## 2014 Sydney ANC - Open Teams by Richard Wallis

The ANC is usually a good event, and this year, held at the Canterbury Racetrack in Sydney, was no exception. Queensland fielded a team in each of the Open, Womens, Seniors and Youth, and all played to the best of their ability and in good spirits, and were a credit to the QLD colours.
The Youth, with a largely inexperienced line-up, struggled early, losing all of the opening 7 matches, but then they hit their straps, and won 5 in a row, including 2 blitzes, to at one stage rise to $3^{\text {rd }}$, before losing 2 of the final 3 matches to drop back to $4^{\text {th }}$. Jessica Brake, Andrew Gosney, Chris Larter, Michael Gearing, Josh Davis and Angus Gray can hold their heads up after that great come-back, and they would have all benefitted greatly from the experience.
The Seniors and Womens teams were always in the hunt, each just falling out of the race for the finals in the last or second last match. Before the final match, any of the top 4 in the Womens event could have made the final, but both WA and QLD lost, keeping the top 2 in the final, but with positions reversed.
This year Therese Tully and I played in the Open Team, along with Charlie Howard/ Kerry Wood and Neville Francis/ Kevin Steffenson, with Jim Evans as non-playing captain. We started out with a shocker on BBOL against South Australia, where our inexperience against the wild system played by our opponents made it very difficult for me to get anything right, and this was followed by a good win (by the other 4) against Tasmania, before another loss to ACT dropped us back to near the bottom of the field again.
We started to improve, but the horse had bolted, and we were never in the hunt after that, holding our own against the top teams (except ACT belted us again), but never getting into the top 4. Our only claim to fame was beating WA both times, and a small win against VIC in the last match gave us an overall positive result against them, and kept them out of the finals, allowing ACT to sneak in. In a see-sawing Final, ACT was too good in the end and beat NSW by a small margin to claim the Open Teams Championship.

| M 1 <br> Bd 1 <br> Dir N <br> Vul Nil | AJT32 <br> K3 <br> AK8 <br> AT6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q986 } \\ & \text { Q8 } \\ & \text { J754 } \\ & \text { K53 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 976542 \\ & 32 \\ & \text { QJ98 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | K54 <br> AJT <br> QT96 <br> 742 |  |  |
| W | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} \\ 1 S \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ 2 H! \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 3 \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4NT } \\ 6 \mathrm{~S} \end{gathered}$ | // | 5 H |

On the first board of the competition, on Viewgraph against SA, East overcalled 2 H , which was not alerted, so I did not realize it could be made on such a weak hand (who would?).
Therese bid 3 H , which showed at least at least a limit raise with 3card support, so with what seemed like the knowledge of where everything was likely to be, I bid the slam when we had the 5 Key cards, thinking I could finesse East for the missing SQ.
East led the CQ, and I should have been suspicious, as this placed the CK with West, and meant that East only had 8 points at most for his 2-level overcall, but the finesses still meant I could make 12 or 13 tricks!
The first disappointment was when East showed out on the second round of spades, which meant I was now down to only 12 tricks, and I had to get rid of my losing clubs quickly, so the marked (sic) heart finesse was now taken! 3 off and 12 Imps out.

| M 1 <br> Bd 3 <br> DIr S <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T83 } \\ & 2 \\ & \text { QJ43 } \\ & \text { JT876 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AQ A9 432 Q95432 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J742 } \\ & \text { QJ543 } \\ & \text { K96 } \\ & \text { K } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | K965 <br> KT876 <br> AT8 <br> A |  |  |
| W | N | E | S $1 H$ |
| 2 C | / | 1 | X |
| 1 | 2D | // |  |

On board 3 in match 1, I missed my chance to extract some revenge for an earlier board, when I wimped out of passing Therese's take-out double of 2 C , preferring to bid my 4 -card suit.
I won the CA and pulled the H6 from the table, but West won and led a trump, East wisely refusing to play his DK.
Now I could ruff clubs and hearts, but East was able to discard his hearts while I ruffed clubs on the table, and then over-ruff my DQ at the end with his carefully preserved DK for 2 off.
At the other table, a different line enabled North to escape for 1 off and 2 Imps away. If I had taken the diamond finesse by leading the DQ and East had covered, I could have drawn trumps and exited with the CJ, end-playing West for at least 7 tricks.
While things were partially bad for me in a diamond contract, 2CX would have gone badly for West, with him losing 4 clubs and 3 diamonds, for 2 off and +500 to us.

| M 1 <br> Bd 4 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | Q4ATAKQ752A84 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 87 \\ & 643 \\ & \text { QT9632 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ```AKJ876 QJ2 JT9 7``` |
|  | ```T93 K96543 8 KJ5``` |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1D | 1S | 1 |
| 1 | 2 S | X | 3H |
| 1 | 3 S | X | / |
| 1 | 4D! | // |  |

On board 4 in match 1, I missed an easy trial bid that would have saved the board, instead of losing 10 Imps .
Anxious to have Therese bid 3NT with a spade stop, and expecting to have 8 tricks in my hand, I forced with 2 S when the 1 S overcall came back to me.
Now Therese made a free-bid of 3H over the double, so I went looking for Jxx in her hand with a second cue-bid, but her pass of the double indicated she did not have it, so I lazily signed off in 4D.
She would have known that my 2S and 3S bids were based on a solid diamond suit, and after her 3 H bid, certainly a 5 -card suit, I could have shown her my useful heart doubleton by bidding 4 H , not the wishywashy 4D bid which allowed her to pass.
Since she did have a 6 -card suit, passing 4 H would have been automatic, but even with a $5-2$ fit, 4 H has a play, and 5D was cold anyway, so either way we would have reached a makeable game. At the other table better bidding got them to 4 H and we lost 10 Imps .


On board 16 in match 3 against ACT, North got lucky in the play of the hand, and we lost 14 Imps.
In the bidding sequence shown, South forced to game in spades with 2NT, and then North showed firstly a heart shortage, then something like AK of trumps and an outside Ace, or 3 Aces without the SK, but South was unsure whether he had gotten it right, so signed off in 4S. North went again with a 5D cue, so South was away, and Therese led the C6.
If I had been awake, I would have played the CA at trick 1 , which can hardly cause Therese any problems, but would have given North the ruffing finesse as a losing option, but alas I was in auto mode.
I won the CK and led back a diamond, and after a lot of thought, North rose with the DA, ruffed a club, and pitched 2 diamonds on top hearts, before ruffing a diamond back to hand to ruff another club. The fall of the CA allowed North to claim 12 tricks.
At the other table, the contract was 1 down when the diamond finesse failed, which was a straight-forward $50 \%$ chance.


On board 20 in match 3 our system worked against us, and my guesswork was not good enough to match North.
After my 1NT opening, which can contain a 5-card major, Therese checked to see if I had 4 hearts, then signed off in 3NT.
North is obviously not going to lead a spade, and the bidding told him that there were 4 hearts in dummy, and he therefore had to lead a minor suit, and unfortunately for me, he chose the C5!
If I had known that North had all of the entries, and only 3 clubs, it would have been a formality, but it looked like the clubs were $5-3$, so therefore seemed unlikely that spades were 3-3, thus I looked elsewhere for my 9 tricks, and failed by 1 for -100 .
At the other table, after a 1 S opening and a 2 D response, West became declarer in 3NT, without hearts having been mentioned, so Charlie had no reason not to lead the H3, making 3NT easy, and we lost 12 Imps.

| M 5 <br> Bd 8 <br> DIr W <br> Vul Nil | T5 Q87 <br> T9542 <br> J74 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKQJ63 <br> AT9653 <br> 7 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 42 \\ & \text { AKQ863 } \\ & \text { AQ8 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 972 \\ & \text { KJ } \\ & \mathrm{J} \\ & \text { KT96532 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1C! | 1 | 1NT! | 2 C |
| 2 S | 1 | 3D | / |
| 3H | , | 3 S | 1 |
| 6S | // |  |  |

On board 8 in match 5 against NSW, EW were playing a strong club with relays, and the 1NT response to the strong opening showed a diamond suit. The $2 S$ rebid showed a good suit, so East had no problem supporting spades, having denied 3-card support already
I dutifully led a club, and South made a mistake by rising with the CA at trick 1 instead of playing low and ruffing.
When the diamonds were not the hoped for 3-3, declarer was booked to go 1 off, but a defensive blunder when I got the wrong inference from Therese's discards, enabled it to make.
The way to make 12 tricks is to ruff the club lead, draw trumps, and ruff the second round of diamonds, before HA and another, endplaying South to lead into dummy.
On an initial diamond lead, win in dummy and ruff a diamond immediately, before continuing as before with the heart end-play.
The 4 H contract at the other table was limited to 11 tricks by any defence, so we lost 11 Imps.

| M 5 <br> Bd 16 <br> DIr W <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & \text { T83 } \\ & \text { Q6432 } \\ & 853 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q8532 } \\ & 65 \\ & \text { AJ } \\ & \text { AKJ9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | KJ K74 KT98 Q762 |
|  | AT97AQJ9275T4 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 S | 1 | 1NT | 2 H |
| X | 1 | 3C | / |
| 3 H | 1 | 3NT | // |

On board 16 in match 5 EW had a total of 27 HCPs, and tried for the obvious game, but the single stopper was not enough, and the NT game had no chance.
EW were playing a strong club and West's hand was limited to 15 HCPs, and likely only a 5-card spade suit following the double, and East quite rightly suggested a suit contract with their aceless hand, but West had one more go at 3NT with a 3H cue-bid, which East could not refuse.

Therese led the HQ, and East could not afford to duck and took a reasonable chance for 9 tricks by finessing diamonds, since a favourable diamond position would yield 9 tricks without touching spades.
I won the DQ and a heart return quickly scuppered the contract, with the SA leading to 2 down and +200. At the other table Neville played 5 C carefully and emerged with 11 tricks for +600 and 13 Imps .


On board 4 in match 6 against VIC, North followed a now common trend by opening 1NT while holding a 5-card major. Therese and I do it as well, and I believe that they were using a 15-17 1NT opening, so as we also would do, he borrowed a point for the good 5 -card suit.
No significant fit was lost, since South did not have 3-card support, but the net result was that by chance the wrong hand became declarer. Therese as East had an easy lead of the DK, and 3NT then had no chance, finishing 1 off for +100 .
At the other table Charlie made a normal opening bid of 1S, and Kerry bid a forcing 1 NT , and then showed 10-12 balanced by bidding 2NT, obviously raised to game by Charlie.
This time West was on lead and led the H5, which gave a free trick and the tempo to Kerry, who emerged with 10 tricks for +630 and 12 Imps to us.

| M 6 <br> Bd 9 <br> DIr N <br> Vul EW | JT2 <br> KQJ52 <br> KQ2 <br> T7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 743 \\ & \text { AT6 } \\ & \text { AKJ63 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ986 } \\ & \text { T98 } \\ & 98 \\ & \text { Q54 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K73 } \\ & \text { A6 } \\ & \text { J7543 } \\ & 982 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W <br> //! | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} \\ 1 \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & /! \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ 1 \mathrm{NT} \end{gathered}$ |

On board 9 in match 6 both Therese and I took conservative views and luckily gained a part-score swing.
Many would overcall 1S on Therese's hand as East, but it is marginal at the adverse Vulnerability, and she chose to pass, in spite of the reasonable suit.
When South bid 1NT, denying both spades and hearts, I thought that my suit was too fragile to bid 2C, so meekly passed, and was moderately surprized when 1NT was passed out.
Wary that South might have clubs, I led the CA to look at dummy and got an encouraging signal from Therese, so we were able to cash out 7 tricks for down 1 and +50 .
At the other table EW did get involved, climbing all the way to 3S, which had no chance and declarer lost an extra trick for 2 off and +200 , for 6 Imps to us.

| M 7 <br> Bd 4 <br> DIr W <br> Vul All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ5 } \\ & 9873 \\ & \text { AK853 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 <br> KJT642 <br> QT <br> Q763 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K874 } \\ & \text { AQ } \\ & \text { J6 } \\ & \text { AKJ94 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT632 } \\ & 5 \\ & 9742 \\ & \text { T85 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 2 H | X | 4H | 1 |
| / | X | // |  |

On board 4 in match 7 against WA my weak 2 H opening, North's double, and Therese's 4 H response were standard, but at our table North tried again with a second double.
I did not find out whether this second double was still take-out, or just any good hand, but looking at South's hand a retreat to 4 S seems like a good idea.
North led the DA, and must have been daunted by the sight of dummy, but instead of cutting his losses by cashing out for -790 , he switched to the C2 at trick 2 and I was able to draw trumps and discard a loser on the $5^{\text {th }}$ club for +990 .
At the other table, after Charlie declined to double again, EW played quietly for 10 tricks and -620.
A heart lead and continuation will likely lead to 2 down in 4SX, and only +500 , so we could have lost 3 Imps instead of gaining 9 (or 5 on a cash-out).

| M 7 <br> Bd 19 <br> DIr S <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q862 } \\ & \text { A95 } \\ & 53 \\ & \text { QJ54 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J9 } \\ & \text { JT84 } \\ & \text { AJ62 } \\ & 872 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \text { Q732 } \\ & \text { KQ84 } \\ & \text { AKT3 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKT753 } \\ & \text { K6 } \\ & \text { T97 } \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S $1 S$ |
| 1 | 2NT! | 1 | 35 |
| / | / | X |  |

On board 19 in match 7 the 2NT response was alerted, but I did not ask. However, when the 3 S came back to me and it turned out to be a weakish hand with 4 spades, I came forth with a double and Therese bid the obvious 4 H .
North led the D5, an likely shortage, and Therese won on the table to lead the S4, won by South with the SK to lead the DT.
Therese won in hand and ruffed a spade before leading a small heart from the table, ducked by South, and the HT was also ducked by North, although he had initially led a diamond looking for a ruff!
Another heart and North is forced to duck this time or else their second trump trick disappears, and now when South leads a $3^{\text {rd }}$ diamond, North discards, and he also discarded on the DJ!
A club to dummy and North is in trouble, and plays the CJ, but a heart from the table end-plays North who led another club for Therese to finesse, thus an unlikely 10 tricks for +620 . At the other table Charlie bid to 4 S, which went 2 down for -100 , but still gained 11 Imps .

| M 8 <br> Bd 8 <br> Dir W <br> Vul Nil |  |  |  | On board 8 in match 8 against ACT, the vulnerability was irresistible to NS and they sacrificed in 5C against our freely bid 4 H , and they were right! <br> Therese led the H 2 , and we had no trouble taking 4 tricks for 2 off and +300 . Declarer may have been given a guess in spades, but after I had opened with a weak two and turned up with the HK and CA, it was virtually certain that Therese would have the SA. <br> This looked like a potential loss, as with the HQ well placed, even 5 H would have been easy, but at the other table Neville and Kevin were never put to the test, as EW subsided in just a heart part-score making just 10 tricks for -170 , so we gained 4 Imps . <br> Early on we had missed a couple of games through tentative bidding, and decided to bid any game with a reasonable chance of success, hence Therese's spectacular jump to game. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q982 KT9863 95 A |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AT6 } \\ & \text { J72 } \\ & \text { AQT73 } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W 2 H | N $3 C$ | E 4 $X$ | S <br> 5 C <br> $/$ |  |


| M 8 <br> Bd 14 <br> DIr E <br> Vul Nil | K2AT754JT754 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \text { KQ92 } \\ & 2 \\ & \text { QT98752 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJT63 } \\ & 63 \\ & \text { AQ9 } \\ & \text { AJ4 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A8754 } \\ & \text { J8 } \\ & \text { K863 } \\ & \text { K3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 1 \mathrm{~S} \end{gathered}$ | S |
| 1NT! | 2 S | , | 3D |

On board 14 in match 8 my 1NT response to Therese's 15 opening was almost forcing, and I intended to bid 3C, to play, unless Therese rebid 2 S , or maybe even if Therese did rebid 2 S .
North came to the party over 1NT with a Michael's Cue-bid, and South bid 3D, rather than a possible 2NT.
I led the S9, and declarer rose with the SK and inexplicably led the C6 at trick 2, allowing Therese to rise with the CA and give me a ruff with my singleton D2!
Declarer still had a heart and two trumps to lose, so finished 1 off for +50 . If he had led a trump at trick 1, he would have made 9 tricks and the score would then be - 110 .
At the other table some sort of bidding misunderstanding led to East playing in 2NT, where after a diamond lead, declarer had 8 tricks for 120, so we actually lost 2 Imps .

| M 10 Bd 1 Dir N Vul Nil | KT653AQJ985T6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q532 QJ K AQ8432 |  |  | JT98 <br> AK742 <br> 63 <br> KJ |
|  | A7 98 T7 97 |  |  |
| w | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} \\ 1 D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ 1 H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |

On board 1 in match 10 against NSW, I opened 1D because of the good suit and easy rebid if Therese responded 1 H (then 2 H ) or 1 S (then 2D), and Therese's double showed precisely 4 spades.
It appears that West's 3C was not considered forcing by East, although I am not sure whether West thought it was forcing or not, but anyway it was my lead to 3C and I led the SK.
After winning trick 1, I switched to a heart, which West won in hand and allowed the top trumps in dummy to hold the next 2 tricks. Thus there was no way now to avoid a diamond loser and we took our 3 tricks for - 130 .
However, EW had missed their 4-4 spade fit, which allows 10 tricks, and although Deep Finesse suggests that 9 is the limit in hearts, Charlie made 10 tricks in 4 H , so we gained 7 Imps .

| M 10 <br> Bd 9 <br> Dir N <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQ63 } \\ & \text { T74 } \\ & \text { Q83 } \\ & \text { K92 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A92 } \\ & \text { QJ5 } \\ & \text { A96 } \\ & \text { T863 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT874 } \\ & \text { 93 } \\ & \text { K75 } \\ & \text { A75 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { AK862 } \\ & \text { JT42 } \\ & \text { QJ4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | / | / | 1H |
| , | 1S | 1 | 2D |
| 1 | 3 H | // |  |

On board 9 in match 10 I tried to show where my values were before inviting game with 3 H to allow Therese to better value her hand, and she had an easy pass. However, we were too high!
West led the C6 and Therese won trick 2 with the CQ, giving West a full picture of her hand, or at least how the defence should go to achieve the required 5 tricks to defeat 3 H .
Therese was known to have at least 9 red cards, and therefore only 4 black cards, so if she reached dummy with the SK the CK was good for a spade discard, or she had a singleton spade all the time.
Beginners are taught "second-hand low" and it becomes ingrained, so much so, that in spite of the obvious reasons why West should grab the SA while he could, he ducked, and now Therese had 9 tricks after all.
At the other table Charlie and Kerry took all of their tricks, so we gained a modest 1 Imp for +140 versus -110 .


On board 20 in match 10 East made a normal overcall of 1NT when I opened 1 H , and West bid 2 S as a range probe, and subsided when East showed a minimum with a 2NT rebid.
Therese had no reason to lead anything other than a heart, and it looked like EW may have got it right by stopping in 2NT, as I was odds-on to have 5 tricks in my hand.
I won the HA at trick 1 and cashed out my top spades, and found that East had 9 tricks after all.
East had only a minimum in points, but his triple stop in my suit is actually worth more than the 6 points, so I think he should have accepted the invitation.
At the other table Charlie and Kerry did get to 3NT, and made the same 9 tricks, so we gained 10 lmps .



| M 13 <br> Bd 6 <br> Dir E <br> Vul EW | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { K98643 } \\ & 8 \\ & \text { AT976 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 <br> QT5 <br> KT9754 <br> J54 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJT98764 } \\ & 7 \\ & \text { AJ3 } \\ & \text { K } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AJ} \\ & \mathrm{Q} \\ & \mathrm{Q} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 1 \text { NT! } \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~S} \\ & 4 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ /(?) \end{gathered}$ |

On board 6 in match 13 against NT, Therese opened 1S, and I bid a forcing (almost) 1NT, hoping to be able to rebid a non-forcing 2D if Therese rebid 2C, or pass any other rebid. Her actual rebid of 4 S silenced all other bidding, and South led the C2.
North won the CA and led back the D8, won on the table by Therese to lead a trump, but there was no way to avoid 4 losers, and the net result was 1 off, for -100.
The hand was then forgotten, but booked up as a possible loss, if the other EW stayed in a part-score.
When we scored up we found that NS were cold for 4 H , and we had gained 8 Imps after all!
The real mystery was why South did not overcall 1NT, whereupon North would have had an easy 4 H bid, as Neville did at the other table.

| M 13 <br> Bd 15 <br> DIr S <br> Vul NS | T2AKJ542KQJ85 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q97 } \\ & \text { KQ97 } \\ & \text { Q6 } \\ & 9432 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | AK86432 <br> AJT43 <br> A |
|  | J5 86 T9 T7 |  |  |
| W | N 1D | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E} \\ 2 \mathrm{D}! \end{gathered}$ | S |
| 2 H | 3C | 5D | 1 |
| 6 H | 1 | 7H | // |

On board 15 in match 13 Therese had to do all of the work by herself, when I was having a catnap.
Therese's 2D cuebid was Michaels, and I should have bid at least 3H with my good support for both of her suits, as by bidding just 2H, I could have had just any hand with preference for hearts over spades. Therese did not need much more than heart preference to make 6 H a good contract, so she trotted out 5D as Exclusion Blackwood.
I was not sure if this was EB, or just a cue-bid showing slam interest, so I jumped to slam to finally show my good support for hearts, and after a lot a thought Therese bid 7H. As she was putting her hand down, Therese was apologizing in case she was wrong, but she felt a whole lot better when I won the CA at trick won and led to the H9, quickly claiming 13 tricks.
At the other table Kevin bid 3D over the cuebid, and Neville thus knew he had a good sacrifice over 6 H , and the vulnerability suggested a double to EW rather than 7 H , which was 3 off for -800 and 12 Imps.




