## 2014 Coffs Harbour Swiss Teams by Richard Wallis

The venue limit of about 100 teams ( 72 in the Open Teams) contested Coffs Congress Swiss Teams this year, with entries closed well before the event. I am sure the event would attract many more teams if there was room, and while the organizers are looking into adding more space next year, it will probably involve playing in a number of smaller rooms and is therefore not favoured.
The event is played as a Swiss over 8/14 board matches, 2 on Friday afternoon, 2 Saturday morning and another 2 in the afternoon, and concluding with 2 matches on Sunday morning. Lunch is provided on Saturday, and also on Sunday in association with the prize giving.
This year, Therese Tully and I played with Marlene Watts and David Beachamp, and we reached our peak after just 2 matches when we hit the lead! Match 3 was against Toni Bardon's Team (Sandra Johnson and a pair of non-Queenslanders), and the losing draw did neither team any good.
Matches 4 and 5 were bad losses, which brought us back to earth with a thud, before we finished with 3 good wins to pull us into a respectable $6^{\text {th }}$ place. The Reitzer team (Jeanette Reitzer, Terry Brown, Sue Ingham and Michael Courtney) swept all before them, winning all 8 matches comfortably, to finish over 13 VPs ahead of the Yule team in second place.

| M 1 <br> Bd 7 <br> DIr S <br> Vul Al | T86A32J72A765 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ753 } \\ & 87 \\ & 9 \\ & \text { QT983 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | J942 <br> K <br> KT854 <br> KJ4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & \text { QJT9654 } \\ & \text { AQ63 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S 1 H |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~S}! \\ & 4 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{/ /}{2 H}$ | 3H! | 4H |

Board 7 in the first match was lucky for us in a number of ways. could have bid 2H (Michaels) instead of overcalling 1S, which shows spades and a minor, at least 5-5. If I had done that, it is likely that Therese would have been declarer, and South may have led their singleton club, leading to immediate defeat.
I picked the right time to conceal my club suit, and Therese's 3 H showed support with at least a limit bid in support of spades, so I had no hesitation in bidding 4 S .
North led the HA, and when dummy went down, he switched to the DJ, which held the trick, so as I was hoping, he continued with another diamond which I ruffed.
I ruffed a heart to dummy and now knowing South had the SK, I had to decide which card to lead for the finesse. The SJ would cater for the singleton ST with North, and a small spade would cater for the singleton SK with South, and also for South to have Kx, so I led the S2, and made 10 tricks for +620 .

| M 1 Bd 13 DIr N Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J8762 } \\ & 96 \\ & \text { JT2 } \\ & \text { A97 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ93 } \\ & \text { A42 } \\ & \text { AQ94 } \\ & \text { J5 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | KQ85 K7653 KQ63 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KT54 } \\ & \text { JT73 } \\ & 8 \\ & \text { T842 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | , | 1D | 1 |
| 2D! | 1 | 2 H | , |
| 3NT! | 1 | 5D | // |

On board 13 in the first match I got very lucky when I signed off in 3 NT , giving up on slam, and the 6NT slam at the other table failed, although it could have been made.
The 2D response was inverted, and the 2 H rebid showed 11-13 HCPs, so with only a maximum of 30 HCPs between the 2 hands, I signed off in 3NT.
Therese, with a void in spades and no Aces, took the sensible approach to convert to what she knew was a $5-4$ fit.
I should have rebid 2S over 2H, asking if she had a shortage, and her 3 H response would have identified a spade shortage, which would have given me even less reason to go on. Now, when I bid 3NT Therese knows I have the spades covered and could pass.
In 6NT South will get squeezed in 3 suits by the run of the diamonds, but the other West missed this and went 1 off for +100 . 6D does not need any squeezes, and while we actually gained 12 Imps in the match, we lost 5 Imps against the Datum.

| M 2 <br> Bd 16 <br> DIr W <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & J 52 \\ & 8542 \\ & Q \\ & J 7532 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A6 } \\ & \text { A976 } \\ & \text { A9872 } \\ & \text { K4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQT943 } \\ & 3 \\ & \text { KT64 } \\ & \text { AQ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87 } \\ & \text { KQJT } \\ & \text { J53 } \\ & \text { T986 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1D | 1 | 1S | / |
| 2 H | 1 | 2S! | 1 |
| 2NT | 1 | 4D! | 1 |
| 4H | 1 | 6D | // |

On board 16 in the second match I had a minimum in HCPs for my reverse, but good controls, and I was anxious to protect my Kx in clubs, so rebid 2NT to show my minimum for the reverse.
The 2 S rebid by Therese was forcing for 1 round, but her jump to 4D was now Minorwood, my 4H response showing 3 Key Cards, so she jumped to slam.
North led the C3, and I won the CQ on the table to leave the entry to set up the spades, if necessary, after drawing trumps.
Technically, to guarantee 12 tricks in diamonds, I should lead a trump from either hand and cover whatever the defender plays. Even if it loses, trumps can definitely be drawn now, and this protects against a 4-0 break either way.
In practice, I erred and played the DK from the table, and when North dropped the DQ, I finessed on the next round of trumps, using the Principle of Restricted Choice. This was 13 tricks and +1390 , but 2 Imps away as EW got to 6S at the other table for -1460 .

| M 2 <br> Bd 28 <br> DIr W <br> Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJ872 } \\ & \text { QT963 } \\ & \text { KJ3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ954 } \\ & \text { J } \\ & \text { AQ4 } \\ & \text { Q987 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | AK842 <br> 872 <br> KT432 |
|  | T6 75 T9 A |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 S | / | 1NT! | 1 |
| 2C | 2 H | X | 1 |

On board 28 in the second match I would not recommend North's 2 H bid to any budding bridge player. If Therese had supported spades, then South is known to be void, so it is likely that NS have a fit, but when Therese bid an almost forcing 1NT, it seems unlikely she has spade support, so the chance of NS having a fit has reduced.
My 2C rebid showed either an unbalanced hand, or $15+\mathrm{HCPs}$, and Therese was probably planning to invite game in clubs with 3C, but North offered a more attractive choice, so she doubled for penalties.
Therese led the C2 and North rose with the CA, pitching the D3, and led a spade from the table. I was so flabbergasted when Therese showed out that I made the mistake of giving her a spade ruff instead of forcing declarer with a club.
However, the contract still went 3 off for +800 . At the other table David did not bid as North, and EW were unlucky to go off in 5C due to the bad break in trumps, so we gained 14 Imps .

| M 3 <br> Bd 3 <br> DIr S <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT9852 } \\ & 43 \\ & 42 \\ & \text { T95 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & \text { K9876 } \\ & \text { A97 } \\ & 763 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | A <br> AJT52 <br> 53 <br> AKQJ2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQ64 } \\ & \text { Q } \\ & \text { KQJT86 } \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S 1 D |
| 1H | 2S | 3D | 3S |
| $\stackrel{/}{4 \mathrm{H}}$ | // | 4C | / |

On board 3 in the third match we paid more attention to South's opening bid and North's response than our own cards.
The 2 S by North was weak, although I am not sure if as weak as this was expected, and Therese's 3D cuebid showed heart support.
I had no inclination to bid game over South's 3S, and Therese's 4C rebid was showing a good suit, and she was hoping I would show a diamond control.
However, I had a few spade losers and a very minimum overcall, so I just signed off in 4H.
Therese could now afford to bid 4 S as another slam try, and then I would have bid 5D, but she called it a day and 4 H was passed out.
Dummy was a complete surprize, and I had no trouble racking up 13 tricks for +710 and a potentially big loss!
Only 10 pairs out of 72 bid the slam, and EW at the other table doubled David in 5 S, for -500 , so we gained 5 Imps after all.

| M 3 <br> Bd 13 <br> Dir N <br> Vul All | A4 9863 <br> AK <br> KJ864 |  |  | On board 13 in the third match I was tempted to raise Therese's 2 S overcall of the $15-151 \mathrm{NT}$ opening bid, but with soft values and possibly the hearts not pulling their full weight, passed it out. 2 S showed spades and a minor, and it was likely to be spades and diamonds. <br> There is a good case for simply bidding 4 S and hoping for the best, as Therese's actual hand was probably the minimum point-count I could expect, and with either of South's honours, 4 S is a good bet. <br> Since she had such a minimum hand, Therese would not have accepted a game invitation, and give her Ax in clubs and a singleton heart, and 4 S goes off on a club lead. <br> South led the S5 and 10 tricks were never in doubt for +170 , and a flat board as the same contract was reached at the other table. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QT97 <br> KQJ2 <br> 8 <br> Q732 |  |  | KJ863 T5 <br> J9762 <br> A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E $2 S!$ |  |  |



| M 4 Bd 28 DIr W Vul NS | 983 QT943 KQ87 Q |  |  | On board 28 in the fourth match I opened 3C at favourable vulnerability, which posed a problem for South when this was passed around to her. <br> The 5 -card holding in clubs should have been a warning, but she bid 3 anyway, and North raises her to game. The sight of dummy must have been a big disappointment to declarer. <br> I led the DT and Therese won this and led the C9, won by declarer, who next led the HK. <br> I won the HA and led another diamond, won in hand by declarer, and the hand was very nearly over. <br> South was lucky in the end to make 6 tricks, but that was 4 off and +400 to us on a nothing hand. <br> At the other table, a sensible pass by Marlene gained another +100 for 3C, 2 off, and 11 Imps , a bright spot in a losing match. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 A62 T9 KJ865 |  |  | AQT J875 A5432 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |
| 3C |  |  | 35 |  |


| M 5 <br> Bd 6 <br> DIr E <br> Vul EW | KJT96 <br> A6 <br> T974 <br> Q7 |  |  | On board 6 in the fifth match I believe that South opened 1 C in preference to 1D to deter the lead, and it worked! He also suppressed 3 -card support for his partner's major suit, in spite of at least a 5 -card suit opposite. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8743 \\ & \text { J853 } \\ & \text { J52 } \\ & \text { A6 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | A <br> 9742 <br> K6 <br> K95432 | North was the Client, which explains the prepared bids and responses, and I was completely taken in by the bidding and led the D2, in spite of my aversion to leading away for an unsupported Jack! <br> South was not hard-pressed to make 3NT now, and the best we could do was cash 2 clubs and a spade for 10 tricks and -430. <br> If South had opened 1D, I may well have led the CA, as that would stop the diamond lead, a spade was out, and I formed the opinion that South was prepared for a heart lead, and I do not like leading away from an unsupported Jack anyway. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q52 } \\ & \text { KQT } \\ & \text { AQ83 } \\ & \text { JT8 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S $1 \mathrm{C}!$ |  |
| , | 1S | , | 1NT |  |
| $1 /$ | 3S | 1 | 3NT |  |



| M 6 Bd 18 DIr E Vul NS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKJ976 } \\ & 85 \\ & \text { AKQT7 } \end{aligned}$ |  | On board 18 in the sixth match North lost interest in slam when South opened with a Multi-2D, almost certainly based on a 6 -card heart suit, so they signed off in 4S. <br> The opening weak 2 made it hard for North, but the North hand is pretty good, and after all, the doubleton is in partner's 6-card suit, so North should have got interested, and shown both of their suits, just in case there is a grand slam! <br> Since NS have a 6-2 fit, a simple approach of bidding 2NT to let South show their suit, and then jumping to 5 H , asking South to bid slam with a good suit would have also worked. <br> Therese led the CK, but North had no trouble making all 13 tricks, for 710. <br> At the other table the simplistic approach was adopted, and Marlene played safe for 12 tricks, +1430 and 12 Imps. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q2 Q4 J5 AJ87643 |  | T85 T72 9862 KQ8 |  |
|  | 43 AKJ963 43 T52 |  |  |
| W 3C | N E | S 2D! |  |


| M 7 <br> Bd 5 <br> DIr N <br> Vul NS | 64 K95 AKT3 A765 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKJT97 } \\ & 74 \\ & \text { Q9874 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q82 } \\ & \text { Q32 } \\ & 652 \\ & \text { QJT2 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & \text { AJ7 } \\ & \mathrm{J} \\ & \mathrm{~K} 98 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W 4S | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} \\ 1 \mathrm{NT} \end{gathered}$ | E / | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 2 \mathrm{D}! \end{gathered}$ |

On board 5 in the seventh match North's 1NT opening showed 13-15, and South's 2D was a transfer to hearts.
I did not know who could make what, but the vulnerability was in our favour, so I bid the obvious spade game, doubled by North, which is the correct action, as 4 H by NS has no real chance.
North led the DA, and when South played the DJ, he cashed another top diamond, and led the D3 for South to ruff. This was good news to me, as the D3 was almost certainly McKenny for a club return, and I knew that North was going to be disappointed.
I ruffed the club return and drew trumps, before cashing my diamonds to discard 2 hearts, and a heart ruff meant only 1 off for -100 . This was good news and bad, because it was obvious when dummy went down that even if North got the hearts right, 4 H would fail. At least they did not cash their 5 tricks as theyr did at the other table, so we gained 5 Imps.

| M 7 <br> Bd 13 <br> DIr N <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 86 \\ & \text { QJ652 } \\ & \text { J853 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q <br> AKQJ <br> AK97 <br> AT62 |  |  | AKJ5 <br> T9542 <br> T3 <br> Q4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T98743 } \\ & 73 \\ & 84 \\ & \text { K97 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1 | / | , |
| 2C! | 1 | 2D! | , |
| 2NT | 1 | 3C | 1 |
| 3D | 1 | 4C | / |
| 4H | 1 | 6 H | II |

On board 13 in the seventh match I had no good opening bid to show my hand, and instead chose to treat it as a strong balanced hand, so opened 2C and rebid 2NT (22-24).
Therese's 2D response showed at least 1 Ace or King, and her 3C rebid asked for a 5-card major, my 3D showing at least one 4-card major.
The 4C rebid showed both majors and had slam interest, but I did not bid 4D, which would have been Key Card for Hearts, instead signing off in game.
However, with at least 32 HCPs and a 5-4 fit, Therese was not about to give up and simply bid 6H.
North led the DQ, and I had no trouble discarding 3 clubs on the spades and ruffed a club in hand for 13 tricks, and +1460 .
I confidently predicted to North that this would be a flat board, at least in the top half of the room, but EW at the other table had an accident, and stopped in game, so we gained 13 Imps.



