger of going down when the finesse lost and the suit broke 4-1, but Tony managed 9 tricks for +110 and 7 Imps away as NS got to 5C at the other table.

On board 8 in the 8 match against NSW we saw Paul Lavings in full flow in the poor contract of 4S.
I believe that his 2 S was just stopper showing, looking for a diamond stop from partner, but the $3 S$ response left him little room to manoeuvre, and after his partner rejected 4 H , he was in 4 S on the poor 4-2 fit.
I led the D9, won on the table and a club led at trick 2, Tony playing the CQ. Paul now led a spade to dummy, won by Tony who led another diamond to dummy.
Now was the make or break time for the contract, as Paul pulled a club off the table, and Tony, not knowing that I had the top club, took the opportunity to ruff this with his small trump, and now he was endplayed. Paul ruffed the diamond return in hand, ruffed my CK and returned to hand with the HA to lead good clubs through me. I was able to pitch my HT but eventually Paul made the SJ en passant for his $10^{\text {th }}$ trick.
If Tony knew about my club trick and ducked the club, I could win and lead another club, destroying the hand.


The opponents do not always do what you expect, but then neither does partner, and board 12 in the $8^{\text {th }}$ match against NSW was another such example on both counts.
After the weak 1NT opening and transfer to spades, my 3C was natural, so Tony chanced his arm with a plucky 3NT bid, probably intending to pull to 4C if doubled. However, East did not lead a spade, but a heart, and West cashed the top 2 hearts (dropping the HQ), followed by the SA and another spade!
Who can blame East for not leading any more hearts? Has not West denied the HJ by not cashing it before leading the $2^{\text {nd }}$ spade? After all he alone was in a position to know the exact heart layout as North would not throw away a winning trick!
Tony could not believe his luck when a diamond was led after East won the spade, examining it very carefully, and quickly claimed 9 tricks before they changed their mind.

| M 8 | A987 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 17 | KJ84 |  |  |
| DIr N | QT |  |  |
| Vul Nil | Q92 |  |  |
| 32 |  | QJ54 |  |
| - |  | A7532 |  |
| A9865432 |  | KJ |  |
| AT4 |  | J3 |  |
|  | KT6 |  |  |
|  | QT96 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |
|  | K8765 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1D | 1S | 1NT |

On board 17 in the $8^{\text {th }}$ match against NSW we came across another player who did not bid a long suit. This time it was an 8-card suit headed by the Ace, and he had another Ace outside!
Tony's 1D was the nebulous Precision diamond opening (maybe this is what put him off), and over the 1 H overcall by East I bid a normal 1NT, passed out.
West blocked his suit by leading the DA, and East could not afford to unblock, but this is where the outside Ace was important as West regained the lead with the CA at trick 3 and cashed his winners.
Since East had neglected to cash his HA that was only 3 off, for -150 , and another flat board as Mike and John made 11 tricks in 4D at the other table for +150 .

5D is defeated by a diamond lead, and another diamond when in with the SA on the first round of spades, then although West can discard a spade loser, he loses 2 club tricks if South covers the CJ.

Board 20 in the $8^{\text {th }}$ match against NSW was both lucky and unlucky for me. Firstly I had a Yarborough and we were in trouble, but at least I had a 5-card suit, which is often worth a couple of tricks, though this time only 1.
The other lucky thing about the hand from my point of view is that Tony elected to double for take-out with his flat 15-count, instead of overcalling 1NT as occurred at a few other tables, so I got to play in 1SX instead of 2SX.
West got off to the good lead of the S8, but with the trebleton SJ on the table it did not really matter, and East drew 4 rounds of trumps.
Dummy's scattered honours were not to be denied, and I eventually

|  | 9763 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| w | N | E | S |
| 1D | X | XX | $1 S$ |
| / | l | X | // |

emerged with 5 tricks for -500 , to go with the +620 when Mike and John played in 4H at the other table for a modest 3 Imps.

| M 10 | K742 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 5 | 2 |  |  |
| Dir N | 954 |  |  |
| Vul NS | AKQ95 |  |  |
| A5 | J |  |  |
| AQJ984 |  |  | KT7653 |
| Q |  |  | KJ76 |
| 8643 |  |  | J2 |
|  | QT9863 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | AT832 |  |  |
|  | T7 |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  | 2C | / | 2S |
| 3H | 4S | 5 H | 5S |
| 1 | / | 6 H | X |

Board 5 in the $10^{\text {th }}$ match against SA was often a case of who could bluff most, and it seems that the 5 -level and 6 -level belongs to anyone who ventures there.
After Tony opened with a natural and limited 2C, my 2 S was natural and invitational, and West joined in the fun with 3 H .
I had the bit between my teeth when Tony accepted my invitation as my hand increased greatly with good support opposite, so I had no hesitation in bidding 5 S .
I was a bit surprised when East bid to 6 H over my 5 S , since the initial bid of 2 S was known to be only invitational, but he was probably only working the vulnerability, and his 6-card support in hearts indicated we had at most 1 loser there.
6 S was never a real possibility for us, but West said that he would have gone on to 7 H if we had bid slam, as a cheap insurance.

| M 10 | A754 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 10 | - |  |  |
| Dlr E | A932 |  |  |
| Vul All | J7654 |  |  |
| KQJT986 |  |  | 2 |
| AJ96 |  |  | KT752 |
| 65 |  |  | QT874 |
| - |  |  | A9 |
|  | 3 |  |  |
|  | Q843 |  |  |
|  | KJ |  |  |
|  | KQT832 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 2D | /! |
| 4S | // |  |  |

The bidding swamped us on board 10 in the $10^{\text {th }}$ match against SA, when East opened a nebulous 2D, showing a weak unspecified 2suiter, West jumped to 4 S eschewing their likely heart fit, and at the vulnerability, neither of us felt it safe to move.
I had probably the easiest bid of 3C over the 2D opening, but my 4card heart suit did not look like an asset, and Tony was a bit weak to trot out 4NT for take-out over the 4S bid, especially when it was known that East had $5-5$ in 2 of his suits.
6 C is a good contract for NS, only needing the diamond finesse, which was marked by the bidding, but we did not even bid.
To make matters worse, Tony did not find the double-dummy lead of the D9 (suggesting a heart return) that would have led to a 3 trick defeat and picked us up 3 Imps.
Instead, on a club lead, declarer pitched a diamond on the CA and eventually lost 3 tricks for -620. At the other table the heart fit was found, but was doubled for 1 off and 13 Imps out.

On board 12 in the $10^{\text {th }}$ match against SA the dreaded 2D bid struck again, this time West had a hearts and clubs, and Tony did not want to give East a chance to learn anything, so jumped to 3NT.
This is a practical bid, with a reasonable chance of success, the only trouble is I knew nothing as well, and I did not think my balanced 11count was enough for slam.
I gave a passing thought to inviting slam with 4NT, but it passed pretty quickly as Tony needs too much for this to work since I had no idea what type of hand he had.
I think a better call was to double on the first round, and then I have an easy 2NT bid even if East bids 2S, now Tony knows we are in the slam zone.
At the other table NS did get to 6D for -1370 , so 12 Imps out.

On board 16 in the $10^{\text {th }}$ match against SA Tony was a little reserved in the bidding because he felt that his good suit and outside Ace may have been enough to defeat 3NT, and he did not want to make a phantom sacrifice!
The trouble is that it needed a spade lead to defeat 3NT, and because of my spade holding, 4 S is cold and EW may have succumbed to a double for +590 .
It is an interesting hand to bid, but put me down for the occasional phantom sacrifice, as 1 am for bidding on with a 65 distribution, especially with the safety of the good diamond suit.
This is one of those rare hands where vulnerable EW have to sacrifice

| w | N | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1C | 1D | 3C |
| 3NT | //! |  | it, and it did not happen at the other table, where another -420 went with our -630 for 15 Imps out.



It was more exciting action on board 20 in match 10 against SA, but this time it was our hand.
The bidding was straight-forward, and West led the CA, and I ruffed the $2^{\text {nd }}$ round and led a trump to East, who gave me some cause for concern by leading back the D8, and I ruffed the next club.
As a heart lead had not come yet, I decided that if the diamonds were not breaking (as I suspected from the return of the D8) maybe I could exert some pseudo pressure by running a few trumps.
Nothing special happened, so with 1 trump left I cashed the DQ in case the DT dropped, and when that did not happen, I continued with the last trump.
It was all unnecessary, as the diamonds broke 3-3 anyway, so I scored up +790. As I expected, another one of our flat boards.

Board 12 in the 13 match against WA was started too early by North opening a weak 1NT out of rotation. Of course I did not accept the bid out of rotation, so the bidding reverted to Tony, who opened 4H.
North was able to bid what he liked, but South was barred, and also South would be subject to a lead penalty if she gained the lead at any time, so maybe this is what prompted North to bid 4 S over 4 H , vulnerable against not with just a 13-count!
He picked the wrong time to do this of course, and doubling 4 S at the vulnerability was heaps better than anything else I could think of.
I led the HQ covered by declarer, and Tony cashed 2 heart tricks, on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ of which I discarded the DT suggesting a club switch. When I diamond came after all I diagnosed the club void and gave Tony his ruff, but all he could do was cash a diamond and then give a ruff-sluff, so we only got it 5 off for +1400 .
I was surprised at the score-up that after a 4 H opening at the other table our North had also succumbed to a 4 S overcall, but they only went down 4 so we picked up 7 Imps.

On board 15 in match 13 against WA, to overcall 1NT I upgraded my 14 count for the 5 -card club suit, although my spade stopper was vulnerable, and Tony raised me to game.
Game prospects looked bleak when dummy went down and I resigned myself to going down, as surely when North set up his spades, he was indicating possession of the HA.
I played 2 rounds of clubs to confirm the even split, and then I played for 1 off by pulling a heart, and that is what I got.
However, I should not have given up, as the power of the closed hand would probably have saved me.
If I run my clubs discarding a heart and another diamond from the table, North has to find 3 discards, and does not know that he can give up the diamond control, so will be forced to throw a spade winner.
Then I could have given up a heart for my $9^{\text {th }}$ trick!

| M 13 | 872 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 16 | Q74 |  |  |
| DIr W | 6 |  |  |
| Vul EW | AJ9764 |  |  |
| JT9 |  | AKQ543 |  |
| AKJ8 |  | T32 |  |
| T72 |  | K |  |
| KT8 |  | Q32 |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |
|  | 965 |  |  |
|  | AQJ98543 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1D | $/$ | $1 S$ | $4 D$ |

On board 16 in the $13^{\text {th }}$ match against WA I was lucky when NS did not play their assets to their best advantage.
North failed to make a weak-jump overcall with his 6-card club suit, and South made a non-committal preempt of only 4D with her 8-card suit.
From my point of view even 3 S could be too high against Tony's Precision 1D opening, but I decided to go for broke with a game bid and was pleased to see my wasted DK did not affect my chances of 10 tricks.
South led the DA and upon seeing my DK, switched to the C5, obviously a singleton or doubleton. Since South appeared to have 8 diamonds, it was more likely that it was a singleton, but luckily North put in the C9 and I could win the CK and draw trumps for 10 tricks by taking the heart finesse. At the other table the contract was a more reasonable 5D, which only went 1 off so we gained 11 Imps.

| M 13 | 962 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 18 | 98 |  |  |
| DIr E | QJ983 |  |  |
| Vul NS | Q65 |  |  |
| KQ53 |  | JT87 |  |
| J74 |  | AKT632 |  |
| AK |  | 7 |  |
| KT32 |  | A9 |  |
|  | A4 |  |  |
|  | Q5 |  |  |
|  | T6542 |  |  |
|  | J874 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1H | / |
| 2C | 1 | 2S | / |
| 3C | 1 | 3NT | 1 |
| 4 H | // |  |  |

On board 18 in match 13 against WA I did not give the full system response to Tony's trump asking bid of 2C. I showed only a 5-card suit with 2 of the top 3 honours.
Tony's next bid was a control ask in clubs, and I showed the Ace, so I was very surprised when I gave him the best result possible and yet he signed off.
I thought about going on myself, which I am entitled to with a good hand as his bid showed slam interest, but I did not have a great hand, so let it slide.
When dummy went down it was obvious that slam depended only on not losing a trump trick so I played for the drop, hoping for the HQ doubleton offside, which may be a possible salvation for us if the opposing EW got to slam. When the HQ did drop offside, I hoped that the East in the other table would finesse in situations like this.
The question was academic as the contract and result was the same at both tables.

| M 14 | T632 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 1 | - |  |  |
| DIr N | Q32 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | KJT932 |  |  |
| AJ987 |  | KQ5 |  |
| KT953 |  | Q864 |  |
| JT8 |  | 9765 |  |
| - |  | 54 |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |
|  | AJ72 |  |  |
|  | AK4 |  |  |
|  | AQ876 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1C |
| 1D | 2C | 3D | 3H |
| / | 4C | // |  |

The bidding on board 1 in the $14^{\text {th }}$ match against Tasmania got off the rails with me when I could not let the opponents clarify, nor could I agree trumps in a forcing situation.
The 1D overcall of my 1C opening was an unspecified 2-suiter, and 3D was meant to be pass or correct. I could not afford to pass for clarification from West in case that was the end of the auction, and I had no way of agreeing clubs and showing my controls.
My actual 3H bid was ill-advised given my great club support for Tony and thus far I had not shown my liking for clubs.
I was given a second chance when he rebid clubs, but by then the rot had set in and I inexplicably passed.
With the unspecified interference we were never going to match the other table and get to slam, which is a bit flukey, but we should have got to game. Mike and John took the sacrifice over the making slam, losing 800, so the loss was 12 Imps.

| M 14 | AT |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 5 | AQJ972 |  |  |
| DIr N | 752 |  |  |
| Vul NS | 98 |  |  |
| - |  | Q876542 |  |
| K6543 |  | - |  |
| J96 |  | AKQT8 |  |
| KJT62 |  | A |  |
|  | KJ93 |  |  |
|  | T8 |  |  |
|  | 43 |  |  |
|  | Q7543 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | $1 H$ | X | $I /$ |

Board 5 in the $14^{\text {th }}$ match against Tasmania was odd firstly that East would make a take-out double with a 7051 distribution, and secondly that West would choose to pass the double with poor trumps and a good 5-card outside suit.
In such auctions the recommended lead is a trump, but East was void, so they fell back on the stock-standard lead of a winner!
If East had started off by leading the other standard lead, namely $4^{\text {th }}$ highest of their longest suit, they may have succeeded, as now West can get 2 spade ruffs, EW can cash 4 tricks in the minors, and West still gets a heart trick, but this did not happen, although East still had a chance when he saw the 4 spades on the table to switch to a low spade for a ruff and club return.
At the other table more spirited bidding got EW to 3D making 10 tricks for another +130 to go with our +160 and 7 Imps.

| M 14 | 65 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 6 | KJT964 |  |  |
| DIr E | KT6 |  |  |
| Vul EW | 87 |  |  |
| A |  | T943 |  |
| AQ832 |  | 7 |  |
| A9 |  | QJ8732 |  |
| AJT96 |  |  |  |
|  | KQJ872 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |
|  | 54 |  |  |
|  | KQ42 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  | / | 1S |
| 2 S | 1 | 3C! | 1 |
| 3S | / | 4C | / |
| 5C | // |  |  |

Holding a powerful 2-suiter it is easy to get carried away with the moment and credit partner with more support than he has shown, which is what West did on board 6 in match 14 against Tasmania.
2S was standard Michaels and 3S reinforced that West had a strong hand, but she did not take into account that East had not chosen clubs, he just preferred either minor to hearts.
I thought that we could defeat 5C, but as Tony had not shown any values it was not worth revealing my club strength with a double.
I led the SK and East cashed both major suit Aces and ruffed a low heart with the C3, allowing me to over-ruff with the C4.
I next led a diamond, and East finessed, losing to Tony's DK, and another heart came back. This time East discarded so I was able to ruff with the C2. East won the next diamond on the table and played the top trumps, so I was in again with the CK for our $4^{\text {th }}$ trick, and the SQ forced East to ruff on the table so that Tony took the last 2 tricks in hearts for 4 off and +400 .
At the other table Mike and John played in their 6-2 diamond fit for 2 off, but -100 was worth 7 Imps.

